

Bing-Yuan Cao
Pei-Zhuang Wang
Zeng-Liang Liu
Yu-Bin Zhong *Editors*

International Conference on Oriental Thinking and Fuzzy Logic

Celebration of the 50th Anniversary
in the era of Complex Systems
and Big Data

Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing

Volume 443

Series editor

Janusz Kacprzyk, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
e-mail: kacprzyk@ibspan.waw.pl

About this Series

The series “Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing” contains publications on theory, applications, and design methods of Intelligent Systems and Intelligent Computing. Virtually all disciplines such as engineering, natural sciences, computer and information science, ICT, economics, business, e-commerce, environment, healthcare, life science are covered. The list of topics spans all the areas of modern intelligent systems and computing.

The publications within “Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing” are primarily textbooks and proceedings of important conferences, symposia and congresses. They cover significant recent developments in the field, both of a foundational and applicable character. An important characteristic feature of the series is the short publication time and world-wide distribution. This permits a rapid and broad dissemination of research results.

Advisory Board

Chairman

Nikhil R. Pal, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India
e-mail: nikhil@isical.ac.in

Members

Rafael Bello, Universidad Central “Marta Abreu” de Las Villas, Santa Clara, Cuba
e-mail: rbellop@uclv.edu.cu

Emilio S. Corchado, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain
e-mail: escorchado@usal.es

Hani Hagrass, University of Essex, Colchester, UK
e-mail: hani@essex.ac.uk

László T. Kóczy, Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary
e-mail: koczy@sze.hu

Vladik Kreinovich, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, USA
e-mail: vladik@utep.edu

Chin-Teng Lin, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
e-mail: ctlin@mail.nctu.edu.tw

Jie Lu, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia
e-mail: Jie.Lu@uts.edu.au

Patricia Melin, Tijuana Institute of Technology, Tijuana, Mexico
e-mail: epmelin@hafsamx.org

Nadia Nedjah, State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
e-mail: nadia@eng.uerj.br

Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wroclaw, Poland
e-mail: Ngoc-Thanh.Nguyen@pwr.edu.pl

Jun Wang, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
e-mail: jwang@mae.cuhk.edu.hk

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/11156>

Bing-Yuan Cao · Pei-Zhuang Wang
Zeng-Liang Liu · Yu-Bin Zhong
Editors

International Conference on Oriental Thinking and Fuzzy Logic

Celebration of the 50th Anniversary
in the era of Complex Systems
and Big Data

 Springer

Editors

Bing-Yuan Cao
Guangzhou Vocational College of Science
and Technology
Guangzhou
China

Zeng-Liang Liu
Foreign Affairs Office
National Defense University
Beijing
China

and

Guangzhou University
Guangzhou
China

Yu-Bin Zhong
School of Mathematics and Information
Science
Guangzhou University
Guangzhou
China

Pei-Zhuang Wang
West Texas A&M University
Canyon, TX
USA

and

Liaoning Technical University
Fuxin
China

ISSN 2194-5357

ISSN 2194-5365 (electronic)

Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing

ISBN 978-3-319-30873-9

ISBN 978-3-319-30874-6 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-30874-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016934435

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by SpringerNature

The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland

Preface

Oriental Thinking and Fuzzy Logic in Dalian, China, an international conference, was held during August 17–20, 2015, to celebrate the 50th anniversary of Fuzzy Sets. The honorary chair for this conference was Prof. L.A. Zadeh, the founder of fuzzy sets theory, who has guided an information revolution, and constructed a great bridge between qualitative and quantitative.

The conference focused on six main topics as follows: fuzzy information processing; fuzzy engineering; Internet and big data applications; factor space and factorial neural networks; information granulation and granular computing; extension and innovation methods. Here, topic three, the theory of factor space was initiated by Prof. Pei-Zhuang Wang with the oriental thinking. And extension topic six, is a new field of the disciplinary initiated by Prof. Wen Cai, who achieved innovation facing a problem where impossible cases seem to be possible.

There were 15 plenary talks in the conference including Wen Cai, fuzzy logic and extenics; Y.X. Chen, inter-definability and application of fuzzy logic operators; I. Dzitac, fuzzy logic and artificial intelligence; J.L. Feng, theory of meta-synthetic wisdom based on fusion of qualitative, quantitative and imagery operations; J.F. Gu, system science and Chinese medicine; Ouyang He, a mathematical foundation for factor spaces; Qing He, uncertainty learning; C.F. Huang, an approach checking whether an intelligent internet can be improved into intelligence; D.Y. Li, cognitive physics; Z.L. Liu, factorial neural networks; W. Pedrycz, new frontiers of computing and reasoning with qualitative information: a perspective of granular computing; Germano Resconi, from inconsistent topology to consistent in big data; Yong Shi and Y.J. Tian, uncertainty and big databases; P.Z. Wang, fuzzy sets and factor space; Z.S. Xu, complex information decision making. As a special guest, Mr. H.R. Lin, with his 18-year teaching practice in Shanghai Middle School, introduced his book “Preliminary of Fuzzy Mathematics” for pupils in his schools.

Apart from the organized speeches, we much appreciated the articles from individuals with natural interest and deep friendship toward Prof. L. Zadeh. They developed fuzzy theory along probability representation, rough sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, nonlinear Particle Swarm Optimization, ranking method to structure

elements and they apply fuzzy theory into recommendation, feature extraction, qualitative mapping, etc. Among all papers presented at the conference, we carefully selected over 60 papers to form this book as assorted appetizers to commemorate the 50th anniversary of fuzzy sets from the Dalian conference.

Finally, we thank the publisher, Springer, for publishing the proceedings as *Advance in Intelligent and Soft Computing*.

December 2015

Bing-Yuan Cao
Pei-Zhuang Wang
Zeng-Liang Liu
Yu-Bin Zhong

Editorial Committee

Editors

Bing-Yuan Cao, China
Pei-Zhuang Wang, USA and China
Zeng-Liang Liu, China
Yu-Bin Zhong, China

Hao Wang, China
Witold Pedrycz, Canada
Jing-zhong Zhang, China
H.J. Zimmermann, Germany

Honorary Editor

Lotfi A. Zadeh, USA

Steering Committee

J.C. Bezdek, USA
Z. Bien, Korea
Wen Cai, China
D. Dubois, France
Gui-rong Guo, China
M.M. Gupta, Canada
Xin-gui He, China
Abraham Kandel, Hungary
J. Kacprzyk, Poland
Ying-ming Liu
E. Mamdani, UK
R.P. Nikhil, India
M. Sugeno, Japan

Members

Ali Ebrahimnejad, Iran
Bao-ding Liu, China
Bao-qing Hu, China
Ber-lin Wu, China, Taiwan
Bing-ru Yang, China
Bo Zhang, China
Chang-qing Zhang, USA
Cheng-ming Hu, USA
Cheng-zhong Luo, China
Cheng-yi Zhang, China
Chong-fu Huang, China
Chun-yan Yang, China
Ci-yuan Xiao, China
Cong-Xin Wu, China
D.A. Ralescu, USA
D. Dutta Majumder, Kolkata
D. Lakov, Bulgaria
Dong-hui Li, China
D.Y. Li, China
E.E. Kerre, Belgium
Enric Trillas, Spain
E. Sanchez, France

