

Green Energetic Materials

Editor: Tore Brinck



WILEY

Green Energetic Materials

Green Energetic Materials

Editor

TORE BRINCK

*School of Chemical Science and Engineering,
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden*

WILEY

This edition first published 2014

© 2014 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Registered office

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, United Kingdom

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at www.wiley.com.

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought

The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for every situation. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of experimental reagents, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each chemical, piece of equipment, reagent, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. The fact that an organization or Website is referred to in this work as a citation and/or a potential source of further information does not mean that the author or the publisher endorses the information the organization or Website may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that Internet Websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. No warranty may be created or extended by any promotional statements for this work. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any damages arising herefrom.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Green energetic materials / [compiled by] Tore Brinck.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-119-94129-3 (cloth)

1. Fuel. 2. Explosives. 3. Green chemistry. I. Brinck, Tore, editor of compilation.

TP318.G74 2014

662.6-dc23

2013034800

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

HB ISBN: 9781119941293

Set in 10/12pt, Times-Roman by Thomson Digital, Noida, India

1 2014

Contents

<i>List of Contributors</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
1 Introduction to Green Energetic Materials	1
<i>Tore Brinck</i>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Green Chemistry and Energetic Materials	2
1.3 Green Propellants in Civil Space Travel	5
1.3.1 Green Oxidizers to Replace Ammonium Perchlorate	6
1.3.2 Green Liquid Propellants to Replace Hydrazine	8
1.3.3 Electric Propulsion	10
1.4 Conclusions	10
References	11
2 Theoretical Design of Green Energetic Materials: Predicting Stability, Detection, Synthesis and Performance	15
<i>Tore Brinck and Martin Rahm</i>	
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Computational Methods	17
2.3 Green Propellant Components	20
2.3.1 Trinitramide	20
2.3.2 Energetic Anions Rich in Oxygen and Nitrogen	24
2.3.3 The Pentazolate Anion and its Oxy-Derivatives	27
2.3.4 Tetrahedral N ₄	33
2.4 Conclusions	38
References	39
3 Some Perspectives on Sensitivity to Initiation of Detonation	45
<i>Peter Politzer and Jane S. Murray</i>	
3.1 Energetic Materials and Green Chemistry	45
3.2 Sensitivity: Some Background	46
3.3 Sensitivity Relationships	47
3.4 Sensitivity: Some Relevant Factors	48
3.4.1 Amino Substituents	48

3.4.2	Layered (Graphite-Like) Crystal Lattice	49
3.4.3	Free Space in the Crystal Lattice	50
3.4.4	Weak Trigger Bonds	50
3.4.5	Molecular Electrostatic Potentials	51
3.5	Summary	56
	Acknowledgments	56
	References	57
4	Advances Toward the Development of “Green” Pyrotechnics	63
	<i>Jesse J. Sabatini</i>	
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	The Foundation of “Green” Pyrotechnics	65
4.3	Development of Perchlorate-Free Pyrotechnics	67
4.3.1	Perchlorate-Free Illuminating Pyrotechnics	67
4.3.2	Perchlorate-Free Simulators	72
4.4	Removal of Heavy Metals from Pyrotechnic Formulations	75
4.4.1	Barium-Free Green-Light Emitting Illuminants	76
4.4.2	Barium-Free Incendiary Compositions	78
4.4.3	Lead-Free Pyrotechnic Compositions	80
4.4.4	Chromium-Free Pyrotechnic Compositions	82
4.5	Removal of Chlorinated Organic Compounds from Pyrotechnic Formulations	83
4.5.1	Chlorine-Free Illuminating Compositions	83
4.6	Environmentally Friendly Smoke Compositions	84
4.6.1	Environmentally Friendly Colored Smoke Compositions	84
4.6.2	Environmentally Friendly White Smoke Compositions	88
4.7	Conclusions	93
	Acknowledgments	94
	Abbreviations	95
	References	97
5	Green Primary Explosives	103
	<i>Karl D. Oyster</i>	
5.1	Introduction	103
5.1.1	What is a Primary Explosive?	104
5.1.2	The Case for Green Primary Explosives	107
5.1.3	Legacy Primary Explosives	108
5.2	Green Primary Explosive Candidates	110
5.2.1	Inorganic Compounds	111
5.2.2	Organic-Based Compounds	116
5.3	Conclusions	125
	Acknowledgments	126
	References	126

6	Energetic Tetrazole <i>N</i>-oxides	133
	<i>Thomas M. Klapötke and Jörg Stierstorfer</i>	
6.1	Introduction	133
6.2	Rationale for the Investigation of Tetrazole <i>N</i> -oxides	133
6.3	Synthetic Strategies for the Formation of Tetrazole <i>N</i> -oxides	136
6.3.1	HOF · CH ₃ CN	136
6.3.2	Oxone®	137
6.3.3	CF ₃ COOH/H ₂ O ₂	138
6.3.4	Cyclization of Azido-Oximes	139
6.4	Recent Examples of Energetic Tetrazole <i>N</i> -oxides	139
6.4.1	Tetrazole <i>N</i> -oxides	140
6.4.2	Bis(tetrazole- <i>N</i> -oxides)	150
6.4.3	5,5'-Azoxytetrazolates	164
6.4.4	Bis(tetrazole)dihydrotetrazine and Bis(tetrazole)tetrazine <i>N</i> -oxides	170
6.5	Conclusion	173
	Acknowledgments	174
	References	174
7	Green Propellants Based on Dinitramide Salts: Mastering Stability and Chemical Compatibility Issues	179
	<i>Martin Rahm and Tore Brinck</i>	
7.1	The Promises and Problems of Dinitramide Salts	179
7.2	Understanding Dinitramide Decomposition	181
7.2.1	The Dinitramide Anion	182
7.2.2	Dinitraminic Acid	184
7.2.3	Dinitramide Salts	185
7.3	Vibrational Sum-Frequency Spectroscopy of ADN and KDN	189
7.4	Anomalous Solid-State Decomposition	192
7.5	Dinitramide Chemistry	194
7.5.1	Compatibility and Reactivity of ADN	194
7.5.2	Dinitramides in Synthesis	196
7.6	Dinitramide Stabilization	198
7.7	Conclusions	200
	References	201
8	Binder Materials for Green Propellants	205
	<i>Carina Eldsäter and Eva Malmström</i>	
8.1	Binder Properties	208
8.2	Inert Polymers for Binders	210
8.2.1	Polybutadiene	210
8.2.2	Polyethers	212
8.2.3	Polyesters and Polycarbonates	213
8.3	Energetic Polymers	215
8.3.1	Nitrocellulose	215
8.3.2	Poly(glycidyl azide)	216

