



Gender and Memory in the Global Age

Anna Reading

palgrave macmillan memory studies



Palgrave Macmillan Memory Studies

Series Editors

Andrew Hoskins
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, United Kingdom

John Sutton
Department of Cognitive Science
Macquarie University
Macquarie, Australia

Aim of the Series

The nascent field of Memory Studies emerges from contemporary trends that include a shift from concern with historical knowledge of events to that of memory, from ‘what we know’ to ‘how we remember it’; changes in generational memory; the rapid advance of technologies of memory; panics over declining powers of memory, which mirror our fascination with the possibilities of memory enhancement; and the development of trauma narratives in reshaping the past. These factors have contributed to an intensification of public discourses on our past over the last thirty years. Technological, political, interpersonal, social and cultural shifts affect what, how and why people and societies remember and forget. This groundbreaking new series tackles questions such as: What is ‘memory’ under these conditions? What are its prospects, and also the prospects for its interdisciplinary and systematic study? What are the conceptual, theoretical and methodological tools for its investigation and illumination?

More information about this series at
<http://www.springer.com/series/14682>

Anna Reading

Gender and Memory in the Global Age

palgrave
macmillan

Anna Reading
King's College London
Strand Campus, The Strand
London, UK

Western Sydney University Sydney
Parramatta Campus
Sydney, Australia

Palgrave Macmillan Memory Studies
ISBN 978-0-230-36864-4 ISBN 978-1-137-35263-7 (eBook)
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-35263-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016950637

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2016

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Cover image © Rob Watkins / Stockimo / Alamy Stock Photo

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd. London

*For the women, men and children forced to move to escape
poverty, violence and war*

PREFACE: THE FEMINIST MNEMOLOGIST

I have long struggled with the question of how cultural memories relate to gender. When I was a child in the 1960s and 1970s, I was struck by how absent girls and women were from my own family's memory. I then found at school that women were also absent from historical accounts; I noticed how little I saw women characters in films playing major roles; how rarely women figured in memorials and museums. I knew early on that the word was important and the published word even more important. So, I wrote diaries in secret as many young girls do, and then, as fewer adult women do, I wrote stories in the form of plays and books for the public. On reflection, I realise that I wrote stories in which the memory of women and women's memories were always a priority.

The absence and marginalisation of the memory of women in memory is not that different in 2016. But, in my own lifetime, the way that cultural and mediated memories are made, stored and disseminated has changed radically through the rapid and uneven processes of globalisation and digitisation. The diary is now also the blog; the letter the email; the family album is a networked gallery; the genderless baby snugly hidden in the warm dark of a mother's womb is scanned and made visible to be gendered, named and shared across the globe. The once unrecorded genocide of death camps is witnessed through mobile phones and made public through the internet. A man's recorded voice is sent back to earth from a robot on Mars.

In my work as a playwright, as a journalist and as an academic, the remembered stories have taken on new dimensions through the synergetic transformations of digitisation and globalisation. When I began work in

the 1980s, writing and knowledge production was pre-internet. I wrote on a manual typewriter and then in 1986 I bought a small electronic typewriter which had the capacity to remember 13 characters. Research was conducted by going to libraries and archives, with interviews and focus groups organised through writing letters and making phone calls to people who had no answerphones or voicemail. When I began working at the University of Westminster in 1992 I started to use an early version of email and adapted my working practices so that I could organise research using email and fax. By then I had started using a heavy laptop computer, the size of a bulky briefcase, on which I could save data and writing. I began to adapt not only my working practices, but my mind and body. There was still no WiFi, no internet, no mobile phones and no social media. In contrast, in the course of writing this book I have barely been to a library. I call up documents from my computer desktop; or sometimes from my tablet. I write using multiple screens and software that allows for voice-operated technology, a consequence of the heavy 1990s laptop computer that caused lasting repetitive strain injury. I download journal articles and electronic books as I need them. I order books for purchase that are delivered the next day. I communicate easily with people around the world, working in different time zones, talking via Skype and arranging interviews via mobile phone and email. I organise complex working days around the needs of my young family through an electronic diary that works across multiple devices, to which key administrators at King's and my partner also have access. I keep multiple digital copies of my manuscript as I write; I save it to a memory stick, to several hard drives and to the Cloud. Like many academics my own memory and knowledge-making has adapted through and with technology.