F.G. Filip, Romania
 Fu-sheng Yu, China
 G. Klir, USA
 Gang Kou, China
 Germano Resconi
 Guang-yuan Wang, China
 Guo-qing Chen, China
 Guo-jie Li, China
 Guy Jumarie, Canada
 Guo-jun Yan, China
 Hadi Nasser, Iran
 Hao-zhong Lv, USA
 He-pu Deng, Australia
 Hiroshi Inoue, Japan
 Hong-min Zhang, USA
 Hong-xing Li, China
 Hong-hai Mi, China
 H. Prade, France
 H.-V. Enrique, Spain
 I. Dzitac, Romania
 Jia-li Feng, China
 Jian-ping Li, China
 Jim Keller, USA
 Jin-ping Ou, China
 J.F. Gu, China
 J. Motiwalla, Singapore
 J. Mustonen, Finland
 Jos Luis Verdegay, Spain
 J.P. Barthelemy, France
 Jun Li, China
 K. Asai, Japan
 Kai-quan Shi, China
 Kai-zhong Guo, China
 Kai-qi Zou, China
 K.H. Kim, USA
 Lan Su, China
 Liang-zhong Yi, China
 Lin-min Jia, China
 Li-yan Han, China
 Li-ya Ding, China
 M. Fedrizzi, Italy
 Mian-yun Chen, China
 Michael Ng, Hong Kong
 Ming-hu Ha, China
 Ming-sheng Ying, China

Ming Ma, China
 M. Mukaidono, Japan
 M. Mizumoto, Japan
 Nai-rao Zhang, China
 N. Kuroki, Japan
 Ou-yang He, China
 Ovanes Chorayan, Russia
 Ping Ren, China
 P. Veeramani, India
 Qiang Fu, China
 Qiang Shen, UK
 Qiang Zhang, China
 Qing He, China
 Qin-ping Zhao, China
 R. Ameri, Iran
 R. Slowinski, Poland
 Rajabali Borzooei, Iran
 R.R. Yager, USA
 Ruo-ning Xu, China
 Sen-lin Cheng, China
 Shao-hui Zeng, Hong Kong
 Shao-cheng Tong, China
 Sheng-quan Ma, China
 Shu-Cherng Fang, USA
 Shi-zhong Bai, China
 Shui-li Chen, China
 Shou-yang Wang, China
 Shohachiro Nakanishi, Japan
 Si-cong Guo, China
 Tai-fu Li, China
 Tian-you Chai, China
 Tsu-Tian Lee, China, Taiwan
 T.Y. Lin, USA
 T. Yamakawa, Japan
 Wen-xiu Zhang, China
 Wei-dong Hu, China
 Wen-yi Zeng, China
 Victor V. Senkevich, Russia
 X.Q. Jin, Macau
 Xian-tu Peng, USA
 Xin-sen Li, China
 Xiu-wen Su, China
 Xi-zhao Wang, China
 Xin-jiang Wei, China
 Xing-hu Zhang, Singapore

Xue-ping Wang, China
Xue-hai Yuan, China
Xue-fang Qu, China
Ya-lin Zheng, China
Yan Shi, Japan
Yang Xu, China
Yin-jun Feng, China
Yi Liang, Hong Kong
Yi-yu Yao, Canada
Ying-jie Tian, China
Yong Shi, China
Yun-dong Wu, China
Yu-cheng Li, China

Yun-jie Zhang, China
Y.X. Chen, China
Zhao-wen Zhuang, China
Zhi-qiang Liu, Hong Kong
Zhi-wen Mo, China
Zhe-xue Huang, Australia
Ze-shui Xu, China
Zhen-ming Song, China
Zhen-yuan Wang, USA
Zhi-sheng Chen, USA
Zhi-quan Qi, China
Zong-ben Xu, China

Contents

Part I Fuzzy Information Processing

$(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$-Fuzzy Weak Ideal of Complemented Semirings	3
Zuhua Liao, Chan Zhu, Xiaotang Luo, Xiaoying Zhu, Wangui Yuan and Juan Tong	
Generalized Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Relations	11
Yan-cai Zhao, Zu-hua Liao, Teng Lu and Juan Tong	
Fuzzy Topologies and Fuzzy Preorders Based on Complete Co-residuated Lattices	17
Piwei Chen and Yu Zeng	
A Classification Method of Fuzzy Sets Based on Rough Fuzzy Number	25
X. Liu, K. Liang, Z. Liu and S. Wang	
A Prediction Model for Hot Metal Desulfurization Rate Based on Fuzzy Structured Element	33
Jun-hong Ji, Ru-quan Liang and Ji-cheng He	
Fuzzy Reasoning Triple I Constraint Method Based on Family of Implication Operator $L-\lambda-II$	43
Jing-ning Shuang, Xiao-jing Hui and Jin-rui He	
Fuzzy Information Fusion Approach for Supplier Selection.	51
Guangxu Li, Gang Kou and Yi Peng	
Possibility-Based Outranking Comparison for PROMETHEE II with Uncertain Linguistic Fuzzy Variables	65
Binquan Liao, Lidong Wang and Xiaodong Liu	
Hesitant Fuzzy Correlation Measures Considering the Credibility	77
Jian-hui Yang and Chuan-yang Ruan	

Pan-uncertain Measure 89
Chao Wang, Minghu Ha and Xiaowei Liu

Fuzzy Risk Analysis Method Based on Trapezoidal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers 99
Xiaojie Zhou, Shuai Wang and Cheng Zhang

On Fuzzy Soft Relation 107
Yu-hong Zhang, Xue-hai Yuan and Zun-quan Xia

Fire Detection in Video Using Fuzzy Pattern Recognition 117
Lin Wang, Aiguo Li, Xin Yao and Kaiqi Zou

Fuzzy Prediction in Classification of AdaBoost Algorithm 129
Hong-yang Zhu, Yi Ding, Hong Gao and Wei Liu

Einstein Choquet Integral Operators for PROMETHEE II Group Decision Making Method with Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers 137
Lanlan Li, Lidong Wang and Binquan Liao

Dynamics Analysis and Fuzzy Control for the Working Device of Hydraulic Excavator 151
Lv Guang-ming, Xu Guo-sheng and Shuai Xie

Part II Fuzzy Engineering

The Application and Predictive Models Base on Bayesian Classifier in Electronic Information Industry 163
Yu-Bin Zhong, Tao Li, Si-cong Chen and Si-cong Guo

Fuzzy Formulation of the Lee-Carter Model for the Mortality Forecasting with Age-Specific Enhancement 177
Yefu Kou

Interval Number Comparison and Decision Making Based on Priority Degree 197
Yun-dong Gu, Su-jie Zhang and Meng-meng Zhang

T-Absolute Truth Degree Theory of Formulas in Three-Valued Łukasiewicz Propositional Logic System 207
He Jin-Rui, Hui Xiao-Jing and Shuang Jing-Ning

Universal Function Projective Synchronization of Chaotic Systems with Uncertainty by Using Active Fuzzy Sliding Mode Control 215
Baojie Zhang