8.3.3	Poly(3-nitratomethyl-3-methyloxetane)	220
8.3.4	Poly(glycidyl nitrate)	221
8.3.5	Poly[3,3-bis(azidomethyl)oxetane]	222
8.4	Energetic Plasticisers	223
8.5	Outlook for Design of New Green Binder Systems	223
8.5.1	Architecture of the Binder Polymer	224
8.5.2	Chemical Composition and Crosslinking Chemistries	224
	References	226
9	The Development of Environmentally Sustainable Manufacturing Technologies for Energetic Materials	235
	<i>David E. Chavez</i>	
9.1	Introduction	235
9.2	Explosives	236
9.2.1	Sustainable Manufacturing of Explosives	236
9.2.2	Environmentally Friendly Materials for Initiation	240
9.2.3	Synthesis of Explosive Precursors	244
9.3	Pyrotechnics	246
9.3.1	Commercial Pyrotechnics Manufacturing	246
9.3.2	Military Pyrotechnics	248
9.4	Propellants	249
9.4.1	The “Green Missile” Program	249
9.4.2	Other Rocket Propellant Efforts	250
9.4.3	Gun Propellants	251
9.5	Formulation	253
9.6	Conclusions	254
	Acknowledgments	254
	Abbreviations and Acronyms	255
	References	256
10	Electrochemical Methods for Synthesis of Energetic Materials and Remediation of Waste Water	259
	<i>Lynne Wallace</i>	
10.1	Introduction	259
10.2	Practical Aspects	260
10.3	Electrosynthesis	262
10.3.1	Electrosynthesis of EM and EM Precursors	262
10.3.2	Electrosynthesis of Useful Reagents	265
10.4	Electrochemical Remediation	266
10.4.1	Direct Electrolysis	267
10.4.2	Indirect Electrolytic Methods	269
10.4.3	Electrokinetic Remediation of Soils	272
10.4.4	Electrodialysis	273
10.5	Current Developments and Future Directions	273
	References	275
	Index	281

List of Contributors

Tore Brinck, Applied Physical Chemistry, School of Chemical Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

David E. Chavez, WX Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA

Carina Eldsäter, Swedish Defence Research Agency, FOI, Sweden

Thomas M. Klapötke, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Energetic Materials Research, Ludwig-Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

Eva Malmström, School of Chemical Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Jane S. Murray, Department of Chemistry, University of New Orleans, USA and CleveTheoComp, USA

Karl D. Oyler, U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC) Picatinny Arsenal, USA

Peter Politzer, Department of Chemistry, University of New Orleans, USA and CleveTheoComp, USA

Martin Rahm, Loker Hydrocarbon Research Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, USA

Jesse J. Sabatini, US Army RDECOM-ARDEC, Pyrotechnics Technology & Prototyping Division, Pyrotechnics Research, Development & Pilot Plant Branch, USA

Jörg Stierstorfer, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Energetic Materials Research, Ludwig-Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

Lynne Wallace, UNSW Canberra, University of New South Wales at the Australian Defence Force Academy, Canberra, Australia

Preface

It has become increasingly apparent that the use, or production, of many common energetic materials leads to the release of substances that accumulate in nature and can be harmful to humans or to the environment. As a consequence, the use of several important compounds has already been restricted or banned, and many more are expected to face restrictions in the near future. This has resulted in considerable research efforts aimed at developing sustainable alternatives with preserved performance. This book is an attempt to review the current status of the field of green energetic materials. My objective has been to cover the entire process in the development of a new energetic material, from the initial theoretical design to the optimization of the manufacturing process. In addition, the aim has been to consider all different types of energetic materials, including propellants, explosives and pyrotechnics, and both military and civilian applications. To ensure the quality and relevance of the description, I have invited active scientists that are all highly regarded experts in their respective fields to write the individual chapters.

The book is intended as a reference and an inspiration for academic, industrial, and government researchers active in the field of energetic materials. It should also find use as a textbook for courses at the graduate level.

In the first chapter I introduce the concept of green energetic materials and define it in the context of the principles of green chemistry. The particular issues that separate the production and use of energetic materials from other chemical products are highlighted and discussed. Furthermore, the development of green propellants for civil space travel is used as a case study to analyze the problems of taking an energetic material from the initial design phase to its final application.

In the subsequent chapter, Martin Rahm and I discuss the use of quantum chemical methods to design green energetic materials with targeted properties. We show that, while such procedures are very effective for identifying compounds with extreme performance levels, the real challenge often lies in devising routes for their synthesis and large-scale production. The focus of Chapter 3 is on the sensitivity for detonation of energetic materials. Peter Politzer and Jane Murray describe the current understanding of sensitivity at the molecular level, and identify molecular and crystalline features that can be used to design compounds with decreased risks of unintended detonations.

The advances in the development of green pyrotechnics are impressive, considering the diversity of the applications and the large number of toxic chemicals that traditionally have been used to achieve the special effects. Jesse Sabatini reviews the efforts that have been made to remove perchlorates, toxic heavy metals, and harmful organic compounds from pyrotechnic applications. In the subsequent chapter, Karl Oyler writes about similar developments in the field of primary explosives. Here, the main challenge has been to find replacements for lead azide and lead styphnate. Thomas Klapötke and Jörg Stierstorfer describe current efforts to develop greener secondary explosives. Their chapter focuses on

the synthesis and properties of tetrazole *N*-oxides; a class of compounds that shows great promise to hold a green alternative for the most common military explosive, RDX.

