

**ENVIRONMENTAL HYDRAULICS SERIES**

# **Practical Applications in Engineering**

**Edited by Jean-Michel Tanguy**

**ISTE**

 **WILEY**



## Practical Applications in Engineering



Environmental Hydraulics  
*volume 4*

---

# Practical Applications in Engineering

Edited by  
Jean-Michel Tanguy

ISTE

 WILEY

First published 2010 in Great Britain and the United States by ISTE Ltd and John Wiley & Sons, Inc.  
Adapted and updated from two volumes *Traité d'hydraulique environnementale 7 et 8* published 2009  
in France by Hermes Science/Lavoisier © LAVOISIER 2009

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms and licenses issued by the CLA. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside these terms should be sent to the publishers at the undermentioned address:

ISTE Ltd  
27-37 St George's Road  
London SW19 4EU  
UK

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.  
111 River Street  
Hoboken, NJ 07030  
USA

[www.iste.co.uk](http://www.iste.co.uk)

[www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com)

© ISTE Ltd 2010

The rights of Jean-Michel Tanguy to be identified as the author of this work have been asserted by him in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

---

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Traité d'hydraulique environnementale. English.

Environmental hydraulics / edited by Jean-Michel Tanguy.

v. cm.

Includes index.

Contents: v. 1. Physical processes and measurement devices -- v. 2. Mathematical models -- v. 3. Numerical methods -- v. 4. Practical applications in engineering -- v. 5. Modeling software.

ISBN 978-1-84821-152-0 (set) -- ISBN 978-1-84821-153-7 (v. 1) -- ISBN 978-1-84821-154-4 (v. 2) -- ISBN 978-1-84821-155-1 (v. 3) -- ISBN 978-1-84821-156-8 (v. 4) -- ISBN 978-1-84821-157-5 (v. 5)

I. Environmental hydraulics. I. Tanguy, Jean-Michel, 1951- II. Title.

TC163.5.T6913 2010

627--dc22

2010019879

---

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data


A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-84821-152-0 (Set of 5 volumes)

ISBN 978-1-84821-156-8 (Volume 4)

---

Printed and bound in Great Britain by CPI Antony Rowe, Chippenham and Eastbourne.



# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xvii
<b>PART 1. OPERATIONAL HYDROLOGY</b> . . . . .	1
<b>Chapter 1. Developing the Flood Alert Map</b> . . . . .	3
Christophe CALAS, Jean-Michel TANGUY and François BRESSAND	
1.1. Flood alert . . . . .	3
1.2. Developing flood alert maps for August 11-12, 2008 . . . . .	5
1.2.1. Analysis of the hydrometeorological situation . . . . .	5
1.2.2. Weather forecasts . . . . .	6
1.2.2.1. Results of the numerical models . . . . .	7
1.2.2.2. Evaluated forecast . . . . .	9
1.2.3. Developing flood alert maps for August 11-12, 2008 . . . . .	9
1.2.3.1. “Weather warning” and “flood alert” maps for August 11, 2008 at 4 p.m. . . . .	12
1.2.3.2. “Weather warning” and “flood alert” maps for August 12, 2008 at 10 a.m. . . . .	13
1.3. The ground truth on August 12, 2008: comparison with the forecast . . . . .	14
1.3.1. Hydrological reactions . . . . .	16
1.3.1.1. On the regulatory network . . . . .	16
1.3.1.2. Outside the regulatory perimeter . . . . .	17
1.3.1.3. Hydrological forecasts . . . . .	19
1.4. Conclusion . . . . .	20
<b>Chapter 2. Generation of a Flood in a Rapid Basin (Gard 2002)</b> . . . . .	21
Georges-Marie SAULNIER	
2.1. The event of September 8-9, 2002 . . . . .	21
2.2. Meteorological situation . . . . .	22
2.3. Recreating the floods . . . . .	22

2.3.1. Available data . . . . .	22
2.3.2. Hydrological processes represented . . . . .	24
2.3.3. Examples of results obtained . . . . .	25
2.4. Bibliography . . . . .	27
<b>Chapter 3. Forecasting a Flood in a Branched Network (Aude 1999)</b> . . . . .	29
Jean-Michel SOUBEYROUX	
3.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	29
3.2. River basin . . . . .	29
3.3. Flood flow-type . . . . .	30
3.3.1. Historic floods at Moussoulens . . . . .	33
3.4. Available data . . . . .	33
3.5. Implementation of a multi-model procedure . . . . .	33
3.6. Results provided by the model . . . . .	34
3.6.1. Simulation on the flood of December 2003 . . . . .	35
3.6.2. Simulation on the January 2004 flood . . . . .	35
3.7. Conclusion . . . . .	35
3.8. Bibliography . . . . .	36
<b>Chapter 4. Hydrological Modeling Spatialized on Two Mediterranean River Basins. Application in Flood Forecasting</b> . . . . .	37
Arthur MARCHANDISE and Christophe BOUVIER	
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	37
4.2. The study data . . . . .	38
4.2.1. The basins studied . . . . .	38
4.2.2. The rain and flow rate data . . . . .	38
4.2.3. The production scheme . . . . .	40
4.2.4. The distributed translation/storage model . . . . .	41
4.3. Results and discussion . . . . .	41
4.3.1. On the methodology used for calibration . . . . .	41
4.3.2. Analysis of the results . . . . .	42
4.3.2.1. On the model's overall performance . . . . .	42
4.3.2.2. On switching from normal to extreme conditions . . . . .	42
4.3.2.3. On regional variability . . . . .	43
4.4. Conclusion . . . . .	43
4.5. Bibliography . . . . .	44
<b>Chapter 5. Ensemble Hydrological Forecasting and Alert with the European Flood Alert System (EFAS): Case of the Danube Basin Floods in August 2005</b> . . . . .	47
Maria-Helena RAMOS, Jutta THIELEN and Ad DE ROO	
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	47
5.2. European flood alert system (EFAS) . . . . .	48