This book also began its life with my becoming a mother after a journey of successive loss, recorded through digital medical technologies, of several of the unborn, followed by the successive birth of two wonderful children. The book developed its life further through a transcontinental move around the world which changed my thinking substantially in terms of my experience of movement, of digitisation and globalisation. We moved to Australia in 2011 with our two children then aged four and six. We shipped everything 11,500 miles from the UK to Sydney including diaries, notebooks and journals, books, maps and photo albums, and backed up all our data to an external hard drive, memory sticks and to other computers via the Cloud (we also shipped the piano). Eighteen months on, we moved back to the UK, so I could take up a professorship at King's

College, London. I brought all my digital memories and a different sense of colonial history with me, along with a deeper sense of ancient memories linked to the landscape and the indigenous people and cultures of Australia which has enriched my work.

As a mnemologist—one who studies, researches and writes about memory—and a feminist—I am motivated to understand how memory is gendered, how women and men over millennia have practised, produced and experienced memory both differently and the same. How do the changing scales of memory, from the micro to macro, through digitised and globalised technologies, implicate gender in terms of access to memories, different uses of them, and the value given to them in different societies? What does this mean for gender equality? How best can feminist memory make a difference?

These are the kinds of questions this book seeks to answer for the 21st century, starting from the memory of the birth of the human being, travelling through the mundane memories of the everyday and finishing with the public witnessing of violent death. Throughout, there is the interrogation of what this means for gender equality and for the mobilisation of feminist memories to make a difference. I hope in some small way the labour of this book and my own passion for thinking about memory in the digital–global—the *Globital* Age, combined with your processes as the reader might lead to trajectories that will make a small difference to the inequalities that women continue to face. It is from creative but intense feminist labour and passion that gendered transformation works.

Anna Reading
London 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I have met many amazing people who have helped me with the journey that became this book. Some have given me practical support, others have given me songs, food, chickens and love. Some have shared ideas and thoughts. I would like to thank the following people with whom conversations were invaluable in developing my thinking as well as keeping alive the spirit of feminism that breathed life into this book. At Western Sydney University, Ien Ang, Ned Rossiter, Brett Nielson, Juan Salazar, Emma Waterton, and Tanya Notley were particularly important in terms of conversations that contributed to this book. At London South Bank University where I worked between 1995 and 2010 I would like to thank Jenny Owen, Hillegonda Rietveld, Andrew Dewdney, Katrina Sluis, Paula Reavey, and Daniel Rubinstein. At the journal of which I have been an editor now for many years, *Media Culture and Society*, I have had critical conversations with my editorial comrades Raymond Boyle, John Corner, Colin Sparks, Philip Schlesinger and Paddy Scannell and more recently with a new generation of Associate Editors Emily Keightley, Anastasia Kavada, Burcu Sumer and Aswin Punathamsar. Particular colleagues within the field of memory studies have been especially memorable in their consistent support over the years, especially Richard Crownshaw, Joanne Garde- Hansen, Andrew Hoskins, Stef Craps, Astrid Erll, Mike Pickering and Barbie Zelizer. I have benefited from many important questions and interchanges with Aleida Assman, Michael Rothberg, Ellen Rutten, Jose Van Dyke, Amit Pinchevski, Brenda Chan, Susan Pearce, Erit Dekel, Motti Neiger, Oren Meyers, Eyal Zandberg, Gillian Youngs,

