



ASSET PRESS

Information Technology and Law Series

IT&LAW 27

The Future of Drone Use

Opportunities and Threats from
Ethical and Legal Perspectives

Bart Custers *Editor*



Springer

Information Technology and Law Series

Volume 27

Editor-in-chief

Simone van der Hof, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies),
Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

Series editor

Bibi van den Berg, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies),
Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands
Eleni Kosta, ICRI, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
Ben Van Rompuy, T.M.C. Asser Institute, The Hague, The Netherlands
Ulrich Sieber, for Foreign and International Crimi, Max Planck Institute,
Freiburg, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8857>

Bart Custers
Editor

The Future of Drone Use

Opportunities and Threats from Ethical
and Legal Perspectives



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Editor
Bart Custers
Faculty of Law, eLaw
Leiden University
Leiden
The Netherlands

ISSN 1570-2782 ISSN 2215-1966 (electronic)
Information Technology and Law Series
ISBN 978-94-6265-131-9 ISBN 978-94-6265-132-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-132-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016945865

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the authors 2016

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer-Verlag GmbH Berlin Heidelberg

Series Information

The *Information Technology & Law Series* was an initiative of ITeR, the national programme for Information Technology and Law, which was a research programme set up by the Dutch government and The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) in The Hague. Since 1995 ITeR has published all of its research results in its own book series. In 2002 ITeR launched the present internationally orientated and English language *Information Technology & Law Series*. This well-established series deals with the implications of information technology for legal systems and institutions. Manuscripts and related correspondence can be sent to the Series' Editorial Office, which will also gladly provide more information concerning editorial standards and procedures.

Editorial Office

T.M.C. Asser Instituut
P.O. Box 30461
2500 GL The Hague
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31-70-3420300
e-mail: itandlaw@asser.nl

Simone van der Hof, *Editor-in-Chief*
Leiden University, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies)
The Netherlands

Bibi van den Berg
Leiden University, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies)
The Netherlands

Eleni Kosta
Tilburg University, TILT (Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society)
The Netherlands

Ben Van Rompuy
T.M.C. Asser Instituut, The Netherlands
iMinds-SMIT, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium

Ulrich Sieber
Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law
Freiburg
Germany

Acknowledgments

Publishing a book is a considerable effort. This book could not have been published without the help of many people. The editor would like to thank all the authors and reviewers who contributed to this book. The reviewers are, in alphabetical order: David Bergman, Nicholas Brown, Marc Coeckelbergh, Quirine Eijkman, Rachel Finn, Luisa Marin, Sophia Michaelides-Mateou, Alan McKenna, Jan-Jaap Oerlemans, Walter Parlevliet, Timothy Ravich, Helena Ursic, Bas Vergouw, Tal Zarsky and Wim Zwijnenburg.

Some authors also provided help with the further editing of some chapters. Special thanks are addressed to Alan McKenna, David Wright and Sally Applin. Furthermore, special thanks are addressed to Simone van der Hof, editor-in-chief of the book series of which this book is part, and to Frank Bakker, Kiki van Gorp and the rest of the team of Asser Press for their support in getting our work published.

Contents

Part I Introduction

1 Drones Here, There and Everywhere Introduction and Overview . . .	3
Bart Custers	
2 Drone Technology: Types, Payloads, Applications, Frequency Spectrum Issues and Future Developments	21
Bas Vergouw, Huub Nagel, Geert Bondt and Bart Custers	
3 Big Data, Drone Data: Privacy and Ethical Impacts of the Intersection Between Big Data and Civil Drone Deployments.	47
Rachel Finn and Anna Donovan	

Part II Opportunities and Threats

4 Deliveries by Drone: Obstacles and Sociability	71
Sally A. Applin	
5 Policing from Above: Drone Use by the Police	93
Bart Engberts and Edo Gillissen	
6 The Humanitarian Drone and the Borders: Unveiling the Rationales Underlying the Deployment of Drones in Border Surveillance	115
Luisa Marin	
7 The Humanitarian Use of Drones as an Emerging Technology for Emerging Needs	133
Tomas Martini, Michele Lynch, Abi Weaver and Tameick van Vuuren	
8 Terrorism and National Security	153
Sofia Michaelides-Mateou	

Part III Ethical Issues

9 The Humanization of Drones: Psychological Implications on the Use of Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems 173
David Bergman

10 Unmanned? The Bodily Harms and Moral Valor of Drone Warfare 189
Nicholas R. Brown

11 Victims of Drone Warfare: Stretching the Boundaries of Conflict; Ethics and Remote Control Warfare. 209
Wim Zwijnenburg and Zorah Blok

12 Drones, Morality, and Vulnerability: Two Arguments Against Automated Killing. 229
Mark Coeckelbergh

Part IV Legal Issues

13 Key Provisions in Current Aviation Law 241
Benjamyn Scott

14 Civilian Use of Drones as a Test Case for the Right to Privacy: An Israeli Perspective 261
Uri Volovelsky

15 Access to an Effective Remedy and Reparations for Civilian Victims of Armed Drone Strikes 289
Quirine Eijkman and Marlieke Bakker

16 A Comparative Global Analysis of Drone Laws: Best Practices and Policies 301
Timothy Ravich

Part V Conclusions

17 Making Drones More Acceptable with Privacy Impact Assessments 325
David Wright and Rachel Finn

18 The Public Acceptance Challenge and Its Implications for the Developing Civil Drone Industry. 353
Alan McKenna

19 Flying to New Destinations: The Future of Drones 371
Bart Custers

Editors and Contributors

About the Editor

Bart Custers is associate professor and head of research at eLaw, the Center for Law and Digital Technologies at Leiden University, the Netherlands. He is also head of the research division on Crime, Law Enforcement and Sanctions of the research center (WODC) of the Ministry of Security and Justice in the Netherlands. With a background in both law and physics, his research is focused on law and digital technologies. His research interests include on the one hand discrimination and privacy issues regarding new technologies, particularly data mining and profiling, and on the other hand cybercrime and technologies that may contribute to law enforcement, criminal investigation and prosecution. Examples of such technologies are Automated Number Plate Recognition (ANPR), wiretapping, fingerprinting, forensic DNA research, database coupling, data mining and profiling, camera surveillance, network analyses and the use of drones. Dr. Custers has published two books on discrimination and privacy in the context of Big Data. In 2015 he co-authored (in Dutch) a book on the use of drones. On a regular basis he gives lectures on profiling, privacy issues regarding new technological developments and cybercrime. He has presented his work at international conferences in the United States, China, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Thailand, the Middle East and throughout Europe. He has published his work, over 80 publications, in both scientific and professional journals and newspapers.