5.3. EFAS forecasts for the floods of the Danube in August 2005 . . . . .	49
5.3.1. EFAS deterministic forecasts . . . . .	52
5.3.2. EFAS ensemble predictions based on the ECMWF'S EPS predictions . . . . .	52
5.3.3. Combined forecasts . . . . .	53
5.3.4. Comparing the EFAS forecasts with the observations . . . . .	53
5.3.5. Benefit of probabilistic forecasts . . . . .	54
5.4. Conclusions . . . . .	57
5.5. Acknowledgements . . . . .	59
5.6. Bibliography . . . . .	59
<b>PART 2. FLUVIAL HYDRAULICS . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Chapter 6. Propagation of a Flood in a Branched Network (Marne 1999) . . . . .</b>	<b>65</b>
Patrick CHASSÉ	
6.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	65
6.2. Aims of the study . . . . .	66
6.3. Scope of the study . . . . .	66
6.4. Data used . . . . .	67
6.4.1. Topography . . . . .	67
6.4.2. Flood hydrographs . . . . .	68
6.4.3. Depth/flow rate principle . . . . .	68
6.4.4. Calibration data . . . . .	68
6.5. Construction and calibration of the hydraulic model . . . . .	69
6.6. Results: impact of the gate on the water levels . . . . .	71
6.7. Conclusions . . . . .	72
<b>Chapter 7. Flood Propagation in a Looped Network (Wateringues) . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
Jean-Baptiste FAURE	
7.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	73
7.2. River basin . . . . .	74
7.3. Flood flow-type . . . . .	75
7.4. Available data . . . . .	76
7.4.1. Topographical and bathymetric data . . . . .	76
7.4.2. Hydrological data . . . . .	76
7.4.3. Boundary conditions . . . . .	76
7.5. Description of the modeling tools . . . . .	77
7.5.1. Hydrological model . . . . .	77
7.5.1.1. Contributions of hills and urban zones . . . . .	77
7.5.1.2. Contributions of drained zones . . . . .	77
7.5.2. Hydraulic model . . . . .	78
7.6. The results provided by the model . . . . .	80
7.7. Conclusion . . . . .	81

<b>Chapter 8. Generation and Propagation of a Flash Flood on a River Basin (Aude 1999)</b> . . . . .	83
Jacques CHORDA and Marie Madeleine MAUBOURGUET	
8.1. Presentation of the flood . . . . .	83
8.1.1. Geographical situation . . . . .	83
8.1.2. Description of the precipitation . . . . .	83
8.2. Modeling of the flood on the Aude-Orbieu confluence . . . . .	85
8.2.1. Data . . . . .	85
8.2.2. Meshing . . . . .	86
8.2.3. Results . . . . .	86
8.3. Simulation of the failure of the Cuxac dike . . . . .	88
8.3.1. Location . . . . .	88
8.3.2. Data . . . . .	88
8.3.3. Meshing . . . . .	89
8.3.4. Results . . . . .	90
8.4. Conclusion . . . . .	91
8.4.1. Summary relating to the data . . . . .	91
8.4.2. Summary relating to the computation . . . . .	92
<b>Chapter 9. Dynamics of the Flooding of Floodable Flatlands (Aude 1999)</b> . . . . .	93
Jean-Michel TANGUY	
9.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	93
9.2. River basin . . . . .	94
9.3. Flood flow-type . . . . .	95
9.4. Available data . . . . .	95
9.4.1. Topographical and bathymetric data . . . . .	95
9.4.2. Hydrological data . . . . .	95
9.4.3. Boundary conditions . . . . .	96
9.5. Description of the modeling tools . . . . .	96
9.6. Results provided by the model . . . . .	97
9.7. Conclusion . . . . .	99
<b>Chapter 10. Failure of a Dike in a Flood Environment (Agly 1999)</b> . . . . .	101
André PAQUIER	
10.1. Context . . . . .	101
10.2. Choosing the simulation tool . . . . .	104
10.3. Method used in Rubar 20 for simplified computation of the erosion of the breach (taken from [CEM 05]) . . . . .	105
10.4. Modeling used . . . . .	107
10.4.1. Meshing of the study zone . . . . .	107
10.4.2. Characteristics of the 1999 flood simulation . . . . .	109

