

Flexible Systems Management

Sushil  
Julia Connell  
John Burgess *Editors*

# Flexible Work Organizations

The Challenges of Capacity Building  
in Asia

 Springer

# Flexible Systems Management

## Series editor

Sushil, Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,  
New Delhi, India

## Editorial Board

**Gerhard Chroust**, Institute for Telekooperation, Johannes Kepler University Linz,  
Austria

**Julia Connell**, Curtin Business School, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia

**Stuart Evans**, Integrated Innovation Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

**Takao Fujiwara**, Toyohashi University of Technology, Toyohashi, Aichi, Japan

**Mike C JacksonOBE**, University of Hull, UK

**Rashmi Jain**, Montclair State University, Montclair, New Jersey, USA

**Ramaraj Palanisamy**, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, NS, Canada

**Edward A. Stohr**, Stevens Institute of Technology, New Jersey, USA

The main objective of this series on Flexible Systems Management is to provide a rich collection of research as well as practice based contributions, from different contexts, that can serve as reference material in this upcoming area. Some of these books will be published in association with 'Global Institute of Flexible Systems Management'.

It will help in cross-fertilizing ideas from different perspectives of flexibility so as to consolidate and enrich the paradigm of flexible systems management. The audience for the volumes under this series includes researchers, management students/teachers, and practitioners interested in exploring various facets of flexibility research and practice.

The series features five types of books:

- *Post conference volumes* containing peer reviewed high quality research papers around a theme and clustered in sub-themes that can act as good reference material.
- *Contributed thematic volumes* based on invited papers from leading professionals, from academia as well practicing world, containing state of the art on an emerging theme.
- *Research monographs* based on research work making a comprehensive contribution to the body of knowledge.
- *Books based on novel frameworks and methodologies* covering new developments that are well tested and ready for wider application in research as well as practice.
- *Business practices and case-based books* documenting flexibility practices, strategies, and systems in real life organizations.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/10780>

Sushil · Julia Connell · John Burgess  
Editors

# Flexible Work Organizations

The Challenges of Capacity Building in Asia

 Springer

*Editors*

Sushil  
Department of Management Studies  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
New Delhi  
India

John Burgess  
Curtin Business School (CBS)  
Curtin University  
Perth  
WA  
Australia

Julia Connell  
University of Technology Sydney  
Ultimo  
NSW  
Australia

ISSN 2199-8493

Flexible Systems Management

ISBN 978-81-322-2832-5

DOI 10.1007/978-81-322-2834-9

ISSN 2199-8507 (electronic)

ISBN 978-81-322-2834-9 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016938381

© Springer India 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer (India) Pvt. Ltd.

# Preface

The topic of Flexible Work Organizations is emerging as a key concern in Asia due to the opening up of economies. Flexibility is an important characteristic for success in dynamic and sometimes unpredictable environments (Farnese et al. 2015). Hence, it has been advocated that business enterprises practice reactive flexible capacity (in the form of adaptiveness and responsiveness) in order to cope with changing and uncertain business environments. Organizations may also endeavor to develop their capacity for flexibility through various organizational change initiatives, leadership strategies, reengineering, innovation in products and processes, the use of information and communication technology, learning orientations, and more.

The chapters in this book combine the concept of ‘Flexible Work Organizations’ with the various challenges associated with ‘Capacity Building in Asia.’ The chapters represent a variety of papers that were reviewed and developed following presentation at the 7th ICCB (International Conference on Contemporary Business) and the 14th GLOGIFT (Global Conference on Flexible Systems Management) held at Curtin Business School, Curtin University, Singapore, in October 2014. The twin International Conference theme was ‘Flexible Capacity Building in Asia and the Pacific.’ Here, practitioners and academics from different continents gathered and shared their practical experiences, knowledge, and insights into the conceptualization, formulation, implementation, and assessment of flexibility in the dynamic environment of business. The objective of the conference was to provide a knowledge-sharing platform for the dissemination of academic and practical findings through empirical study, qualitative modeling, case studies, new concepts, and state-of-the-art research.

The conference ‘call for papers’ resulted in more than 100 research papers being submitted which were then subjected to blind peer review prior to acceptance. Participating authors came from various parts of India, Austria, the USA, Singapore, Australia, Indonesia, and Malaysia. Selected papers that were presented at the conference were subsequently reviewed again and then organized in the form of this edited volume that is intended to serve as reference material in the area of Flexible Work Organizations and the Challenges of Capacity Building in Asia.

The selected chapters cover a variety of topics concerning Flexible Work Organizations and the Challenges of Capacity Building in Asia which are organized into following four parts:

- I. Flexible Work Organization
- II. Flexible Capacity Building for Management and HRM
- III. Flexibility and Internationalization
- IV. Capacity Building—Government and the Broader Economy

Part I on the topic of Flexible Work Organization incorporates six chapters. Chapter 1 helps to set the scene for the entire book as it focuses on the Theory of Flexible Systems Management. The chapter outlines numerous types of flexibilities in an organization while pointing out that although all these developments contribute to the theoretical basis of the paradigm of flexible systems management, a well-defined and comprehensive theory is still lacking. Consequently, the author endeavors to identify the building blocks of flexible systems management, their relationships, and causality. Chapter 2 focuses on telecommuting and the relatively new concept of co-working communities and how these practices can contribute to both individual and organizational flexibility. Co-working is defined as a practice where people occupy a desk on a casual or temporary basis in a workspace that is shared with others. It is pointed out that the difference between co-working and hot-desking (for example) is that the spaces are usually not controlled by an employer but managed and facilitated by the external organizers. Chapter 3 explores holistic learning in various contexts, introducing a cybernetic framework to assist in structuring exploration and further study of the topic. Chapter 4 examines Flexible Distribution Strategies in Network Marketing Companies, an area that has been largely overlooked in research to date. The purpose of the chapter is to compare customers' perceptions across two companies and formulate 'Distribution Flexibility' models to enable flexible distribution strategies. Chapter 5 examines flexibility and the socially sustainable business practices of two Indian manufacturing companies in different manufacturing sectors. Presenting an empirical study, the authors maintain that the research findings will be useful for supply chain managers in sustainability operations who want to better understand the diverse patterns of social sustainability, and how they can assist as instruments to improve decision making. Chapter 6, the final chapter in this part, examines the facilitation of Spirituality in the Workplace. The authors propose that workplace spirituality is increasingly being considered an organizational variable that affects employee behavior and organizational performance that can facilitate employees' experience of meaning, connectedness, and purpose in life. Focusing on three organizations, the chapter offers implications for practitioners and researchers wishing to further the study and practice of workplace spirituality.