Hesitant Fuzzy Prioritized Hybrid Average Operator and Its Application to Multiple Attribute Decision Making 227
 Chuan-yang Ruan, Jian-hui Yang, Li-na Han, Jing Duan and Ruo-bing Liu

Differential Transform Method for Solving Linear System of First-Order Fuzzy Differential Equations 235
 Lei Wang and Na Li

Weight of Basic Health Service Equalization Index Based on the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process 243
 Wei-xia Li, Zhuan-ku Huang, Zhong-ming Feng and Cheng-yi Zhang

About Approach to Multi-attribute Decision Making Problems Based on COWA Operator Under Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Environment 251
 Xiang-jun Xie and Xiao-xia Lv

A Novel Weighted Average Index Method of Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Its Application to Outsourced Software Project Risk Assessment 259
 Zhen-hua Zhang, Zhi-jun Li, Xiao-xin Chen, Guo-hua Qu, Yong Hu, Jin-hui Xu and Chao Ma

Some Novel Dynamic Fuzzy Sets Models Applied to the Classification of Outsourced Software Project Risk 273
 Zhen-hua Zhang, Guo-hua Qu, Kui-xi Xiao, Yong Hu, Zhi-jun Li, Xiao-xin Chen, Jin-hui Xu and Chao Ma

Weighted Min-Max Programming Subject to Max-Product Fuzzy Relation Equations 287
 Xiao-Peng Yang, Ying-Han Hong, Xue-Gang Zhou and Bing-Yuan Cao

Part III Internet and Big Data Applications

A Forecasting Approach of Fuzzy Time Series Model Based on a New Data Fuzzification 301
 Gang Chen, Li-hong Yang and Xue Yang

Intelligent Control Strategy of Incineration Process Pollution in Municipal Solid Waste 311
 Qian Wu and Hu Xu

Intelligent Control of Forming Process in Complex Work-Pieces of Plastic Cement 321
 Hong-jian Tao and Hu Xu

A Study on Risk Factors Impact on the Efficiency of Commercial Banks in China—Based on Fuzzy DEA Model 329
 Xin Liu Bao-zhu Shi and Xiang Zou

A Novel Modeling and Analysis Approach to Efficiency Requirements for System of Systems 349
 Qing-long Wang, Zhi-xue Wang, Yi-zhou Liu and Wei-xing Zhu

Exploring High Dimension Large Data Correlation Analysis with Mutual Information and Application 361
 Yu-shan Jiang, Dong-Kai Zhang, Xiao-min Wang and Wen-yang Zhu

Strong Robustness Control of Multi-modal Based on Human-Simulated Intelligence for Uncertainty System 373
 Bo Bi and Hu Xu

Combined Interpolation Method for Single-Well Monitoring Data 383
 Xiang-jun Xie, Meng-chuan Zhao and Liu-li Lu

Probability Distribution of T-System Based on B-P and L-S Implication 391
 Yu-Bin Zhong, Yuan-zhi Deng, Zeng-liang Liu and Xue-hai Yuan

A New Design Technology for Digital Image Magnifying Based on Hidden Markov Model 405
 Xingyi Zhong, Zhaojun Li and Xinyu Chen

An Evaluation Model in P2P File Sharing System 415
 Pei-Hua Wang, Hai-Tao Lin and Xiao-Peng Yang

Part IV Factor Space and Factorial Neural Networks

Factor Space and Normal Context on Concept Description 429
 Kai-qi Zou and Li-na Shi

An Improved Genetic Algorithm for Multiple Sequence Alignment. 435
 Man-zhi Li, Hai-xia Long and Cheng-yi Zhang

The Composition and Resolution of Fuzzy Linguistics in Factors Space 447
 Jing Zou and Zewen Jia

Research on SCADA Security Defense Model Based on Petri Nets 455
 Fan Yang, Xie-dong Cao, Meng Zhao and Wei-wei Zhang

An Optimized Algorithm for Text Clustering Based on F-Space 465
 Yu-Bin Zhong, Zhao-jun Li, Mao-han Zhao and Wei-quan Yao

Part V Information Granulation and Granular Computing

Parallel Quantum-Behaved Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm with Neighborhood Search 479
 Hai-xia Long, Man-zhi Li and Hai-yan Fu

Intelligent Vehicle Scheduling with Soft Time Window and Non-full Load Constraint 491
 Hai-xia Long, Cheng-yi Zhang and Hai-yan Fu

Two-Stage Flow Distribution Model Based on Multi-objective Optimization 503
 Cheng-yi Zhang, Hai-xia Long and Hai-yan Fu

A New Method to Obtain Deep Ocean Current Velocity from Argo Floats 519
 Jian-guo Qian and Yong-liang Han

Quantum State Sharing for Networks Using the GHZ Channel 533
 Jun Liu, Zhi-wen Mo and Shu-qin Sun

Part VI Extenics and Innovation Methods

Discipline Management System Reform in Extenics Perspective-Based on the Comparison Between the Old and New Discipline Catalogue 543
 Yu-xuan Zhong and Hao Ren

A Logical Representation of Extension Transformation 553
 Zhi-bin Chen, Xi-ping Jia and Zheng-hong Xiao

A Novel Establishing Method for 1-Dimensional Elementary Dependent Function by Standard Interval Transformation 563
 Long Tang and Chunyan Yang

Algorithm Research on Selection of Extension Transformation 577
 Xiao-mei Li, Chun-yan Yang and Wei-hua Li

Study of the Solvability of the Fuzzy Error Matrix Set Equation in Connotative Form of Type II 4 589
 Kai-zhong Guo, Ran Li and Jian-xin Li

A New Measure of Similarity Between Vague Sets 601
 Bing-jiang Zhang

Part VII Others

The Resolution Method for 10-Element Linguistic Truth-Valued Propositional Logic 613
 Li Zou, Ying Wang, Ying-ying Xu and Min-wen Yang

Existence of R_0 Type Reverse Triple I Solutions 623
 Jian Hou and Fei Yuan

Research on the Characteristic of the Five-Element Sub-lattice 633
 Hai-feng Zhang, Meng Zhou and Guang-jun Zhang

Remote Monitoring System of River Basin Water Quality Parameters Based on LabVIEW 639
 Qianjun Xiao, Qian Wu and Hu Xu

Quantum Dialogue for Networks with Partially Entangled States 647
 Yi Xiang, Zhi-wen Mo and Shu-qin Sun

A Rain Detection and Removal Algorithm Based on Rainy Intensity for Videos in Heavy Rainy Scene 657
 Chao-qi Ma and Zheng-fa Liang

Attribute Classification for Transformer Substation Based on Deep Convolutional Network 669
 Jia Wu, Dan Su, Hong-fei Xu, Si-ri Pang and Wang Luo

Attribute Segmentation for Transformer Substation Using Convolutional Network 679
 Jia Wu, Hong-zhen Yang, Hong-fei Xu, Si-ri Pang, Huan-yuan Li and Wang Luo

The Space Coverage Analysis Based on Planar Dynamic Grids 687
 Ruihua Geng

Multi-sentence Level Natural Language Generation for Dialogue System 699
 Junkuo Cao, Guolian Chen, Lihua Wu, Yu Zhang and Ziqiang Luo

Part I
Fuzzy Information Processing

$(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -Fuzzy Weak Ideal of Complemented Semirings

Zuhua Liao, Chan Zhu, Xiaotang Luo, Xiaoying Zhu, Wangui Yuan and Juan Tong

Abstract In this paper, the notions of generalized fuzzy weak ideal of complemented semiring, $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal of complemented semiring are introduced. By discussing, the two new concepts are found to be equivalent. Furthermore, some fundamental properties of their intersection, union, level sets, homomorphic image and homomorphic preimage are investigated.