The following two chapters turn attention to the development of green propellants. In Chapter 7, Martin Rahm and I discuss recent advances in the understanding of ammonium dinitramide (ADN). This oxidizer, first synthesized in the 1970s in the former Soviet Union, has long been considered a potential green replacement for ammonium perchlorate in solid propellants. However, its implementation in solid formulations has been plagued with stability and compatibility issues. Due to new knowledge, gathered from theoretical and spectroscopic analyses, the chemical rationale behind these issues has been resolved and the potential for designing stable ADN-propellants has improved. In the following chapter, Carina Eldsäter and Eva Malmström discuss binder materials for green solid composite and homogeneous rocket propellants. They consider propellants based on ADN as well as other green oxidizers. This chapter highlights the many factors that need to be optimized in order to obtain a functional propellant formulation.

David Chavez reviews the development of sustainable manufacturing technologies for energetic materials in Chapter 9. According to the principles of green chemistry, it is not sufficient that the end product is benign, the entire manufacturing process should be environmentally friendly and make efficient use of natural resources. Challenges that are discussed include minimization of waste, reduced use of organic solvents, and the introduction of energy efficient synthesis procedures. Electrochemical synthesis is one such procedure that is discussed in more detail in Chapter 10. In this chapter, Lynne Wallace also describes the use of electrochemical methods for remediation of wastewater arising from the use and manufacture of energetic materials.

I am very grateful to all the authors that have contributed to this book. My hope is that you will appreciate their chapters as much as I have, and that you will find this book useful for your future endeavors in the exciting field of green energetic materials.

Tore Brinck
Sweden

1

Introduction to Green Energetic Materials

Tore Brinck

*Applied Physical Chemistry, School of Chemical Science and Engineering,
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden*

1.1 Introduction

The first energetic materials were developed in ancient China. Around 200 BC, Chinese alchemists were already starting to experiment with heating mixtures of saltpeter and sulfur. In the seventh century, saltpeter and sulfur were combined with charcoal to create an explosive material resembling what we today refer to as gunpowder. It was originally used for fireworks but soon became increasingly important for a range of military applications. The use of gunpowder in mining and civil engineering did not begin until the seventeenth century. Gunpowder remained the base for all energetic materials in practical use until the isolation of mercury(II) fulminate in 1799 by Edward Charles Howard. However, the first revolution in the development of energetic materials since the discovery of gunpowder started with the inventions of nitrocellulose (NC) in 1846 and nitroglycerine (NG) in 1847. NC was used as a propellant, whereas NG was mainly an explosive. Both these compounds had greatly enhanced performance compared to gunpowder. In 1866, Alfred Nobel introduced the original dynamite, a mixture of 75% NG with 25% kiselguhr, with a minor addition of sodium carbonate. It had much reduced sensitivity compared to pure NG and was, in contrast to NG, relatively safe to handle and transport. Nobel later developed gelatinous dynamite by combining NG with NC in a jelly. This material performed considerably better than the original

dynamite and additionally improved safety. These examples illustrate the two main objectives, to improve performance and safety, that have traditionally driven research on energetic materials. It is important to remember that, in the wider definition of energetic materials – which include propellants, explosives, and the large area of pyrotechnics – the definition of performance depends largely on the purpose of the intended device. For example, in the area of pyrotechnics, performance can relate to light intensity, gas generation or smoke production.

Since the end of the twentieth century it has been increasingly realized that the use, or production, of many energetic materials leads to the release of substances that are harmful to human health or to the environment. In some instances the use of certain compounds has been restricted, or even banned, as a consequence of legislative actions. The result is that new objectives have been enforced on the development of energetic materials. Today, almost all research in the area is focused on designing new materials that can be considered “green.” This book intends to summarize the most recent developments in the area of green energetic materials, and to introduce the reader to some tools that are used in the research. However, before we embark on this journey, it may be valuable to try to define “green”, and how this extra requirement relates to the objectives of maximizing performance and safety of handling.

1.2 Green Chemistry and Energetic Materials

The concept of “green chemistry” was first introduced in 1990s by the US Environmental Agency (EPA), and is briefly defined on their web site as [1]:

To promote innovative chemical technologies that reduce or eliminate the use or generation of hazardous substances in the design, manufacture, and use of chemical products.

Since its first appearance, green chemistry has gradually evolved due to organized efforts in both Europe and the USA, and has been widely adopted within the chemical industry as a method to promote sustainability in the design and manufacturing of new chemicals. The basic ideas behind green chemistry were concretized in 1998 by Anastas and Warner by their definition of the Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry [2]:

The Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry

(Reproduced with permission from [2] © 1998 Oxford University Press)

1. Prevention

It is better to prevent waste than to treat or clean up waste after it has been created.

2. Atom Economy

Synthetic methods should be designed to maximize the incorporation of all materials used in the process into the final product.

3. Less Hazardous Chemical Syntheses

Wherever practicable, synthetic methods should be designed to use and generate substances that possess little or no toxicity to human health and the environment.

4. Designing Safer Chemicals

Chemical products should be designed to affect their desired function while minimizing their toxicity.

5. Safer Solvents and Auxiliaries

The use of auxiliary substances (e.g. solvents, separation agents, etc.) should be made unnecessary wherever possible and innocuous when used.

6. Design for Energy Efficiency

Energy requirements of chemical processes should be recognized for their environmental and economic impacts and should be minimized. If possible, synthetic methods should be conducted at ambient temperature and pressure.

7. Use of Renewable Feedstocks

A raw material or feedstock should be renewable rather than depleting whenever technically and economically practicable.

8. Reduce Derivatives

Unnecessary derivatization (use of blocking groups, protection/deprotection, temporary modification of physical/chemical processes) should be minimized or avoided if possible, because such steps require additional reagents and can generate waste.

9. Catalysis

Catalytic reagents (as selective as possible) are superior to stoichiometric reagents.

10. Design for Degradation

Chemical products should be designed so that at the end of their function they break down into innocuous degradation products and do not persist in the environment.

11. Real-time analysis for Pollution Prevention

Analytical methodologies need to be further developed to allow for real-time, in-process monitoring and control prior to the formation of hazardous substances.