Part II of the book—Flexible Capacity Building for Management and HRM—comprises five chapters. In Chap. 7, the concept 'Ethical Mindsets' is set in an international context. An ethical mindset is defined as '...an appreciation of and reflection on any situation through the filter of personal beliefs and values such as honesty, integrity, harmony, balance, truth seeking, making a difference, and

demonstrating professionalism, deriving from the strength rooted in an individual's inner-self' (Issa 2009, p. 163). This concept supports the importance of different cultures and argues that an appreciation of ethical mindsets will assist in enhancing national capacity building. Chapter 8 presents the findings from a study of the effects of work-life programs on employee attitudes and behavior in the Indonesian higher education sector. The work attitudes and behaviors examined include organizational citizenship behaviors, in-role performance, and organizational commitment. The relationship between work-life balance programs and employee behaviors and attitudes was tested via a survey across Indonesian higher education institutions. Chapter 9 discusses Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) programs and practices in the higher education sector in Thailand. The chapter identifies the relationship between HRM strategy, policies and practices, and organizational strategy in the Thai higher education system. This chapter identifies and classifies the HRM programs in place; examines the application of these programs and the impact and relevance of the programs for employees, and discusses measures to improve the design and application of HRM programs within the sector. Chapter 10 examines network-based social capital and the effectiveness of capacity building programs of a particular humanitarian international NGO in Myanmar with respect to networking, social entrepreneurship, capacity building, and targeted populations. There is a particular focus on women, particularly in relation to disaster-related events. The chapter presents a case study of an international NGO program that was involved in economic development for women and girls who lost family members and property after the Cyclone Nargis hit Labutta, Myanmar, in 2008. In Chap. 11, competency development and organizational flexibility in the Indian IT services sector is discussed. In this chapter, it is advocated that people competencies must be constantly upgraded or revised in organizations, since market conditions are constantly changing. In knowledge industries, it is argued that people competency plays a major role in the overall business. The chapter outlines a process for enhancing personnel competencies and organizational ability.

Part III of the book, Flexibility and Internationalization, consists of four chapters. Chapter 12 draws on recent corporate experiences in innovation concerning the unmet needs of the bottom of the pyramid (BOP) market. The author calls for a metanarrative of flexible capacity building in delivering sustainable outcomes, arguing that the search for a grand narrative essentially lies in the ability to overcome mindset traps, not only in the corporate arena, but also in relation to stakeholders such as policy makers, NGO's, and local communities. Chapter 13 concentrates on the flexible capacity building that is driving emerging markets and internationalization. The authors examine the influence of strategic capacity building with regard to the degree of internationalization among Indian firms. Findings from 200 analyzed surveys illustrated that commercial, operational systems and organizational capacity building have the maximum influence on strategic flexibility, which, in turn, was found to have a positive influence on the degree of internationalization of the Indian manufacturing firms that were analyzed. Chapter 14 in this part presents a framework for informal economies in developing nations,

clarifying linkages with the formal economies of both developing and developed nations as a function of national competitiveness. Using a case study to contextualize the framework, the authors conclude with suggestions for future research on the topic. Chapter 15 in this part explores the continuity and change forces of international technology strategy. The intention of the authors is to identify critical continuity and change forces that are associated with the management of international technology strategy. A hierarchical relationship of continuity and change was developed to analyze the effect on the technology performance of an organization with the intention that it provides a useful strategy for practitioners, and a guide for future scholars who may wish to further the study of the topic.

The final Part IV of this book centers on Capacity Building in relation to Governments and the Broader Economy and consists of five chapters. Chapter 16 in this part presents strategic analysis of actor competencies and their impact on e-Governance performance in the context of India. It is based on a survey of government officials and beneficiaries of government services. The competence level of actors has been measured in terms of their 'Ability to use project services,' 'Ability to use computing facilities,' and 'Ability to maintain contact' with implementers and beneficiaries. e-governance performance is measured as the common expected benefits. Chapter 17 deals with the concept of open innovation which contemporary organizations are looking for to cope with change. The authors examined this topic as a flexible practice for intellectual property management in the pharmaceutical sector based on the analysis of secondary data. The findings of this study, though mainly contextual, are intended to add to the conceptual understanding of open innovation and flexibility. Another study on pharmaceutical companies in the Indian context, based on secondary data, is reported in Chap. 18. This chapter attempts to touch upon the marketing strategies that the Indian pharmaceutical companies have adopted, to not just meet new challenges but also leverage on opportunities that have arisen after the implementation of the product patent regime in India. The authors of Chap. 19 report investment patterns and quarterly trading flexible strategies of the Indian mutual funds industry. This work suggests that investors trying to optimize return concentrate their focus on institutions having a large chunk of securities. In contrast, internally managed funds for educational institutions and foundations, which have their own asset management services, are not interested in window dressing their portfolio. The final Chap. 20 in this part of the volume presents an empirical validation of the hierarchical relationship models of strategic crystal elements of telecom business services in the Indian context. Focusing on the flowing stream strategy crystal as a base, it comprises continuity forces, change forces, enterprise factors, and customer factors, reporting on the empirical validation of previously informed total interpretive structural models for each one of these elements of the strategy crystal. The chapters in the final part of the book deal with diverse topics related to capacity building in government and the broader economy, which it is intended to serve as a sound basis for future researchers.

In summary, the various chapters in this book illustrate the concept of flexibility, as an organization's ability to react to and accommodate change in the environment (see Arnold et al. 2011). Most chapters have combined flexibility with capacity building in a range of different contexts in order to further understanding of the topics studied across several countries. The term capacity building is generally used with regard to community development. However, as it has been defined as the organizational and technical abilities, relationships, and values that enable organizations, groups, and individuals to achieve their objectives over time (see Matachi 2006), it was considered useful to integrate with the concept of flexibility for the purpose of this book.