10.4.3. Results of the simulation . . . . .	110
10.5. Bibliography . . . . .	111
<b>Chapter 11. Flooding by Groundwater Upwelling at Remiremont (Moselle)</b> . . . . .	113
Philippe SERGENT	
11.1. Context . . . . .	113
11.2. Aim of the study and methodology . . . . .	115
11.3. Available data . . . . .	116
11.3.1. Topographical and bathymetric data . . . . .	116
11.3.2. Hydrological data and flood tide-marks . . . . .	116
11.3.3. Piezometric data for the groundwater model . . . . .	117
11.4. Description of the modeling tools . . . . .	117
11.5. Results provided by the models . . . . .	118
11.6. Conclusion . . . . .	122
11.7. Bibliography . . . . .	122
<b>Chapter 12. NAVMER: Ship Path Simulator</b> . . . . .	123
Yann HOLLOCOU and Son Ha LAM	
12.1. The simulator . . . . .	124
12.2. Simulations of a passenger boat on the Seine . . . . .	124
12.3. Sixth Seine crossing . . . . .	126
12.4. Le Havre Port, 2000: navigability of the outer groundwater levels . . . . .	129
12.5. Port of Nice . . . . .	131
12.6. Perspectives . . . . .	138
12.7. Bibliography . . . . .	139
<b>PART 3. HYDROGEOLOGY</b> . . . . .	141
<b>Chapter 13. Interaction between Surface and Subsurface Flows: Somme Basin</b> . . . . .	143
Dominique THIÉRY	
13.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	143
13.2. The Somme river basin . . . . .	144
13.3. Modeling methodology . . . . .	146
13.4. The modeling tools used . . . . .	146
13.4.1. The spatialized model . . . . .	146
13.4.2. The lumped hydrological model . . . . .	147
13.4.3. Meshing of the coupled model and limit conditions . . . . .	148
13.4.4. Calibration period for the coupled model and modeling timestep . . . . .	150

13.4.5. Results obtained following calibration of the coupled model . . . . .	151
13.5. Lumped hydrological modeling for real-time forecasts . . . . .	152
13.6. Results and discussion . . . . .	155
13.7. Bibliography . . . . .	156
<b>Chapter 14. Hydrogeological Modeling of the Karst System on the Lez River (Montpellier)</b> . . . . .	157
Nathalie DÖRFLIGER, Perrine FLEURY, Bernard LADOUCHE and Hervé JOURDE	
14.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	157
14.2. Catchment area and river basin . . . . .	158
14.3. Available data . . . . .	159
14.4. Rain-flow model of the Lez source . . . . .	160
14.5. Results provided by the model . . . . .	162
14.6. Bibliography . . . . .	164
<b>PART 4. GENERATION AND PROPAGATION OF FLOODS IN AN URBAN ENVIRONMENT</b> . . . . .	165
<b>Chapter 15. Hydraulic Study of the Marseille Vieux-Port River Basin</b> . . . . .	167
Frédéric PONS	
15.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	167
15.2. Presentation of the site and the rain event . . . . .	168
15.3. Choosing the modeling type . . . . .	170
15.4. Processing the urban data . . . . .	171
15.5. Injecting rain . . . . .	173
15.6. Improvements to the 2D Reflux computing code . . . . .	173
15.7. Application on the entire river basin of Marseille's Vieux-Port . . . . .	174
15.8. Discussions and perspectives . . . . .	180
15.9. Bibliography . . . . .	180
<b>Chapter 16. Hydraulic Study of the Aude River in the Carcassonne Crossing</b> . . . . .	183
Arielle MASSON	
16.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	183
16.2. Presentation of the site . . . . .	184
16.2.1. The Aude river basin . . . . .	184
16.2.2. Description of the study site . . . . .	184
16.3. Available data . . . . .	185
16.4. 2D model implemented . . . . .	186
16.5. Calibration of the model . . . . .	188
16.6. Floodable zones in the current situation . . . . .	189
16.7. Conclusion . . . . .	189

<b>Chapter 17. Failure of a Dike in an Urban Environment: Amboise</b> . . . . .	193
Jean-Michel TANGUY	
17.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	193
17.2. History . . . . .	194
17.3. Available data . . . . .	195
17.3.1. Limits of the domain . . . . .	195
17.3.2. Topographical and bathymetric data . . . . .	195
17.3.3. Hydraulic data . . . . .	195
17.4. Results of the simulation . . . . .	196
17.5. Conclusion . . . . .	198
<b>Chapter 18. Study for the Prevention of Risks Associated with the Dikes of the Rhône and the Saône on Land Belonging to the Lyon Urban Community</b> . . . . .	199
Olivier BERTRAND	
18.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	199
18.2. Analysis of the failure risks . . . . .	200
18.3. Determining the unforeseen risks . . . . .	202
18.4. Evaluating the potential damage . . . . .	204
<b>PART 5. ESTUARY HYDRODYNAMICS</b> . . . . .	209
<b>Chapter 19. Real-Time Estuary Modeling (Adour Maritime)</b> . . . . .	211
Jérôme SCHOORENS and Etienne LE PAPE	
19.1. Introduction . . . . .	211
19.2. Calibration of the model . . . . .	212
19.2.1. Study zone . . . . .	212
19.2.2. The model . . . . .	212
19.2.2.1. Software used . . . . .	212
19.2.2.2. Hydraulic network . . . . .	212
19.2.2.3. Cross-sections . . . . .	212
19.2.2.4. Particular features . . . . .	214
19.2.2.5. Boundary conditions . . . . .	215
19.2.2.6. Calibration operating procedure . . . . .	215
19.3. Real-time series . . . . .	216
19.4. Perspectives . . . . .	217
19.5. Bibliography . . . . .	218
<b>Chapter 20. Operational Modeling of the Hydrodynamic Functioning of the Gironde Estuary</b> . . . . .	219
Réginald SARRALDE	
20.1. Introduction . . . . .	219
20.1.1. Context . . . . .	219
20.1.2. Aims of the study and methodology . . . . .	220