12. Inherently Safer Chemistry for Accident Prevention

Substances and the form of a substance used in a chemical process should be chosen to minimize the potential for chemical accidents, including releases, explosions, and fires.

The principles of green chemistry are largely geared towards guiding the design of the manufacturing process, since for many chemicals the manufacturing has the largest impact on human health and the environment. Energetic materials are to some extent different, in that their use prohibits recycling and proper waste disposal; they disintegrate, and the decomposition or combustion products are directly released into the environment. It is, therefore, particularly important to consider the health and environmental effects of the final product and its usage. With this aspect in mind, the principles of green chemistry can be applied to the design of energetic materials and their manufacturing. It may seem very

difficult to adhere to some of the principles, such as 2, 5, 8, and 9. After all, most energetic materials are complex structures that are very high in energy. Energy and complexity are often afforded by employing reactive reagents, specialized solvents, extreme reaction conditions, and through the use of protecting groups or other derivatization. However, most drugs are of equal or larger complexity, and therefore it is encouraging that green chemistry has been very successfully implemented in the pharmaceutical industry [3,4]. It is also obvious from reading Chapter 9 that great progress is currently being made in adopting green chemistry principles to the design of manufacturing processes for energetic materials. In some cases the achievements are clearly at the forefront of sustainable manufacturing, such as the use of biocatalysts or the implementation of continuous processes. The implementation of electrochemical methods is also likely to become of increased importance. Electrochemical processes often constitute energy efficient approaches for synthesis and for remediation of chemical waste. The use of water as the prevalent solvent in many such processes is an added advantage.

The principles of green chemistry provide no direct indication of how to determine the sustainability of a chemical or manufacturing process. One attempt to remedy this deficiency is the E-factor, which has been introduced as a method for quantifying the greenness of processes and products [5–7]. It is defined as the quotient of mass of waste over the mass of product, that is, $m_{\text{waste}}/m_{\text{product}}$. The waste is often considered to include all compounds formed during the process, including gases and water. It is generally a better measure for comparing different processes for making the same product than for comparing products, since it does not explicitly consider the constitution of the waste or its toxicity. Even if the E-factor is a relatively blunt tool, it provides a rapid and often very revealing method for assessing different process alternatives from an environmental perspective. A lifecycle assessment (LSA) is a much preferred approach as it attempts to give an assessment of the overall environmental impact of a product. It considers the entire process from the extraction and acquisition of the raw material, via the manufacturing and use of the product, to the end-of-life management [5,8]. There are also methods that attempt to combine LSA with lifecycle cost analysis to get a total assessment of the costs for a product. Such a technique was recently used to analyse the life-cost of a toxic monopropellant (hydrazine) propellant versus a green one [9]. The analysis demonstrated that replacing the toxic propellant would give large cost reductions, even though the actual manufacturing cost for the green replacement is higher. It is a common observation that it pays off, from a direct cost perspective, to replace old products with greener alternatives. This also holds for the manufacturing of chemical products; converting to processes that adhere to the green principles of chemistry is often cost effective.

Reduction of costs can actually be considered one of main forces that drive the implementation of green products and manufacturing technologies; the others are societal pressure due to public awareness and government legislation. In Europe, chemicals and their use are regulated through the European Community regulation REACH (**R**egistration, **E**valuation, **A**uthorization and **R**estriction of **C**hemical substances) [10]. REACH was introduced in 2007 and will be gradually phased in over 11 years. “The aim of REACH is to improve the protection of human health and the environment through the better and earlier identification of the intrinsic properties of chemical substances” [10]. REACH significantly increases the responsibilities of

manufacturers and importers of chemicals. They are required to gather information on the properties of their chemical substances and provide safety information that ensures their safe handling. The Regulation further calls for the progressive substitution of the most dangerous chemicals when suitable alternatives have been identified. This also has implications for the energetic materials industry. It is becoming increasingly important to identify early on energetic materials that are in danger of being phased out, and to begin the development of green replacements.

So far we have not touched on the subject of whether the considerations according to the principles of green chemistry should be prioritized over performance and safety of handling when designing green energetic materials. Safety of handling is partly considered in principle 12. However, the potential consequence of an accident in the case of an energetic material is often of such magnitude that safety of handling must take precedence over other priorities. The prioritization of performance is a slightly more complicated matter, and is somewhat dependent on the application. It is obvious that the performance of a product will affect its atom-economy, for example, if a new material has half the performance of an old material, we need to use twice the amount of the new material to accomplish the same task. However, for some applications the consequence of lowered performance can be detrimental. In the case of rockets for space exploration, the mass of the propellant can easily be up to 90% of the total weight, whereas the payload typically constitutes only a few percent; even a minor reduction in performance (specific impulse) will significantly reduce the size of the payload. Thus, high performance is essential for efficient energy utilization and is often needed for mission completion. There are many other applications of energetic materials where high performance is also of great importance.


With this discussion in mind we can attempt to define the concept of a green energetic material:

A green energetic material is a material designed and manufactured in accordance with the principles of green chemistry, with the minimum requirement to preserve the performance level, and safety of handling, of the energetic material it is intended to replace.

It is important to remember that it is not always possible to design a material that fully satisfies this definition and, if the need to replace an old composition is sufficiently urgent, it may be necessary to settle for something that is not entirely green, just greener. In many cases, such an approach can lead to a significant improvement over the existing situation.

1.3 Green Propellants in Civil Space Travel

We are facing environmental challenges related to the use of energetic materials in many areas of society. In the following section, we will use the civil space sector as an example, and discuss some past and present attempts to develop and implement green propulsion technology. This is not intended to be a comprehensive survey, but rather to indicate some of the challenges associated with taking a green material from the initial development state to its final application.