Contributors

Sally A. Applin recently earned her Ph.D. at the University of Kent, Canterbury, UK working with the Centre for Social Anthropology and Computing (CSAC). She is based in Silicon Valley, where she researches the impact of technology on culture, and how culture shapes current technological developments. Her research is focused on Maker culture, leading-edge technological development and deployment, and

the outcomes of network complexities as modeled by PolySocial Reality (PoSR.org). Sally holds a Masters degree from the graduate Interactive Telecommunications Program (NYU/ITP) within New York University's Tisch School of the Arts, and a BA in Conceptual Design from San Francisco State University. She has had a 20+ year career in the science museum design, computer software, telecommunications, and product design/definition industries working as Senior Researcher, Senior UX Designer, Senior Consultant and Ethnographer. Sally is an Associate Editor of the IEEE Technology and Society Magazine, and an Associate Editor of the IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine (Societal Impacts Section). Sally is also a member of IoT Council, a think tank for the Internet of Things as well as a member of the Board of Directors of the Edward H. and Rosamond B. Spicer Foundation. Sally conducts research, writes about, and has given talks on ubiquitous automated services, automated vehicles, drones, robotics and human agency, augmented reality, and the Internet of Things (IoT), privacy, security, contextual mobility, geolocative media, process design and maker culture.

Marlieke Bakker (MA) is a volunteer at the Political Affairs Office of Amnesty International Dutch section.

David Bergman is a graduate of the Swedish Military Academy and a founding member of the 10th Psychological Operations Unit. He has served as Team-leader, Platoon-commander & Officer in charge of training, and has seen operational tours in both Bosnia and Afghanistan and is also a former exchange student to the US Army John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center & School. He holds a Master of Social Science degree in Psychology and a Bachelor of Fine Arts degree in Comparative Literature and is currently a doctoral student at Stockholm University's Department of Psychology. He is a published author both of fiction as well as different subjects of military psychology. His work on the psychological aspects of the use of drones has been awarded the Publication of the Year Award by the Swedish Royal Academy of War Sciences.

Zorah Blok is a historian and criminologist who previously worked for international human rights organisation Reprieve. Reprieve advocates on behalf of those victimized by states' abusive counter-terrorism policies—drone strikes, rendition, torture, and extrajudicial imprisonment and killing. Reprieve has over the years represented numerous innocent civilian drone strike victims in Pakistan and Yemen, investigating, litigating and publicising on their behalf.

Before coming to Reprieve, Zorah worked for Amnesty International and the United Nations, bringing to light torture and counter-terrorism related human rights abuses all over the world.

Zorah holds a BA in History from the Radboud University Nijmegen, an MA in Holocaust and Genocide studies from the University of Amsterdam, and an M.Sc. in International Crimes and Criminology from the VU University Amsterdam.

Geert Bondt has studied electrical engineering at a technical college in Deventer. He has been working for the Radio Communications Agency for 12 years. His first task was to lead a project to take over the aeronautical frequency management tasks

from the Dutch Air Navigation Service Provider. Within the scope of his work he has been responsible for the compatibility assessment of all frequencies in the aeronautical frequency bands. Since 2014 he is also responsible for the drones project within the agency.

Before his involvement with the Radio Communication Agency, Geert worked for five years for a new Dutch mobile operator as a second line BSS maintenance engineer, and was later tasked with network design and radio frequency planning in the northern region.

Nicholas R. Brown received his doctorate in Christian Ethics from Fuller Theological Seminary in Pasadena, CA (USA) where he studied under Dr. Glen H. Stassen. He is currently a Visiting Assistant Professor at Loyola Marymount University's Bioethics Institute and a Lecturer in Loyola Marymount University's Theological Studies Department. He has published articles in the *Journal of Public Theology* and *Levinas Studies: An Annual Review* and his first book-length volume entitled *For the Nation: Jesus, the Restoration of Israel and Articulating a Christian Ethic of Territorial Governance* is set to be published by Pickwick Publications in the spring of 2016. He lives in Los Angeles with his wife Audrey and three sons—Jonah, Davin and Nathanael.

Mark Coeckelbergh is Professor of Philosophy of Media and Technology at the Philosophy Department of the University of Vienna, and (part-time) Professor of Technology and Social Responsibility at De Montfort University, UK. He has also been Managing Director of the 3TU Centre for Ethics and Technology. His publications include *Growing Moral Relations* (2012), *Human Being @ Risk* (2013), *Environmental Skill* (2015), *Money Machines* (2015) and numerous articles in the area of philosophy of technology, in particular the ethics of robotics and ICTs.

Anna Donovan is a senior Research Analyst and a member of the Data Science practice group at Trilateral Research Ltd, London. Anna's work is focused on legal and social impacts of current and emerging data technologies and practices, including issues relevant to big data, open data and security technologies (especially drones). She provides regulatory and policy advice in these areas to European policy-makers. Anna is an Australian qualified lawyer, and holds an LL.M. of Information and Communication Technology Law from the Norwegian Research Centre for Computers and Law (NRCCL), the University of Oslo, where she focused on the European Data Protection framework and corresponding data protection approaches by third countries (Australia, NZ, US).