20.2. General presentation of the estuary . . . . .	221
20.3. The phenomena coming into play in the estuary . . . . .	222
20.3.1. The astronomical tide . . . . .	222
20.3.2. The meteorological parameters (wind, pressure) . . . . .	223
20.3.3. Watercourse flow rates (fluvial inflows) . . . . .	224
20.4. Numerical modeling of the estuary . . . . .	224
20.4.1. The operational constraints . . . . .	224
20.4.2. The software used . . . . .	225
20.4.3. Description of the model . . . . .	225
20.4.3.1. Coverage . . . . .	225
20.4.3.2. Meshing . . . . .	225
20.4.3.3. Bathymetry . . . . .	225
20.4.4. The forcing terms taken into account . . . . .	226
20.4.5. The calibration methodology . . . . .	227
20.4.6. The events taken into account for calibration . . . . .	228
20.4.7. The results of the calibration . . . . .	228
20.5. Real-time operation . . . . .	229
20.6. Bibliography . . . . .	230

**Chapter 21. 3D Modeling of Salinity and Sediment Suspension in the Loire Estuary: Coupling of Processes . . . . .** 231  
 Régis WALTHER and Eric DAVID

21.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	231
21.2. General presentation of the model . . . . .	233
21.3. Hydrodynamics . . . . .	233
21.3.1. Calibration of friction by flow rate . . . . .	233
21.3.2. Historic model from 1947-1953 . . . . .	236
21.3.3. Method for coupling friction with the silt deposit . . . . .	236
21.4. Salinity . . . . .	237
21.4.1. Comparing the low water flow rate and average flow rate measurements . . . . .	239
21.4.2. Comparing the measurements for a flood flow rate . . . . .	239
21.5. Sedimentology . . . . .	239
21.5.1. The processes taken into account . . . . .	239
21.5.2. Comparing the low water flow rate and average flow rate measurements . . . . .	241
21.5.3. Comparing the measurements for a flood flow rate . . . . .	241
21.6. Conclusion . . . . .	243

**PART 6. MARITIME HYDRAULICS . . . . .** 245

**Chapter 22. Numerical Modeling of Sea States . . . . .** 247  
 Bertrand MICHARD

22.1. Characteristics of the Iroise Sea study site’s coastal environment . . . . .	247
--	-----

22.1.1. Geographical location . . . . .	247
22.1.2. Selecting the storm events . . . . .	248
22.2. Acquiring data for modeling . . . . .	249
22.2.1. Choosing the domain's coverage . . . . .	249
22.2.2. The parameters retained for the simulations . . . . .	249
22.3. Modeling the swell propagation with TOMAWAC . . . . .	251
22.3.1. Meshing of the domain . . . . .	251
22.3.2. Calibrating the numerical model based on the event of March 21, 2004 . . . . .	251
22.3.3. Results of the swell propagation simulations with TOMAWAC . . . . .	253
22.4. Morphodynamic classification of the beaches . . . . .	255
22.5. Bibliography . . . . .	257
<b>Chapter 23. Taking Sea States into Account in Offshore Racing . . . . .</b>	<b>259</b>
Sylvain MONDON and Jean-Michel LEFÈVRE	
23.1. The waves in the 2006 Route du Rhum . . . . .	259
23.2. The Gascony Gulf in the 2008/2009 Vendée Globe . . . . .	260
23.3. Using the wave models during offshore racing . . . . .	263
<b>Chapter 24. Agitation Study for Roscoff-Bloscon Marina . . . . .</b>	<b>265</b>
Pascal LEBRETON	
24.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	265
24.2. Available data . . . . .	267
24.2.1. Swell data . . . . .	267
24.2.2. Bathymetric data . . . . .	267
24.3. Propagation of offshore swells in the vicinity of the project . . . . .	268
24.4. Agitation study . . . . .	270
24.5. Conclusion . . . . .	275
<b>Chapter 25. Swell Agitation at Dunkirk's Western Port . . . . .</b>	<b>277</b>
Pierre DEBAILLON	
25.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	277
25.2. Available data . . . . .	277
25.2.1. Bathymetric data . . . . .	277
25.2.2. Boundary conditions . . . . .	278
25.3. Description of the agitation model . . . . .	278
25.4. Results provided by the model . . . . .	280
25.5. Conclusion . . . . .	282
25.6. Bibliography . . . . .	283

<b>PART 7. TRANSPORTATION OF DISSOLVED SUBSTANCES, POLLUTION</b> . . . . .	285
<b>Chapter 26. Study of Water Quality in the Seine</b> . . . . .	287
Patrick GOBLET and Stéphanie EVEN	
26.1. The modeled system . . . . .	288
26.2. Anthropic pressures . . . . .	289
26.3. Modeling approach . . . . .	290
26.4. Principle of modeling with the ProSe software . . . . .	290
26.5. Validation . . . . .	292
26.6. Scenarios . . . . .	294
26.7. Results . . . . .	294
26.7.1. Longitudinal profiles . . . . .	294
26.7.2. Annual developments at the water-body limits . . . . .	296
26.8. Conclusions and perspectives . . . . .	298
26.9. Bibliography . . . . .	298
<b>Chapter 27. Drift Forecasts for the Erika and Prestige Oil Spills</b> . . . . .	301
Pierre DANIEL	
27.1. Institutional context . . . . .	301
27.2. The sinking of the Erika . . . . .	302
27.3. The sinking of the Prestige . . . . .	303
27.4. Observing oil spills . . . . .	305
27.5. Bibliography . . . . .	307
<b>PART 8. FLUVIAL AND MARITIME MORPHODYNAMICS</b> . . . . .	309
<b>Chapter 28. Evolution of River Beds: Example of the Arc River</b> . . . . .	311
André PAQUIER	
28.1. Presentation of the context . . . . .	311
28.1.1. Constructed models . . . . .	313
28.1.2. Computing the flood flows . . . . .	314
28.1.3. Division into homogeneous sections . . . . .	315
28.1.4. Movable-bed model . . . . .	316
28.2. Using a movable-bed model within the framework of management scenarios . . . . .	318
28.3. Bibliography . . . . .	320
<b>Chapter 29. Modeling of Seabed Sediments Resuspension in the Dover Strait</b> . . . . .	323
Nicolas GUILLOU and Georges CHAPALAIN	
29.1. Introduction . . . . .	323
29.2. Experimental site . . . . .	324



