

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Marco Vignati

Model of the Response Function of CUORE Bolometers



Springer

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

For further volumes:
<http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic of should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

Marco Vignati

Model of the Response Function of CUORE Bolometers

Doctoral Thesis accepted by Dipartimento di Fisica,
Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

Author

Dr. Marco Vignati
Dipt. di Fisica G. Marconi
Sapienza Università di Roma & INFN
Piazzale A. Moro 2
00185 Rome
Italy
e-mail: marco.vignati@roma1.infn.it

Supervisor

Prof. Fernando Ferroni
Dipt. di Fisica G. Marconi
Sapienza Università di Roma & INFN
Piazzale A. Moro 2
00185 Rome
Italy

ISSN 2190-5053

e-ISSN 2190-5061

ISBN 978-94-007-1231-7

e-ISBN 978-94-007-1232-4

DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-1232-4

Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Cover design: eStudio Calamar, Berlin/Figueres

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

A mio nonno

Supervisor's Foreword

In the quest of understanding the fundamental physics law of Nature, neutrinos play a special role. The observation of the neutrino mixing, calling for a finite neutrino mass, is the most solid experimental evidence that the Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions is incomplete and points to the existence of some form of New Physics. At the same time the only viable explanation of the matter–anti-matter asymmetry, the justification for our very existence, available today is based on the Leptogenesis, and this again points to the importance of neutrino properties understanding. The two most outstanding questions in neutrino physics are:

- Is the neutrino a Majorana particle?
- What is the absolute neutrino mass?

If neutrinos are Majorana particles then there is one (and the only one experimentally viable) process that can test this property. This process is the neutrinoless double beta decay that if observed would also set the scale for the absolute neutrino mass.

The experiment that has the greatest chance in the next years to test the neutrino nature is CUORE, performed at laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (Italy).

This experiment is composed by about 1000 TeO_2 crystals operated as bolometers at a temperature of 10 mK. For many applications, phonon-mediated particle detectors operated at low temperatures (defined “bolometers”) provide better energy resolution, lower energy threshold and broader material choice than the conventional detectors. The signal observed in the bolometric detectors, however, has complicated and unwanted features that could limit the success of the research. The energy resolution can be spoiled by temperature instabilities of the cryogenic setup, and the energy calibration is hard to parametrize. Moreover a faithful modeling of the bolometric signal is needed to evaluate the efficiencies of reconstructing the signal in the data analysis. Since years the response function of the detectors was not fully understood, slowing down the progress of the experiments in the field.

In this work Marco Vignati introduces a model that explains the unwanted features of the signal, and develops a method to correct them. There are no free

parameters in the model, making it reliable and well understood. The application to test bolometers showed that the dependence of the response function on the detector temperature is removed, and that the calibration function can be reduced to a line. This has important implications for CUORE. The simplification of the calibration function and the correction of the response instabilities will reduce the time spent in calibrating the detector, which currently affects considerably the live time of the experiment. Starting from his model Marco Vignati developed a simulation of the signal that is able to reproduce all the needed features. This tool is now widely used by our colleagues to test and improve the data analysis.

It has to be remarked that the model can be applied to different kinds of large mass bolometric detectors, making it usable in other experiments.

Roma, March 2011

Fernando Ferroni

Acknowledgments

I thank Prof. Fernando Ferroni for the confidence placed on me during these years, for encouraging my ideas, and for always make me feel that I could do more and better.

I thank Fabio Bellini, a friend, a bed sharer, who is always willing to talk about physics and life. Good luck with Giulietta!

Many thanks go to the Gran Sasso people, Carlo Bucci, Stefano Pirro, and Paolo Gorla, which strongly supported this work and beared me and my endless requests of measuring capacitances and load resistances.

Thanks to Sergio Di Domizio, for creating a great data acquisition system and for beating me in ping-pong at Gran Sasso 99.9% of the times.

Thanks to Filippo Orio and Claudia Tomei for being very precious companions, and to Silvio Morganti and Riccardo Faccini for the very fruitful discussions and suggestions.

Finally, thanks to my family for always following me and to Benedetta, for being ...