Quirine Eijkman, Ph.D., is the head of the Political Affairs & Press Office of Amnesty International Dutch section and a Senior-Researcher/Lecturer at the Centre for Terrorism and Counterterrorism of the Faculty Campus The Hague, Leiden University. Her research focuses on the impact and side effects of security governance for human rights, transitional justice and the sociology of law. She teaches Master's level courses on Security and the Rule of Law and International Crisis and Security Management. She holds a Ph.D. in Human Rights, Security and Sociology of Law from Utrecht University. Her chapter is written in a private capacity.

Bart Engberts studied Law at the Erasmus University (Rotterdam, The Netherlands). Since 2005 he is a policy and legal advisor at the Dutch Ministry of the Interior and—afterwards—the Ministry of Security and Justice. Before that he worked at the Town Hall (1984–1997) for the Mayor of Rotterdam concerning his tasks in maintaining public order. Mr Engberts also worked at the Rotterdam police headquarters as a legal advisor for the chief constable during 1997 until the end of 2004. Mr Engberts published several articles in Dutch police and judicial magazines about preventive body searches by police, measures taken within the police organization concerning violence against the police and the Dutch Football Act. In the *Gemeentestem* (2009, nr 76) and in *Justitiële verkenningen* (Judicial explorations 2010, nr. 3), published by the Research and Documentation Centre of the Dutch Ministry of Security and Justice, he published articles (“Burgemeester en Veiligheid” resp. “Swiebertje en Superman”) about the powers in maintaining public order and the (increasing) role of the Mayor in safety issues. Mr Engberts also assisted the chairman of the Dutch Association of Mayors in his role as chairman of the supervisory commission in research—ordered by the Ministry of the Interior—by the COT Institute for Safety and Management of crises and the Law Faculties of the Rotterdam and Utrecht universities concerning the powers of mayors in 2008 (“Bestuur, recht en veiligheid”). The subjects at the Ministry he is dealing with nowadays are sensing, automatic number plate recognition (ANPR), profiling, (big) data, CCTV, technology in policing and drones.

Rachel Finn is the Data Science Practice Manager at Trilateral Research Ltd, London. She manages projects and participates in research related to the privacy, data protection and social impacts of current and emerging data technologies and practices, including issues relevant to big data, security technologies (especially drones), open data, open government and standardization. She provides regulatory and policy advice for European, national and institutional policy-makers on the responsible implementation of new technology systems. She is widely published in relation to all of these issues, and has a number of often-cited publications, including “Unmanned aircraft systems: Surveillance, ethics and privacy in civil applications” and “Seven types of privacy”. Her latest book, *Mobilising Data in a Knowledge Society*, will be published by AUP in 2016. She has a Ph.D. in Sociology from the University of Manchester.

Edo Gillissen is senior policy advisor at the Law Enforcement Department of the Dutch Ministry of Security and Justice in The Hague. He advises on the use of both new and existing technologies in law enforcement. Examples are large-scale data processing, drones, satellite data and camera use. The protection of personal data and privacy is a regular feature of this advice. Edo Gillissen has a law degree from Utrecht University and previously worked as a senior legal advisor in the field of information and data processing at the Dutch ministries of Defense and Foreign Affairs.

Michele Lynch is a manager on Google’s public policy team focused on international relations. Before joining Google, Michele managed the Emerging Technology for Emerging Needs Project at the American Red Cross and served as chief of staff to the organization’s Chairman of the Board of Governors. She also worked at

the British High Commission in Malaysia, USAID's Office of US Foreign Disaster Assistance and the White House. Michele earned a Master's degree in Conflict, Security and Development from King's College London and a Bachelor's degree in International Relations and Political Science from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Luisa Marin is Assistant Professor of European Union Law at the School of Behavioral, Management and Social Sciences at the University of Twente. Luisa graduated *cum laude* in Law at the University of Bologna and received her Ph.D. with a thesis on mutual recognition and the European Arrest Warrant (University of Verona). Her research interests broadly speaking cover the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice; her publications focus on the principle of mutual recognition and its implications for European and national constitutional rules (citizenship, fundamental rights); on border surveillance and its relation with fundamental rights; on the deployment of drone technology in border surveillance and its implications; on data protection, Internet and surveillance. Among others, Luisa is a member of the Meijers Committee, the Standing Committee of Experts on International Immigration, Refugee and Criminal Law, the Netherlands, and of the European Data Protection Experts Network (EDEN) at Europol.

Tomas Martini is advisor to the Netherlands Red Cross board on public, political and government issues. He has a background in International Relations and started his career with several lobbying firms, before joining the Red Cross. With a keen interest in the intersection between public, corporate and society's interests, his aim is to position the Netherlands Red Cross as best as possible within this area. With this in mind, Tomas is interested in propelling change within the organization. Both in the way the Red Cross participates in the public debate, and in exploring new venues for humanitarian aid—like drones. For Tomas, the challenge is to make political or legal obstacles disappear, making change possible. Tomas holds a Masters degree in International Affairs and lives in The Hague, The Netherlands.

Alan McKenna is Associate Lecturer at the University of Kent, UK. His research interests include the social and regulatory issues surrounding new and existing technologies. The evidence he provided to the House of Lords EU Internal Market Sub-Committee for their investigation into the civil use of remotely piloted aircraft systems was referred to in their final report and in the debate on the Committee's report in the House of Lords. His drone research has led to numerous media interviews, with him appearing on the BBC Television News, the BBC Watchdog programme, UK regional television and radio programmes, Australian television, and in the Guardian newspaper. He is the author of *A Human Right to Participate in the Information Society* (Hampton Press 2011).

Sofia Michaelides-Mateou holds a doctorate from the University of Middlesex, UK, a BA and a law degree (LL.B.) from the University of Witwatersrand, South Africa and has been a full-time lecturer of law and air law for over 20 years.

