

Springer Texts in Statistics

Advisors:

George Casella Stephen Fienberg Ingram Olkin

Allan Gut

Probability: A Graduate Course

 Springer

Allan Gut
Department of Mathematics
University of Uppsala
SE-751 06 Uppsala
Sweden

Editorial Board

George Casella
Department of Statistics
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611-8545
USA

Stephen Fienberg
Department of Statistics
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
USA

Ingram Olkin
Department of Statistics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
USA

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Gut, Allan, 1944–

Probability: a graduate course / Allan Gut.

p. cm. — (Springer texts in statistics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-387-22833-0 (alk. paper)

1. Probabilities. 2. Distribution (Probability theory) 3. Probabilistic number theory. I.

Title. II. Series.

QA273.G869 2005

519.2—dc22

2004056469

ISBN 0-387-22833-0

Printed on acid-free paper.

© 2005 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, Inc., 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed in the United States of America.

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

SPIN 10978764

Typesetting: Pages created by the author using Springer's \TeX macro package.

springeronline.com

Preface

Toss a symmetric coin twice. What is the probability that both tosses will yield a head?

This is a well-known problem that anyone can solve. Namely, the probability of a head in each toss is $1/2$, so the probability of two consecutive heads is $1/2 \cdot 1/2 = 1/4$.

BUT! What did we do? What is involved in the solution? What are the arguments behind our computations? Why did we multiply the two halves connected with each toss?

This is reminiscent of the centipede¹ who was asked by another animal how he walks; he who has so many legs, in which order does he move them as he is walking? The centipede contemplated the question for a while, but found no answer. However, from that moment on he could no longer walk.

This book is written with the hope that we are not centipedes.

There exist two kinds of probabilists. One of them is the mathematician who views probability theory as a purely mathematical discipline, like algebra, topology, differential equations, and so on. The other kind views probability theory as the *mathematical modeling of random phenomena*, that is with a view toward applications, and as a companion to statistics, which aims at finding methods, principles and criteria in order to analyze data emanating from experiments involving random phenomena and other observations from the real world, with the ultimate goal of making wise decisions. I would like to think of myself as both.

What kind of a random process describes the arrival of claims at an insurance company? Is it one process or should one rather think of different processes, such as one for claims concerning stolen bikes and one for houses that have burnt down? How well should the DNA sequences of an accused offender and a piece of evidence match each other in order for a conviction? A

¹Cent is 100, so it means an animal with 100 legs. In Swedish the name of the animal is *tusenfoting*, where “tusen” means 1000 and “fot” is foot; thus an animal with 1000 legs or feet.

milder version is how to order different species in a phylogenetic tree. What are the arrival rates of customers to a grocery store? How long are the service times? How do the clapidemia cells split? Will they create a new epidemic or can we expect them to die out? A classical application has been the arrivals of telephone calls to a switchboard and the duration of calls. Recent research and model testing concerning the Internet traffic has shown that the classical models break down completely and new thinking has become necessary. And, last but (not?) least, there are many games and lotteries.

The aim of this book is to provide the reader with a fairly thorough treatment of the main body of basic and classical probability theory, preceded by an introduction to the mathematics which is necessary for a solid treatment of the material. This means that we begin with basics from measure theory, such as σ -algebras, set theory, measurability (random variables) and Lebesgue integration (expectation), after which we turn to the Borel-Cantelli lemmas, inequalities, transforms and the three classical limit theorems: the law of large numbers, the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm. A final chapter on martingales – one of the most efficient, important, and useful tools in probability theory – is preceded by a chapter on topics that could have been included with the hope that the reader will be tempted to look further into the literature. The reason that these topics did not get a chapter of their own is that beyond a certain number of pages a book becomes deterring rather than tempting (or, as somebody said with respect to an earlier book of mine: “It is a nice format for bedside reading”).

One thing that is *not* included in this book is a philosophical discussion of whether or not chance exist, whether or not randomness exists. On the other hand, probabilistic modeling is a wonderful, realistic, and efficient way to model phenomena containing uncertainties and ambiguities, regardless of whether or not the answer to the philosophical question is yes or no.

I remember having read somewhere a sentence like “There exist already so many textbooks [of the current kind], so, why do I write another one?” This sentence could equally well serve as an opening for the present book.

Luckily, I can provide an answer to that question. The answer is the short version of the story of the mathematician who was asked how one realizes that the fact he presented in his lecture (because this was really a he) was trivial. After 2 minutes of complete silence he mumbled

I know it’s trivial, but I have forgotten why.

I strongly dislike the arrogance and snobbism that encompasses mathematics and many mathematicians. Books and papers are filled with expressions such as “it is easily seen”, “it is trivial”, “routine computations yield”, and so on. The last example is sometimes modified into “routine, but tedious, computations yield”. And we all know that behind things that are easily seen there may be years of thinking and/or huge piles of scrap notes that lead nowhere, and one sheet where everything finally worked out nicely.