It is anticipated that this edited volume on Flexible Work Organizations and the Challenges of Capacity Building in Asia will provide a useful resource for a variety of audiences such as management students and researchers; practicing business managers; consultants; and professional institutions.

Finally, we would like to thank all of the authors and reviewers who helped to bring this volume to fruition. In particular, we would like to thank Rejani Raghu who communicated with authors and reviewers as well as helped to format the final manuscript.

Sushil  
Julia Connell  
John Burgess

## References

- Arnold, V., Benford, T., Canada, J., & Sutton, S. G. (2011). The role of strategic enterprise risk management and organizational flexibility in easing new regulatory compliance, *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 12(3), 171–188.
- Farnese, M. L., Fida, R., & Livi, S. (2015). Reflexivity and flexibility: Complementary routes to innovation?, *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1–16.
- Issa, T. (2009). *Ethical Mindsets, Aesthetics and Spirituality: A Mixed-Methods Approach Analysis of the Australian Services Sector*. (Available online through URL: [http://espace.library.curtin.edu.au/R/?func=dbin-jump-full&object\\_id=131986&local\\_base=GEN01-ERA02](http://espace.library.curtin.edu.au/R/?func=dbin-jump-full&object_id=131986&local_base=GEN01-ERA02)), Curtin University of Technology, Perth.
- Matachi, A. (2006). *Capacity building framework: UNESCO-IICBA*, United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

# Contents

## Part I Flexible Work Organization

<b>1</b>	<b>Theory of Flexible Systems Management . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
	Sushil	
<b>2</b>	<b>Telecommuting and Co-Working Communities: What Are the Implications for Individual and Organizational Flexibility? . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
	Catherine Raffaele and Julia Connell	
<b>3</b>	<b>An Exploration of Holistic Learning in Different Contexts . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
	Tirumala R. Vinnakota	
<b>4</b>	<b>Flexible Distribution Strategies in Network Marketing Companies. . . .</b>	<b>51</b>
	Bhavannarayana Kandala and Sudha Vemaraju	
<b>5</b>	<b>Flexibility in Social Sustainability: Evidence from Indian Manufacturing Industries . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
	V. Mani, Rajat Agrawal and Vinay Sharma	
<b>6</b>	<b>Facilitating Workplace Spirituality: A Study of Organizational Values and Practices . . . . .</b>	<b>89</b>
	Chitra Khari and Shuchi Sinha	

## Part II Flexible Capacity Building for Management and HRM

<b>7</b>	<b>Ethical Mindsets: Learning from Cultural Comparisons . . . . .</b>	<b>105</b>
	Theodora Issa	
<b>8</b>	<b>Indonesian Work Life Balance Policies and Their Impact on Employees in the Higher Education Sector. . . . .</b>	<b>119</b>
	Tri Wulida Afrianty, Theodora Issa and John Burgess	
<b>9</b>	<b>The Challenges of Strategic HRM Programs in Thai Higher Education Sector . . . . .</b>	<b>135</b>
	Kunsiree Kowsuvon and John Burgess	

<b>10</b>	<b>An Analysis of Social Capital and Women’s Capacity Building in Myanmar</b> . . . . .	149
	Kathy Shein and Julia Connell	
<b>11</b>	<b>Agility in Competency Building—A Pedagogical Approach with a Case Illustration from the Indian IT Industry</b> . . . . .	165
	Jose Kumar Reddypogu, Ravi Shankar Pillutla and M.G.P.L. Narayana	
<b>Part III Flexibility and Internationalization</b>		
<b>12</b>	<b>Rethinking Business Models for Flexible Configuration: Lessons from Disruptive Innovations at the Bottom of the Pyramid</b> . . . . .	181
	Samir Ranjan Chatterjee	
<b>13</b>	<b>Strategically Flexible Capacity Building Driving Emerging Markets Internationalization</b> . . . . .	195
	Soma Arora and Mahim Sagar	
<b>14</b>	<b>Global Competitiveness of Informal Economy Organizations</b> . . . . .	209
	Sanjay Dhir and Sushil	
<b>15</b>	<b>Hierarchy of Continuity and Change Forces of International Technology Strategy</b> . . . . .	225
	Prakash Kumar Kedia and Sushil	
<b>Part IV Capacity Building—Government and the Broader Economy</b>		
<b>16</b>	<b>A Strategic Analysis of Actor Competencies and e-Governance Performance</b> . . . . .	241
	P.K. Suri	
<b>17</b>	<b>Open Innovation: A Flexible Practice for Intellectual Property Management in Pharmaceutical Sector</b> . . . . .	261
	Vinita Krishna and Sudhir K. Jain	
<b>18</b>	<b>Impact of Product Patent Regime on Pharmaceutical Companies in India</b> . . . . .	277
	Shazli Ahmed Khan and Saboohi Nasim	
<b>19</b>	<b>Investment Patterns and Quarterly Trading Flexible Strategies of Indian Mutual Funds Industry</b> . . . . .	289
	Manoj Kumar Sharma and Deepak Kumar	
<b>20</b>	<b>Hierarchical Relationship Models of Strategic Crystal Elements of Telecom Service Business in India: An Empirical Validation</b> . . . . .	303
	S.B. Khare	
	<b>Index</b> . . . . .	321

# Editors and Contributors

## About the Editors

**Prof. Sushil** (Series editor) is the Abdulaziz Alsagar Chair Professor (Professor of strategic, flexible systems, and technology management), at the Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi. He has pioneered the area of ‘Flexible Systems Management’ and made original contributions to the field of knowledge in the form of interpretive approaches in management such as SAP-LAP models/linkages, total interpretive structural modeling, and interpretive ranking process. He has evolved the concept and framework of ‘Flowing Stream Strategy’ as strategic flexibility to manage continuity and change.

**Dr. Julia Connell** is an adjunct Professor of Management, Curtin Business School, Curtin University and Director of Researcher Development at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS). Her research includes precarious work, organizational effectiveness, job quality, human resource development, and more. She has published widely in a range of international journals such as the International Journal of HRM, the International Journal of Manpower, Personnel Review, and Management Decision.