Sofia is currently Associate Professor of Aviation at the College of Engineering at Abu Dhabi University in the UAE. She also lectures part-time at Emirates

Aviation University and has lectured at Cranfield University, UK. Sofia is the author of the book “Air Law: A Practical Perspective” published by Sakkoulas, Greece and co-author of the book “Flying in the Face of Criminalisation: The Safety Implications for Prosecuting Aviation Professionals for Accidents”, published by Ashgate, UK.

Sofia has presented many papers at international aviation conferences and published articles on numerous aviation areas including unmanned aerial systems, unruly passengers, the liability of aviation professionals subsequent to aviation accidents, protection of aviation safety data and just culture.

She is an aviation-legal consultant who has participated in a number of aviation litigation cases and is an Associate Member of ISASI and a member of the Flight Safety Foundation and the Eurocontrol Just Culture group.

Huub Nagel studied electrical engineering at Delft University with specialization telecommunications. He has been working for the Radio Communications Agency for 10 years within a period of 21 years. There he has been involved in satellite communication, radio astronomy, fixed services, amateur service, public service communication for the ministries of Defence and Justice, maritime communication, aeronautic communication and in recent years broadcasting. He also worked for nine years for the largest cable company in the Netherlands. There his primary responsibilities were the design of the HFC network and the management of a network design department. Huub Nagel has lived in Belgium for two years, where he was a consultant to a company regarding the development of a PLC emulation platform.

Timothy Ravich Assistant Professor at the University of Central Florida, is an internationally recognized authority in aviation law and one of only 37 Board Certified Specialists in Aviation Law in Florida, USA. Professor Ravich has written extensively in connection with aviation issues, including airline deregulation and passenger rights, aviation security and terrorism, and unmanned aerial vehicles (“UAVs” or “drones”). In addition to his course book “Aviation Law after September 11th” (Vandeplas 2nd Edition 2016) and a forthcoming work on drone law in the United States, his scholarship is widely cited and has appeared in peer-reviewed and edited publications such as the Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems, the William and Mary Business Law Review, the American Business Law Journal, the Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, the Georgetown Journal of International Law, and the U.C. Davis Journal of International Law and Policy. He blogs at www.droninglawyer.com and manages his own law and consulting practice (www.ravichlawfirm.com), which has served as outside general counsel to numerous drone companies, successfully obtaining the first of several “333 petitions” granted by the Federal Aviation Administration allowing commercial drone operations in North America.

Benjamin Scott graduated in 2011 in Law with European Legal Studies at the University of Kent and the University of Amsterdam LL.B. (Hons). He then went on to study for an LL.M. in International Commercial Law at the University of Kent

where he was awarded a Distinction. In 2013, Benjamyn studied for the LL.M. Advanced Studies in Air and Space Law at Leiden University where he graduated Cum Laude. Currently Benjamyn is a Legal Adviser specialising in European and international aviation law, where he, among other areas, works on drones. Furthermore, he has a strong research interest in developing technologies, having written numerous journal articles and book chapters on drones, suborbital transportation and roadable aircraft. Benjamyn's most notable publication is his 2016 book entitled 'The Law of Unmanned Aircraft Systems: An Introduction to the Current and Future Regulation under National, Regional and International Law'. Finally, in 2014, he was awarded the second EALA Prize for a paper on the future regulation of drones in Europe and he is also a member of the International Board of Review at Leiden-Sarin International Air Law Moot Court.

Tamieck van Vuuren is a graduate of the Irish Centre for Human Rights (LL.M. International human rights). She specializes in European law and human rights in the context of new technologies and wrote her dissertation on freedom of expression in the digital age. She currently works as a policy officer Migration & Asylum at the Dutch Permanent Representation to the EU.

Bas Vergouw holds degrees in both Criminology and Computer Science. He has been employed as a researcher with both the Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement (NSCR) and the Research and Documentation Centre (WODC) of the Dutch Ministry of Security and Justice. Here, he has written reports and articles on a variety of criminological and technological subjects like drones, technology use in policing, crime statistics and suspended sentences.

Currently, he is employed as a digital researcher with the Dutch Authority for Consumers and Markets (ACM). Here, he is involved in projects on a wide variety of subjects like spam, safety aspects of mobile devices and various open-source tools. His main interests include technology, criminology, big data, data analysis and open-source intelligence.

Uri Volovelsky is a practicing attorney (currently working at Caspi & Co., one of Israel's oldest and best-known law firms) specializing in corporate and commercial law and cross-border transactions; he provides litigation and consultancy services to multinational private and public companies and is also a researcher in technology and privacy laws (Adjunct Lecturer at the College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon LeZion, Israel). He has an LL.M. degree from Fordham University, New York (Banking, Corporate Law & Finance Law) (cum laude); an MBA from the College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon LeZion (Multinational Business Activities); an LL.B. from the College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon LeZion (cum laude); and a BA from the Interdisciplinary Center, Herzliya (Government, Diplomacy and Strategy) (cum laude). He has extensive research experience in the field of privacy, defamation and corporate law and is the author of many legal comparative articles including a comparative article which examines the implications of the use of drones for civilian purposes (i.e. non-military use) on the right to privacy for which he has received Elsevier's best academic paper award.

Abi Weaver Director within the international division of the American Red Cross, is currently researching and testing emerging technology solutions with the vision that they can help strengthen disaster resilience in urban communities. Abi comes from a family of early adopters. Her grandmother was the first among them to purchase a personal computer. And while she was in primary school, her parents adopted a household robot. With this background, it's no surprise that Abi steered her career toward 3D printers, augmented reality and smart cars and the like. But for Abi, the lure is not the technology. The draw is what these tools enable humans to accomplish. In addition to her 11-year career with the Red Cross, Abi has held strategic communications and government relations positions at PATH, an international nonprofit organization that accelerates global health technologies, as well as the National Parent Teacher Association, America's premiere child advocacy organization. She has earned degrees in journalism and public relations, and lives in the Washington, DC metro area.

