Wiley Series on Mass Spectrometry

Dominic M. Desiderio and Joseph A. Loo, Series Editors



Chemical Analysis of Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drug Residues in Food

Edited by Jack F. Kay • James D. MacNeil • Jian Wang



Chemical Analysis of Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drug Residues in Food

WILEY SERIES ON MASS SPECTROMETRY

Series Editors

Dominic M. Desiderio Departments of Neurology and Biochemistry University of Tennessee Health Science Center

Joseph A. Loo Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry UCLA

Founding Editors

Nico M. M. Nibbering (1938–2014) Dominic M. Desiderio

A complete list of the titles in this series appears at the end of this volume.

Chemical Analysis of Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drug Residues in Food

Edited by Jack F. Kay, James D. MacNeil, and Jian Wang



Copyright © 2017 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey Published simultaneously in Canada

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Kay, Jack F., editor. | MacNeil, James D., editor. | Wang, Jian, 1964editor. Title: Chemical analysis of non-antimicrobial veterinary drug residues in food / edited by Jack F. Kay, James D. MacNeil, Jian Wang.

Description: Hoboken, New Jersey : John Wiley & Sons, Inc., [2017] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016033527| ISBN 9781118695074 (cloth) | ISBN 9781119325901 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Veterinary drug residues. | Food contamination.

Classification: LCC RA1270.V47 C4425 2017 | DDC 664/.07–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2016033527

Set in 10/12pt, WarnockPro by SPi Global, Chennai, India

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

This book is dedicated to the memory of Dr. John J. O'Rangers Jr., 11 June 1936–20 January 2013.

John was internationally well known and respected in the field of veterinary drug residue chemistry and international regulations. Both Dr. James MacNeil and Dr. Jack Kay were honored to have known and worked with him over many years and also to call him a friend. Developing international cooperation and understanding was a cornerstone of John's view of life and work ethic, regardless of the more politically opinionated views held by some. Many international developments in this field and friendships are the result of the work John conducted behind the scenes to break down barriers. He truly was one of a kind and his passing leaves us all impoverished.

Contents

Preface xix List of Contributors xxi About the Editors xxv

1 Basic Considerations for the Analyst for Veterinary Drug Residue Analysis in Animal Tissues 1

James D. MacNeil and Jack F. Kay

- 1.1 Introduction 1
- 1.2 Pharmacokinetics 1
- 1.3 Metabolism and Distribution *3*
- 1.4 Choice of Analytical Method 5
- 1.5 Importance of Regulatory Limits 7
- 1.5.1 Derivation of the Acceptable Daily Intake 7
- 1.5.2 Derivation of the Acute Reference Dose 9
- 1.5.3 Derivation of Maximum Residue Limits 10
- 1.5.4 Derivation of Tolerances 12
- 1.6 International Obligations for Regulatory Analytical Laboratories 13
- 1.6.1 Laboratory Accreditation 13
- 1.6.2 Validation of Analytical Methods 14
- 1.6.3 Consistent Use of Terminology 15
- 1.6.4 Sample Handling and Retention *16*
- 1.6.5 Confirmatory Analysis 17
- 1.6.6 Quality Assurance Measures 19
- 1.6.7 Proficiency Testing 19
- 1.6.8 Reporting of Results 19
- 1.7 Conclusions 21

References 21

viii Contents

2 **Emerging Techniques in Sample Extraction and Rapid** Analysis 27 Wendy C. Andersen, Sherri B. Turnipseed, and Jack J. Lohne 2.1Introduction 27 Sample Extraction 28 2.22.2.1Solvent Extraction and Protein Precipitation 29 Phase Separation by Salt-Induced Partitioning 2.2.2 30 2.2.3 Phase Separation by Low-Temperature Partitioning 30 2.2.4 Physical Separation by ultra-filtration 31 Sample Extraction with Green Chemistry Techniques 2.2.532 2.2.5.1 Pressurized Liquid Extraction 32 2.2.5.2 Room Temperature Ionic Liquids 32 2.2.5.3 Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction 33 2.2.5.4 Microwave-Assisted Extraction 34 2.3 Extract Clean-up with Solid-Phase Sorbents 34 2.3.1 Solid-Phase Extraction Formats 35 2.3.1.1Cartridge SPE 35 2.3.1.2Online Cartridge SPE 35 2.3.1.3 Turbulent Flow Clean-up 35 2.3.1.4 Dispersive SPE -36 Matrix Solid-Phase Dispersion 2.3.1.537 2.3.1.6Supported Liquid Extraction 38 Solid-Phase Sorbent Chemistry 2.3.2 38 2.3.2.1 Sorbents for SPE and dSPE 38 Molecular Recognition Based on Molecularly Imprinted 2.3.2.2 Polymers 39 Molecular Recognition Based on Aptamers 2.3.2.3 40 Restricted Access Materials 2.3.2.441 2.3.2.5 Nanomaterials 43 Micro-extraction Techniques for Solvent and Sorbent Extraction 2.4 2.4.1Solvent Micro-extraction 47 2.4.1.1Single Drop Micro-extraction 47 Dispersive Liquid – Liquid Micro-extraction 2.4.1.248 2.4.1.3Hollow Fiber Micro-extraction 50 2.4.2 Sorbent Micro-extraction 51 2.4.2.1Solid-Phase Micro-extraction 52 2.4.2.2Stir Bar Sorptive Extraction 52 2.4.2.3 Fabric Phase Sorptive Extraction 53 2.5Emerging Techniques in Liquid Chromatography 54 2.5.1Ultrahigh Performance Liquid Chromatography 54 Core-Shell Columns 54 2.5.2Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography 2.5.3 55 Other Emerging LC Techniques 56 2.5.4 2.6 Direct Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Sample Extracts 57