Scheme 1.1

1.3.1 Green Oxidizers to Replace Ammonium Perchlorate

Ammonium perchlorate (AP, NH_4ClO_4 , Scheme 1.1) is the most common oxidizer in solid propellants. Its use extends from civil pyrotechnics, via a range of military applications, to the large booster engines in space shuttles and heavy-lift launchers. One of the environmental concerns relating to AP is its high chlorine content, which is converted to hydrochloric acid (HCl) upon combustion. As an example, it is easy to calculate that each launch of the European heavy-lift launcher, Ariane 5, produces HCl corresponding to 270 metric tons of concentrated hydrochloric acid at full conversion [11,12]. The large amount of HCl released into the atmosphere as consequence of the extensive use of AP may contribute to acidic rain and ozone depletion. The direct release of perchlorates into the environment, either due to incomplete combustion, or leakage from undisposed material, is considered to be an even bigger problem. It is estimated that drinking water in at least 35 of the states in the USA is contaminated by perchlorates [13]. This is a matter of concern since it has been suggested that high intakes of perchlorates may lead to an increase in thyroid disorders [14]. The EPA published in 2009 an interim health advisory with a maximum limit of 15 ppb for drinking water, and announced in 2011 that they are moving forward with regulations [15,16].

Hydrazinium nitroformate (HNF) has been considered as a potential green oxidizer in solid booster propellants. HNF was discovered already in 1951 [17]. However, the real interest in HNF as a replacement for AP started in the late 1980s, when the European Space Agency (ESA) began to realize the potential environmental problems associated with acid release from AP combustion. The ESA initiated a large research program with the aim of developing a green solid propellant for use in space applications. This included the establishment of a production plant for producing HNF at a scale of 300 kg per year [17]. HNF is not considered to be entirely green, since one of the reactants used to manufacture HNF is hydrazine, which is a highly toxic and carcinogenic compound. Still, HNF is chlorine free, and the burning is clean and without acid release. It was soon realized that HNF has severe compatibility issues with common binder systems. However, HNF was later shown to be compatible with the energetic binder glycidyl azide polymer (GAP), and a propellant based on HNF, aluminum (Al), and GAP has been developed [18]. The performance, in terms of specific impulse, is reported to be 2–7% higher than for a standard formulation of AP-Al with hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) as the binder [19]. This increase would, according to some estimates, translate into a reduction in the cost/kg payload of a launch system of 5–50% [19]. In spite of these very encouraging results, and the large investments made, ESA seems to have largely lost interest in the



Scheme 1.2

development of an HNF-based propellant; the research has almost halted and there are no indications of industrialization in the near future. We can only speculate about the reasons. However, it may be connected to problems with the thermal stability and sensitivity of HNF [17]. In addition, the manufacturing costs of both HNF and GAP are high and it is expected that a HNF propellant would be too expensive to use on a larger scale. This should be added to the large costs associated with the development of a new engine system and the related infrastructure.

Ammonium dinitramide (ADN, Scheme 1.2) is another oxidizer that has received considerable interest as a potential green replacement for AP. ADN was first prepared in 1971 in Moscow, and is believed to have been used for propulsion of Soviet intercontinental missiles during the Cold War [11]. Reportedly, the main advantage of the propellant was the smokeless signature (a consequence of the clean burning of ADN), which prevented radar detection of the missiles. ADN was rediscovered in the late 1980s by US scientists. Since then, it has been the focus of intense research efforts worldwide. Like HNF it is chlorine free, and combustion of pure ADN without a fuel leads to formation of N₂, H₂O, and O₂ as the thermodynamic products. ADN is today produced on a large scale in a relatively green process at the SNPE Eurengo plant in Karlskoga, Sweden.

ADN is very hygroscopic in nature. Although it is relatively stable in pure form, it has, like HNF, been found to be incompatible with a number of binder and curing systems, most notably the common isocyanate curing system [17,20] (see Chapter 8 for details). The reactivity of ADN has been very difficult to understand and anomalous solid state decomposition behavior has been reported [17,20]. As described in Chapter 7, many of the issues with ADN are now finally beginning to be resolved. New compatible binder systems have also recently been presented [11,21] (see also Chapter 8). Although they need considerable optimization before a working solid ADN propellant can be realized, the preliminary results are promising. Theoretical calculations indicate that an optimized ADN-propellant would have a high performance and increase the specific impulse over a standard AP-AI propellant by up to 5–7% (see Chapter 2).

Even though ADN-based solid propulsion is on the verge of becoming a mature propulsion technology, we do not see any initiative that in the near future is likely to lead to the implementation of ADN in a larger propulsion system. In 2008, NASA signed an agreement with the Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI) with the title *Initial evaluation of ADN as oxidizer in solid propellants for large spacelauncher boosters* [22], and it was rumored that ADN was considered for the next-generation ARES booster. However, NASA, in an unofficial statement, denounced this rumor and explained that ADN needed further evaluation and development before eventual implementation [23]. The reason for the current relatively low interest in an ADN-based launch system from private investors and space agencies is not obvious. However, the answer is probably connected to the size of the


investment and the large risk associated with such a project. It would not only involve the development of the propellant, but also of the engine system, and the accompanying infrastructure, and would take many years from start until an eventual first launch. In addition, considering the large quantities of propellant used at each launch, it must be possible to produce the propellant at a relatively low cost.

1.3.2 Green Liquid Propellants to Replace Hydrazine

For obvious reasons, it is easier to attract funding for the development of propellants for smaller engine systems, where the costs and risks are lower. One such area has been finding a replacement for the hydrazine monopropellant, which is mainly used for satellite station-keeping motors. Hydrazine is highly toxic and carcinogenic and cannot be handled without stringent safety precautions. Researchers at the FOI had already realized in the late 1990s the potential of ADN to be used in monopropellant formulations because of its high solubility in polar solvents [11]. This led the Swedish National Space Board to fund the first attempt to develop a liquid ADN monopropellant; the project was a collaboration between the FOI and the Swedish Space Corporation (SSC). This investigation found compositions of ADN, methanol and water (AMW) to be particularly promising for replacing hydrazine [11]. ECAPS, a subsidiary of the SSC, subsequently continued the development of an AMW propellant and an associated rocket engine. In the final design the 1N thruster system was demonstrated to provide a specific impulse that was 5% higher than a corresponding hydrazine thruster [24]. The improvement in density impulse was even larger due to the 24% higher density of the developed propellant, LMP-103S. The first in-flight demonstration came in 2010, when the system was tested for rendezvous and formation-flying satellite maneuvers on the PRISMA technology demonstration mission [25].