Clearly, things become routine after many years. Clearly, facts become, at least *intuitively*, obvious after some decades. But in writing papers and books we try to help those who do not know yet, those who want to learn. We wish to attract people to this fascinating part of the world. Unfortunately though, phrases like the above ones are repellent, rather than being attractive. If a reader understands immediately that's fine. However, it is more likely that he or she starts off with something that either results in a pile of scrap notes or in frustration. Or both. And nobody is made happier, certainly not the reader. I have therefore avoided, or, at least, tried to avoid, expressions like the above unless they are adequate.

The main aim of a book is to be helpful to the reader, to help her or him to understand, to inform, to educate, and to attract (and not for the author to prove himself to the world). It is therefore essential to keep the flow, not only in the writing, but also in the reading. In the writing it is therefore of great importance to be rather extensive and not to leave too much to the (interested) reader.

A related aspect concerns the style of writing. Most textbooks introduce the reader to a number of topics in such a way that further insights are gained through exercises and problems, some of which are not at all easy to solve, let alone trivial. We take a somewhat different approach in that several such "would have been" exercises are given, together with their solutions as part of the ordinary text – which, as a side effect, reduces the number of exercises and problems at the end of each chapter. We also provide, at times, results for which the proofs consist of variations of earlier ones, and therefore are left as an exercise, with the motivation that doing almost the same thing as somebody else has done provides a much better understanding than reading, nodding and agreeing. I also hope that this approach creates the atmosphere of a dialogue rather than of the more traditional monologue (or sermon).

The ultimate dream is, of course, that this book contains no errors, no slips, no misprints. Henrik Wanntorp has gone over a substantial part of the manuscript with a magnifying glass, thereby contributing immensely to making that dream come true. My heartfelt thanks, Henrik. I also wish to thank Raimundas Gaigalas for several perspicacious remarks and suggestions concerning his favorite sections, and a number of reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable advice. As always, I owe a lot to Svante Janson for being available for any question at all times, and, more particularly, for always providing me with an answer. John Kimmel of Springer-Verlag has seen me through the process with a unique combination of professionalism, efficiency, enthusiasm and care, for which I am most grateful.

Finally, my hope is that the reader who has digested this book is ready and capable to attack any other text, for which a solid probabilistic foundation is necessary or, at least, desirable.

Contents

	Preface	V
	Outline of Contents	XVII
	Notation and Symbols	XXI
1	Introductory Measure Theory	1
1	Probability Theory: An Introduction	1
2	Basics from Measure Theory	2
2.1	Sets	3
2.2	Collections of Sets	5
2.3	Generators	7
2.4	A Metatheorem and Some Consequences	9
3	The Probability Space	10
3.1	Limits and Completeness	11
3.2	An Approximation Lemma	13
3.3	The Borel Sets on \mathbb{R}	14
3.4	The Borel Sets on \mathbb{R}^n	16
4	Independence; Conditional Probabilities	16
4.1	The Law of Total Probability; Bayes' Formula	17
4.2	Independence of Collections of Events	18
4.3	Pair-wise Independence	19
5	The Kolmogorov Zero-one Law	20
6	Problems	22
2	Random Variables	25
1	Definition and Basic Properties	25
1.1	Functions of Random Variables	28
2	Distributions	30
2.1	Distribution Functions	30
2.2	Integration: A Preview	32

2.3	Decomposition of Distributions	36
2.4	Some Standard Discrete Distributions	39
2.5	Some Standard Absolutely Continuous Distributions	40
2.6	The Cantor Distribution	40
2.7	Two Perverse Examples	42
3	Random Vectors; Random Elements	43
3.1	Random Vectors	43
3.2	Random Elements	45
4	Expectation; Definitions and Basics	46
4.1	Definitions	46
4.2	Basic Properties	48
5	Expectation; Convergence	54
6	Indefinite Expectations	58
7	A Change of Variables Formula	60
8	Moments, Mean, Variance	62
9	Product Spaces; Fubini's Theorem	64
9.1	Finite-dimensional Product Measures	64
9.2	Fubini's Theorem	65
9.3	Partial Integration	66
9.4	The Convolution Formula	67
10	Independence	68
10.1	Independence of Functions of Random Variables	71
10.2	Independence of σ -Algebras	71
10.3	Pair-wise Independence	71
10.4	The Kolmogorov Zero-one Law Revisited	72
11	The Cantor Distribution	73
12	Tail Probabilities and Moments	74
13	Conditional Distributions	79
14	Distributions with Random Parameters	81
15	Sums of a Random Number of Random Variables	83
15.1	Applications	85
16	Random Walks; Renewal Theory	88
16.1	Random Walks	88
16.2	Renewal Theory	89
16.3	Renewal Theory for Random Walks	90
16.4	The Likelihood Ratio Test	91
16.5	Sequential Analysis	91
16.6	Replacement Based on Age	92
17	Extremes; Records	93
17.1	Extremes	93
17.2	Records	93
18	Borel-Cantelli Lemmas	96
18.1	The Borel-Cantelli Lemmas 1 and 2	96
18.2	Some (Very) Elementary Examples	98
18.3	Records	101