47

Contents ix

- 2.6.1 Flow Injection Mass Spectrometry 57
- 2.6.2 Direct Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry 58
- 2.6.2.1 APCI-Based Techniques 59
- 2.6.2.2 ESI-Based Techniques 63
- 2.6.3 Direct MS Considerations for Regulatory Analysis 65
- 2.7 Ion Mobility Spectrometry 66
- 2.8 Conclusions 67
- References 68
- 3 Capabilities and Limitations of High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS): time-of-flight and Orbitrap[™] 93 Anton Kaufmann and Phil Teale

Anton Kautmann and Phil Teal

- 3.1 Available Technology 93
- 3.1.1 TOF 94
- 3.1.2 Orbitrap[™] 99
- 3.2 Capabilities and Limitations of the Technology as Compared to LC-MS/MS (Tandem Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer) 104
- 3.2.1 Selectivity 105
- 3.2.2 Quantification 108
- 3.2.3 Sensitivity 108
- 3.2.4 Validation of HRMS-Based Methods 110
- 3.2.5 Method Diagnosis Tools 112
- 3.3 Analytical Methods for Veterinary Drug Residues *112*
- 3.3.1 Initial Applications (Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drugs) 112
- 3.3.2 Methods Limited to a Single-Drug Group 113
- 3.3.3 Methods Covering Multiple-Drug Groups 114
- 3.3.4 Method Components 115
- 3.3.4.1 Extraction and Clean-up 115
- 3.3.4.2 Separation 117
- 3.3.5 Residue Testing of Anabolic Steroids and Growth Promoters 119
- 3.4 Doping Control 121
- 3.4.1 GC-HRMS 121
- 3.4.2 Accurate Mass LC-MS and LC-MS/MS in Doping Control 122
- 3.4.3 "Dilute and Shoot" with Accurate Mass LC-MS 123
- 3.5 Accurate Mass MS in Research and Metabolism Studies 124
- 3.6 Designer Drugs and Generic Detection Strategies 125
- 3.6.1 Metabolomics in Food/Residue Analysis 127
- 3.7 The Future of Accurate Mass Spectrometry in Residue Analysis *129* References *131*

4 Hormones and β-Agonists 141

Leendert A. van Ginkel, Toine Bovee, Marco H. Blokland, Saskia S. Sterk, Nathalie G.E. Smits, Jelka Pleadin and Ana Vulić