ECAPS today claims to be the market leader in green propulsion technology, and LMP-103S is marketed worldwide. Their ADN-based technology is one of the first examples of a green propellant that has made the full journey from the initial development stage to finally reaching the commercial market. In this context it is interesting to note the time span of nearly 15 years from the initial research project in 1997 to the market release in 2012. This is similar to the development time in drug design, where it typically takes up to 15 years from the initial discovery phase to market release. The pharmaceutical industry is normally considered, of all technology industries, to hold the record for long development times. The significance of the development time for LMP-103S is difficult to estimate, considering we only have this example. However, one can only hope that ECAPS has broken the ice and that new and improved propellants will soon be ready for commercialization. There are some candidates that have already shown great promise. The FOI has their own ADN-based liquid propellant, which is reported to provide a significantly higher density impulse than the ECAPS propellant [11]. A green propellant with even better performance has been developed by the US Air Force Division [26]. It is an ionic liquid formulation of hydroxyl ammonium nitrate (HAN, Scheme 1.3). This propellant is set to be tested on a satellite on the 2015 GPIM mission [27].

At this point, it may be relevant to reflect upon how green an ADN-based propellant, like LMP-103S, really is. It should first be realized that ADN is considerably less toxic than hydrazine, as indicated by the LD50 values for oral intake in rats, which are 823 mg/kg [11]

**Scheme 1.3**

and 59 mg/kg, respectively. In reality the difference in health hazard is much larger due to the carcinogenicity of hydrazine and its higher vapor pressure. It is actually argued that the methanol is the reason for the hazard symbol labeling of LMP-103S as being toxic [24]. In any case, the safety precautions for handling the propellant are similar to most organic solvents. This should be compared to the handling of hydrazine, which requires the use of an advanced protective suit. All the subcomponents of LMP-103S are biodegradable and it is considered environmentally benign [24]. The propellant is insensitive to impact and friction, and it has even been approved for transportation on commercial aircrafts [24]. Thus, it seems like LMP-103S fulfills many of the criteria for being considered green.

In this context, it is also relevant to evaluate the manufacturing of ADN from a green perspective. ADN is currently produced at the SNPE Eurengo plant in Karlskoga. Beginning in the mid 2000s, substantial efforts were made to optimize the process for capacity, reduction of impurities, recirculation of chemicals, and reduction of chemical waste. Some of the results have been published and are discussed in Chapter 9 of this book [28,29]. The optimized process is described in a company newsletter from 2004 [30]:

Dinitramide is synthesized with a mixed acid nitration of a sulphamic acid salt. The dinitramide is then precipitated as GUDN (guanylurea dinitramide) from a water solution by adding guanylurea. The spent nitration acids are regenerated by using standard procedures. In a following step GUDN is transformed to ADN in two separate ion exchange reactions. First, GUDN is changed to potassium dinitramide (KDN) using potassium hydroxide. Finally, KDN is transformed to ADN in an ion exchange reaction with ammonium sulphate. ADN is crystallized from the solution and potassium sulphate is generated as a waste. The solvents used in all steps are recycled.

The process for manufacturing ADN seems to be a very good example of the advances in adopting green chemistry and engineering to energetic materials production.

At this stage, we can safely conclude that replacing hydrazine with a monopropellant based on ADN, such as LMP-103S, is also a significant improvement in sustainability if the propellants' entire lifecycle is considered. This has also been verified by a lifecycle cost analysis, which in addition showed a 66% reduction in the operational mission cost for LMP-103S compared with hydrazine [9]. The largest improvements were found in environmental costs, mainly associated with facility operations and maintenance, and costs associated with health and human safety protection. Thus, this example clearly illustrates that the implementation of a green energetic material can also be advantageous from a direct cost perspective.

1.3.3 Electric Propulsion

Another major step towards greener propulsion is related to the recent advances in the development and implementation of electric propulsion. The basic idea behind electric propulsion is to use electrical rather than chemical energy to accelerate the propellant. Thrusters for electric propulsion can be divided into three categories: electrothermal, electrostatic, and electromagnetic. The first is most similar to a conventional thruster, in that the electric energy is used to heat the propellant and the thermal energy is converted to kinetic energy. In the two latter approaches the propellant is ionized and the resulting ions are accelerated in an electromagnetic field; the most common propellant is xenon, as it combines a relatively low ionization potential with high atomic mass. Electric thrusters are characterized by a much higher specific impulse, and therefore much lower propellant consumption, compared to conventional thrusters. Their disadvantage is the low thrust, which means that the time taken to accomplish a particular maneuver will be much longer. Typical applications of electric propulsion include station keeping, and the transfer of satellites from the geostationary transfer orbit (GTO) to their geostationary earth orbit (GEO); maneuvers that are traditionally performed using chemical thrusters driven by a hydrazine mono-propellant or toxic bipropellants based on methylhydrazine and nitrogen tetroxide.

Electric propulsion is particularly advantageous when combined with solar energy. For example, for transferring a satellite to GEO, it may be possible to use electric power from solar panels that are otherwise not in use during that phase. The potential of solar-driven electric propulsion was demonstrated by the ESA SMART-1 mission to the Moon in September 2003 [31]. The 370 kg satellite built by SSC used a solar-powered Hall effect thruster for the entire transfer from the GTO to the lunar orbit. It was kept in orbit using the same propulsion system until September 2006, when it was finally crashed into the Moon's surface. At the end of the mission, the thruster had been operating 5000 h and had used only 82 kg of xenon propellant in total. This is a very impressive example of the advantages of solar-driven electric propulsion. A system based on traditional chemical propulsion would have required a much heavier propulsion system, and the total cost of the mission would have been substantially higher. From a green perspective the advantages are considerable.

Xenon cannot be considered an energetic material in its traditional form. However, in a wider definition, it could be designated as a green energetic material, or at least a green propellant. Xenon is commercially produced as a byproduct of the separation of air into oxygen and nitrogen. It is relatively chemically inert, and nontoxic. Its disadvantage is a relatively high price due to its low natural abundance; a price that is rising because of the increased usage of xenon, in particular in lightning devices. Recent research shows that more traditional energetic materials, like those based on ADN, could potentially be used for electric propulsion too [32]. In addition to lower propellant cost, this could also have other benefits, in particular in terms of saving weight, since systems for electrical and chemical propulsion could share the same fuel system.