**John Burgess** is a Professor at Curtin University, Perth, Australia. His research interests include working hours, employment relations, job quality, work and health, and employment programs at multinational companies. John is an Editorial Board Member of the Australian Bulletin of Labour; Australian Journal of Labour Economics; Economic and Labour Relations Review; and Human Resource Management Journal. He has published widely and co-edited special issues for many journals including the International Journal of Human Resource Management; International Journal of Manpower; and Personnel Review.

## Contributors

**Tri Wulida Afrianty** University of Brawijaya Malang, Malang, Indonesia

**Rajat Agrawal** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

**Soma Arora** Department of Marketing, Institute of Management Technology (IMT), Ghaziabad, UP, India

**John Burgess** School of Management, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

**Samir Ranjan Chatterjee** Asia Business Centre, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

**Julia Connell** Graduate Research School, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Sanjay Dhir** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Theodora Issa** School of Management, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

**Sudhir K. Jain** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Bhavannarayana Kandala** SMS, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Prakash Kumar Kedia** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Shazli Ahmed Khan** Faculty of Management Studies and Research, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

**S. B. Khare** Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, New Delhi, Delhi, India

**Chitra Khari** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Kunsiree Kowsuon** School of Management, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

**Vinita Krishna** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Deepak Kumar** Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Technical University - Estb. by Govt. of UP (Formerly Uttar Pradesh Technical University, Estb. by Govt. of UP), Lucknow, India

**V. Mani** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

**M. G. P. L. Narayana** Business Systems & Cybernetics Centre, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Saboohi Nasim** Faculty of Management Studies and Research, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

**Ravi Shankar Pillutla** Business Systems & Cybernetics Centre, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Catherine Raffaele** Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Jose Kumar Reddypogu** Business Systems & Cybernetics Centre, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Mahim Sagar** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Manoj Kumar Sharma** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Vinay Sharma** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India

**Kathy Shein** Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, Centre for Cosmopolitan and Civil Societies, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

**Shuchi Sinha** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**P. K. Suri** Delhi School of Management, Delhi Technological University, New Delhi, Delhi, India

**Sushil** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Sudha Vemaraju** Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India

**Tirumala R. Vinnakota** Business Systems & Cybernetics Centre, Tata Consultancy Services Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

**Part I**  
**Flexible Work Organization**

# Chapter 1

## Theory of Flexible Systems Management

Sushil

**Abstract** Flexible systems management has been evolving as a paradigm and has taken a more concrete shape, particularly, in the last two decades. A large number of perspectives and frameworks are linked with it. The term flexibility has been defined by various researchers in different contexts in a different manner. Various types of flexibilities in an organization are treated as strategic flexibility, organizational flexibility, people flexibility, operations flexibility, marketing flexibility, financial flexibility, information system flexibility, decision flexibility and so on. Though all these developments contribute towards a theoretical basis of the paradigm of flexible systems management, a well defined and comprehensive theory in this regard is still lacking. This chapter is an attempt to identify the building blocks of flexible systems management and their relationships and causality. This contributes towards answering the fundamental questions of theory building, i.e. 'what', 'how' and 'why'. The building blocks of flexible systems management are identified as: the situation, actor, process, proactive/reactive flexibility, internal/external flexibility, flexibility maturity, learning, action and performance. The chapter provides a critical appraisal of all the building blocks and relationships among them, which can be tested as a full-fledged theory in due course.

**Keywords** Flexibility · Flexible systems management · Theory building

### 1.1 Introduction

Flexible systems management has evolved and been enriched over a period of time, particularly in last couple of decades and has been reflected in many different shades in a variety of contexts (Sushil 2012a, 2015a). This has evolved

---

Sushil (✉)

Department of Management Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,  
Vishwakarma Bhawan, Shaheed Jeet Singh Marg, New Delhi 110016, India  
e-mail: sushil@dms.iitd.ac.in; profsushil@gmail.com

more as an applied research area and resulted in the ideations and development of frameworks and models, largely, from the ground reality and work practices being followed in organizations. Some notable field-based contributions in this area comprise of output flexibility for small firms by Fiegenbaum and Karnani (1991), operating flexibility of multinational corporations by Allen and Pantzalis (1996), flexible enterprise by Gewirtz (1996), flexibility at work by Reilly (2001), determinants of organizational flexibility by Hatum and Pettigrew (2006), adaptability by McKeown (2012) and flexibility in decision making by Gerber et al. (2014) among others. Keeping in view the work practices in diverse areas, it has emerged as a multidimensional and polymorphous concept that embraces different types of flexibilities such as strategic flexibility, organizational flexibility, people flexibility, operations flexibility, marketing flexibility, financial flexibility, information system flexibility, decision flexibility and so on.

It has also been reflected in various connotations or dimensions of flexibility such as adaptiveness, adjustment, agility, amiability, autonomy, balance, compromise, customization, elasticity, liberalization, localization, malleability, mobility, openness, responsiveness, resilience, variability, versatility and so on. In the early stages, practical developments in business organizations took place mainly in the area of manufacturing flexibility through development of flexible manufacturing systems (Browne et al. 1984; Sethi and Sethi 1990) and defined in terms of labour flexibility, capacity flexibility, routing flexibility, machine flexibility, materials handling flexibility, maintenance flexibility, process flexibility, product flexibility, supply chain flexibility and so on. Upton (1994, 1995) connected the manufacturing flexibility work with organizational flexibility. Flexible work practices have also evolved over time such as flexi-time, flexi-place and flexible compensation, among others.