4.1 Introduction 141

x	Contents	
---	----------	--

4.2 Advances in Classical Analysis of Exogenous Synthetic Hormones 143 4.2.1 Multi-methods: Multi-residue Methods (MRMs) and Multi-class, Multi-residue Methods (MCMRs) 143 4.2.2 Alternatives in Sample Preparation and Clean-up 144 4.2.2.1 Generic 144 4.2.2.2 OuEChERS 144 4.2.2.3 Molecularly Imprinted Polymers (MIPs) 154 4.2.2.4 Hollow-Fiber Micro-extractions and Similar Techniques 154 4.2.2.5 Dilute and Shoot 155 4.2.3 Advances in Separation 155 4.2.3.1 Miniaturized Separation Techniques 155 Turbulent Flow LC 156 4.2.3.2 4.2.3.3 Ion Mobility Spectrometry 156 Techniques to Facilitate IRMS: High-Temperature LC, 4.2.3.4 Two-Dimensional Chromatography, and Others 156 4.2.4 Advances in Detection 157 Isotope-Ratio Mass Spectrometry (IRMS) 158 4.2.4.1 4.2.4.2 Ambient Ionization Mass Spectrometry (AMS) 158 4.2.4.3 Other Techniques 159 4.2.5 Classic and New Analytical Matrices 160 Conclusions on Analysis of Exogenous Synthetic Hormones 161 4.2.6 4.3 Bio-Based Screening Methods for Steroid Hormones, β-Agonists, and Growth Hormones 161 4.3.1 Estrogens 162 Binding Assays for Estrogens 4.3.1.1 162 Bioassays for Estrogens 164 4.3.1.2 4.3.2 Androgens 166 4.3.2.1 Binding Assays for Androgens 166 Bioassays for Androgens 168 4.3.2.2 4.3.3 Metabolic Profiling Assay to Detect Abuse of Estrogens and Androgens 171 Progestagens and Glucocorticoids 171 4.3.4 Binding Assays for Progestagens and Glucocorticoids 4.3.4.1 171 Bioassays for Progestagens and Glucocorticoids 4.3.4.2 172Thyreostatics 173 4.3.5 4.3.6 β-Agonists 175 Binding Assays for β -Agonists 4.3.6.1 175 4.3.6.2 Bioassays for β-Agonists 176 4.3.7 Growth Hormones 177 Recombinant Growth Hormone in Cattle 177 4.3.7.1 Growth Hormone in Fish 178 4.3.7.2 4.3.7.3 Growth Hormone in Horse 179

- 4.3.8 Conclusions and Future Developments in Bio-Based Screening Methods 179
- 4.4 Natural Hormones 180
- 4.4.1 Natural Compounds Formed During the Digestion Process 182
- 4.4.1.1 Prednisolone 182
- 4.4.2 Feed-Related Compounds 183
- 4.4.2.1 Thiouracil 183
- 4.4.2.2 Zeranol 184
- 4.4.3 The Natural Hormones 17β-Estradiol, 17β-Testosterone, and Progesterone *186*
- 4.4.4 Nortestosterone 189
- 4.4.5 Boldenone 192
- 4.4.6 Protein Hormones 195
- 4.4.6.1 Insulin 195
- 4.4.6.2 Insulin-Like Growth Factor-1 (IGF-1) 196
- 4.4.6.3 Growth Hormone 196
- 4.4.6.4 Growth Hormone Secretagogues 197
- 4.4.7 Future Perspectives (Natural Hormones) 199
- 4.5 Control for Synthetic β-Agonists: Screening and Confirmatory Methods *199*
- 4.5.1 Basic Information on Nature and Regulatory Controls 199
- 4.5.2 Mechanism of Action 201
- 4.5.3 Therapeutic Use and Abuse 202
- 4.5.4 Absorption and Elimination 203
- 4.5.5 Bioavailability and Residues 203
- 4.5.6 Determination in Biological Materials 204
- 4.5.6.1 Sample Preparation Techniques 204
- 4.5.6.2 Screening Methods 206
- 4.5.6.3 Current Approaches in Analysis of β-Agonists 206
- 4.5.7 Future Perspective (β -Agonists) 208

References 210

5 Analysis of Anthelmintic and Anticoccidial Drug Residues in Animal-Derived Foods 245

Sarah Tuck, Ambrose Furey and Martin Danaher

- 5.1 Introduction 245
- 5.2 Chemistry and Mode of Action 246
- 5.2.1 Benzimidazoles 246
- 5.2.2 Imidazothiazoles 249
- 5.2.3 Tetrahydropyrimidines 249
- 5.2.4 Organophosphates 250
- 5.2.5 Flukicides 251
- 5.2.6 Macrocyclic Lactones 251
- 5.2.7 Other Anthelmintic Drugs 252

xii Contents

5.2.8	Ionophores 255
5.2.9	Chemical Anticoccidials 258
5.3	Legislation 258
5.4	Sample Preparation Protocols for Anti-parasitic Agents in Food
	Matrices 264
5.4.1	Selective Sample Preparation Procedures for HPLC-UV/FL
	Methods 264
5.4.2	Selective Sample Preparation Procedures for LC-MS and
	LC-MS/MS 267
5.4.2.1	Anthelmintic Drug Residues 267
5.4.2.2	Anticoccidials 270
5.4.3	Multi-class Sample Preparation Procedures 273
5.5	LC-MS and GC-MS Detection of Anti-parasitic Agents in Food 27
5.5.1	Benzimidazole and Levamisole 275
5.5.2	Macrocyclic Lactones 277
5.5.3	Flukicides 278
5.5.4	Other Anthelmintic Drugs 278
5.5.5	Multi-residue Methods That Combine Different Anthelmintic or
	Drug Groups 279
5.5.6	Ionophore Anticoccidial Agents 279
5.5.7	Chemical Anticoccidials 282