David Wright is Director of Trilateral Research, a London-based company, which he founded in 2004. He has been a partner in numerous projects funded by the European Commission involving privacy, surveillance, risk, security and ethics. He has participated in four ENISA expert groups developing scenarios and assessing risks associated with e-health, the Internet of Things, cloud computing, and privacy and trust. He was a member of the European Commission's trust-at-risk foresight expert group. He has published many papers in peer-reviewed journals as well as four books, including the first and only book on *Privacy Impact Assessment* (Springer, 2012). He wrote the first paper on ethical impact assessment (Wright, David, "A framework for the ethical impact assessment of information technology", *Ethics and Information Technology*, Vol. 13, No. 3, September 2011, pp. 199–226.). He also wrote the first paper on surveillance impact assessment (Wright, David, and Charles D. Raab, "Constructing a surveillance impact assessment", *Computer Law & Security Review*, Vol. 28, No. 6, Dec 2012.).

Wim Zwijnenburg is a Humanitarian Disarmament Project Leader for the Dutch peace organization PAX. Together with people in conflict areas and concerned citizens worldwide, PAX works to build just and peaceful societies across the globe. His work focuses on emerging military technologies and their impact on how wars are being fought and consequences for arms use and proliferation. He is the author of a number of research and policy reports on the use and proliferation of drones and works within different EU and UN forums with civil society organizations and experts to promote discussions on armed drones. He also works on the impact of conflict on the environment in the Middle East and has been involved in the Control Arms campaign on the Arms Trade Treaty.

Wim holds a BA and an M.Sc. in International Development Studies from the Radboud University in Nijmegen, with a minor in Conflict Studies and Political Science. He received a Philosophy scholarship from the Thomas More Foundation.

Abbreviations

ACLU	American Civil Liberties Union
ACPO	Association of Chief Police Officers
ADCO	Administrative Co-operation
ADS-B	Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast
AED	Automated External Defibrillator
AGL	Above Ground Level
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANAC	Administración Nacional de Aviación Civil (Argentina)
ANAC	Agência Nacional De Aviaco Civil (Brazil)
ANO	Air Navigation Order (UK)
ANSPs	Air Navigation Service Providers
ASD	Aeronautical Systems Center
ATM	Air Traffic Management
ATT	Arms Trade Treaty
BALPA	British Airline Pilots Association
BC10	Beijing Convention 2010: Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Relating to International Civil Aviation. ICAO Doc. 9960
BD	Big Data
BP10	Beijing Protocol 2010: Protocol Supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft. Doc 9959
BS	Border Surveillance
BYTE	Big data roadmap and cross-disciplinary community for addressing societal externalities
C2C	Command and Control
CAA	Civil Aviation Authority
CAD	Civil Aviation Department (Hong Kong)
CC44	Chicago Convention 1944: Convention on International Civil Aviation. 61 Stat. 1180; 15 UNTS 295
CCTV	Closed Circuit Television
CEO	Chief Executive Officer

CEPT	Conférence Européenne des Administrations des Postes et des Télécommunications
CERA	Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
CISE	Common Information Sharing Environment
CJEU	European Court of Justice
CNIL	Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés (France)
CNPC	Control and Non Payload Communications
COA	Certificates of Waiver or Authorization
COE	Council of Europe
COIN	Counter-Insurgency/Counter-Terrorism
COSME	EU programme for Competitiveness of Enterprises and Small and Medium-sized Enterprises
CPIP	Common Pre-Frontier Intelligence Picture
CSDP	Common Security and Defense Policy
DAA	Detect and Avoid
DARPA	US Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
Decea	Department of Airspace Control (Brazil)
DGCA	Directorate General of Civil Aviation (France)
DG CNCT	EU Directorate General for Communications, Networks, Content and Technology
DG ENTR	EU Directorate General for Enterprise and Industry
DHL	DHL Express
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DOT	US Department of Transportation
DPA	Data Protection Authority
DPIA	Data Protection Impact Assessment
EASA	European Aviation Safety Agency
EC	European Commission
ECA	European Cockpit Association
ECAC	European Civil Aviation Conference
ECC	Electronic Communications Committee
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECTHR	European Court of Human Rights
EDA	European Defense Agency
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EEAS	European External Action Service
E-ES	Entry-Exit System
EMC	Electro Magnetic Compatibility
ENG/OB	Electronic News Gathering and Outside Broadcasting
ERC	European Radio Committee (former ECC)
EREA	European Research Establishments in Aeronautics
ESA	European Space Agency
ESP	European Situational Picture
ETA	Euskadi Ta Askatasuna (Basque nationalist and separatist organization)

EU	European Union
EUBAM	EU Border Assistance Mission
EUROCAE	European Organisation for Civil Aviation Equipment
EURODAC	European Dactyloscopy, the European fingerprint database
EUROSUR	EU external border surveillance system
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FATA	Federally Administered Tribal Areas (Afghanistan and Pakistan)
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FedEx	Federal Express
Frontex	EU Agency for external border cooperation
FSS	Fixed Satellite Services
GDPR	EU General Data Protection Regulation
GIS	Geographic Information System
GMES	Global environmental monitoring and security related operations
GPS	Global Positioning System
HOT	Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Team
HVT	High Value Target
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ICO	Information Commissioner's Office (UK)
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICT	Information and Communication Technologies
IFRC	International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies
IHL	International Humanitarian Law
IHRL	International Human Rights Law
ILT	Human Environment and Transport Inspectorate (Netherlands)
IMO	International Maritime Organization
IOM	International Organization for Migration
IS (ISIL/ISIS)	Islamic State (of Iraq and the Levant)
ISAF	International Security Assistance Force
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISR	Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance
IT	Information Technologies
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
JARUS	Joint Authorities for Rulemaking on Unmanned Systems
JSOC	Joint Special Operations Command
kg	kilogram
LARs	Lebanese Aviation Regulations
LED	Light-Emitting Diode
LIBE	Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs
MA	Manned Aircraft
MALE	Medium Altitude Long Endurance Drone
MAV	Micro Aerial Vehicle
MC99	Montreal Convention 1999: Montreal Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air. 2242 U.N.T.S. 309; S. Treaty Doc. No. 106-45
MH	Mental Health

