

BestMasters

Carina Klein

Testing Modern Biostratigraphical Methods

Application to the Ammonoid
Zonation across the Devonian-
Carboniferous Boundary



Springer Spektrum

BestMasters

Springer awards „BestMasters“ to the best master’s theses which have been completed at renowned Universities in Germany, Austria, and Switzerland.

The studies received highest marks and were recommended for publication by supervisors. They address current issues from various fields of research in natural sciences, psychology, technology, and economics.

The series addresses practitioners as well as scientists and, in particular, offers guidance for early stage researchers.

Carina Klein

Testing Modern Biostratigraphical Methods

Application to the Ammonoid
Zonation across the Devonian-
Carboniferous Boundary

 Springer Spektrum

Carina Klein
Berlin, Germany

BestMasters

ISBN 978-3-658-15344-1

ISBN 978-3-658-15345-8 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-15345-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016948610

Springer Spektrum

© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer Spektrum imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH

The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Strasse 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Acknowledgements

First of all and most important, I want to thank my supervisors PD Dr. Dieter Korn and Prof. Dr. Michael Schudack for their advice. I would also like to thank Johan Renaudie for his advice concerning CONOP. Furthermore, I would like to thank Sonny A. Walton and Hanna Nowinski for proofreading.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Index of Figures..... | 9 |
| Index of Tables..... | 11 |
| 1 Abstract..... | 13 |
| 2 Introduction..... | 15 |
| 2.1 Historical background..... | 16 |
| 2.2 Regional geology..... | 17 |
| 2.3 Lithological frame..... | 18 |
| 2.4 Stratigraphical frame..... | 22 |
| 2.5 Ammonoid diversity..... | 23 |
| 3 Material..... | 25 |
| 3.1 Fossil species..... | 25 |
| 3.2 Localities | 28 |
| 4 Methods..... | 41 |
| 4.1 Unitary Associations (UA)..... | 42 |
| 4.2 Constrained Optimization (CONOP)..... | 42 |
| 4.3 Ranking and Scaling (RASC)..... | 43 |
| 4.4 Reference sections..... | 44 |
| 5 Results..... | 49 |
| 5.1 Unitary Associations..... | 49 |
| 5.2 Constrained Optimization..... | 87 |
| 5.3 Ranking and Scaling..... | 89 |
| 5.4 Comparison of the results of the three methods..... | 97 |
| 5.5 Comparison with the existing ammonoid zonation..... | 101 |
| 5.6 Comparison of the three methods..... | 101 |
| 6 Discussion..... | 105 |
| 6.1 Suggestion of new biozones..... | 105 |
| 7 Summary..... | 107 |
| 8 References..... | 109 |

Index of Figures

| | | |
|---------|---|-------|
| Fig. 1 | Revised ammonoid zonation and historical subdivisions of the Late Devonian and Early Carboniferous rocks in the Rhenish Mountains | 16 |
| Fig. 2 | Facies and lithology of the rise and the basin | 17 |
| Fig. 3 | Drewer locality | 20 |
| Fig. 4 | Lithological log of the sections exemplified for the Drewer section | 21 |
| Fig. 5 | Revised ammonoid zonation by Korn (2002) and dating by Trapp et al. (2004) | 22 |
| Fig. 6 | Geographical positions of section localities | 29 |
| Fig. 7 | Columnar section of the Oberrödinghausen railway cutting including ammonoid zonation | 32 |
| Fig. 8 | Columnar section of the Oberrödinghausen road cutting including ammonoid zonation | 33 |
| Fig. 9 | Columnar section of Müssenbergl including ammonoid zonation | 36-37 |
| Fig. 10 | Columnar section of Dasberg South including ammonoid zonation | 38 |
| Fig. 11 | FADs and LADs (in event horizons) of the species of the reference section M1 | 45 |
| Fig. 12 | FADs and LADs (in event horizons) of the species of the reference section ORBV..... | 47 |
| Fig. 13 | Result of Analysis A of the Devonian dataset | 50 |
| Fig. 14 | Result of Analysis B of the Devonian dataset | 53 |
| Fig. 15 | Result of Analysis C of the Devonian dataset | 56 |
| Fig. 16 | Result of Analysis D of the Devonian dataset | 59 |
| Fig. 17 | Result of Analysis E of the Devonian dataset | 63 |
| Fig. 18 | Result of Analysis F of the Devonian dataset | 66 |
| Fig. 19 | Result of Analysis G of the Devonian dataset | 69 |
| Fig. 20 | Result of Analysis A of the Carboniferous dataset | 71 |
| Fig. 21 | Result of Analysis B of the Carboniferous dataset | 74 |
| Fig. 22 | Result of Analysis C of the Carboniferous dataset | 77 |
| Fig. 23 | Result of Analysis D of the Carboniferous dataset | 80 |