- 5.5.8 Applications of GC-MS 285
- 5.5.9 Multi-residue Anticoccidial Methods 286
- 5.5.10 Multi-class Methods 290
- 5.6 Conclusions 292
- References 293

6 Sedatives and Tranquilizers 311

Vesna Cerkvenik Flajs and James D. MacNeil

- 6.1 Introduction *311*
- 6.2 Classification and Representative Compounds 312
- 6.3 Use of Sedatives and Tranquilizers to Prevent Stress Syndrome during the Transport of Pigs to Slaughter *312*
- 6.4 Sedatives and Tranquilizers with an Approved Veterinary Use in Food-Producing Animals *314*
- 6.4.1 Azaperone *315*
- 6.4.1.1 Indication, Dosing, and Withdrawal Period 315
- 6.4.1.2 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 315
- 6.4.1.3 Subacute and Acute Toxicity, Mutagenicity, and Carcinogenicity 317
- 6.4.1.4 Embryotoxicity and Teratogenicity 318
- 6.4.1.5 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) 318
- 6.4.1.6 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 318
- 6.4.2 Carazolol 318
- 6.4.2.1 Indication, Dosing, and Withdrawal Period 318

- 6.4.2.2 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 319
- 6.4.2.3 Subacute and Acute Toxicity, Mutagenicity, and Carcinogenicity 320
- 6.4.2.4 Embryotoxicity and Teratogenicity 321
- 6.4.2.5 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) 321
- 6.4.2.6 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) and Residues at the Injection Site 321
- 6.4.2.7 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 322
- 6.4.3 Xylazine 322
- 6.4.3.1 Indication, Dosing, and Withdrawal Period 322
- 6.4.3.2 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 322
- 6.4.3.3 Subacute and Acute Toxicity, Mutagenicity, Embryotoxicity, Teratogenicity, and Carcinogenicity 323
- 6.4.3.4 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) 324
- 6.4.3.5 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 324
- 6.5 Sedatives and Tranquilizers without an Approved Veterinary Use in Food-Producing Animals 325
- 6.5.1 Chlorpromazine *325*
- 6.5.1.1 Subacute and Acute Toxicity, Mutagenicity, Carcinogenicity, and Genotoxicity *325*
- 6.5.1.2 Reproductive Effects 326
- 6.5.1.3 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 326
- 6.5.1.4 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 327
- 6.5.2 Propionylpromazine (Propiopromazine) 327
- 6.5.2.1 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 328
- 6.5.3 Acepromazine (Acetylpromazine) 328
- 6.5.3.1 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 329
- 6.5.4 Diazepam 329
- 6.5.4.1 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 329
- 6.5.4.2 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 330
- 6.5.5 Haloperidol *331*
- 6.5.5.1 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 331
- 6.5.5.2 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 332
- 6.5.6 Ketamine 333
- 6.5.6.1 Absorption, Distribution, Biotransformation, and Excretion 333
- 6.5.6.2 Marker Residue and Target Tissues 334
- 6.6 Cocktails 335
- 6.7 Issues of Environmental Contamination 335
- 6.8 Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) 336
- 6.9 Systematic Veterinary Control over Residues and Surveillance Studies 336
- 6.10 Analyte Stability 339
- 6.11 Analytical Methods for Determination of Residues 340
- 6.11.1 Matrices 341
- 6.11.2 Extraction from the Matrix *341*
- 6.11.3 Clean-up of Sample Extracts 350

xiv Contents

- 6.11.4 Measurement Techniques 351
- 6.11.4.1 High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) 351
- 6.11.4.2 Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC) 353
- 6.11.4.3 Gas Chromatography (GC) 353
- 6.11.4.4 Immunochemical Methods 354
- 6.11.4.5 Mass Spectrometry 355
- 6.11.5 Contemporary Sample Preparation Techniques 357
- 6.11.5.1 Extraction 358
- 6.11.5.2 One-Step Sample Clean-up 358
- 6.11.5.3 Multi-step Clean-up Techniques 359
- 6.12 Performance and Validation of the Analytical Methods 361