Contents

1	Neutrino Masses and Double Beta Decay	1
1.1	Oscillations	2
1.2	Masses	4
1.3	Double Beta Decay	6
1.4	Nuclear Matrix Elements	7
1.5	Experimental Searches for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay	9
1.6	Past and Present Experiments	11
1.7	Future Experiments	14
	References	16
2	TeO₂ Bolometric Detectors for 0νDBD Search	19
2.1	Bolometric Detectors	19
2.1.1	The Energy Absorber	20
2.1.2	The Choice of TeO ₂	21
2.1.3	The Sensor	22
2.1.4	NTD-Ge Thermistors	24
2.2	Bolometer Operation	24
2.3	Arrays of TeO ₂ Bolometers	26
2.4	Cryogenic Setups	26
2.5	Signal Readout	28
2.5.1	Measurement of the Static Resistance	29
2.6	Detector Noise	30
2.7	CUORICINO and CUORE	31
	References	34
3	Model of the Response Function of CUORE Bolometers	35
3.1	The CCVR Run	35
3.2	The Model	37
3.2.1	Thermistor Model	37
3.2.2	Biassing Circuit	41
3.2.3	Bessel Filter	42

3.2.4	Thermal Model	43
3.2.5	Simplified Model Without Temperature Dependences . . .	44
3.2.6	Fit to Data	47
3.2.7	Response Function Simulation	48
3.2.8	Amplitude Dependence on the Working Temperature . . .	50
3.2.9	Extraction of R_S from the Relationship Between Amplitude and Baseline	52
	References	55
4	Thermal Response Analysis	57
4.1	Data Analysis Procedure	57
4.2	The Thermal Response Algorithm	58
4.3	Check of the TR Algorithm on MonteCarlo Data	59
4.4	Data Analysis	61
4.4.1	Calibration	64
4.5	Residual Drift in Time	68
4.6	Sources of Systematic Errors	71
4.6.1	Choice of the Derivative Algorithm	72
4.6.2	Error on the Biasing and Read-Out Circuits Parameters . . .	73
	References	74
5	Thermal Response Analysis on the Three Towers Detector	75
5.1	The Three Towers Detector	75
5.2	Measurements of Model Parameters	76
5.2.1	Measurement of $V_{R_S}^G$ and V_S	77
5.2.2	Measurement of G/G_S	79
5.2.3	Measurement of R_L	79
5.2.4	Measurement of $V_B G_S$	80
5.2.5	Measurement of c_p	81
5.3	Results on Calibration Data	83
5.4	Results on Background Data	86
5.5	Future Developments	88
	References	89
6	Conclusions	91
	Reference	95
	Appendix A: Thermal Response Analysis on the CCVR Detector	97
	Appendix B: Precision Measurements on the Three Towers Detector	103

Chapter 1

Neutrino Masses and Double Beta Decay

In 1914 Chadwick observed that the electrons emitted in β decays have a continuous spectrum, unlike what happens in α and γ decays. Nevertheless, if the decay products were only an electron and a nucleus, electrons would have necessarily a monochromatic spectrum. To overcome this paradox, Pauli proposed a “desperate way out” to save energy conservation, introducing a new particle that was not detected in the decay, the “neutron”. After that the true neutron was identified by Chadwick, the new particle was renamed by Fermi “neutrino” (ν). Electron neutrinos were detected for the first time by Cowan and Reines in 1956 and found to be left-handed in 1957. The muon neutrino was discovered in 1962, while the tau neutrino was not discovered until 2000.

Great interest in neutrino physics was raised by the discovery of flavor non-conservation. The first hints for this phenomenon date back to the late 1960s, when a deficit in the solar neutrino flux was observed. It took about 30 years to completely understand that neutrinos change flavor along the path from the sun to the earth. This phenomenon, named “oscillation”, was predicted by Pontecorvo in 1956 and shows that neutrinos have mass. Oscillations were then observed also in neutrinos produced in the atmosphere by cosmic rays and in neutrinos produced in nuclear reactors and accelerators.

In the last decade much progress has been made in neutrino physics. Oscillation experiments provided a clearer picture of this elusive particle and we are now entering the era of precision measurements. There are however questions that cannot be addressed by oscillation experiments. The absolute mass scale of the neutrino is one of these, and it is considered a key quantity in many theories beyond the Standard Model of particle physics. Being only sensitive to squared mass differences, oscillation experiments are not able to measure this parameter. Moreover, the mechanism responsible for the generation of neutrino masses is still unknown.

Neutrinos are electrically neutral leptons, and the only carried charge is that of weak interactions. In the Standard Model there is no symmetry requiring conservation of lepton number, even though a violation has never been observed.