18.4	Recurrence and Transience of Simple Random Walks . . .	102
18.5	$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$ and $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$	104
18.6	Pair-wise Independence	104
18.7	Generalizations Without Independence	105
18.8	Extremes	107
18.9	Further Generalizations	109
19	A Convolution Table	113
20	Problems	114
3	Inequalities	119
1	Tail Probabilities Estimated via Moments	119
2	Moment Inequalities	127
3	Covariance; Correlation	130
4	Interlude on L^p -spaces	131
5	Convexity	132
6	Symmetrization	133
7	Probability Inequalities for Maxima	138
8	The Marcinkiewics-Zygmund Inequalities	146
9	Rosenthal's Inequality	151
10	Problems	153
4	Characteristic Functions	157
1	Definition and Basics	157
1.1	Uniqueness; Inversion	159
1.2	Multiplication	164
1.3	Some Further Results	165
2	Some Special Examples	166
2.1	The Cantor Distribution	166
2.2	The Convolution Table Revisited	168
2.3	The Cauchy Distribution	170
2.4	Symmetric Stable Distributions	171
2.5	Parseval's Relation	172
3	Two Surprises	173
4	Refinements	175
5	Characteristic Functions of Random Vectors	180
5.1	The Multivariate Normal Distribution	180
5.2	The Mean and the Sample Variance Are Independent . . .	183
6	The Cumulant Generating Function	184
7	The Probability Generating Function	186
7.1	Random Vectors	188
8	The Moment Generating Function	189
8.1	Random Vectors	191
8.2	Two Boundary Cases	191
9	Sums of a Random Number of Random Variables	192
10	The Moment Problem	194

10.1	The Moment Problem for Random Sums	196
11	Problems	197
5	Convergence	201
1	Definitions	202
1.1	Continuity Points and Continuity Sets	203
1.2	Measurability	205
1.3	Some Examples	206
2	Uniqueness	207
3	Relations Between Convergence Concepts	209
3.1	Converses	212
4	Uniform Integrability	214
5	Convergence of Moments	218
5.1	Almost Sure Convergence	218
5.2	Convergence in Probability	220
5.3	Convergence in Distribution	222
6	Distributional Convergence Revisited	225
6.1	Scheffé’s Lemma	226
7	A Subsequence Principle	229
8	Vague Convergence; Helly’s Theorem	230
8.1	Vague Convergence	231
8.2	Helly’s Selection Principle	232
8.3	Vague Convergence and Tightness	234
8.4	The Method of Moments	237
9	Continuity Theorems	238
9.1	The Characteristic Function	238
9.2	The Cumulant Generating Function	240
9.3	The (Probability) Generating Function	241
9.4	The Moment Generating Function	242
10	Convergence of Functions of Random Variables	243
10.1	The Continuous Mapping Theorem	245
11	Convergence of Sums of Sequences	247
11.1	Applications	249
11.2	Converses	252
11.3	Symmetrization and Desymmetrization	255
12	Cauchy Convergence	256
13	Skorohod’s Representation Theorem	258
14	Problems	260
6	The Law of Large Numbers	265
1	Preliminaries	266
1.1	Convergence Equivalence	266
1.2	Distributional Equivalence	267
1.3	Sums and Maxima	268
1.4	Moments and Tails	268

2	A Weak Law for Partial Maxima	269
3	The Weak Law of Large Numbers	270
	3.1 Two Applications	276
4	A Weak Law Without Finite Mean	278
	4.1 The St. Petersburg Game	283
5	Convergence of Series	284
	5.1 The Kolmogorov Convergence Criterion	286
	5.2 A Preliminary Strong Law	288
	5.3 The Kolmogorov Three-series Theorem	289
	5.4 Lévy's Theorem on the Convergence of Series	292
6	The Strong Law of Large Numbers	294
7	The Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund Strong Law	298
8	Randomly Indexed Sequences	301
9	Applications	305
	9.1 Normal Numbers	305
	9.2 The Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem	306
	9.3 Renewal Theory for Random Walks	306
	9.4 Records	307
10	Uniform Integrability; Moment Convergence	309
11	Complete Convergence	311
	11.1 The Hsu-Robbins-Erdős Strong Law	312
	11.2 Complete Convergence and the Strong Law	314
12	Some Additional Results and Remarks	315
	12.1 Convergence Rates	315
	12.2 Counting Variables	320
	12.3 The Case $r = p$ Revisited	321
	12.4 Random Indices	322
13	Problems	323
7	The Central Limit Theorem	329
1	The i.i.d. Case	330
2	The Lindeberg-Lévy-Feller Theorem	330
	2.1 Lyapounov's Condition	339
	2.2 Remarks and Complements	340
	2.3 Pair-wise Independence	343
	2.4 The Central Limit Theorem for Arrays	344
3	Anscombe's Theorem	345
4	Applications	348
	4.1 The Delta Method	349
	4.2 Stirling's Formula	350
	4.3 Renewal Theory for Random Walks	350
	4.4 Records	351
5	Uniform Integrability; Moment Convergence	352
6	Remainder Term Estimates	354
	6.1 The Berry-Esseen Theorem	355