References 362

7 The Use of Pyrethroids, Carbamates, Organophosphates, and Other Pesticides in Veterinary Medicine 383 Christine Akre

- 7.1 Introduction 383
- 7.1.1 History of Pesticide Use in Veterinary Medicine 384
- 7.1.2 Development of Chemical Pesticides 385
- 7.2 Veterinary Drug Properties, Structures, and Regulation 386
- 7.2.1 Organochlorines 386
- 7.2.2 Pyrethrins and Synthetic Pyrethroids 387
- 7.2.3 Organophosphates and Carbamates 391
- 7.2.4 Formamidines 394
- 7.2.5 Insect Growth Regulators 395
- 7.2.6 Phenylpyrazoles and Neonicotinoids 396
- 7.2.7 Synergists 396
- 7.2.8 Regulation and Maximum Residue Limits 397
- 7.3 Toxicology, Pharmacokinetics, and Metabolism 399
- 7.3.1 Pyrethroids 400
- 7.3.2 Organophosphates and Carbamates 401
- 7.3.3 Formamidines 402
- 7.3.4 Insect Growth Regulators 403
- 7.3.5 Phenylpyrazoles and Neonicotinoids 403
- 7.4 Analytical Methods 403
- 7.4.1 Detection Methods 404
- 7.4.2 Extraction Methods 408
- 7.4.2.1 Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe (QuEChERS) 408
- 7.4.2.2 Matrix Solid-Phase Dispersion (MSPD) 409
- 7.4.2.3 Solid-Phase Micro-extraction (SPME) 410
- 7.4.2.4 Pressurized Liquid Extraction/Accelerated Solvent Extraction (PLE/ASE) 411
- 7.4.2.5 Contaminated Feed 412
- 7.4.2.6 Miscellaneous Methods 413

7.4.2.7Honey4137.5Conclusion414

References 415

8	Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs 427
	Joe O. Boison, Fernando J. Ramos and Alan Chicoine
8.1	Introduction: What Are Pain Killers (Analgesics) and NSAIDs? 427
8.1.1	The Salicylates 439
8.1.2	Arylacetic Acid Derivatives: Pyrrole Acetic Acid Derivatives 439
8.1.3	2-Arylpropionic Acid Derivatives (Profens) 439
8.1.4	Arylalkanoic Acid Derivatives (Indene/Indole Acetic Acid
	Derivatives) 440
8.1.5	N-Anthranilic Acid Derivatives (Fenamic Acid Derivatives or
	Fenamates) 440
8.1.6	Coxibs or Cox-2-Selective Inhibitors 440
8.1.7	Oxicams or Enolic Acid Derivatives (Prodrugs) 441
8.1.8	The Anilides 441
8.1.9	Phenylpyrazolones 441
8.2	Veterinary Drug Properties, Structures, and Regulation 441
8.3	Pharmacokinetics/Metabolism 442
8.4	Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) 444
8.5	Maximum Residue Limits/Tolerances 445
8.6	Analysis of NSAID Residues in Food 448
8.6.1	Single Analyte Methods 449
8.6.1.1	Carprofen 449
8.6.1.2	Diclofenac 453
8.6.1.3	Flunixin and Its Metabolites 453
8.6.1.4	Ibuprofen 454
8.6.1.5	Meloxicam 455
8.6.1.6	Mefenamic and Flufenamic Acids 456
8.6.1.7	Metamizole 456
8.6.1.8	Ketoprofen and Its Metabolites 457
8.6.1.9	Phenylbutazone and Its Metabolites 458
8.6.1.10	Salicylic acid and Its Metabolites 458
8.6.1.11	Tolfenamic Acid 459
8.6.2	Multi-analyte Methods 460
8.6.2.1	LC-MS/MS Methods 460
8.6.2.2	GC-MS/MS Methods 471
8.6.2.3	LC-MS Methods 472
8.6.2.4	GC-MS Methods 472
8.6.2.5	HPLC Methods 473
8.7	Literature Reviews of Analytical Methods for NSAIDs in Biological
	Samples 474
8.8	New Developments in NSAIDs 475