Keywords $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -Fuzzy weak ideal · Generalized fuzzy weak ideal · Homomorphic image · Homomorphic preimage

1 Introduction

Rosenfeld in 1971 introduced fuzzy sets in the context of group theory and formulated the concept of a fuzzy subgroup of a group [1]. Since then, many researches have extended the concepts of abstract algebra to a fuzzy framework. In 2006, Liao etc. generalized “quasi-coincident with” relation (q) between a fuzzy point and a fuzzy set of Liu to “generalized quasi-coincident with” relation ($q_{(\lambda, \mu)}$) between a fuzzy point and a fuzzy set, and extended Rosenfeld’s (\in, \in) -fuzzy algebra, Bhakat and Das’s $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy algebra and $(\bar{\in}, \bar{\in} \vee \bar{q}_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy algebra to $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy algebra [2] with more abundant hierarchy [3].

Vandiver [4] in 1939 put forward the concept of semiring. The applications of semirings to areas such as optimization theory, graph theory, theory of discrete event dynamical systems, generalized fuzzy computation, automata theory, formal language theory, coding theory and analysis of computer programs have been extensively studied in the literature [5, 6]. Liu [7] introduced fuzzy ideals in a ring.

Z. Liao (✉) · C. Zhu · X. Luo · X. Zhu · W. Yuan · J. Tong
School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China
e-mail: liaozuhua57@163.com

Z. Liao · C. Zhu · X. Luo · X. Zhu · W. Yuan · J. Tong
Institute of Intelligent Systems and Network Computing,
Engineering of Internet of Things, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016
B.-Y. Cao et al. (eds.), *International Conference on Oriental Thinking and Fuzzy Logic*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 443,
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-30874-6_1

Following this definition, Mukherjee and Sen [8, 9] obtained many interesting results in the theory of rings.

On the study of fuzzy semiring, there has been a large number of researches at home and abroad. Feng and Zhan [10] proposed complemented semiring. They put the Boolean algebra as its proper class, and studied the algebraic structure of it.

This paper is the continuation of the above work.

Section 2 of this paper list some necessary preliminaries that support our results. Section 3 is the kernel of the whole paper, which display main results obtained by the authors, including the relationships among generalized fuzzy weak ideal, $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal and level subsets of a fuzzy set and relative properties about the intersection, union, homomorphic image and homomorphic preimage of such generalized fuzzy weak ideal. In section, we make a conclusion and prospect the further study of generalized fuzzy weak ideal.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notions and results which will be needed in the sequel.

Throughout the paper we always consider S as a semigroup.

Definition 1 [11] A semiring S is a structure consisting of a nonempty set S together with two binary operations on S called addition and multiplication (denoted in the usual manner) such that

- (1) S together with addition is a semigroup. o is additive identity element;
- (2) S together with multiplication is a semigroup. 1 is multiplicative identity element;
- (3) $a(b + c) = ab + ac, (a + b)c = ac + bc, \forall a, b, c \in S$;
- (4) $o \cdot a = a \cdot o = o$.

Definition 2 [10] Assume a is an element of semiring S , if there exists a complement \bar{a} which makes $a\bar{a} = o, a + \bar{a} = 1$, a is called complemented. S is said to be a complemented semiring if every element of S has a complement.

Definition 3 [12] Let S be a semiring. A nonempty subset A of S is said to be a complemented subsemiring of S if A is closed under three binary operations on S :

- (1) If $a, b \in A$, then $a + b \in A$;
- (2) If $a, b \in A$, then $ab \in A$;
- (3) If $a \in A$, then $\bar{a} \in A$.

From now on, we write S and H for complemented semirings.

Definition 4 [13] Let $\alpha, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda < \mu$, if $A(x) \geq \alpha$, then a fuzzy point x_α is said to belongs to a fuzzy subset A written $x_\alpha \in A$; if $\lambda < \alpha$ and $A(x) + \alpha > 2\mu$, then a fuzzy point x_α is called to be generalized quasi-coincident with a fuzzy subset A , denoted by $x_\alpha q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$. If $x_\alpha \in A$ or $x_\alpha q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$, then $x_\alpha \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)} A$.

Definition 5 [12] Let $\alpha, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda < \mu$, A fuzzy subset A of S is called an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S , if $\forall t, r \in (\lambda, 1]$, $a, b \in S$ satisfy:

- (1) If $a_t, b_r \in A$, we have $(a + b)_{t \wedge r} \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$;
- (2) If $a_t, b_r \in A$, there exist $(ab)_{t \wedge r} \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$;
- (3) If $a_t \in A$, $a_t \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$ holds.

Definition 6 [12] Let $\alpha, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda < \mu$, A is a fuzzy set of S . We call A a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S if $\forall a, b \in S$ satisfy:

- (1) $A(a + b) \vee \lambda \geq A(a) \wedge A(b) \wedge \mu$;
- (2) $A(ab) \vee \lambda \geq A(a) \wedge A(b) \wedge \mu$;
- (3) $A(\bar{a}) \vee \lambda \geq A(a) \wedge \mu$.

Definition 7 [14] Let $S_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ be complemented semirings and direct product: $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) | a_i \in S_i\}$. Then $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$ is a complemented semiring under the operations as following:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n); \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots, a_n b_n); \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 8 [14] Let $A_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ be fuzzy subsets of S_i , then a fuzzy set $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ defined as $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i(x_i)$ is called fuzzy direct product.

Theorem 1 [12] Let A be a fuzzy subset of S , then A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S if and only if A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S .

Theorem 2 [12] Let A be a fuzzy subset of S , then A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S if and only if $\forall \alpha \in (\lambda, \mu]$, nonempty A_α is a subsemiring of S .

Theorem 3 [12] Let A and B be generalized fuzzy complemented subsemirings of S , then $A \cap B$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S .

Theorem 4 [12] A subset A of S is a complemented subsemiring of S if and only if χ_A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S .

Theorem 5 [12] Let $f : S \rightarrow H$ be a full homomorphism. If A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S , then $f(A)$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of H .

Theorem 6 [12] Let $f : S \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism. If B is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of H , then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S .

3 $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -Fuzzy Completely Prime Ideals

The following if no special instructions, we suppose $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda < \mu$. Firstly, the definitions of weak idea of complemented semiring generalized fuzzy weak ideal and $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal are given.

Definition 9 Let A be a complemented subsemiring of S , then A is said to be a weak idea of complemented semiring S if for all $x \in A, y \in S, xy + yx \in A$.

Definition 10 Let A be a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S , then A is called a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S if $A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu, \forall x, y \in S$.