Tamar Katriel, Vered Vinitzy-Seroussi, Jill Edy, Jerome Bourdon, Paul McDonald, Hanna Meretoja, Jussi Parrika, Ben O'Loughlin, Steve Brown, Danilo Rothberg, Andrea Peto, and Ayse Gull. I am hugely indebted to the students of London South Bank University where I taught for 15 years, trying out some of the much earlier ideas for this book, as well as the equally diverse students of Western Sydney University and more recently the international master's students of King's College London, and recent doctoral students, David Torrell, Sandra Tavares, Aoife Sadlier, Mikka Hoejoldt, Alexandra Kubica, and Birgit Wildt. A very warm thanks to academics at King's College whose own research and teaching has sharpened my insights, namely Red Chidgey, Jessica Rapson, Zoe Norridge, Jelke Boesten, and Adam Sutcliffe. I would also like to thank friends and colleagues in the Department of Culture Media and Creative Industries at King's, especially Nick Wilson, Kate McMillan, Paul Sweetman, Richard Howells, Bridget Conor and Joanne Entwistle, who made my term of office as Head of Department more manageable while writing this book, as well as professional services staff, especially Sally Chan, Michelle Sumerfield, Sarah Rowe and Claire Arnold. My wider senior colleagues at King's have given me support in numerous ways that have been important to me: particularly Sarah Cooper, Catherine Boyle, Sheila Anderson, Paul Spence, Simon Gaunt, Paul Readman, Russell Goulbourne and Evelyn Welch. I would like to thank the Equalities Unit at Kings, especially Sandra Brown and Jo Lawton for their amazing project that involved taking photographs of women professors and making a mural of them on The Strand, as well as their work across the college on unconscious bias.

I have benefited from generous invitations to speak at public events and to give keynotes at various conferences around the world which has enabled me to develop some of the ideas here. Particular conferences that were critical in terms of the development and rehearsal of ideas for this book include On Media Memory in Israel in 2010; the Australian and New Zealand Cultural Studies Conference in New Zealand in 2011; and the Genocide and Gender conference in Turkey in 2012 and The Ethics of Storytelling Conference in Finland in 2015. I am also grateful for a number of invitations to Brazil which gave me a very different understanding of the unevenness of digital cultures. I would like to thank three people who assisted with various aspects of the research and writing for this book, Latifah Al Darwish, and Kate McMillan for helping with the conducting of interviews and Anna Khlusova for her bibliographic and editorial work.

Thank you to the team from Palgrave, especially Sneha Kamat Bhavnani, Sophie Auld, and Felicity Pleister for keeping faith as I put back the deadline for this book (more than once).

Over the course of writing this book I have had the privilege of working with colleagues in various non-governmental organisations which has kept me connected to the significance of on the ground memory work. In particular I would like to thank the women of the Women's National Commission in the UK, The Parramatta Female Factory Project, Western Sydney and Fuel Theatre. I have benefited from the wisdom and spirit of Quaker Friends at Forest Hill meeting house, London as well as Blue Mountains Quakers, Sydney and Leura Uniting Church, Leura. I would like to thank parents, children and teachers at Leura Public School in the Blue Mountains, and my Blue Mountains Australian friends, especially Verity Williams, Claire Corbett, Julian Leatherdale, Sam and Sophie as well as good friends in Sydney, John and Sue Cunningham, Sam and Deb Michels, and Suzie Walker who made us so welcome.