xvi Contents

8.9 Conclusion 476

References 477

9	Certain Dyes as Pharmacologically Active Substances in Fish
	Farming and Other Aquaculture Products 497
	Eric Verdon and Wendy C. Andersen
9.1	Introduction 497
9.2	Therapeutic Applications and Chemistry of Certain Dyes Used in Fish
	Farming 500
9.2.1	Triarylmethanes 501
9.2.2	Phenothiazines 504
9.2.3	Xanthenes 505
9.2.4	Acridines 505
9.2.5	Azo Dyes 506
9.3	Toxicological Issues 506
9.3.1	Triarylmethanes 506
9.3.2	Phenothiazines 507
9.3.3	Xanthenes 508
9.3.4	Acridines 508
9.3.5	Azo Dyes 509
9.4	Regulatory Issues 509
9.5	Analytical Methods for Residue Control 511
9.5.1	Procedures to Extract and Analyze Triphenylmethane Dye Residues
	in Fish and Shellfish Muscle 517
9.5.2	Analytical Methods for Other Dyes in Seafood 520
9.5.2.1	Phenothiazines 521
9.5.2.2	Xanthenes 521
9.5.2.3	Acridines 522
9.5.2.4	Azo Dyes 522
9.5.3	Multi-class Dye Residue Analysis Methods 523
9.5.4	Bioanalytical Screening Methods 524
9.5.5	Other Notable Analytical Procedures 525
9.6	Recent Trading Issues with Dye Alerts 526
9.7	Conclusions 531
Referenc	es 531
10	Method Validation and Quality Assurance/Quality Control
	Approaches for Multi-residue Methods 549
	Andrew Cannavan, Jack F. Kay and Zora Jandrić
10.1	Introduction 549
10.2	Sources of Guidance on Method Validation 550
10.2.1	CAC Guidelines 552
10.2.1.1	Scope of the Codex Guidelines 553

10.2.2European Commission Decision 2002/657/EC554

Contents xvii

- 10.2.2.1 Performance Characteristics 554
- 10.2.2.2 Minimum Required Performance Limits 555
- 10.2.2.3 Interpretation of Decision 2002/657/EC 556
- 10.3 Practical Considerations 557
- 10.3.1 Scope of the MRM 557
- 10.3.2 Dynamic Range 558
- 10.3.3 Internal Standards 559
- 10.4 Examples of Validation Protocols for MRMs 561
- 10.4.1 Validation of MRMs Using LC-MS/MS 561
- 10.4.2 Validation of MRMs Using Higher Resolution Mass Spectrometry 563
- 10.5 Quality Assurance/Quality Control 565
- 10.5.1 QC of Analytical Methods 565
- 10.5.1.1 Selectivity/Specificity 565
- 10.5.1.2 Cross-Talk 566
- 10.5.1.3 Analytical Standards 567
- 10.5.1.4 Control Charts 567
- 10.5.1.5 Proficiency Testing 567
- 10.6 Conclusion 569

References 569

Index 575

Preface

Food safety continues to be a topic of great interest to consumers and is frequently a topic for media discussion. However, what is not routinely reported is the vast effort by many national, regional, and international bodies and scientists – both governmental and independent – to ensure that food production and trade do not place consumers at risk while ensuring a continuous supply of wholesome food.

A key aim of regulating the use of veterinary drugs is to ensure that authorized products are used responsibly in animals and that their residues in food of animal origin do not pose unacceptable health risks to consumers. To assist in this process, robust and validated analytical methods to detect a wide range of potential residues in food matrices are required.

The earlier volume in this series, *Chemical Analysis of Antibiotic Residues in Food*, was published in 2012 and set out in detail how drug safety is considered and limits are set for their residues in foods. It also described how residue monitoring programs are established and checked to ensure sound results are generated to inform necessary regulatory actions to protect consumers. These topics are generic and apply equally to antibiotics and other veterinary drug classes. The companion volume to this current book also provided detailed information on analytical methods for antibiotic residues.

The purpose of this current book, *Chemical Analysis of Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drug Residues*, is to update readers on developments in technology and approaches since the publication of the earlier volume. It also seeks to expand the coverage of veterinary drug residues to all other key areas of veterinary drug treatments, thus providing a comprehensive two-volume set for reference and training purposes.

The main themes of the book include detailed discussions on emerging technologies (Chapter 2); high resolution mass spectrometry and related techniques (Chapter 3); hormones and β -agonists (Chapter 4); anthelmintics (Chapter 5); sedatives and tranquilizers (Chapter 6); pyrethroids, carbamates, organophosphates, and other pesticides used in veterinary medicines (Chapter 7); non-steroidal anti-inflammatories (Chapter 8); dyes (Chapter 9); and developments in the validation of multi-class multi-residue methods and related quality control/quality assurance issues (Chapter 10).

xx Preface

The editors and authors of this book are internationally recognized experts and leading scientists with extensive personal experience in preparing food safety regulations and/or in the chemical analyses of veterinary drug residues in food of animal origin. This book offers a valuable and up to date view of the science in this area. It has been deliberately written and organized to complement and update where necessary the information contained in the earlier companion volume. The editors hope that this volume completes and addresses the need for readers from regulatory backgrounds and analytical laboratory staff to have a cutting-edge reference and training resource for the residues of all veterinary drug residues in food of animal origin.