Definition 11 Let A be an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S . Then A is called an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal of S , if $\alpha \in (\lambda, 1], y \in S, x_\alpha \in A$ imply $(xy + yx)_\alpha \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$.

By the research on the relationships among generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring, $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal, and level subsets of a fuzzy set, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7 Let A be a fuzzy subset of S , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal of S ;
- (2) A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S ;
- (3) $\forall \alpha \in (\lambda, \mu]$, nonempty set A_α is a weak ideal of S .

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2):

From Theorem 1, we know A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S thus A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

Next we prove A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of A . Assume that there exist $x_0, y_0 \in S$ such that $A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) \vee \lambda < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$. choose α such that $A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) \vee \lambda < \alpha < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$, then $A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) < \alpha, A(x_0) > \alpha$ and $\lambda < \alpha < \mu$, so $(x_0)_\alpha \in A$. Since A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal of S , thus $(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0)_\alpha \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$. But $A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) + \alpha < \alpha + \alpha < 2\mu$, a contradiction.

So A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

(2) \Rightarrow (1)

It is easy to prove that A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy complemented subsemiring of $S, \forall x, y \in S, \alpha \in (\lambda, 1]$, if $x_\alpha \in A$, then $A(x) \geq \alpha$. Since A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S , then $A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu \geq \alpha \wedge \mu$.

Case 1: If $\alpha > \mu$, then $A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq \mu$. By $\lambda \leq \mu$, so $A(xy + yx) \geq \mu$. Then $A(xy + yx) + \alpha \geq \mu + \alpha > 2\mu$, i.e. $(xy + yx)_\alpha \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$.

Case 2: If $\alpha \leq \mu$, then we can obtain that $A(xy + yx) \geq \alpha$, i.e. $(xy + yx)_\alpha \in A$. So $(xy + yx)_\alpha \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)}A$. Therefore A is an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal of S .

(2) \Rightarrow (3)

We know that A_α is a subsemiring of S based on Theorem 2. $\forall x \in A_\alpha, \alpha \in (\lambda, \mu]$ and $y \in S$, then $A(x) \geq \alpha$. Since A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S , then $A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu \geq \alpha \wedge \mu = \alpha$, by $\lambda < \alpha$, so $A(xy + yx) \geq \alpha$, i.e., $xy + yx \in A_\alpha$.

Therefore A_α is a weak ideal of S , $\forall \alpha \in (\lambda, \mu]$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2)

We obtain that A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S based on Theorem 2. Assume that there exist $x_0, y_0 \in S$ such that $A(x_0 y_0 + y_0 x_0) \vee \lambda < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$. Choose α such that $A(x_0 y_0 + y_0 x_0) \vee \lambda < \alpha < A(x_0) \wedge \mu$, then $A(x_0 y_0 + y_0 x_0) < \alpha$, $A(x_0) > \alpha$ and $\lambda < \alpha < \mu$. So $x_0 \in A_\alpha$. Since A_α is a weak ideal of S , then $A(x_0 y_0 + y_0 x_0) \geq \alpha$, a contradiction. Therefore A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

The above theorem shows that generalized fuzzy weak ideal and $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal are equivalent. Thus we can prove a normal fuzzy set be an $(\in, \in \vee q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal by proving it be a generalized fuzzy weak ideal, which is easier than the former. Meanwhile, Theorem 7 establishes a kind of link between generalized fuzzy weak ideal and ordinary weak ideal.

Theorem 8 *Let A and B be generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S , then $A \cap B$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .*

Proof We obtain that $A \cap B$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S based on Theorem 3. For all $x, y \in S$, we have $(A \cap B)(xy + yx) \vee \lambda = (A(xy + yx) \wedge B(xy + yx)) \vee \lambda = (A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda) \wedge (B(xy + yx) \vee \lambda) \geq (A(x) \wedge \mu) \wedge (B(x) \wedge \mu) = (A \cap B)(x) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $A \cap B$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

Corollary 1 *Let $A_i (i \in I)$ be generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , then $\cap_{i \in I} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .*

Theorem 9 *Let $A_i (i \in I)$ be generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , and $\forall i, j \in I, A_i \subseteq A_j$ or $A_j \subseteq A_i$. Then $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .*

Proof Firstly, we prove that $\vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu) = (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Obviously, $\vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu) \leq (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Assume that $\vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu) \neq (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$, then there exists r such that $\vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu) < r < (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Since $\forall i, j \in I, A_i \subseteq A_j$ or $A_j \subseteq A_i$, then $\exists k \in I$, such that $r < A_k(x) \wedge A_k(y) \wedge \mu$. But $A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu < r, \forall i \in I$, a contradiction. Thus $\{\vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu)\} = (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Next, $\forall x, y \in S$, we have $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x + y) \vee \lambda = \vee_{i \in I} A_i(x + y) \vee \lambda = \vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x + y) \vee \lambda) \geq \vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge A_i(y) \wedge \mu) = (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Similarly, we can prove that $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(xy) \vee \lambda \geq (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. $\forall i \in I$, since A_i is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S , so $A_i(\bar{a}) \vee \lambda \geq A_i(a) \wedge \mu$. Then $(\vee_{i \in I} A_i(\bar{a})) \vee \lambda \geq A_i(\bar{a}) \vee \lambda \geq A_i(a) \wedge \mu$. We have $(\vee_{i \in I} A_i(\bar{a})) \vee \lambda \geq (\vee_{i \in I} A_i(a)) \wedge \mu$. That is $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i(\bar{a})) \vee \lambda \geq (\cup_{i \in I} A_i(a)) \wedge \mu$.

Thus, $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S .

Finally, $\forall x, y \in S, \cup_{i \in I} (xy + yx) \vee \lambda = \vee_{i \in I} A_i(xy + yx) \vee \lambda = \vee_{i \in I} (A_i(xy + yx) \vee \lambda) \geq \vee_{i \in I} (A_i(x) \wedge \mu) = \vee_{i \in I} A_i(x) \wedge \mu = (\cup_{i \in I} A_i)(x) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

Theorem 10 *Let A_1 and A_2 be generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S_1 and S_2 respectively, then $A_1 \times A_2$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of $S_1 \times S_2$.*

Proof Firstly, we prove that $A_1 \times A_2$ is a generalized fuzzy subsemiring of $S_1 \times S_2$. For all $x, y \in S_1 \times S_2$, where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$, since A_1 and A_2 are generalized fuzzy subsemirings of S_1 and S_2 respectively, then $(A_1 \times A_2)(x + y) \vee \lambda = (A_1 \times A_2)(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2) \vee \lambda = (A_1(x_1 + y_1) \wedge A_2(x_2 + y_2)) \vee \lambda = (A_1(x_1 + y_1) \vee \lambda) \wedge (A_2(x_2 + y_2) \vee \lambda) \geq (A_1(x_1) \wedge A_1(y_1) \wedge \mu) \wedge (A_2(x_2) \wedge A_2(y_2) \wedge \mu) = (A_1 \times A_2)((x_1, x_2)) \wedge (A_1 \times A_2)((y_1, y_2)) \wedge \mu = (A_1 \times A_2)(x) \wedge (A_1 \times A_2)(y) \wedge \mu$.