Thank you to Amza for sharing her spirit with me and to all those who keep the fires lit in the hearth while allowing the soul to travel. Thank you to those for whom the politics of gender have been important to many conversations and a feeling of support in writing work like this. I would especially like to thank my friends, Charlotte Jones, David Starr, Xavier Starr, Evie Starr and Florence Starr, Sarah Kent, Malcolm Plummer and their children Ellie Kent-Plummer and Oscar Kent-Plummer, Vincent O'Connell, Stephanie McKeon, Louise Dawson and John O'Connor and their children Milo and Kate, Amanda and Gavin Renz and their children, Daniel, Sasha and Iona, Joanna Cole and Dryden Goodwin with their children Heath and Fynn, Jill Newton, James Woolf, Joanna Scott and Kerri Wright and their daughter Bethany Scott-Wright. I would like to thank the parents, children and teachers of John Stainer Primary School. Many thanks to my wider family especially Ian Harvey, Nicky and Natalie Ayriess, Lara and Lisa Hayes, as well as my brother Barry Reading and his wife Tamara Reading and their children, Joss, Rowan, Sorrell, Grey and Quinn.

A big thank you to Ellen Reading, my mother, for her letters and all her support, especially in the final stages of writing this book and in helping to provide much-needed childcare for our two children on occasions when I was travelling for work and while my partner worked in a different city. Thank you to Sonya Gellner who cleaned our house in London giving me four hours more a week to write at weekends. A big thank you to

the Community Creative Children's Club at Telegraph Hill Centre and Florence Starr who in the final stages of writing this book provided after school child care.

My biggest thanks goes to my close family: my two children, Zak Harvey-Reading for his wonderful sensitivity to the world, his connected wisdom, his passion and ethical outrage and to Aphra Harvey-Reading for her huge zest for life, her capacity for resilience in the face of inequalities she already keenly feels, and her witty sense of humour. Both Zak and Aphra are brilliant storytellers and remind me of the importance of stories everyday which has informed deeply this book. Thank you more than ever to my beloved partner and husband, Dr Colin B Harvey, who never fails in his support of me both personally and professionally and without whose love I would not be the person that I am today. His humour, energy and sense of restless adventure are a crucial part of writing this book, as is his own work writing transmedial stories, discussing books and surprising me with a gift of two bantam chickens, Katoomba and Somerset on my 50th birthday. Thank you with all my heart.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
	<i>Book Rationale</i>	3
	<i>Book Scope</i>	7
	<i>Research Methods</i>	8
	<i>Book Content</i>	10
	<i>Part I: Concepts</i>	11
	<i>Part II: Domains</i>	12
	<i>Part III: Actions</i>	14
	<i>Key Terms</i>	15
	 Part I Concepts	 17
2	Gender, Memory and Technologies	19
	<i>Memory Technologies in Early Feminism</i>	24
	<i>Memory Technologies in 20th-Century Feminism</i>	28
	<i>Gender and Memory Technologies in Memory Studies</i>	32
	<i>New Paradigms in Memory Studies</i>	34
	<i>Notes</i>	36
3	Globital Memory	39
	<i>Movement and Fixity</i>	40
	<i>Towards the Globital: Globalisation Plus Digitisation</i>	42
	<i>Globital Memory: Concept</i>	46
	<i>Globital Memory: Method</i>	50

<i>(Trans)Mediality</i>	50
<i>(Trans)Modality</i>	51
<i>Extensity</i>	52
<i>Velocity</i>	53
<i>Valency</i>	54
<i>Viscosity</i>	55
<i>Notes</i>	58
4 Global Utopias: Imaginaries of Gender, Memory and New Technologies	61
<i>Utopia as Method</i>	63
<i>Gender, Memory and the Press</i>	68
<i>Gender, Memory and the Screen</i>	75
<i>Mobilising Feminist Memories</i>	80
<i>Conclusion</i>	87
<i>Notes</i>	88
Part II Domains	91
5 Global Body: Birth	93
<i>Defining Obstetric Sonography</i>	96
<i>Gender and Sonography</i>	99
<i>Pre-Natal Erasure and Forgetting</i>	102
<i>From Personal to Public Memory</i>	106
<i>From Private Loss to Public Memorial</i>	107
<i>Trajectories of the Global Memory Baby</i>	108
<i>Conclusions</i>	111
6 Global Home: Life	113
<i>Historical and Social Context of the Mobile Phone</i>	116
<i>The Local and the Global</i>	118
<i>Theories of Gender and Mobile Phone Use</i>	119
<i>Gender, Memory and the Mobile Phone</i>	120
<i>The 2006 and 2014 Mobile Memory Studies</i>	123
<i>The Velocity of Mobile Memories</i>	126
<i>Viscosity: The Gendered Fixities of Digital Data</i>	130
<i>The (Trans)Modalities of Gender</i>	131