Some early thinking and research on flexibility in an organizational context include the contributions of Marschak and Nelson (1962) on flexibility and uncertainty, Ansoff (1975) in organizational and strategic flexibility, Heimann and Lusk (1976) on decision flexibility, Ackoff (1977) on flexible organizations, Eppink (1978) on strategic flexibility, Krijnen (1979) in flexible firm in terms of organizing, Buzacott (1982) in manufacturing flexibility, Aaker and Mascarenhas (1984) and Harrigan (1980, 1985) on strategic flexibility and Mason (1986) on valuing financial flexibility. A number of reviews have been published on different aspects of flexibility over time (e.g. by Shank et al. 1991; Saleh et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2010; Roberts and Stockport 2014). However, despite development of various concepts, definitions, frameworks, models and systems, the theoretical discussions and attempts of theory building in the area of flexible systems management have been lacking. A good discourse on the theoretical exploration of the magic word 'flexibility' has been presented by Kickert (1985) in the context of public administration and policy making. He considered four theoretical approaches, i.e. contingency theory from organization science, cutback policymaking from administration scientific approach, meta-decision making from decision theoretical approach, and control variety from system theoretical and cybernetic approach. He concluded that flexibility is about speed and the degree of change in control

instruments to cope with environmental uncertainty. But, at the end, he also agreed about the lack of clear theory and expressed that to enhance its practical usefulness theory building on flexibility, is warranted. Any theory building attempts to answer fundamental questions such as ‘what’, ‘how’, ‘why’, ‘who’, ‘where’ and ‘when’, as delineated by Whetten (1989).

This chapter is an attempt to answer some of these questions towards building a theory of flexible systems management. Initially, a discussion is presented to trace the theoretical roots of flexible systems management from a variety of disciplines. Further, some well-established frameworks related to it are discussed. The central part of the chapter is devoted to identify ‘what’ are the elements or building blocks of flexible systems management and ‘how’ these are interrelated with reflection on ‘why’ these are supposed to be related in that manner. This can be treated as a consolidation of thinking and theoretical underpinnings of flexible systems management that may be treated as a base conceptual framework to be tested further for establishing a theory of flexible systems management. Finally, the chapter concludes with discussion on the proposed theoretical framework and directions for future research in this area.

## 1.2 Theoretical Roots

There are rich theories developed over a period of time in diverse disciplines that directly or indirectly indicate some type of flexibility in systems under consideration. The diverse areas of knowledge from where rich theoretical insights are derived in flexible systems thinking include socio-political thoughts, anthropology, economics, organizational theory, management theories, theories of strategic management and systems theory. A selective set of theoretical roots of flexible systems management are outlined in this section covering agency theory, duality theory, contingency theory, stakeholder theory, change theory and systems theory as depicted in Fig. 1.1. This is only a representative set of dominant theories that have been taken as base for flexible systems thinking and may not be treated as a comprehensive set of its theoretical roots.




Fig. 1.1 Theoretic roots of flexible systems management

### ***1.2.1 Agency Theory***

The philosophical, political, economic and social thinkers have treated individual subjects as 'agency' having freedom to decide and act as self-determined individuals. This freedom may be circumvented by the external situation or structure binding the individual to take a set of actions not solely by free-will but guided by morality, ideology, hierarchy, power relationship, etc. This agency-structure debate in political and sociological circles acts as a basis of flexible systems management in the situation-actor-process (SAP) framework, where the actor is construed to have a free-will or rational freedom of choice, that is guided/restricted by the situation in which he/she is placed and the processes that govern him or her. Ross (1973) has discussed the economic theory of agency; a comprehensive review of agency-theory can also be seen in Eisenhardt (1989). Howard-Grenville (2005) deliberated on the role of agency while examining the persistence of flexible organizational routines.

### ***1.2.2 Duality Theory***

According to this theory, there is inherent duality of paradoxically opposite forces in the world, i.e., light-dark, above-below, male-female, continuity-change and so on. Duality theory has been applied widely as it can be seen in the works of Friedman (1972) and Epstein (1981), among others. Lawrence and Lorsch (1967a) have deliberated on the duality of differentiation and integration to be taken up side-by-side in complex organizations. The systemic flexibility treats this duality on the continuum from thesis to antithesis, thereby creating a range of options (some may be paradoxically opposite) and synthesize and dynamically change them by using the freedom of choice of the actors concerned. The core of the concept of flexible systems management has evolved around the concept of duality and management of paradox, which embraces the concept of ambidexterity.

### ***1.2.3 Contingency Theory***

Contingency theory reflects on the failure of the universalization of management principles and approaches. This theory, for the first time, gave significance to the 'situation' (context) in which a management action is to be taken up. Lawrence and Lorsch (1967b) have deliberated that structure of an organization depends upon the factors called as contingencies, which have been interpreted by various authors in a different manner. The management style and strategy are governed by 'if-then' relationship with the situation (Luthans 1973; Hoffer 1975). Mintzberg (1979) defined the two situation variables of dynamism and complexity and

accordingly defined four types of organizational structures, with adhocracy associated with dynamic as well as complex environment. The driving force for flexible systems management is also considered as ‘situation’, but rather than taking ‘if-then’ proposition, which is predetermined and reflects pseudo-flexibility with one option for each situation, it is governed by ‘both-and’ proposition taking a range of options from thesis to antithesis. Depending upon the situation, an appropriate dynamic interplay of these options is to be exercised.

### ***1.2.4 Stakeholder Theory***

Any enterprise works effectively due to the contributions of and towards the benefit of a number of stakeholders such as owners, investors, shareholders, employees, customers, partners, suppliers, distributors, government, regulators, society and so on (Mitroff 1983; Freeman 1984). Thus, the flexibility of enterprise should be linked to all the stakeholders for overall performance (Sushil 2014a). It provides a basis for internal and external contexts of flexibility. In order to get full benefit of performance, all the stakeholders should contribute to the enterprise with their own share of flexibility. At the same time, enterprise should also create a framework for providing flexibility to all the stakeholders.

### ***1.2.5 Change Theory***

Change theory treats the reality around as continuously changing. In the context of organizations, the change is seen as the only constant. Flexible systems management addresses the issue of change in a holistic manner, i.e. treat change alongside the continuity in which organization is placed. Almost all the propounders of change have also agreed to such a balance in continuity and change in some form or the other including Weick (1982), Mintzberg (1987), Collins and Porras (1994), Drucker (1999) and Pettigrew (2000). This is also governed by the dynamic capabilities, as theorized by Teece (2009), and results in strategic flexibility (Evans 1991; Sanchez 1995; Young-Ybarra and Wiersema 1999; Warren et al. 2002; Shimizu and Hitt 2004; Nadkarni and Narayanan 2007; Sushil 2015b). Rhenman (1973) categorized the changes to be reversible and irreversible changes. Whereas Eppink (1978) proposed a typology of environmental changes that were operational, competitive and strategic. He mainly dealt with strategic change and corresponding strategic flexibility to cope with unforeseen circumstances or crisis situations by considering two options, i.e. “reduce the relative impact of change” and “increase the response capacity”.