MHz	Megahertz, one million hertz
MONUSCO	UN Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo
mW	Milliwatt, one thousandth Watt
NCB	Nuclear, Chemical or Biological weapons
NCC	National Coordination Centres
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NLRC	Netherlands Red Cross Society
NRA	National Regulating Authority
NYU	New York University
PbD	Privacy by Design
PETs	Privacy Enhancing Technologies
PIA	Privacy Impact Assessment
PII	Personally Identifiable Information
PTSD	Post-Traumatic Stress-Disorder
R&TTE	Radio and Telecommunications Terminal Equipment
RC52	Rome Convention 1952: Convention on Damage Caused by Foreign Aircraft to Third Parties on the Surface. ICAO Doc. 7364/310 UNTS 182
RCMP	Royal Canadian Mountain Police
RED	Radio Equipment Directive
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
ROA	Remotely Operated Aircraft
RPA	Remotely Piloted Aircraft
RPAS	Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems
RR	Radio Regulations
RTP	Registered Travelers Program
SAR	Search and Rescue
SARPs	Standards and Recommended Practices
SBC	Schengen Borders Code
SES	Single European Sky
SESAR JU	Single European Sky ATM Research – Joint Undertaking
SIA	Societal Impact Assessment/Social Impact Assessment/ Surveillance Impact Assessment
SIGINT	Signal Intelligence
SIS	Schengen Information System
SME	Small and Medium Enterprise
SMS	Short Message Service
SOLAS	Convention on the Safety of Life at Sea
SUA	Small Unmanned Aircraft
TC	Third Countries
TCA	Third Country Assistance
TNT	Trinitrotoluene (explosive material)
UA	Unmanned Aircraft
UAS	Unmanned Aircraft System/Unmanned Aerial System

UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UCAV	Unmanned Combat Aerial Vehicle
UGV	Unmanned Ground Vehicle
U-Haul	Self-moving truck band
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UN OCHA	United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
UNCLOS	UN Convention on the Law of the Sea
UNITAR	UN Institute for Training and Research
UNOSAT	UN Operational Satellite Applications Program
UPS	United Parcel Service
US	United States
USPS	United States Postal Service
UVSI	Unmanned Vehicle Systems International
VIP	Very Important Person
VIS	Visa Information System (Schengen)
VLOS	Visual Line of Sight
WC29	Warsaw Convention 1929: Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relating to International Carriage by Air. 49 Stat. 3000; 137 LNTS 11
WRC	World Radio Conference

Part I
Introduction

Chapter 1

Drones Here, There and Everywhere

Introduction and Overview

Bart Custers

Abstract This chapter provides an introduction to this book and an overview of all chapters. Given the popularity of drones and the fact that many of them are easy and cheap to buy, it is generally expected that the ubiquity of drones will significantly increase within the next few years. This raises questions as to what is technologically feasible (now and in the future), what is acceptable from an ethical point of view and what is allowed from a legal point of view. Drone technology is to some extent already available to consumers and more drone technologies are expected to become available to consumers in the near future. The aim and scope of this book: to map the opportunities and threats associated with the use of drones and to discuss the ethical and legal issues of the use of drones. Since drones have many names, including UAVs, UASs and RPASs, the terminology used is explained. This chapter concludes with an overview of the structure of this book, containing chapters on drone technology, the opportunities and threats of drone use, ethical and legal issues concerning the use of drones and potential solutions for these issues.

Keywords Drones · UAV · UAS · RPAS

B. Custers (✉)
eLAW, Center for Law and Digital Technologies, Leiden University, Leiden,
The Netherlands;
WODC, Research Center of the Ministry of Security and Justice, The Hague,
The Netherlands
e-mail: b.h.m.custers@law.leidenuniv.nl

Contents

1.1 The Rise of Drones	4
1.1.1 What This Book Is About.....	6
1.1.2 The WODC Research Project	7
1.2 A Brief History of Drones.....	8
1.3 Terminology	10
1.4 Structure of This Book.....	12
1.4.1 Part I: Introduction.....	12
1.4.2 Part II: Opportunities and Threats.....	13
1.4.3 Part III: Ethical Issues.....	15
1.4.4 Part IV: Legal Issues	16
1.4.5 Part V: Conclusions.....	18
References.....	19

1.1 The Rise of Drones

Mrs. Lisa Pleiss lives in Seattle on the 26th floor of an apartment building. In June 2014 she looked out of her window and saw a drone that seemed to react to her gaze. It appeared to her that there were video cameras on the drone. Mrs. Pleiss was surprised and immediately distressed. Since Mrs. Pleiss lives on the 26th floor, she previously never had to worry about someone peering into her apartment. When she alerted the building management to the presence of the drone, they observed two men outside the building who seemed to be the ones operating the drone. The men quickly disappeared when they saw the management observing them. In the city of Seattle, drones are currently legal to fly, but are not permitted to photograph the inside of someone's home.¹

In October 2014, two Dutch filmmakers in the Dutch city of Utrecht used a drone to take stunning pictures of the Dom tower, which was surrounded by mist.² The Dom is the tallest church spire in the Netherlands, built between 1321 and 1382. The owner of the drone used by the filmmakers received a fine of 350 Euro for using it to photograph the tower. According to Dutch laws, it is illegal to fly a drone without special permission. Furthermore, private drone owners are only allowed to fly drones during the day while the drone is operated in visual line of sight at all times. Additionally, drones may not fly above buildings and people.

In early 2015, people started to report drone sightings over Paris.³ More than a dozen drone sightings over sensitive areas of the French capital were reported to the police. The flights reportedly took place overnight near the river Seine, Place de la Concorde, the Invalides military museum and around the Paris ring-road. Perhaps more worrying, drone sightings were reported over French nuclear plants

¹ Bradwell 2014.