26 August, 2016

Jack F. Kay University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

> James D. MacNeil St. Mary's University, Halifax, Canada

Jian Wang Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Calgary, Canada

List of Contributors

Christine Akre

Saskatoon Laboratory Canadian Food Inspection Agency Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Canada

Wendy C. Andersen

Animal Drugs Research Center US Food and Drug Administration Denver, Colorado USA

Marco H. Blokland

RIKILT Part of Wageningen UR Wageningen The Netherlands

Joe O. Boison

Saskatoon Laboratory Canadian Food Inspection Agency Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Canada

Toine Bovee

RIKILT Part of Wageningen UR Wageningen The Netherlands

Andrew Cannavan

Food and Environmental Protection Laboratory Joint FAO/IAEA Division on Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna Austria

Vesna Cerkvenik-Flajs

Veterinary Faculty Department of Pathology and Administrative Veterinary Medicine Laboratory for Ecotoxicology and Forensic Veterinary Medicine University of Ljubljana Ljubljana Slovenia

Alan Chicoine

Department of Veterinary Biomedical Sciences Western College of Veterinary Medicine University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan Canada xxii List of Contributors

Martin Danaher

Food Safety Department Teagasc Food Research Centre Ashtown Dublin 15 Ireland

Ambrose Furey

Department of Chemistry Cork Institute of Technology (CIT) Bishopstown Cork Ireland

Zora Jandrić

Food and Environmental Protection Laboratory Joint FAO/IAEA Division on Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture International Atomic Energy Agency Vienna Austria

Anton Kaufmann

Official Food Control Authority of the Canton of Zürich Fehrenstrasse Zürich Switzerland

Jack F. Kay

Department of Mathematics & Statistics University of Strathclyde Glasgow, Scotland United Kingdom

Jack J. Lohne

Animal Drugs Research Center US Food and Drug Administration Denver, Colorado USA

James D. MacNeil

Department of Chemistry St Mary's University Halifax, Nova Scotia Canada

Jelka Pleadin

Laboratory for Analytical Chemistry Croatian Veterinary Institute Zagreb Croatia

Fernando Ramos

Pharmacy Faculty Coimbra University Coimbra Portugal

Nathalie G.E. Smits

RIKILT Part of Wageningen UR Wageningen The Netherlands

Saskia S. Sterk

RIKILT Part of Wageningen UR Wageningen The Netherlands

Philip Teale

LGC Ltd Fordham Cambridgeshire UK

Sarah Tuck

Food Safety Department Teagasc Food Research Centre Dublin 15 Ireland

Sherri B. Turnipseed

Animal Drugs Research Center US Food and Drug Administration Denver, Colorado USA

Leendert A. van Ginkel

RIKILT Part of Wageningen UR Wageningen The Netherlands

Eric Verdon

Laboratory of Fougères EU Reference Laboratory for Antimicrobial and Dye Residues in Food French Agency for Food Environmental and Occupational Health Safety Fougères France

Ana Vulić

Laboratory for Analytical Chemistry Croatian Veterinary Institute Zagreb Croatia

About the Editors

Dr. Jack F. Kay received his Ph.D. from the University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland in 1980 and has been involved with veterinary drug residue analyses since 1991. He worked for the UK Veterinary Medicines Directorate to provide scientific advice on residue monitoring programs and managed the R&D program until his early retirement in September 2014. He helped draft Commission Decision 2002/657/EC and is an ISO trained assessor for audits to ISO 17025. He was co-chair of the CCRVDF ad hoc Working Group on Methods of Sampling and Analysis and steered Codex Guideline CAC/GL 71-2009 to completion after Dr. MacNeil retired. He co-chaired work extending this to cover multi-residue method performance criteria. He assisted JECFA in preparing an initial consideration of setting MRLs in honey and then took this forward for the CCRVDF. He also holds an Honorary Senior Research Fellowship in the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of Strathclyde.

Dr. James D. MacNeil received his Ph.D. from Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada in 1972 and worked as a government scientist until his retirement in 2007. From 1982 to 2007 he was Head, Centre for Veterinary Drug Residues, now part of the Canadian Food Inspection Agency. He has served as a member of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), co-chair of the working group on methods of Analysis and Sampling, Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods (CCRVDF), is the former scientific editor for "Drugs, Cosmetics & Forensics" of J. AOAC Int., worked on IUPAC projects, has participated in various consultations on method validation, and is the author of numerous publications on veterinary drug residues for AOAC International and was appointed scientist emeritus by CFIA in 2008. He holds an appointment as an adjunct professor in the Department of Chemistry, St. Mary's University, Halifax, Canada, and has served as a part-time consultant to the JECFA Secretariat of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations since 2012.

xxvi About the Editors

Dr. Jian Wang received his Ph.D. at the University of Alberta in Canada in 2000, and then worked as a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada in 2001. He has been working as a leading research scientist at the Calgary Laboratory with the Canadian Food Inspection Agency since 2002. His scientific focus is on method development and validation using LC-MS/MS, UHPLC-QTOF, and UHPLC-Q Orbitrap for analyses of chemical residues including antibiotics, pesticides, and other chemical contaminants in foods. He also develops statistical approaches to estimating the measurement uncertainty based on method validation and quality control data using SAS program.