<i>The (Trans)Medialities of Gendered Memory</i>	135
<i>Gendered Memory Extensities</i>	136
<i>Valency: Stick Women Together</i>	139
<i>Conclusion</i>	141
<i>Notes</i>	142
7 Global Publics: Death	145
<i>Journalism and Media Witnessing</i>	148
<i>Mobile and Social Witnessing</i>	149
<i>Citizen Journalism and Gender</i>	151
<i>Mobile Witnessing and Gender</i>	153
<i>The White Everyman of the 2005 London Bombings</i>	155
<i>Unveiling Muslim Memory: Neda Agha Soltan</i>	158
<i>Masculinity, the Muslim Terrorist and Gender Wars</i>	162
<i>From the Diary to the Feminine Twitter</i>	166
<i>Conclusion: Towards a Feminist Witnessing</i>	171
<i>Notes</i>	172
Part III Actions	173
8 Global Stories	175
<i>Feminist Memory Works</i>	177
<i>Feminist Memory Making</i>	178
<i>Begin Again</i>	184
<i>Conclusions: Gender and Memory in the Global Age</i>	185
<i>Note</i>	193
9 Epilogue: Gender Recalled	195
Appendix	201
<i>Letter to My Daughter by Anna Reading</i>	201
<i>Notes</i>	204
Bibliography	205
Index	227

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Gender, memory technologies and feminism	32
Table 3.1	Summary: gender and the trajectories of the globital memory field	56
Table 5.1	Globital body: birth	110

Introduction

For tens of thousands of years human beings have sought to give present and future generations access to the past by making records of events and genealogies. Men and women have made cultural and mediated memories of everyday life as well as major events by using many kinds of media technologies, from the scored and painted marks on the walls of rocks to the creation of trackways between burial sites, from the handing down of orally transmitted songs, stories and poems to the figuring of rituals and dances. Technologies for making and preserving cultural and media memories have transformed, from hand-crafted manuscripts to the printing of books, from the crafted singular image to the mass production of photography and films. The advent of computer technologies has led to media and cultural memories being transformed again with the capturing and sharing of everyday memories through mobile devices and social network sites.

Communication and media technologies are, as Marshall McLuhan (1964) observed, extensions of the body: the car extends the feet, the hammer the hand. Technologies also extend human memory: from the technology of shaped flint for making visual reminders, to the technology of the internet as an extension of the human nervous system.

These technologies are not gender-neutral, and neither is memory: as N. Katherine Hayles in *My Mother Was a Computer* (2005) suggests, gender

is transformed through the digital text. This book explores this proposition further and seeks to address a lacuna in research through thinking about how digitisation and digital cultures might transform the gendering of memory and memories of gender.

However, rather than a general exploration of gender and memory in ‘internet cultures’, ‘big data’, or, ‘archives’ the chosen focus of this study is how mediated memories are transforming memory and gendered memory through and with mobile and social technologies. It would have been possible to give emphasis to many other media or approaches to digital cultures, such as digital games, virtual memorials or the living archive, but the emphasis here is on the transformation of the gendering of memory through new affordances of mobilisation enabled by the mobile phone and social networks in unevenly globalised internet cultures.

The rationale for this is that mobile and social technologies are at the heart of everyday digital connectivities. The mobile phone has impacted more and had a greater take-up per capita worldwide than the personal computer, especially in developing countries, often leapfrogging legacy technologies or acting as an alternative to other kinds of digital technologies that may be difficult to access in poorer communities (Horst and Miller 2006). There is also very little specific research around mobile technologies and memory, and even less that gives an emphasis to the implications for gender.