29.3. Pre-processing . . . . .	325
29.4. Modeling procedure . . . . .	325
29.5. Field measurements . . . . .	326
29.6. Results . . . . .	328
29.6.1. Regional synoptic fields of total SSC . . . . .	328
29.6.2. Point predictions versus measurements . . . . .	328
29.7. Perspectives . . . . .	329
29.8. Bibliography . . . . .	330
<b>Chapter 30. Evolution of Beds around a Breakwater . . . . .</b>	<b>331</b>
Jean-Michel TANGUY	
30.1. Problematic issue . . . . .	331
30.2. Swell data . . . . .	333
30.3. The bathymetry . . . . .	334
30.4. Modeling . . . . .	334
30.5. Modeling parameters . . . . .	334
30.6. Results . . . . .	337
30.7. Bibliography . . . . .	338
<b>Chapter 31. Project to “Restore the Maritime Character of the Mont-Saint-Michel” Hydrosedimentary Studies . . . . .</b>	<b>341</b>
Eric LAGROY DE CROUTTE	
31.1. Introduction . . . . .	342
31.2. Mont-Saint-Michel Bay – the aims of the project . . . . .	343
31.2.1. General presentation of Mont-Saint-Michel Bay . . . . .	343
31.2.2. The natural phenomena in the bay . . . . .	344
31.2.3. Human intervention . . . . .	344
31.2.4. The loss of the maritime character of the Mont – the project . . . . .	345
31.3. The study methodology and the modeling tools . . . . .	347
31.4. The numerical modeling of the large bay . . . . .	348
31.4.1. General description . . . . .	348
31.4.2. Exploiting the model . . . . .	349
31.5. The physical model of the small bay . . . . .	349
31.5.1. Coverage – scale and design . . . . .	350
31.5.2. Exploiting the model . . . . .	351
31.6. The numerical model of the Couesnon . . . . .	351
31.7. The physical model of the Caserne dam . . . . .	354
31.8. The major components of the hydraulic developments put forward and their effects . . . . .	355
31.8.1. The developments on the Caserne dam and on the Couesnon . . . . .	355
31.8.2. The developments in the bay . . . . .	355
31.8.3. The effects of the project . . . . .	357

31.8.4. A development forming a consistent whole . . . . .	358
31.8.5. Taking elements other than the hydraulic dimension into account as part of the project . . . . .	358
31.9. Bibliography . . . . .	358
<b>List of Authors</b> . . . . .	361
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	367
<b>General Index of Authors</b> . . . . .	369
<b>Summary of the Other Volumes in the Series</b> . . . . .	371

# Introduction

The first volume of this series on environmental hydraulics consists of a description of the physical processes that are developing, from meteorology to coastal morphodynamics. Volume 2 sets out the mathematical theories that form the basis of the mathematical modeling of these processes. Volume 3 describes the main numerical methods enabling these equations to be solved.

To a certain extent, Volume 4 constitutes a showcase for the series on environmental hydraulics. Its aim is to present a variety of practical examples implemented using tools that are based on the theories presented in Volume 2.

Volume 5 presents a number of software programs used within the water engineering domain.

In keeping with the book's logic, we have differentiated between eight domains, three of which are presented in Parts 1 to 3, followed by five in Parts 4 to 8. Each domain includes a number of studies that are fairly representative of what occurs in the domain concerned. As such, the domains for the first three parts are as follows: operational hydrology, fluvial hydraulics and hydrogeology. A total of 13 technical studies are summarized.

Parts 4 to 8 complete the presentation by offering a summary of 17 technical studies, covering the following domains: flows in an urban environment, estuary hydrodynamics, maritime hydraulics, transportation of dissolved substances – pollution, and fluvial and maritime morphodynamics. Each study has been made the subject of a document covering several pages, presenting, in the following order: the problematic issue to be dealt with, the objective to be reached, the data collected, the digital models implemented and the results obtained.

## Part 1. Operational hydrology

To begin with, we present how the flood forecasting services and SCHAPI (French National Hydrometeorology and Flood Forecasting Center) estimate, on a daily basis, the hydrometeorological risk across the entire country based on forecasts produced by meteorological models. The following three studies focus on the use of pre-operational or operational models for flood forecasting in the very rapid Mediterranean basins, the Gard and the Aude, which each experienced very heavy flooding, in 2002 and 1999, respectively. Anticipation is thus essential in order to avoid material damage and loss of human life. For this reason, the tools need to be operated very rapidly and be interfaced with meteorological tools upstream and hydrodynamic tools downstream. The last presentation focuses on the approach of the European Community's Joint Research Center (JRC), which produces a hydrological risk estimate on the rivers in Europe in critical situations.