Similarly, we can prove that $(A_1 \times A_2)(\bar{x}) \vee \lambda \geq (A_1 \times A_2)(x) \wedge \mu$ and $(A_1 \times A_2)(xy) \vee \lambda \geq (A_1 \times A_2)(x) \wedge (A_1 \times A_2)(y) \wedge \mu$. So $A_1 \times A_2$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of $S_1 \times S_2$.

Next, for all $x, y \in S_1 \times S_2$, where $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$, $(A_1 \times A_2)(xy + yx) \vee \lambda = (A_1 \times A_2)(x_1y_1 + y_1x_1, x_2y_2 + y_2x_2) \vee \lambda = (A_1(x_1y_1 + y_1x_1) \wedge A_2(x_2y_2 + y_2x_2)) \vee \lambda = (A_1(x_1y_1 + y_1x_1) \vee \lambda) \wedge (A_2(x_2y_2 + y_2x_2) \vee \lambda) \geq (A_1(x_1) \wedge \mu) \wedge (A_2(x_2) \wedge \mu) = (A_1 \times A_2)(x_1, x_2) \wedge \mu = (A_1 \times A_2)(x) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $A_1 \times A_2$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of $S_1 \times S_2$.

Theorem 11 *Let A_i be generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , then $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$.*

Proof Firstly, we prove that $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy subsemiring of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$. For all $x, y \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, then $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x + y) \vee \lambda = \inf A_i(x_i + y_i) \vee \lambda = \inf (A_i(x_i + y_i) \vee \lambda) \geq \inf (A_i(x_i) \wedge A_i(y_i) \wedge \mu) = \inf A_i(x_i) \wedge \inf A_i(y_i) \wedge \mu = (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x) \wedge (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$.

Similarly, we can prove $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(xy) \vee \lambda \geq (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x) \wedge (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(y) \wedge \mu$. Next in addition, $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(\bar{x}) \vee \lambda = \inf A_i(\bar{x}_i) \vee \lambda = \inf (A_i(\bar{x}_i) \vee \lambda) \geq \inf (A_i(x_i) \wedge \mu) = \inf A_i(x_i) \wedge \mu = (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$.

Finally, for all $x, y \in \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, then $(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(xy + yx) \vee \lambda = \inf A_i(x_iy_i + y_ix_i) \vee \lambda = \inf (A_i(x_iy_i + y_ix_i) \vee \lambda) \geq \inf (A_i(x_i) \wedge \mu) = \inf A_i(x_i) \wedge \mu = (\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i)(x) \wedge \mu$. Thus $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$.

Theorem 12 *Let A be a subset of S , then χ_A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S if and only if A is a weak ideal of S .*

Proof We know that A is a complemented subsemiring of S based on Theorem 4. For all $x \in A$ and $y \in S$, since χ_A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S , then $\chi_A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq \chi_A(x) \wedge \mu = 1 \wedge \mu = \mu$. By $\lambda < \mu$, so $\chi_A(xy + yx) \geq \mu > 0$ and $\chi_A(xy + yx) = 1$. Then $xy + yx \in A$. Therefore A is a weak ideal of S .

Conversely, we can obtain that χ_A is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S based on Theorem 4.

Assume that there exist $x_0, y_0 \in S$ such that $\chi_A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) \vee \lambda < \chi_A(x_0) \wedge \mu$. Choose α such that $\chi_A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) < \alpha < \chi_A(x_0) \wedge \mu < \alpha < \chi_A(x_0) \wedge \mu$, then $\chi_A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) < \alpha, \chi_A(x_0) > \alpha$ and $\lambda < \alpha < \mu$. i.e. $x_0 \in A$. Since A is a weak ideal of S then $x_0y_0 + y_0x_0 \in A$. So $\chi_A(x_0y_0 + y_0x_0) = 1 > \alpha$, a contradiction.

Thus χ_A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

Theorem 13 *Let A be a generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , then $A_{\underline{\lambda}} = \{x | A(x) > \lambda\}$ is a generalized weak ideal of S .*

Proof Firstly, we prove that $A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is a complemented subsemiring of S . For all $x, y \in A_{\underline{\lambda}}$, since A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , then $A(x + y) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge A(y) \wedge \mu > \lambda$, so $A(x + y) > \lambda$, i.e., $x + y \in A_{\underline{\lambda}}$. Similarly, we can prove that $xy, \bar{x} \in A_{\underline{\lambda}}$.

Therefore $A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is a complemented submiring of S . Next, for all $x \in A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ and $y \in S$, then $A(x) > \lambda$. Since A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideals of S , then $A(xy + yx) \vee \lambda \geq A(x) \wedge \mu > \lambda$, so $A(xy + yx) > \lambda$, i.e., $xy + yx \in A_{\underline{\lambda}}$.

Therefore $A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is a weak ideal of S .

Theorem 14 *Let $f : S \rightarrow H$ be a full homomorphism, if A is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S , then $f(A)$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of H .*

Proof Based on Theorem 5, we know that $f(A)$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of H . For all $z_1, z_2 \in H$, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in S$, such that $f(x_1) = z_1, f(x_2) = z_2$, then $f(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1) = f(x_1x_2) + f(x_2x_1) = f(x_1)f(x_2) + f(x_2)f(x_1) = z_1z_2 + z_2z_1$. So $f(A)(z_1z_2 + z_2z_1) \vee \lambda = \sup\{A(x) | f(x) = z_1z_2 + z_2z_1\} \vee \lambda = \sup\{A(x) \vee \lambda | f(x) = z_1z_2 + z_2z_1\} \geq \sup\{A(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1) \vee \lambda | f(x_1) = z_1, f(x_2) = z_2\} \geq \sup\{A(x_1) \wedge \mu | f(x_1) = z_1\} = \sup\{A(x_1) \wedge \mu | f(x_1) = z_1\} = f(A)(z_1) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $f(A)$ is a generalized fuzzy ideal of H .

Theorem 15 *Let $f : S \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism, if B is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of H , then $f^{-1}(B)$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .*

Proof Based on Theorem 6, we can obtain that $f^{-1}(B)$ is a generalized fuzzy complemented subsemiring of S . For all $x, y \in S$, then $f(x), f(y) \in H$. Since B is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of H , so $f^{-1}(B)(xy + yx) \vee \lambda = B(f(xy + yx)) \vee \lambda = B(f(x)f(y) + f(y)f(x)) \vee \lambda \geq B(f(x)) \wedge \mu = f^{-1}(B)(x) \wedge \mu$.

Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is a generalized fuzzy weak ideal of S .

4 Conclusion

In this present investigation, the concepts of generalized fuzzy weak ideal and $(\in, \in \forall q_{(\lambda, \mu)})$ -fuzzy weak ideal are proposed. Moreover, the relevant properties are studied. Further we will do some relevant properties on chain condition of fuzzy weak ideal.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by Program for Innovative Research Team of Jiangnan University(No:200902).