### ***1.2.6 Systems Theory***

Systems theory deals with the holistic concept of organizations as open systems governed by the causality generated by feedback. The theory of flexible systems management is built on the foundation of systems theory that treats a flexible system to be a more holistic conception than a rigid system. In systems theory a famous law, i.e. “law of requisite variety” was propounded by Ashby (1956) which reflects on the increase in environmental variety to generate more variety in control as a flexibility measure. While the older frameworks have largely treated flexibility as the opposite to rigidity in some way or the other, the upcoming frameworks are more holistic and treat flexibility as a mechanism of managing paradoxes. Systems theory also embraces decision theory (Simon 1947; Mintzberg et al. 1976), which evolved as a cognitive learning process. Argyris and Schon (1978), in their pioneering work on organization learning, defined different types of learning and pointed towards meta-learning, i.e. learning to learn as the basis of flexibility. A large range of organizational and strategic management theories have derived insights from systems theory, which also acted as a base of theory of flexible systems management. The contributions of Ansoff and Brandenburg (1969) on organization design, and Emery and Trist (1969) on causality of organizational environment are worth mentioning along with many other organizational and strategic thinkers. The systems theory has evolved over time by the contributions of many thinkers in the context of general system theory and systems approach (Boulding 1956; Forrester 1968; Churchman 1979). The basic systems concepts of ‘hierarchy and emergence’ and ‘feedback and control’ act as building blocks that are enriched by the concept of ‘learning’ (Checkland 1981). The feedback is not only to be used for control but also for continuous learning as well (Senge 1990). Feedback and learning act as a major building block of the proposed theory of flexible systems management.

### **1.3 Underlying Frameworks**

The dominant frameworks (in the context of flexible systems management) that have been developed and tested in the last two decades are exhibited in Fig. 1.2. Some of the leading frameworks that jell with the paradigm of flexible systems management are meta-flexibility, ambidexterity, super-flexibility and SAP-LAP (based on systemic flexibility).




Fig. 1.2 Underlying frameworks of flexible systems management

### 1.3.1 *Meta-flexibility*

Volberda (1996, 1997, 1998) made a significant contribution while conceptualizing the framework of a flexible firm. According to him, a flexible firm has meta-flexibility that balances controllability (in terms of organization task) on one hand and dynamic capabilities (as managerial task) on the other. He took two dimensions of variety and speed and provided a taxonomy of steady-state, operational, structural and strategic flexibilities. At the same time, he provided a framework of balancing flexibility against controllability and defined four organizational forms: rigid (low flexibility and low controllability), planned (medium level of both flexibility and controllability), flexible (high level of both flexibility and controllability) and chaotic (very high flexibility and low controllability) organizations. Though Volberda's framework appears to have taken roots in duality theory, a theory of flexible firm still not taken a concrete shape.

### 1.3.2 *Ambidexterity*

The concept and framework of ambidextrous organization is proposed by Duncan (1976), and further developed and applied by O'Reilly and Tushman (1996, 2004), Birkinshaw and Gibson (2004), Lubatkin et al. (2006), Raish et al. (2009) and Mom et al. (2009) that visibly incorporates duality theory. An ambidextrous organization is defined as one that is able to effectively balance two opposite requirements at the same time. The most prominent framework, in this regard, is one that deals with a balance of 'exploitation' and 'exploration' strategies at the same time. The 'exploitation' refers to existing strengths, business domain, and systems for the organizations like GM. 'Exploration' on the other hand, refers to new business areas and innovation, as can be seen in organizations like 3M. It concludes by conjecturing and providing fieldbased evidences that the organizations balancing these opposite tendencies prove to be far more effective. Though the concept of ambidexterity does not directly refer to the term flexibility, it by and large provides a parallel framework of strategic flexibility.

### ***1.3.3 Super-Flexibility***

Bahrami and Evans (1995) had been working for long to understand the flexibility practices in high-tech and knowledge intensive organizations in Silicon Valley. They have also provided multiple dimensions of flexibility such as adaptability, agility, ambidexterity, hedging, liquidity, malleability, mobility, modularity, plasticity, resilience, robustness and versatility. Finally, they have matured their framework in defining the concept of super-flexibility (Bahrami and Evans 2010, 2011) that, in essence, treats flexibility in real time, i.e. an organization responds to the changing requirements almost on a real-time basis. The framework of super-flexibility comprises of the constructs such as agility, versatility, malleability, robustness and resilience and is defined as the ability to dynamically adjust to fluid conditions, at present and in the future. It provides a balance between withstanding unsettled conditions on one hand, and transforming and reinventing on the other. This gives a new dimension to the flexibility discourse with special reference to the organizations placed in highly turbulent situation. This work summarizes the evolution of concept of flexibility in literature and proposes a field-based framework answering the ‘what’ part of flexibility, but still a theory of flexibility seems to be at infant stage.

### ***1.3.4 Systemic Flexibility and SAP-LAP***

The work on flexibility was synthesized in the form of an evolving paradigm of flexible systems management (Sushil 1997). It clarifies the myths of flexibility (Sushil 2001b) and defines the concept of systemic flexibility that treats all the options from thesis to antithesis on the continuum (Sushil 1997, 1999, 2000a).

Flexibility is the exercise of free-will or freedom of choice on the continuum to synthesize the dynamic interplay of thesis and antithesis in an interactive and innovative manner, capturing the ambiguity in systems and expanding the continuum with minimum time and efforts.

It brings out three keywords of flexibility as ‘options’, ‘change mechanisms’ and ‘freedom of choice’. The overarching framework of flexible systems management has been taken as SAP-LAP (situation, actor, process, learning, action, performance) (Sushil 2000b, c, 2001a, 2009). The concept is deep rooted into systems theory and takes both the planes of analysis (SAP) and synthesis (LAP) with learning at the core to create flexibility in organizations as well as individuals. The theoretical underpinnings of this framework are deep rooted in the theories outlined in the previous section. It takes duality theory as the basis of systemic flexibility to manage the paradox of thesis and antithesis on the continuum by taking ‘both-and’ proposition rather than ‘either-or’ proposition considered in early stage frameworks. A typical illustration of simultaneously managing the opposing forces of continuity and change is visible in the framework of ‘flowing stream strategy’ (Sushil 2012b, 2013).