### *Outline of the studies*

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Operational Hydrology	1	Developing the Flood Alert Map	To use the results of the meteorological models in order to estimate the hydrometeorological risk across the country, on a daily basis	ECMWF ARPEGE ALADIN SYMPOSIUM
	2	Generation of a Flood in a Rapid Basin (Gard 2002)	To simulate the flood that occurred in September 2002 in the Gard area	TOPMODEL (2D hydrologics)
	3	Forecasting a Flood in a Branched Network (Aude 1999)	To estimate the forecast times at a hydrometric station based on the flow rates in the tributaries	SOPHIE (multimodels)
	4	Hydrological Modeling Spatialized on Two Mediterranean River Basins. Application in Flood Forecasting	To determine the parameters that are characteristic of a hydrological model applied on two river basins and to a wide series of events. Application in flood forecasting	MERCEDES (2D hydrologics)

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
	5	Ensemble Hydrological Forecasting and Alert with the European Flood Alert System (EFAS): Case of the Danube Basin Floods in August 2005	To use an ensemble hydrological forecast model in order to forecast the flooding of the Danube, based on the DWD and ECMWF weather forecasts	EFAS

### ***What are the domain's perspectives?***

Numerical tools that can be used in forecast mode are indispensable to operational hydrology, notably on rapid basins, where the suddenness of floods can lead to damage and loss of human life.

The forecast models need to be straightforward and must operate rapidly, taking a few minutes at most. For the most part they use limit conditions originating from hydrometric measurement stations: their forecasting is therefore limited to propagation. Anticipation may be increased, however, if rain flow models are used in order to return to the maximum in the river basins so as to simulate flood generation based on the observed rainfall. A further time saving is also possible through the use of rain forecasts upstream of the hydrological models. This is currently being tested with the use of refined meteorological models (AROME), which will enable improved localization and better estimation of the rain's intensity before it falls.

Even with the progress made recently, operational hydrology remains at the construction stage. The models currently on the drawing-board in research laboratories offer an indication of decisive progress to come, through a spatialized response to problems of flood generation and surface run-off in the upstream basins, rarely taken into account by current models.

## **Part 2. Fluvial hydraulics**

This part presents various examples of the usage of fluvial hydraulics numerical models. To begin with, we have chosen a number of 1D examples, where it is a matter of simulating the flood propagation in branched, meshed environments. The corresponding software proved to be particularly well adapted to taking into account complex networks comprising various types of structures in the low-water channel. We then focused on widely overflowing flows, selecting two studies conducted by different teams on the Aude basin for the flood of November 1999. The first study

presents the coupling of a spatialized hydrological model with a 2D hydrodynamic model, whereas the second centers around a very accurate close-up view performed to simulate the overflowing by the longitudinal dikes located along the river. These two cases enable the high level of accuracy that may be obtained by these 2D models to be illustrated. The next example deals with the failure mechanism of a longitudinal dike on the Agly, which was conducted by coupling a 2D hydrodynamic model with a procedure simulating the dike failure conditions. This is followed by an example illustrating the propagation of a flood in a confluent that has triggered an upwelling of the groundwater, causing a plant to be flooded. In this example, a 2D hydrodynamic software program has been coupled with a 2D hydrogeological software program in order to represent this complex process. The final example focuses on the simulation of ship paths by a pilot, based on the current field pre-computed by a 2D model. This software may be used both in rivers and in the sea.

### ***Outline of the studies***

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Fluvial Hydraulics	6	Propagation of a Flood in a Branched Network (Marne 1999)	To assess the impact of the correct management of a sector gate at Saint-Maur, a derivation canal serving the Marne, in order to reduce the water line in the event of flooding on the Marne and the Seine	MASCARET (1D hydraulics)
	7	Flood Propagation in a Looped Network (Wateringues)	To design a hydraulic model enabling the simulation of the operation of a Wateringues network during a flood period and optimize management of the network	MAGE (1D hydraulics)
	8	Generation and Propagation of a Flash Flood on a River Basin (Aude 1999)	To study the generation and propagation of the November 2002 flood in the Aude Lower Flatlands	MARINE (2D hydrology) TELEMAC 2D (2D hydraulics)

	Chapter	Title	Problematic issue	Tool
	9	Dynamics of the Flooding of Floodable Flatlands (Aude 1999)	To study the dynamics of the overflowing through the gaps, and the propagation of the November 2002 flood in the Aude Lower Flatlands	ISIS (1D hydraulics, with compartments) REFLUX (2D hydraulics)
	10	Failure of a Dike in a Flood Environment (Agly 1999)	To simulate the failure of a gap and the propagation of a flood wave during the November 1999 flood near Rivesaltes on the Agly	RUBAR 20 (2D hydraulics)
	11	Flooding by Groundwater Upwelling at Remiremont (Moselle)	To explain the flooding conditions of a lateral compartment on the Moselle during the February 1999 flood	REFLUX (2D hydraulics) MARTHE (2D hydrogeological)
	12	NAVMER: Ship Path Simulator	To simulate the ship path based on a 2D current field and orders given by a pilot to the simulator	NAVMER (2D trajectography) + 2D study of currents (REFLUX)

### ***What are the domain's perspectives?***

Overall, these examples demonstrate that modeling tools represent a whole range of complementary software *used intensively today* in fluvial engineering studies.

Coupling is produced with other software representing neighboring processes such as hydrology, dike failure and flow in soils.