Basic Considerations for the Analyst for Veterinary Drug

Residue Analysis in Animal Tissues

James D. MacNeil¹ and Jack F. Kay²

¹Department of Chemistry, St. Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
²Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

1.1 Introduction

It is not sufficient to be expert in the techniques applied in an analytical method to produce a meaningful result when applying a method for the analysis of veterinary drug residues, as is the reality in many other types of chemical analysis. The analyst must also have a sufficient understanding of the nature of the targeted veterinary drug residues to ensure that the method used is fit for the purpose. That is, the method used should be developed and validated for an appropriate concentration range for the right analyte and should be directed at a matrix where residues are likely to be found. In addition, the analyst might reasonably be expected to provide advice on the significance of the results generated with respect to regulatory limits to clients with limited scientific knowledge.

In this chapter, we discuss some of the terminology that is commonly applied in veterinary drug residue analysis, as well as some of the basic information on pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and distribution that help with direct choices of analyte and matrix and that also inform the interpretation of analytical results. We also briefly review the common national and international approaches to the regulation of veterinary drug residues in foods and the establishment of maximum residue limits (MRLs).

1.2 Pharmacokinetics

The term pharmacokinetics is used to describe studies related to quantitative changes in the concentrations of an administered drug in a body over time. Basic parameters associated with a dose are C_{max} , the maximum concentration attained

2 Chemical Analysis of Non-antimicrobial Veterinary Drug Residues in Food

following the receipt of a dose of a drug, and $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$, the half-life of the drug in the body. These may be determined in the blood or in specific tissues. For the residue analyst, some knowledge of these factors is required to help target analysis at a matrix where residues are likely to be found and to interpret the significance of a residue finding. If the half-life $(t_{\frac{1}{2}})$ of a drug in a body fluid or a tissue is measured in minutes or a few hours, there is very probably little to be gained by testing that matrix for residues in an animal slaughtered days or weeks after the drug administration.

The means by which a drug is administered may influence the pharmacokinetics. Veterinary drugs may be available in a variety of formulations, which include injectables, feed additives, sprays, pour-ons, and dips. Injections may be via routes which included intravenous, intramuscular (i.m.), subcutaneous (s.c.), and intramammary. In some cases, the injection may lead to the occurrence of a depot at the injection site, with a low rate of absorption, leading to the presence of significant residues at the injection site for an extended period. The residues at the injection site will not be representative of residues found in muscle tissue away from the site of injection. Thus, a finding of high residue concentrations in muscle tissue, for example, should lead the analyst to suspect that the tissue analyzed may be from an injection site, and therefore additional analyses should be conducted on muscle samples from other parts of the carcass or lot before concluding that the initial results are truly representative.

For example, the 47th Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) recommended MRLs of 10 µg/kg for doramectin residues in beef muscle.¹ It also noted that residues were slightly higher in the muscle from cattle given an s.c. dose when compared to cattle which received treatment by i.m. injection. In addition, after 35 days withdrawal, residues in muscle were < 3 and < 2 µg/kg from the s.c. and i.m. treatment groups, respectively. However, injection site muscle from these animals contained 930 µg/kg (s.c. group) and 177 µg/kg (i.m. group) at 35 days post-treatment. The committee in recommending MRLs for doramectin in cattle noted that high concentrations of residues may remain at the injection site after treatment according to approved uses. In adopting the MRL recommendations, the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) included a note with the MRLs for beef muscle and fat that there was a potential that residues of doramectin in excess of the MRLs could persist at injection sites following recommended treatment.²

Subsequently, in reviewing data for the use of doramectin in the treatment of pigs, the 52nd Meeting of the JECFA recommended an MRL of $5 \mu g/kg$ in pork muscle, based on twice the limit of quantification (LOQ) of a method judged to be suitable for routine regulatory use.³ In a depletion study reviewed by the 52nd Meeting of the JECFA, pigs were treated by i.m. injection at 1.25 times the recommended dose and subjected to a 28-day withdrawal period, as per the approved use from a Codex Alimentarius member state.³ No quantifiable residues were detected in "normal" muscle tissue, meaning that residues in the muscle tissue should be below this limit if the drug is used according to the