References

1. Rosenfeld, A.: Fuzzy groups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **35**, 512–517 (1971)
2. Liao, Z.H., Chen, M.: Fuzzy subsemigroups and fuzzy completely regular subsemigroups. *J. Jiangnan Univ. (Natural Science)* **8**, 242–244 (2009)
3. Liao, Z.H., Hu, M.H., Chen, M., et al.: Generalized fuzzy interior ideals in semigroups. In: *Third International Joint Conference on Computational Science and Optimization*, pp. 197–200 (2010)
4. Vandiver, H.S.: On some simple types of semirings. *Am. Math. Monthly* **46**, 22–26 (1939)
5. Glazek, K.: *A Guide to the Literature on Semirings and their Applications in Mathematics and Information Sciences*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2002)
6. Golan, J.S.: *Semirings and Affine Equations Over Them: Theory and Applications*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2003)
7. Liu, W.J.: Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **8**, 133–139 (1982)
8. Mukherjee, T.K., Sen, M.K.: On fuzzy ideals of a ring. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **21**, 99–104 (1987)
9. Mukherjee, T.K., Sen, M.K.: Prime fuzzy ideals in rings. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **32**, 337–341 (1989)
10. Feng, J., Zhan, C.: Complemented semirings congruence. *Fuzzy Syst. Math.* **24**, 61–65 (2010)
11. Ming, P.P., Ming, L.Y.: Fuzzy topology: neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76**, 571–599 (1980)
12. Zhu, C., Liao, Z.H., Luo, X.T., et al.: Fuzzy complemented sumirings. *Fuzzy Syst. Math.* **27**(5), 47–54 (2013)
13. Liao, Z.H., Gu, H.: Fuzzy normal subgroup. *Fuzzy Syst. Math.* **20**, 340–343 (2006)
14. Yao, B.X.: *Fuzzy Theory on Groups and Rings*, pp. 1–125. Science Press, Beijing (2008)

Generalized Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Relations

Yan-cai Zhao, Zu-hua Liao, Teng Lu and Juan Tong

Abstract In classical fuzzy set theory, a fuzzy set is a membership function which associates with each element a real number in $[0, 1]$, a fuzzy relation is a function which associates with each pair of elements a real number in $[0, 1]$. In the present paper, we generalize the above two concepts by associating with each set a real number in $[0, 1]$, and associating with each pair of sets a real number in $[0, 1]$, respectively. We then give a series of properties for these two types of generalized concepts. We also show that a generalized fuzzy relation can be induced by a classical fuzzy relation, which shows the communication of our generalized fuzzy concepts with the classical fuzzy theory.

Keywords Fuzzy set · Fuzzy relation · Generalized fuzzy set · Generalized fuzzy relation · Power set

1 Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [10]. A *fuzzy set* in a referential (universe of discourse) X is characterized by a membership function A which associates with each element $x \in X$ a real number $A(x) \in [0, 1]$, having the interpretation that $A(x)$ is the membership degree of x in the fuzzy set A . For convenience, we also call the set X in above definition the *base set* of a the fuzzy set A .

Let X, Y be two sets. A mapping $R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *fuzzy relation* [10]. The number $R(x, y) \in [0, 1]$ can be interpreted as the degree of relationship between x and y .

Y. Zhao (✉)

Wuxi City College of Vocational Technology, 214153 Jiangsu, China
e-mail: zhaoyc69@126.com

Y. Zhao · Z. Liao (✉) · T. Lu · J. Tong

School of Science, Jiangnan University, 214122 Jiangsu, China
e-mail: liaozuhua57@163.com

Since fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [10], many extensions have been developed, such as intuitionistic fuzzy set [1], type-2 fuzzy set [2, 4], type- n fuzzy set [2], fuzzy multiset [5, 9] and hesitant fuzzy set [6–8, 11]. So far as we know, all types of fuzzy set assign a value or a set of values to an element of a classical set. However, there are many situations in our real lives in which one has to make decision on a whole set. For example, a patient usually has several symptoms. His/Her doctor has to make a decision by whole of his/her symptoms, which means that the doctor assigns a value to the set of all the patient’s symptoms. We will give the details of this example later.

In this paper, we first generalize the classical fuzzy set on a base set X to one on a collection of sets. Then, we concentrate on the study of the generalized fuzzy sets on base set $\mathcal{P}(X)$, the power set of X , and obtain a series of properties; We also generalize the classical fuzzy relation between two elements to several types of relations between two sets, and obtain a series of properties for these generalized fuzzy relations. Among them, we construct a equivalence fuzzy relation at the end of the paper.

2 Generalized Fuzzy Sets and Their Operations

In our real lives, there exist many situations in which we should make decisions on a collection of sets.

Example 1 A doctor usually judge that if a patient has caught a cold by the following symptoms of this patient: Fever (F for short), Headache (H for short) and Cough (C for short). The following table give the corresponding numbers to different sets of symptoms. Each number means a degree of a patient catch a cold.

In the following table, the collection D of decisions is a fuzzy set on the collection of the set of different symptoms of a patient (Fig. 1).

$$D = \frac{0}{\emptyset} + \frac{0.2}{\{F\}} + \frac{0.1}{\{H\}} + \frac{0.2}{\{C\}} + \frac{0.3}{\{F, H\}} + \frac{0.5}{\{F, C\}} + \frac{0.4}{\{H, C\}} + \frac{0.9}{\{F, H, C\}}.$$

A classical fuzzy set assigns a value to an element. We now give a generalized type of fuzzy set which assigns a value to a set.

Definition 1 Let $S = \{S_i | i \in I\}$ be a collection of sets. Then a mapping A such that $A : S \rightarrow [0, 1], S \mapsto A(S), \forall S \in S$ is called a fuzzy set on S . $A(S)$ is called the *membership degree* of S in S . Let the collection of all the fuzzy sets on S be $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

Fig. 1 Fuzzy decisions on the power set of a set

symptoms	\emptyset	$\{F\}$	$\{H\}$	$\{C\}$	$\{F, H\}$	$\{F, C\}$	$\{H, C\}$	$\{F, H, C\}$
decision	0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.9

As an special collection of sets, the power set of a set is interesting. So we will concentrate our studies on the fuzzy sets on a power set.

Definition 2 Let X be a set. Then a mapping A_p such that

$$A_p : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1], P \mapsto A_p(P), \forall P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

is called a fuzzy set on $\mathcal{P}(X)$. $A_p(P)$ is called the *membership degree* of P in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Let the collection of all the fuzzy sets on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(X))$.

Give a fuzzy set on X , we can deduce a fuzzy set on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ as follows.

Definition 3 Given a fuzzy set A on X , the mapping such that

$$A_{max} : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1], P \mapsto A_{max}(P) = \bigvee_{x \in P} A(x)$$

is called the *max-type induced fuzzy set* by A . Denote $\mathcal{F}_{max}(\mathcal{P}(X)) = \{A_{max} \mid A \in \mathcal{F}(X)\}$.

Definition 4 Given a fuzzy set A on X , the mapping such that

$$A_{min} : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1], P \mapsto A_{min}(P) = \bigvee_{x \in P} A(x)$$

is called the *min-type induced fuzzy set* by A . Denote $\mathcal{F}_{min}(\mathcal{P}(X)) = \{A_{min} \mid A \in \mathcal{F}(X)\}$.