The constructs of SAP-LAP have further evolved taking insights from many well-established theories. For example, the ‘situation’ construct addresses the significance of contingency theory of management. The ‘actor’ construct imbibes the insights of agency theory (in terms of ‘freedom-of-choice’) and stakeholder theory (treating various, stakeholders as actors). The ‘process’ construct derives its basis from systems theory and theory of change (both incremental and radial). The synthesis in the form of learning, action and performance (LAP) flowers out of the modern systems and cybernetics theory. Though this framework seems to be encompassing a number of theoretical constructs, a fullfledged theory of flexible systems management is still awaited.

## **1.4 Building a Theory of Flexible Systems Management**

Keeping in view that various past works in the area of flexible systems management have largely been related to provide frameworks for assessing and implementing flexibility in different facets of organizations, this section makes an attempt to consolidate them and conceptualize towards theory building. In order to answer the basic questions of theory building, i.e. ‘what’, ‘how’ and ‘why’, it takes SAP-LAP framework as the foundation. This framework, though derives insights from all the theories outlined earlier, takes duality theory and systems theory as the prime basis of conceptualization. The building blocks of proposed theoretical framework, taking SAP-LAP as the foundation are: situation, approach, context, maturity, performance, basic elements of flexibility and feedback (including learning and action). The question ‘what’ is answered in terms of the building blocks that takes the constructs of SAP-LAP and further builds on them and develop relationships (‘how’) and explains their causality (‘why’). The conceptualization of the proposed theory of flexible systems management is portrayed in Fig. 1.3.

The fundamental building blocks of the proposed theoretical framework are situation, approach, context, maturity, performance, feedback (learning and action) and flexibility elements (actor and process).

### ***1.4.1 Situation***

The situation (both external and internal) is considered the prime driver for flexibility in organizations. The organizational reality is circumscribed by forces of both continuity and change. The situation can be both prevailing and anticipated in nature and thus drives the approach to be followed for practicing flexibility by the organizations. The situation acts as the prime mover in the theory of flexible systems management, which ultimately to be addressed by the leaning and various flexibility elements and developing a positive culture that promotes organizations flexibility and agility. Both external and internal situation factors are relevant for




Fig. 1.3 Conceptualization of theory of flexible systems management

organizational flexibility. Early attempts of external factors of change on organization flexibility are reported by Burns and Stalker (1961) and Lawrence and Lorsch (1967a) and are related primarily to environmental structure and its uncertainty. The internal factors are perceived as continuity in terms of core values (Collins and Porras 1994), and organization culture and leadership (Schein 2010).

### 1.4.2 Approach

The flexibility approach could be reactive as well as proactive in nature. The reactive flexibility addresses the requirements of the prevailing situation; for example, unforeseen crisis, competitors’ moves, and shift in customer requirements. Such a reactive flexibility has been addressed by the leading car maker, Honda in Indian context. Keeping in view the fuel cost, the customer preference shifted from petrol-based vehicles to diesel vehicles. Most of the competitors such as Maruti Suzuki, Hundai, Volks Wagon, and Tata came up with models in diesel segment but Honda continued with only petrol segment, which resulted into considerable erosion of its market share. Realizing the shift in the market, Honda responded by carrying out R&D and introducing diesel models like Amaze and Mobilio, which put it back on the pedestal of the market leaders in India. Thus, reactive flexibility in terms of adaptiveness and responsiveness contributes to effectively cope with the prevailing situation. Eppink (1978) has mainly treated the unforeseen environmental uncertainty as the driving force for strategic flexibility.

On the other hand, to realize higher return and to minimize business risk, the organizations should also anticipate the future changes in situation and adopt

proactive flexibility by innovation, adding variety, enhancing agility and building modular and reconfigurable designs to meet future flexibility requirements. The proactive flexibility would encompass both prospector and defender strategic approaches (Miles and Snow 1978) to deal with future opportunities and threats, respectively.

### ***1.4.3 Context***

Both the reactive and proactive flexibilities may be created internally as well as externally at the basic flexibility elements of both the processes and actors. The internal flexibility is delimited to the processes and actors within the organization, e.g. manufacturing flexibility, flexible work practices, information systems flexibility, and so on. The internal flexibility has been deliberated at level of groups by Okhuysen and Eisenhardt (2002), processes by Narassipuram et al. (2008) and projects by Olsson (2006). The external flexibility involves external actors such as vendors, partners, dealers, government and society to address flexibility in external processes of supply chain, strategic alliances and so on. The external flexibility has been treated in the context of outsourcing by Sia et al. (2008), and through the strategic alliances by Young-Ybarra and Wiersema (1999).

### ***1.4.4 Maturity***

Depending upon the context, the maturity level of flexibility is determined. A typical flexibility maturity model takes internal flexibility at lower levels and external flexibility at higher levels (Sushil 2014b, 2016). According to this model, there are six maturity levels; lower four levels deal with the internal context and upper two levels are for the external context. In the internal context, the first level is to have flexibility in individual processes, which then matures to second level by interfacing flexibility of one process with another one. Further, it matures by making internal actors or stakeholders flexible and finally, at the fourth (highest internal) level, comes the strategic flexibility (both proactive and reactive).

In order to realize the full potential of flexibility, an organization is supposed to transcend it to external level; first at operational and then at strategic plane. Thus, the fifth maturity level is of operational flexibility in value network by making supply chain partners and processes flexible. The highest (sixth) level of maturity would be attained by imbibing strategic flexibility in the whole ecosystem. All the levels of flexibility maturity will encompass the internal as well as external flexibility in different proportions.

### ***1.4.5 Performance***

It is theorized that the higher the maturity level of flexibility, the higher would be the performance. Thus, keeping in view the lower maturity levels for organizational internal context and higher maturity levels for external context, the performance at both the levels of enterprise and stakeholders is conceptualized. The performance of the enterprise as well as stakeholders would interplay with each other. It is of great significance to carry out the valuation of flexibility and presented by Triantis and Hodder (1990) and Schober and Gebauer (2008). The valuation of flexibility should incorporate the costs as well as benefits to both the enterprise and stakeholders.