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| Fig. 24 | Result of Analysis E of the Carboniferous dataset | 82 |
| Fig. 25 | Result of Analysis F of the Carboniferous dataset | 85 |
| Fig. 26 | Result of Analysis G of the Carboniferous dataset | 87 |
| Fig. 27 | Result of the CONOP analysis of the Devonian dataset | 88 |
| Fig. 28 | Result of the CONOP analysis of the Carboniferous dataset | 89 |
| Fig. 29 | Result of the RASC analysis including FADs and LADs with error bars of the Devonian dataset | 92 |
| Fig. 30 | Result of the RASC analysis including only FADs with error bars of the Devonian dataset | 93 |
| Fig. 31 | Result of the RASC analysis including FADs and LADs with error bars of the Carboniferous dataset | 95 |
| Fig. 32 | Result of the RASC analysis including only FADs with error bars of the Carboniferous dataset | 97 |

Index of Tables

| | | |
|---------|--|-------|
| Tab. 1 | Lithological units in ascending order | 17 |
| Tab. 2 | Devonian species richness | 23 |
| Tab. 3 | Carboniferous species richness | 23 |
| Tab. 4 | Taxa of the Devonian dataset | 25-27 |
| Tab. 5 | Taxa of the Carboniferous dataset | 27-28 |
| Tab. 6 | The Late Devonian sections with number of species and number of horizons | 28 |
| Tab. 7 | The Early Carboniferous sections with number of species and number of horizons | 28-29 |
| Tab. 8 | Differences and similarities of the Unitary Associations, Constrained Optimization and Ranking and Scaling methods | 41 |
| Tab. 9 | EHs of the FADs and LADs of the species of the reference section M1..... | 44-45 |
| Tab. 10 | EHs of the FADs and LADs of the species of the reference section ORBV.. | 46 |
| Tab. 11 | Overview over the analyses of the Devonian dataset..... | 49 |
| Tab. 12 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis A of the Devonian dataset | 50-52 |
| Tab. 13 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis B of the Devonian dataset | 53-55 |
| Tab. 14 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis C of the Devonian dataset | 57-58 |
| Tab. 15 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis D of the Devonian dataset | 60-61 |
| Tab. 16 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis E of the Devonian dataset | 64-65 |
| Tab. 17 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis F of the Devonian dataset | 67-68 |
| Tab. 18 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis G of the Devonian dataset | 69-70 |
| Tab. 19 | Overview over the analyses of the Carboniferous dataset | 70 |
| Tab. 20 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis A of the Carboniferous dataset | 71-73 |
| Tab. 21 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis B of the Carboniferous dataset | 74-76 |
| Tab. 22 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis C of the Carboniferous dataset | 77-79 |

| | | |
|---------|---|--------|
| Tab. 23 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis D of the Carboniferous dataset | 81 |
| Tab. 24 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis E of the Carboniferous dataset | 82-84 |
| Tab. 25 | Description of the unitary associations obtained by Analysis F of the Carboniferous dataset | 85-86 |
| Tab. 26 | FADs and LADs with error bars of the species obtained by the RASC analysis of the Devonian dataset | 90-91 |
| Tab. 27 | FADs and LADs with error bars of the species obtained by the RASC analysis of the Carboniferous dataset | 94-95 |
| Tab. 28 | Comparison of the results of the three different methods for the Devonian dataset | 98-99 |
| Tab. 29 | Comparison of the results of the three different methods for the Carboniferous dataset | 99-100 |
| Tab. 30 | Differences and similarities of the UA, CONOP and RASC methods | 102 |
| Tab. 31 | Affirmation of the existing modern ammonoid zonation on the basis of the results of the Unitary Associations method | 105 |
| Tab. 32 | Suggestion of new biozones on the basis of the results of the Unitary Associations method | 106 |