Given any fuzzy set A on X , then A induces a relation \sim on X by defining that $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow A(x) = A(y)$. It is easy to see that \sim is an equivalence relation on X . Therefore, there exists the quotient set X/\sim . Similarly, A_{max} or A_{min} induces a relation \sim' on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $P_1 \sim' P_2 \Leftrightarrow A_p(x) = A_p(y)$ for any $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. \sim' is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and thus there exists the quotient set $\mathcal{P}(X)/\sim'$.

The order relation of elements in a set is usually defined as $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow A(x) \leq A(y)$. Now we define the order relation of two sets as follows.

Definition 5 Let A be a fuzzy set on X . For any $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $P_1 \leq P_2 \Leftrightarrow A_p(P_1) \leq A_p(P_2)$.

We further give a more general order relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ as follows.

Definition 6 Let $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(X))$. If $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}(X), \tilde{A}(P) \leq \tilde{B}(P)$, then we say $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}$. If $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}(X), \tilde{A}(P) = \tilde{B}(P)$, then we say that $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$.

Theorem 1 Let $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(X))$, then we have the follows.

- (1) *Self-reflexivity.* $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{A}$.
- (2) *Anti-symmetry.* $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}, \tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A} \Rightarrow \tilde{A} = \tilde{B}$.
- (3) *Transitivity.* $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}, \tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{C} \Rightarrow \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{C}$.

From Theorem 1 we know that $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(X)), \subseteq)$ is a partially ordered set.

3 Generalized Fuzzy Relations

The classical fuzzy set theory defined the relations between two elements as follows. Let X, Y be two classical sets. A mapping $R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *fuzzy relation* [10]. The number $R(x, y) \in [0, 1]$ can be interpreted as the degree of relationship between x and y .

Now we define the fuzzy relation between two sets as follows.

Definition 7 Let X, Y be two classical sets. A mapping $R : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a *fuzzy relation*. The number $R(A, B) \in [0, 1]$ can be interpreted as the degree of relationship between A and B .

Further, we give two types of induced relations between two sets as follows.

Definition 8 Let $R : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy relation on X . The mapping $\tilde{R} : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\tilde{R}(A, B) = \bigvee_{a \in A, b \in B} R(a, b)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called the *max-type induced fuzzy relation between A and B* .

Definition 9 Let $R : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy relation on X . The mapping $\tilde{R} : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\tilde{R}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{a \in A, b \in B} R(a, b)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called the *min-type induced fuzzy relation between A and B* .

Theorem 2 If R is a fuzzy relation on X , then the max-type induced fuzzy relation \tilde{R} on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has the following properties.

- (1) $A \subseteq C, B \subseteq D \Rightarrow \tilde{R}(A, B) \leq \tilde{R}(C, D)$;
- (2) If R is self-reflexive and $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $\tilde{R}(A, B) = 1$;
- (3) $\tilde{R}(A, B \cup C) = \tilde{R}(A, B) \vee \tilde{R}(A, C)$;
- (4) $\tilde{R}(A, B \cap C) \leq \tilde{R}(A, B) \wedge \tilde{R}(A, C)$.

Proof (1). By Definition 8, it is easy to see.

- (2). Since R is self-reflexive, $R(x, x) = 1$ for any $x \in X$. Choose an element $Y \in A \cap B$. Then by (1) $\tilde{R}(A, B) \geq \tilde{R}(\{x\}, \{x\}) = R(x, x) = 1$. So $\tilde{R}(A, B) = 1$.
- (3).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{R}(A, B \cup C) &= \bigvee_{\substack{a \in A \\ u \in B \cup C}} R(a, u) \\
 &= \bigvee_{\substack{a \in A \\ u \in B \text{ or } u \in C}} R(a, u) \\
 &= \left\{ \bigvee_{\substack{a \in A \\ u \in B}} R(a, u) \right\} \vee \left\{ \bigvee_{\substack{a \in A \\ u \in C}} R(a, u) \right\} \\
 &= \tilde{R}(A, B) \vee \tilde{R}(A, C).
 \end{aligned}$$

(4). It is easy to be deduced from (1).

Theorem 3 *If R is a fuzzy relation on X , then the min-type induced fuzzy relation \tilde{R} on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has the following properties.*

- (1) $A \subseteq C, B \subseteq D \Rightarrow \tilde{R}(A, B) \geq \tilde{R}(C, D)$;
- (2) *If R is self-reflexive and $A - B \neq \emptyset$ (Res. $B - A \neq \emptyset$), then $\tilde{R}(A, B) = \tilde{R}(A - B, B)$ (Res. $\tilde{R}(A, B) = \tilde{R}(A, B - A)$);*
- (3) $\tilde{R}(A, B \cup C) = \tilde{R}(A, B) \wedge \tilde{R}(A, C)$;
- (4) $\tilde{R}(A, B \cap C) \geq \tilde{R}(A, B) \vee \tilde{R}(A, C)$.

Proof The proofs are similar to those in Theorem 2, and thus we omit.

Now we give two types of definitions of transitivity of fuzzy relations on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and will provide an equivalence fuzzy relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Definition 10 A fuzzy relation R on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called *I-type transitive*, if $R^2 = R \circ R \subseteq R$, that is, $\forall (U, V) \in \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X), \bigvee_{W \in \mathcal{P}(X)} R(U, W) \wedge R(W, V) \leq R(U, V)$.

Definition 11 A fuzzy relation R on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called *II-type transitive*, if $R^2 = R \circ R \subseteq R$, that is, $\forall (U, V) \in \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X), \bigvee_{\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}(X)} R(U, \{x\}) \wedge R(\{x\}, V) \leq R(U, V)$.

Definition 12 Let $R : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy relation on X . For any two different elements $x, y \in X$, a path from x to y , denoted $P(x, y)$, is a set of continuous pairs $(x, a_1), (a_1, a_2), (a_2, a_3), \dots, (a_n, y)$, where, each pair is called an *edge* of the path. Let $E(P(x, y))$ be the set of all edges in a path $P(x, y)$. The *degree* of a path $P(x, y)$ is $S(P(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{e \in E(P(x, y))} R(e)$. Suppose that there are l paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l from x to y . Then the *connective degree* between x and y is

$$S(x, y) == \begin{cases} \bigvee_{i=1}^l S(P_i), & \text{if } x \neq y; \\ 1, & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

The set of paths from A to B is $P(A, B) = \{P(a, b) | a \in A, b \in B\}$. The *connective degree* between A and B is $S(A, B) = \bigvee_{a \in A, b \in B} S(a, b)$.

The induced fuzzy relation S on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has the following properties.

Theorem 4 *If R is a fuzzy relation on X , then the fuzzy relation S on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ has the following properties.*

- (1) $A \subseteq C, B \subseteq D \Rightarrow S(A, B) \leq S(C, D)$;
- (2) *If $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $S(A, B) = 1$;*
- (3) $S(A, B \cup C) = S(A, B) \vee S(A, C)$;
- (4) $S(A, B \cap C) \leq S(A, B) \wedge S(A, C)$.

Proof The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2, and thus we omit.

Theorem 5 *If R is a symmetric fuzzy relation on X , then S is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, under the meaning of the II-type transitivity.*