² <http://www.dutchnews.nl/features/2014/11/the-dom-tower-in-utrecht-by-drone/>. Accessed April 1, 2016.

³ <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/mar/04/drone-sightings-paris-seine-concorde-invalides>. Accessed April 1, 2016.

since October of 2014. For some time, it was unclear whether the drone flights were the work of pranksters, tourists or terrorists. However, in early 2015, the police arrested Al Jazeera journalist Tristan Redman, who was fined 1000 euro.⁴

The examples above illustrate the rise of drones in the public space. Civil drones are a relatively new phenomenon in our society. Previously drones were mostly employed in the military domain, for warfare in remote zones in places such as Afghanistan. The use of drones in the military domain got the discussion on drone use started and constituted the basis of the civil market for drones. As a result, these days small drones for civil (non-military) use are increasingly available for purchase by civilian consumers, because they are increasingly inexpensive and easy to buy. For less than a hundred euros, small drones can be purchased in toy stores or via the Internet. For a couple of hundred euros more, one can buy professional drones with advanced photo and video cameras. Most of these small non-military drones for sale to consumers weigh up to several kilograms and can fly within a range of a couple of meters to a several hundred meters.

The *possibilities* for the use of drones can be found in virtually all sectors of society. In the public sector drones can be used in the prevention of crime, in making reconstructions of crime scenes, in countering disasters, for dike inspections, geological surveys,⁵ countering fraud, guarding borders,⁶ and for environmental and agricultural inspections.⁷ In the private sector there is potential for camera applications, to make aerial photographs, to help prevent neighbourhood crime and to support population census estimations. Drones also offer greater possibilities in the field of cinematography, television and other entertainment.⁸ Additionally, there are numerous potential applications for drones equipped with various payloads, such as drones with heat sensors to detect cannabis plantations, drones that carry water, food or medicine for rescue operations, and drones with pesticides for use in agriculture. In the military domain, drones are used for several purposes, including deployment in conflicts.

The potential of drones is offset by the *threats* that make drones the target of damage, the means of inflicting damage, or an environmental factor responsible for damaging effects. Some people may deliberately try to damage or steal drones or their payloads, including collected data. Others may use drones as a means of inflicting damage, such as (intentional) security or privacy threats, using drones to collide with people or objects,⁹ to drop certain (hazardous) payloads,¹⁰ and to spy on other people or annoyingly monitor them. Non-intentional environmental

⁴ BBC News 2015.

⁵ Parker 2014; Dillow 2013.

⁶ Caroll 2014; Stewart 2014; Preston 2014.

⁷ Wozniacka 2013.

⁸ CBS News 2014.

⁹ Heine 2013.

¹⁰ Chosun 2014.

safety risks include various threats regarding air traffic (crashing, colliding, etc.).¹¹ Drones may violate privacy in different ways, e.g., by harassing people (or, at the least, causing nuisance and annoyance), by their large-scale (legal or illegal) collection of personal data, inadequate transparency regarding what data are collected and how that data are used. Drones are also subject to ‘function creep’ (using data for purposes other than those for which they were originally collected).¹² In the military domain, drone use may cause civilian casualties.

Given their popularity and low cost, drones will become significantly more ubiquitous in the next few years. This raises questions as to what is technologically feasible (now and in the future), what is acceptable from an ethical point of view and what is allowed from a legal point of view. Drone technology continues to evolve. In support of further research and debate, this book aims to map the opportunities and threats associated with the use of drones and to discuss their ethical and legal implications.

This chapter provides an introduction to this book and an overview of the chapters that will follow. This first section briefly introduces the premise of this book, including its scope and intended audience, and what inspired us to write it. Section 1.2 provides a brief history of drones. Next, Sect. 1.3 describes the terminology used in this book (since drones are referred to in many ways, including terms such as UAVs, UASs and RPASs).¹³ Finally, Sect. 1.4 sketches the structure of this book and introduces all chapters that follow.

1.1.1 What This Book Is About

This book aims to map the opportunities and threats associated with the current and future use of drones and to discuss the ethical and legal issues of drone use. As such, this book is useful as a reference for further research and debate, such as directions for desirable or undesirable technological developments, necessary, useful or unwanted applications of drones and possible regulation of drone technology and drone use.

The scope of this book includes existing drone technology and drone practices as well as technologies and applications under development or expected to materialize within the next 5–10 years. In Chap. 2, technological developments such as further miniaturization, increased flight autonomy and the use of swarms of drones are discussed.

Although this book provides information about drone technology in order to understand possibilities and threats and the ethical and legal issues raised by

¹¹ CASA 2013.

¹² See also Finn et al. 2014.

¹³ UAV: Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, UAS: Unmanned Aircraft (or Aerial) System, RPAS: Remotely Piloted Aircraft System.

drones, it does not primarily have a technological focus. Rather, it deals with the social, ethical and legal effects of drone technology. The societal effects are discussed in terms of opportunities and threats. Ethical issues are discussed in terms of which types of drone use may violate important moral values and principles, which moral conflicts may occur and which types of drone use may call for applying moral principles in new ways. Legal issues are discussed in terms of analyses of current legal frameworks (what is allowed?) and envisioned legal frameworks (what should be allowed?). Ethical, legal and societal issues relate to, inter alia, privacy, trust, liberty, dignity, equality and possible chilling effects resulting from drone use.

Countries have different viewpoints on and different legal regimes for drone use. The authors contributing to this book present perspectives from different countries. However, since drone technology is an international development (drones are often and easily sold across borders), many of the opportunities, threats and ethical and legal issues discussed are universal. For example, many legal issues are the same across different jurisdictions. For example, aviation laws have a strong international orientation and many countries apply similarly strict regulations that aim to prevent a too liberal use of drones, mainly for reasons of air traffic safety. Simultaneously, many countries are studying whether the regulations are sufficient to cope with current and future developments, and are debating which types of drones use should be permitted. There are no real frontrunners here. Most Western countries are still debating drone use and possible amendments to rules and regulations.