1.4 Conclusions

We can safely conclude that the time when energetic materials could be developed with the sole aims of improving performance and safety of handling is now gone. It is becoming increasingly important to also consider the potential health and environmental effects of a

new material during its entire lifetime. In this respect, the principles of green chemistry can be a great aid to the design of new products and their manufacturing processes. This type of approach serves not only to improve the sustainability of the product but can also often lead to reduced costs. Lifecycle assessment is another tool that can be very effective in the process. We have further suggested a definition of a green energetic material from the principles of green chemistry.

We have analyzed some of the past and present attempts to develop green propulsion technologies for civil space travel. Our limited analysis shows that the entire development process, from the initial discovery of a new propellant component to the implementation of the final propellant in an engine system, is a lengthy journey full of potential risks of failure. The costs, and the chances of succeeding, seem to largely depend on the size and type of the engine system. The development of green solid propellants to replace ammonium perchlorate formulations for heavy-launch systems has not yet reached the stage where new propellants are ready to be implemented. On the other hand, there have been significant advances in green technologies aimed at replacing smaller engines that use liquid propellants based on hydrazine or hydrazine derivatives. The recent development and implementation of a green liquid propellant based on ADN is one obvious example. This propellant has recently been tested for formation flying of satellites, and has been released on the commercial market. Electric propulsion is another green alternative that is advancing. The great potential of this technology was demonstrated in 2003 by the SMART-1 mission to the Moon.

Finally, we note that the relatively slow progress of introducing green propulsion technologies to civil space travel is not representative of the impact of green energetic materials in other areas. Despite many challenges, particularly large advances have been made in the area of green pyrotechnics. Here, the development has largely been driven by military research. However, the new materials are being increasingly implemented in civilian pyrotechnics. Similar progress is also being made in other areas of energetic materials, but at different rates. Overall, the future of energetic materials seems bright and green.

References

1. US Environmental Protection Agency, (last updated September 13, 2013), <http://www.epa.gov/p2/pubs/partnerships.htm> (last accessed in September, 2013).
2. Anastas, P.T. and Warner, J.C. (1998) *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
3. Dunn, P.J. (2012) The importance of green chemistry in process research and development. *Chemical Society Reviews*, **41** (4), 1452–1461.
4. Bandichhor, R., Bhattacharya, A., Diorazio, L. *et al.* (2013) Green chemistry articles of interest to the pharmaceutical industry. *Organic Process Research & Development*, **17** (4), 615–626.
5. Rothenberg, G. (2008) *Catalysis: Concept and Green Applications*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., Weinheim, Germany.
6. Sheldon, R.A. (1994) Consider the environmental quotient. *Chemtech;(US)*, **24** (3) 38–47
7. Sheldon, R.A. (1997) Catalysis: The key to waste minimization. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology (Oxford, Oxfordshire: 1986)*, **24** (3), 381–388.
8. Lankey, R.L. and Anastas, P.T. (2002) Life-cycle approaches for assessing green chemistry technologies. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **41** (18), 4498–4502.

9. Johnson, C.C. and Duffey, M.R. (2013) Environmental life cycle criteria for propellant selection decision-making. *International Journal of Space Technology Management and Innovation (IJSTMI)*, **2** (1), 16–29.
10. European Commission, REACH - Environment - European Commission, (last updated September 12, 2013) http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/reach/reach_en.htm, (last accessed in September, 2013).
11. Larsson, A. and Wingborg, N. (2011) Green propellants based on ammonium dinitramide (ADN), in *Advances in Spacecraft Technologies* (ed. J. Hall), InTech, Available from <http://cdn.intechweb.org/pdfs/13473.pdf>.
12. Arianespace (2013) <http://www.arianespace.com/launch-services-ariane5/ariane-5-intro.asp> (last accessed in July 2013).
13. Schor, E. (2010) As EPA Moves to Regulate Perchlorate, Groups Await Pentagon Response, *The New York Times* <http://www.nytimes.com/gwire/2010/10/04/04greenwire-as-epa-moves-to-regulate-perchlorate-groups-aw-43754.html> (last accessed July 6, 2013).
14. Sellers, K., Weeks, K., Alsop, W., and Clough and, H.M. (2007) *Perchlorate Environmental Problems and Solutions*, CRC Press – Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, US.
15. US Environmental Protection Agency (January, 2009) Revised Assessment Guidance for Perchlorates: http://www.epa.gov/fedfac/documents/perchlorate_memo_01-08-09.pdf (last accessed in July 2013).
16. US Environmental Protection Agency (February 2011) Fact Sheet: Final Regulatory Determination for Perchlorate - US Environmental Protection Agency http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/unregulated/upload/FactSheet_PerchlorateDetermination.pdf (last accessed in July 8, 2013).
17. Agrawal, J.P. (2010) *High Energy Materials - Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., Weinheim, Germany.
18. Gadiot, G.M.H.J.L., Mul, J.M., Meulenbrugge, J.J. *et al.* (1993) New solid propellants based on energetic binders and HNF. *Acta Astronautica*, **29** (10), 771–779.
19. APP Aerospace Propulsion Products B.V., HNF (2006–2012) http://www.appbv.nl/index.asp?grp_id=3&sub_id=23 (last accessed in July 6, 2013).
20. Rahm, M. (2010) Green Propellants, PhD thesis, Physical Chemistry, KTH The Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.
21. Rahm, M., Malmstrom, E., and Eldsater, C. (2011) Design of an ammonium dinitramide compatible polymer matrix. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, **122** (1), 1–11.
22. A–Initial evaluation of ADN as oxidizer in solid propellants for large spacelauncher boosters, NASA Presolicitation December 2008, http://www.fbo.gov/?s=opportunity&mode=form&id=6e876911f91a4be2cc6bfc8314b39242&tab=core&_cview=1 (last accessed in 2013).
23. Flightglobal/Blogs, December 2008, NASA denies imminent Ares I first-stage oxidiser change, <http://www.flightglobal.com/blogs/hyperbola/2008/12/nasa-denies-ares-i-crew-launch.html> (last accessed in 2013).
24. Anflo, K. and Möllerberg, R. (2009) Flight demonstration of new thruster and green propellant technology on the PRISMA satellite. *Acta Astronautica*, **65** (9–10), 1238–1249.
25. D’Amico, S., Ardaens, J.S., and Larsson, R. (2012) Spaceborne autonomous formation-flying experiment on the PRISMA mission. *Journal of Guidance Control and Dynamics*, **35** (3), 834–850.
26. Masse, R., Overly, J., Allen, M., and Spore, R. (2012) A New State-of-The-Art in AF-M315E Thruster Technologies 48th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE, Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibits, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.
27. Green Propellant Infusion Mission (GPIM), (last updated November 6, 2012) http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/tdm/green/gpim_overview.html (last accessed in 2013).