Understanding mobilities or ‘mediated mobilities’ (Keightley and Reading 2014) is key to understanding transformations in the mobilisation of mediated memories in the 21st century. Cultural and mediated memories have, of course, always been circulated and mobilised by individuals and stakeholders. Jan Assman has shown how in ancient Egyptian cultures memories moved and changed (2010). Research on cultural memory in South American cultures has shown how memories were mobilised through walking between grave sites (Abercrombie 1999). Memories, as Astrid Erll, has argued, are always in a sense ‘travelling’ (2011): memories move, and indeed are moving in both the spatial and affective senses of the word.

The research for this book evidences how mobile and social technologies enable mobilities of mediated memories in new ways with complex implications for gender and the gendering of memory. This needs to be addressed within media and memory studies because, as I shall show in Chap. 3, historically ‘new’ technologies in previous epochs have changed mediated mnemonic practices. Connective cultures—the ability to mobilise data through mobile phones and via the internet—enable personal memories to become public rapidly; mobile media facilitate in new ways

the capture, storage and sharing of messages, images and sounds that are records of events. Digitisation combined with globalisation enable humans to mobilise memories that cut across the individual and the collective, the institutional and the corporate, the local and the global in ways that disrupt conventional binaries of the public and private, of the body and other. Studies have shown that earlier media and communication technologies consistently implicate memory in ways that are gendered (Yonkers 1995; Weber 2008). Thus, this book asks how digital technologies and digital practices are not only changing memory but changing the relationships between gender and memory. Do digital technologies create new possibilities in terms of cultures of production? Are men and women using mobile and social technologies in different ways to record their autobiographies or share their lives? Is the mobile witnessing and archiving of events reconfiguring how the stories of men and women are told? This book argues that the combined dynamics of digitisation and globalisation are having profound and polylogical implications for the gendering of memory.

The study challenges current thinking in media, memory and gender studies by taking a gendered approach to memory and digital media, developing in more depth and from a feminist perspective memory in the 'Global Age' through the concepts of 'global memory' and the 'global memory field'. The book examines how gendered memory domains and trajectories work within a digitally mediated globalised economy.

BOOK RATIONALE

The transdisciplinary academic study of memory over the past 20 years has grown to the extent that it now constitutes its own academic interdisciplinary field, with a number of book series addressing undergraduate and postgraduate modules on the subject in the UK, US, Australia and internationally within a range of disciplines, as well as the successful journal *Memory Studies*, and regular conferences on aspects of memory. Over the course of my own career I have been transformed from a scholar who had no special disciplinary home and who readily moved between and drew on insights from the disciplines of English, theatre, politics, sociology, women's studies, media, culture and communication studies in order to try and understand cultural and media memory. Two decades on, I am a scholar clearly situated within media memory studies. I call myself a mnemologist, just as scholars at the beginning of the 20th century who sought to enquire into society began to call themselves sociologists.

Within this interdisciplinary field, there have been significant developments in the understanding and analysis of cultural memory (Erl 2011) as well as more recently the recognition and growth of work within ‘media memory’ (Neiger et al. 2011). Over this period research and studies on gender and memory have also grown, although there is still much less research and published material available that focuses on gender and memory transnationally, and virtually no work that considers how the articulations of gender and memory are changing through the combined dynamics of digital media technologies and globalisation.