Engineering and design department needs within this domain are essentially centered on improvements to pre-and post-processing, and to computing times.

### **Part 3. Hydrogeology**

The focus of modeling in hydrogeology concerns what is in store for water located in the soil. It makes use of models capable of representing flows within

porous environments in saturated and unsaturated zones. It makes use either of conceptual tools, when the processes are very complex and there is significant soil heterogeneity, or of 2D or 3D tools. Set out below are two case studies using these two types of tools.

### ***Outline of the studies***

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Hydrogeology	13	Interaction between Surface and Subsurface Flows: Somme Basin	This application shows how a spatialized subsurface hydrodynamics model, coupled with a hydroclimatic assessment module, enabled the mechanisms at the origin of the 2001 flood to be understood. In addition, a global hydrological model enabled operational forecasts to be produced for the Somme at Abbeville in 2002 and 2003	MARTHE (3D subsurface hydraulics), GARDENIA (reservoir hydrogeology)
	14	Hydrogeological Modeling of the Karst System on the Lez River (Montpellier)	To reproduce the flow rate time series for the source of the Lez near Montpellier, influenced by pumping, and determine the system's level of reaction to precipitation	VENSIM (rain-flow with reservoirs)

### ***What are the domain's perspectives?***

Coupling hydrodynamic and hydrogeological models allows a clear understanding of the physical phenomena that occur when a flood is generated as a result of groundwater overflow, with drainage of the water downstream as a result of gravity. Most require a large amount of computing time, but currently allow the simulation of a slow flood over several weeks. Simplified reservoir models are more straightforward and yet are much better suited to the simulation of these floods over several years.

Reservoir models are well adapted to representing the operation of karst systems, but knowledge is not sufficiently advanced at present to enable the use of physical



models. The problem also lies in the lack of familiarity with the very heterogeneous make-up of these systems: direction and openings of fractures, preferential flow conduits, etc.

#### **Part 4. Generation and propagation of floods in an urban environment**

This part is dedicated to the generation and propagation of flood flows in an urban environment. It presents a description of four cases studied, mainly conducted with the aid of hydrodynamic models, some of which have been completed in order to take account of the rain. As we will demonstrate, this is a domain where model makers are witnessing rapid expansion. Indeed, during the 1990s, studies focusing on flooding in an urban environment generally featured a hydrology element using rain-flow models (rational formula amongst others); these provided hydrographs at the limit conditions of very straightforward hydraulic models (normal flow).

At the early stages, this enabled flows in highly urbanized zones to be presented, using zones with high roughness values to represent these districts. The models were subsequently improved, allowing very dense meshings to be considered, isolating blocks of houses and later houses themselves. The studies offer different modes of representing the urban fabric, ranging from a very precise manner in the Marseille example, to a more simplistic manner in the Amboise example.

##### ***Outline of the studies***

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Generation and Propagation of Floods in an Urban Environment	15	Hydraulic Study of the Marseille Vieux-Port River Basin	To reproduce the flood of September 20, 2000 in Marseille with a very finely-tuned modeling of the street geometry and topography	2D REFLUX (2D, hydraulic)
	16	Hydraulic Study of the Aude River in the Carcassonne Crossing	To reproduce the overflowing conditions of the Aude flood waters in 1891 in densely or diffusely urbanized areas of Carcassonne	2D TELEMAC (2D, hydraulic)

	Chapter	Title	Problematic issue	Tool
	17	Failure of a Dike in an Urban Environment: Amboise	To reproduce the 1866 Loire flood wave due to the failure of a dike at Amboise, in order to determine the flooding conditions for a pharmaceutical hangar	2D REFLUX (2D, hydraulic)
	18	Study for the Prevention of Risks Associated with the Dikes of the Rhône and the Saône on Land Belonging to the Lyon Urban Community	Study of the flooding risk to the rear of a longitudinal dike on the Rhône for a thousand-yearly flood. Presenting the unforeseen risks on top of the urban challenges.	2D TELEMAC (2D, hydraulic)

### ***What are the domain's perspectives?***

The geometric and topographic complexities of urban road networks mean that *highly sophisticated pre- and post-processing* using geographical information systems (GISs) is needed. There is still a lot of progress to be made in this domain.

These models remain complicated to use. However, they can be used as risk indicators by simulating a variety of different situations, the results of which will feed databases that may be exploited in the form of charts in forecasting mode, when a significant hydrometeorological event is detected.

*The density of the meshes has evolved significantly:* we used 5,000 nodes in 1997 (Amboise), then 30,000 nodes in 2003 (Carcassonne), and a million nodes in 2008 (with substantial computing times). This, along with the evolution we are witnessing in computer capacity, allows us to imagine that by the end of the decade we will be able to access a million, or even 2 million, nodes on desktop computers. Surface run-off in an urban environment is the result of complex interactions between several interactive processes. It will therefore be necessary to couple several models in order to obtain a modeling that is both complete and accurate: surface run-off, underground-network flows, infiltration into soils, storage in retention basins and on roofs, etc.

## Part 5. Estuary hydrodynamics

This part presents various examples of the usage of hydrodynamic models at an estuary site. The first two examples are both a first for France, as they concern the “real-time” usage of two hydrodynamic modeling tools – a 1D model (MASCARET) on the Adour and a 2D model (TELEMAC 2D) on the Gironde – for flood forecasting in these two estuaries.

The original nature of these models lies in the fact that they are supplied by maritime boundary conditions downstream (astronomical tide, along with the sea surges and fluvial conditions) and upstream (limited for the time being to discharges measurements provided by limnigraphic stations).