28. Skifs, H., Stenmark, H., and Thormahlen, P. (2012) Development and scale-up of a new process for production of high purity ADN, International Conference of ICT, 43rd (Energetic Materials) 6/1-6/4, Karlsruhe, Germany.
29. Stenmark, H., Skifs, H., and Voerde, C. (2010) Environmental improvements in the dinitramide production process, International Conference of ICT, 41st (Energetic Materials: for High Performance, Insensitive Munitions and Zero Pollution) 1/1-1/5, Karlsruhe, Germany.
30. EURENCO Group SNPE (October, 2004) Dinitramide News, http://www.eurengo.com/en/high_explosives/newsletters/Dinitramide_oct_2004.pdf (last accessed in 2013).
31. Estublier, D., Soccoccia, G., and delAmo, J.G. (2007) Electronic propulsion on SMART-1 - A technology milestone. *ESA BULL-EUR Space*, **129**, 40–46.
32. Kleimark, J., Delanoë, R., Demairé, A., and Brinck, T. (2013) Ionization of ammonium dinitramide: decomposition pathways and ionization products, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, **132** (12), 1412.

2

Theoretical Design of Green Energetic Materials: Predicting Stability, Detection, Synthesis and Performance

Tore Brinck¹ and Martin Rahm²

¹*Applied Physical Chemistry, School of Chemical Science and Engineering,
KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden*

²*Loker Hydrocarbon Research Institute and Department of Chemistry,
University of Southern California, USA*

2.1 Introduction

This book is a testament to the need for development of greener energetic materials. However, to be considered truly green, the material properties must be refined at many levels. For example, a potential replacement for today's solid propellant compositions should not only produce substantially less toxic waste during combustion, but also be perform better or on par with today's solutions. Reduced performance would lower payloads and increase propellant consumption, and thereby have a negative impact on the environment. There are also numerous other issues to consider. The complexity of the design problem, together with the inherent safety issues associated with the synthesis and handling of energetic materials, makes the road to progress much slower to travel without invoking rational design based on modeling and theoretical considerations. The energetic materials community has also been ground breaking in the employment of computational chemistry methods in general, and quantum chemical techniques in particular, for the design of new materials with optimized properties. However, it must be emphasized that chemical

knowledge and intuition are necessary inputs to the design process, and without the contribution of chemists experienced in synthesis new compounds will never materialize.

In this chapter our main focus is on the use of computational techniques for the development of new propellant components. However, not only the techniques, but also the basic considerations, are to a great extent similar to those employed when designing other energetic materials, such as explosives and pyrotechnics.

Most propellant compositions combine an oxidizer with one or more fuel components. For example, the typical solid propellant consists of ammonium perchlorate and solid aluminum in a hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene binder. Most common oxidizers have a rather low energy content by themselves. It is instead through the combustion of fuels, and particularly metal fuels, that a rocket motor can release energy. The combustion temperature rapidly becomes a concern with increased metal loadings, as state of the art materials used in throat and nozzles of rocket engines fail at approximately 2500 K [1]. Some modern highly aluminized propellants exhibit combustion temperatures up towards 3600 K and can only be employed together with engine designs that employ techniques such as, regenerative cooling, film cooling, or ablative protection [1,2]. Further performance increases cannot rely much further on improved engine designs and larger metal loadings, as combustion temperatures above 5000 K are considered unrealistic for practical applications. Maintaining high performance, while reducing combustion temperatures through reduced metal loadings, will require new oxidizers with higher internal energy, for example compounds with highly energetic bonds. Compounds that are able to combust themselves entirely, without the addition of a fuel, are for many purposes desirable, as they can be used with lighter and less expensive engines. We will refer to such compounds as having a neutral oxygen balance.

Good candidate compounds for green high performance propulsion are generally high in nitrogen, have a positive or neutral oxygen balance, and are free of halogens. Nitrogen-rich compounds are desirable as they release large amounts of energy upon decomposition when forming the exceedingly stable N–N triple bond of molecular nitrogen from the much weaker single and double N–N bonds of the parent molecules. Average bond energies for the triple, double, and single bonds of nitrogen are 226, 98, and 39 kcal/mol, respectively. The extraordinary relative stability of the N₂ triple bond is easily realized when comparing it to the same energies for C–C bonds, which have the values 230, 146, and 83 kcal/mol.

Whereas compounds featuring a large number of N–N single bonds are desirable for their high internal energy, they generally have problems with low kinetic stability. Fundamental theory, such as the Bell–Evans–Polanyi principle [3], clearly shows that there is a general correlation between thermodynamic and kinetic stability. From a more simplistic perspective, it can be realized that the activation barrier towards dissociation is not likely to exceed the energy of the weakest bond of the molecule. A common design objective is therefore to strengthen weak N–N and N–O single bonds by invoking resonance delocalization to attain a partial double bond character. Common strategies include resonance stabilization between *sp*² hybridized nitrogen and oxygen, and aromatic stabilization in ring systems with $4n + 2$ π -electrons [4]. Resonance stabilization is generally a prerequisite of planar molecules. This is also of interest from a stability perspective, as planar molecules and ions are more likely to crystalize in sheet-like structures, a structure type that is believed to reduce the impact sensitivity.