Due to the speed of many technological developments, it is sometimes difficult for people without a technological background to understand how these technologies work and what impact they may have. This book attempts to explain the latest technological developments with regard to drones in a straightforward manner. Similarly, experts in drone technology may not always be aware of the legal and ethical perspectives. As such, this book can be of value to academics in several disciplines, such as law, technology, ethics, sociology, politics, and public administration. Furthermore, this book may be of value to people who may be confronted with the use of drones in their work, such as those working in the military, law enforcement, disaster management and infrastructure management. Individuals and businesses with a specific interest in drones are also part of the target audience for this book.

1.1.2 The WODC Research Project

The idea for this volume on drones came from research that we carried out at the WODC, the research centre of the Ministry of Security and Justice in the Netherlands.¹⁴ Both the Dutch parliament and the Dutch government requested

¹⁴ <http://www.wodc.nl>. Accessed April 1, 2016.

this research in order to obtain more knowledge and information on the use of drones. This research was carried out in 2014 and published (in Dutch) in 2015.¹⁵ The research approach was based on an extensive literature study, including scientific literature, professional literature and media messages with regard to the use of drones, and interviews, held with experts in various disciplines, including scientists who develop drones, companies that manufacture or use drones, companies that offer drone services and organizations that purchase such services, organizations in the security sector and scholars in the fields of privacy, ethics and human rights. In total, interviews were held with 17 individuals with the aid of a semi-structured questionnaire, complemented by conversations with about the same number of people of other organizations. The research report was submitted to the Dutch Minister of Security and Justice, who sent the research report to the Dutch Parliament indicating that he would come up with more detailed plans for the use of drones in the course of 2015. Some plans were presented and discussed in the Dutch Parliament on 21 September 2015, but during this debate it became clear that more detailed plans are needed in the coming years.

Apart from the research report mentioned above, researchers, policymakers and other experts in the field of drones and drone use were invited via a call for papers to submit chapters for this book. This was done via both a targeted approach, addressing authors of drone literature, and via posting and distributing the call for papers via websites and email. As a result, almost 30 abstracts were received, from which the authors of approximately 20 abstracts were invited to submit a full chapter. These chapters went through a double blind review process, after which some chapters were rejected or withdrawn. The call for papers opened in early 2015 and the final manuscript was ready end of 2015.

1.2 A Brief History of Drones

The recent media attention for drones suggests that civil drones are a new phenomenon and that such drones are a new technology. However, drones have existed for almost a century.¹⁶ What is new, though, is the fact that today drones are small, relatively inexpensive and easily available. Drones sales figures are hard to find, but millions of drones have already been sold.¹⁷ Amazon is selling more than 10,000 drones a month. In order to better understand where drone technology is heading, this section provides a brief history of drones.¹⁸

¹⁵ Custers et al. 2015.

¹⁶ HSD 2014. Depending on definition, drones exist even longer. There are examples of aircraft without persons on-board during the American Civil War.

¹⁷ Reagan 2015.

¹⁸ For a detailed account of the historical development of drones, see also Villasensor 2013.

The earliest unmanned aircraft were probably (hot air) balloons. However, these balloons are generally not considered drones, mainly because their flight cannot be controlled.¹⁹ During World War I, radio control techniques were used to build unmanned aircraft. The first flight of the Hewitt-Sperry Automatic Airplane was in 1917.²⁰ This airplane was developed as an aerial torpedo, for military purposes, and is considered to be a flying bomb and precursor of the cruise missile.²¹ In 1918 was the first flight of the Kettering Bug, an unmanned aerial torpedo capable of striking ground targets in a range of 120 km, while flying at 80 km/h.²² World War I ended before the Kettering Bug could be deployed. After World War I, airplanes were converted into drones. Examples are the Larynx (1927), the Fairy Queen (1931) and the DH.82B Queen Bee. The name Queen Bee is said to have led to the use of the term ‘drone’ (a male bee) for pilotless aircraft.²³

During World War II, the Radioplane Company manufactured nearly 15,000 drones of the Radioplane OQ-2 for the US Army.²⁴ As such, it was the first mass-produced drone. This drone was launched with a catapult and recovered by parachute. The later version, the OQ-3 was also widely used during World War II, with over 9400 being built during the war.

After World War II, drones were also used for purposes other than being or dropping bombs. The first drone for aerial reconnaissance was the MQM-57 Falconer.²⁵ This drone had its first flight in 1955. It was a 124 kg aircraft that could carry cameras and illumination flares for night reconnaissance.²⁶ Over 73,000 were built and used in at least 18 countries.

During the Vietnam War, the US used Ryan Firebee drones,²⁷ which were developed in 1951. These drones were launched from Hercules transport aircraft, which could carry four Firebee drones in total, two attached under each wing. More than 7000 Firebees were built and, although production ended in 1982, some of them are still in service. For example, five (modernized) Firebees were used to lay chaff corridors (radar distraction with thin pieces of aluminum, metalized glass fibre or plastic) during the 2003 invasion of Iraq.

¹⁹ Note that from a legal perspective, balloons may be considered (unmanned) aircraft, see also Chap. 13.

²⁰ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hewitt-Sperry_Automatic_Airplane. Accessed 1 April 2016.

²¹ Werrell and Stevens 1985.

²² Zaloga 2008. See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kettering_Bug. Accessed 1 April 2016.

²³ Yenne 2004. See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_unmanned_aerial_vehicles. Accessed April 1, 2016.

²⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radioplane_OQ-2. Accessed April 1, 2016.

²⁵ Anonymous 1956.

²⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radioplane_BTT#MQM-57_Falconer. Accessed April 1, 2016.

²⁷ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ryan_Firebee. Accessed April 1, 2016.