However, estuaries are also home to processes of water mixing and silt-plug circulation, which have an influence on the currents. It became necessary to make use of a 3D hydrodynamic model in the Loire Estuary in order to represent these different phenomena and refine the flow conditions.

### *Outline of the studies*

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Estuary Hydrodynamics	19	Real-Time Estuary Modeling (Adour Maritime)	To design, implement and exploit a real-time forecasting system on the Adour Maritime, operating based on sea-level forecasts and fluvial flow-rates	MASCARET (1D, hydraulic)
	20	Operational Modeling of the Hydrodynamic Functioning of the Gironde Estuary	To design, implement and exploit a real-time forecasting system on the Gironde estuary, operating based on sea-level forecasts and fluvial discharges	2D TELEMAC (2D, hydraulic)

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
	21	3D Modeling of Salinity and Sediment Suspension in the Loire Estuary: Coupling of Processes	Integrated modeling of the hydrodynamics, salinity and silt plug in the Loire Estuary. The original aspect of this study lies in the fact of updating of the hydrodynamic friction as a function of the local silt deposit amount	3D TELEMAC (hydraulics + salinity + silt transportation)

### ***What are the domain's perspectives?***

The hydrodynamic models used for forecasting, whether they are 1D or 2D, have more than proved their *relevance* and *reliability* in representing flood propagation, in the sense that they succeeded in being correctly supplied, in real time, with boundary conditions originating either from measurements provided by limnigraphic stations, or from upstream forecasts obtained by rain-flow models.

Their application in the estuaries, whether small or large, should become *widespread* in the coming years.

It is indispensable to incorporate procedures for correcting the water levels computed using *data-assimilation procedures* which are tentatively beginning to appear in the operational aspect of hydrology (Volume 3, Chapter 13).

One current difficulty that remains is the *significant computing times* presented by 2D models. This is preventing them from being used with refined meshings, which are required for flooding analyses.

The 3D models are expected to be developed on major estuaries in order to take full account of their complexity. They require very fine calibration, however, as a result of extensive, complicated and extremely costly measurements.

## **Part 6. Maritime hydraulics**

This part presents four studies within the maritime hydrodynamics domain, which make use of the different types of models that have arisen from this

discipline. Firstly we examine the modeling of sea states for its application in the Iroise Sea in order to study the swell propagation from offshore to the coast. This is followed by its application to determine the sea conditions for the “Route du Rhum” in order to help sailors to optimize their path. We then go on to examine a mixed example: sea states – agitation, with a study focusing on determining agitation conditions within Roscoff marina, based on the swell propagation at high sea. Lastly, we present a study of the agitation within Dunkirk’s port.

### ***Outline of the studies***

<b>Domain</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Maritime Hydraulics	22	Numerical Modeling of Sea States	To study the swell conditions on the shore based on the sea states off the Iroise Sea in order to approach the sedimentary dynamics of the beaches	TOMAWAC (sea states)
	23	Taking Sea States into Account in Offshore Racing	To provide sailors with precise information regarding the sea states: swell and currents to enable them to optimize their course	VAG (sea states)
	24	Agitation Study for Roscoff- Bloscon Marina	To determine the swell agitation conditions within Roscoff marina within the framework of an extension in order to ensure that the maximum agitation threshold was not reached	SWAN (swell propagation) + REFONDE (agitation)
	25	Swell Agitation at Dunkirk’s Western Port	To determine the agitation conditions within Dunkirk’s port, in order to determine the maximum agitation threshold	REFONDE (agitation)

### ***What are the domain’s perspectives?***

The use of sea-state models has been developed considerably within the context of engineering studies. The latest generations of models are much more efficient. They now enable us to study *wide-ranging domains* and obtain a high level of accuracy with regard to the swell conditions on the shore.

Agitation models have also made significant progress over the last few decades. The new finite element models use complex geometric domains, an accurate presentation of structures and extremely precise bed bathymetries. They require *very dense meshes*, however, (several million nodes), due to the very short wavelengths of the swell.

Hydrodynamic model couplings have also been simplified. It is enough to supply the meshes with suitable boundary conditions, particularly sea-state models, which are very sensitive to parasitic reflections.

The interactions between the swell and currents have not yet been well quantified and will need to be studied further on physical models.

The battery of codes: sea states + agitation thus constitutes a consistent, compatible whole, which enables interfacing with current-study models and sedimentology models that may be used in shore morphodynamics.

## **Part 7. Transportation of dissolved substances, pollution**

Studies exploring water quality have progressed significantly since the appearance of numerical models. They were based on hydrodynamic models, then incorporated dissolved-substance transportation processes, sediment transportation-erosion-deposit processes and lastly, fairly complex biochemical processes.

The applications presented in this chapter are relatively original: the first focuses on large-scale modeling with the aid of a complete chain incorporating anthropic pollution and biochemical stresses in a flood environment; the second concerns the oil-spill drift forecasts produced in response to the Prestige and Erika accidents. They illustrate the fairly wide spectrum of these models.

### ***Outline of the studies***

	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Problematic issue</b>	<b>Tool</b>
Transportation of Dissolved Substances, Pollution	26	Study of Water Quality in the Seine	Simulation of the Seine hydrosystem as it is currently, and that predicted for 2015. Estimation of the evolution in the quality of the water bodies	PROSE (1D, hydraulic + transportation of substances + biochemical modules)