### ***1.4.6 Feedback and Learning***

Flexibility enhancement in any organization tends to take place through feedback in terms of 'learning' and 'action'. The learning derived by the assessment of maturity and performance levels would be converted into appropriate strategic as well as operational actions (at the level of flexibility elements) to upgrade the flexibility at these levels. This will also be going back to recreate the situation in which the organization is placed. Organizational learning develops ability of individuals to respond to the stimuli quickly as well as effectively (Fiol and Lyles 1985; Gaile 2013).

## **1.5 Research Propositions and Discussion**

In view of the above-mentioned building blocks and their inter-relations, the following research propositions are formulated in the process of building theory of flexible systems management. These propositions are based on the theoretical roots, as discussed earlier, and answer the 'how' and 'why' at the relationships among the building blocks of flexible systems management. These propositions need to be examined further in real life situations for validating the proposed theory.

**Proposition 1** *The situation is the main driving force for adopting the reactive or proactive approach of flexibility based on prevailing or anticipated situation to be addressed (based on contingency theory and theory of change).*

The situation, both external in terms of change forces (opportunities and threats) and internal defined by continuity forces (strengths and weaknesses), acts as the prime stimuli for adopting an appropriate mix of flexibility approaches. As Krijnen (1979) proposes, a flexible firm responds to the environment in three ways, i.e. adapt, anticipate, or influence. The adaptation to environmental pressures is more an approach of reactive flexibility, which has been treated most

widely in the flexibility literature. But the firms are also supposed to have proactive flexibility to anticipate the environmental changes and to some extent also influence it. It should not only respond to environment in a passive mode, but also more in an active mode. Much of it depends on the positive culture as a part of the internal situation of the organization.

**Proposition 2** *Both the internal and external contexts of flexibility are addressed by flexibility of actors as well as processes (based on agency theory, stakeholder theory and systems theory).*

Responding to the situation by flexibility approach would depend on the context as well, which could be internal as well as external. A lot of discussion on internal and external flexibilities has been done by many flexibility thinkers. The work of Ansoff (1968) is worth noting on this part of the theory of flexible systems management, which has been further developed by Eppink (1978) and Volberda (1998). Both internal and external flexibilities are created by the flexibility at the levels of actors as well processes. The internal actors and processes create internal flexibility in organizing and decision making to enhance response capacity. On the other hand, external actors (stakeholders) and processes would contribute to external flexibility having scope beyond the organizations.

**Proposition 3** *The flexibility maturity level is dependent upon the internal/external context; the lower flexibility maturity levels are related to internal flexibility and higher maturity levels are related to external flexibility (based on systems theory).*

The internal/external context of flexibility has a direct bearing of the flexibility maturity of the organization. The lower four levels of maturity are internal to the organization created as the flexibility capacity within it at the level of processes, interfaces, actors, and strategies. The upper two levels of flexibility maturity are created beyond the organization. An organization has to be first flexible internally and then take it to the linkages beyond it in the value network and ecosystem as a whole. It treats only two levels of flexibility, i.e. operational flexibility and strategic flexibility to define the flexibility maturity in contrast to three levels taken by Eppink (1978), i.e. operational, competitive and strategic.

**Proposition 4** *The flexibility maturity level influences the organizational performance; both for the enterprise and stakeholders (based on stakeholder theory).*

The higher the flexibility maturity of an organization, the better it would be in handling the environment (internal as well as external), and thereby enhance its performance. The performance would be enhanced for the enterprise not only in financial terms, but also in terms of long-term survival and growth. In addition to this, it will also contribute to the performance of all the stakeholders in terms of higher value through flexible and sustainable offerings and relationships.

**Proposition 5** *Feedback from assessment of maturity level and performance is reflected in learning to determine actions required at the level of actors as well as processes in order to respond to the situation and recreate the situation (based on systems theory and theory of change).*

The feedback from the flexibility maturity and performance will lead for further flexibility enhancements. It will create organizational learning to take actions for developing flexibility capacity at the levels of actors as well as processes. The development of flexibility as an initiative stimulated by learning will respond to the situation on one hand, and will create a positive culture to create organizational agility and innovation on the other.

Thus, the proposed theory of flexible systems management envisages that both the external and internal stimuli related to various situations influence the approach and context of flexibility to be adopted. This turn, will help in evolving the flexibility maturity of the organization impacting the performance, both from organizational and stakeholders' perspectives. The learning generated in the process leads to taking of actions on various elements of flexibility and the evolution goes on.

## 1.6 Conclusion

The work on different aspects of flexible systems management have been done in past to bring out the relevance and importance of flexibility of different types in the management of organizational systems. The research work on flexibility (reported in literature) is more of applied nature and is largely restricted at the level of frameworks, definitions, and real-life illustrations and implications. The theoretical underpinnings of flexible systems management have, in general, been lacking in most of the past researches except a few that deliberated on some theoretical basis of flexibility in organizations. In view of this research gap, the chapter has made an attempt to propose a theory of flexible systems management. First, it traced the theoretical roots and then reviewed dominant frameworks related to it. The systems theory, agency theory, duality theory, contingency theory, stakeholder theory and theory of change are considered as the main channels that seep into the flexibility theory. The paradoxical nature of flexibility is reflected in a number of past definitions such as meta-flexibility, super-flexibility, ambidexterity, and systemic flexibility. The SAP-LAP framework has been examined for synthesis of various theoretical constructs and treated as the foundation for identifying building blocks of the proposed theory of flexible systems management. The basic questions of theory building, i.e. 'what', 'how' and 'why' have been answered around these building blocks and their conjectured relationships that are summarized in the form of research propositions of the theory of flexible systems management.

In order to build a more comprehensive theory, the constructs taken in macro conceptualization will have to be defined in terms of various variables in flexibility theory. Further, this requires testing of this theory conceptualization in empirical settings and case contexts. At this stage, this attempt can at best be treated as a stepping stone towards building a comprehensive theory of flexible systems management.