Earlier work on gender and memory tended to examine memory within specific national and historic periods with an emphasis on literary memory and oral memory, such as Lucy Noakes (1997) *War and the British: Gender, Memory and National Identity, 1939–91*, Lynne Hanley’s (1991) *Writing War, Fiction, Gender and Memory*, or Faith Beasley’s *Revising Memory: Women’s Fiction and Memoirs in Seventeenth-Century France*. There was also in the 1990s a growth in research on women and the memory of the Holocaust, with a particular emphasis on individual memoirs and collections of memoirs by women (see De Silva 1996; Eibeshitz and Eilenberg-Eibeshitz 1994; Gurewitsch 1998; Laska 1983; Rittner and Roth 1993). This was part of a broader epistemological shift that was arising from the foregrounding of oral history and memory within the study of history and with it the oral history of women. Hence, in 1996, a seminal edition of the *International Yearbook of Oral History and Life Stories* devoted an issue edited by Selma Leydesdorff, Luisa Passerini and Paul Thompson to oral history research that considered gender differences.

The past ten years has witnessed a discernible increase in published materials that focus on gender and memory. These have extended out of disciplines other than history and literature to include psychology, such as Janice Haaken’s *Pillar of Salt* (1998), as well as cultural studies and sociology. However, studies are largely configured around ideas of the nation, as well as situated within a particular historic period. Thus Sylvia Paletschek’s (2008) edited collection, *The Gender of Memory: Cultures of Remembrance in Nineteenth- and Twentieth-Century Europe* examines women’s cultures of memory in the context of the development of nation states.

There are also several studies that bring into the public realm lesser-known memories of women in non-Western contexts of repression. These include, Fatma Kassem’s *Palestinian Women: Narrative Histories and Gendered Memory* (2011) Nefissa Neguib’s beautifully written and researched *Women, Water and Memory: Recasting Lives in Palestine* (2009)

as well as C. Sarah Soh's (2008) *The Comfort Women: Sexual Violence and Post-colonial Memory in Korea and Japan* and Susana Rotka's *Captive Women: Oblivion and Memory in Argentina*. We have also seen the development of new work on gender and memory in other national contexts such as 'Gendered Memories, the Heroine's Journey in Time' in *Dialogics of the Self: The Mahabharata and Culture* (2010) by Lakshmi Bandlmudi, and *Uneasy Warriors: Gender, Memory and Popular Culture in Japan* (2007) by Sabine Fruhstuck, as well as Jill Didur's *Unsettling Partition: Literature, Gender and Memory* (2006) and *Mammy: A Century of Gender, Race and Southern Memory* (2007) by Kimberley Wallace-Sanders.

At the same time, there was a continuation of work from the 1990s on gender and memory in relation to the Holocaust with books such as Birgit Maier-Katkin's (2007) *Silence and Acts of Memory: A Post-war Discourse on Literature, Anna Seghers, and Women in the Third Reich*, and Vera Apfelthaler's (2007) *Gendered Memories: Transgressions in German and Israeli Film*, which moves the analysis of gender into mediated memory.

What is missing, however, is significant critical engagement with digital communication and media technologies and the ways in which these rearticulate relationships between gender and memory through reconfiguring mediated and cultural memory in new ways. This lacuna is noticeable given that communication and technology studies have evidenced how new media technologies in earlier epochs reconfigured gender and memory, as with Harold Weber's *Memory, Print and Gender in England* (2008). Significantly, Weber examines the ways in which print technology in the 17th and 18th centuries made the distinction of gender central to processes of literary memorialisation, marginalising through the advent of the mass printed book the work of women authors and writers. His research, as I explore in more detail in Chap. 3, 'The Global: Concept and Method', signals the need for a study such as this, specifically addressing gender and memory within the similar but different technological mnemonic revolution taking place through globalisation in combination with digitisation and digitality.

At the same time, although there is little work in this area, there is clearly recognition of the perspective that gender brings to the study of memory and media memory in particular. Michael Rothberg's (2009) *Multidirectional Memory* includes recognition of the significance of gender as well as 'race' as part of its main argument. Several studies have also suggested the importance of rethinking time in relation to questions of sexual politics and gender. Kath Weston's (2002) *Gender in Real Time: Power and*