	6.2	Proof of the Berry-Esseen Theorem 6.2	357
7		Some Additional Results and Remarks	362
	7.1	Rates of Rates	362
	7.2	Non-uniform Estimates	363
	7.3	Renewal Theory	364
	7.4	Records	364
	7.5	Local Limit Theorems	365
	7.6	Large Deviations	365
	7.7	Convergence Rates	366
	7.8	Precise Asymptotics	371
	7.9	A Short Outlook on Extensions	374
8		Problems	376
8		The Law of the Iterated Logarithm	383
	1	The Kolmogorov and Hartman-Wintner LILs	384
	1.1	Outline of Proof	385
	2	Exponential Bounds	385
	3	Proof of the Hartman-Wintner Theorem	387
	4	Proof of the Converse	396
	5	The LIL for Subsequences	398
	5.1	A Borel-Cantelli Sum for Subsequences	401
	5.2	Proof of Theorem 5.2	402
	5.3	Examples	404
	6	Cluster Sets	404
	6.1	Proofs	406
	7	Some Additional Results and Remarks	412
	7.1	Hartman-Wintner via Berry-Esseen	412
	7.2	Examples Not Covered by Theorems 5.2 and 5.1	413
	7.3	Further Remarks on Sparse Subsequences	414
	7.4	An Anscombe LIL	416
	7.5	Renewal Theory for Random Walks	417
	7.6	Record Times	417
	7.7	Convergence Rates	418
	7.8	Precise Asymptotics	419
	7.9	The Other LIL	419
8		Problems	420
9		Limit Theorems; Extensions and Generalizations	423
	1	Stable Distributions	424
	2	The Convergence to Types Theorem	427
	3	Domains of Attraction	430
	3.1	Sketch of Preliminary Steps	433
	3.2	Proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3	435
	3.3	Two Examples	438
	3.4	Two Variations	439

3.5	Additional Results	440
4	Infinitely Divisible Distributions	442
5	Sums of Dependent Random Variables	448
6	Convergence of Extremes	451
6.1	Max-stable and Extremal Distributions	451
6.2	Domains of Attraction	456
6.3	Record Values	457
7	The Stein-Chen Method	459
8	Problems	464
10	Martingales	467
1	Conditional Expectation	468
1.1	Properties of Conditional Expectation	471
1.2	Smoothing	474
1.3	The Rao-Blackwell Theorem	475
1.4	Conditional Moment Inequalities	476
2	Martingale Definitions	477
2.1	The Defining Relation	479
2.2	Two Equivalent Definitions	479
3	Examples	481
4	Orthogonality	487
5	Decompositions	489
6	Stopping Times	491
7	Doob's Optional Sampling Theorem	495
8	Joining and Stopping Martingales	497
9	Martingale Inequalities	501
10	Convergence	508
10.1	Garsia's Proof	508
10.2	The Upcrossings Proof	511
10.3	Some Remarks on Additional Proofs	513
10.4	Some Questions	514
10.5	A Non-convergent Martingale	515
10.6	A Central Limit Theorem?	515
11	The Martingale $\{E(Z \mathcal{F}_n)\}$	515
12	Regular Martingales and Submartingales	516
12.1	A Main Martingale Theorem	517
12.2	A Main Submartingale Theorem	518
12.3	Two Non-regular Martingales	519
12.4	Regular Martingales Revisited	519
13	The Kolmogorov Zero-one Law	520
14	Stopped Random Walks	521
14.1	Finiteness of Moments	521
14.2	The Wald Equations	522
14.3	Tossing a Coin Until Success	525
14.4	The Gambler's Ruin Problem	526

14.5	A Converse	529
15	Regularity	531
15.1	First Passage Times for Random Walks	535
15.2	Complements	537
15.3	The Wald Fundamental Identity	538
16	Reversed Martingales and Submartingales	541
16.1	The Law of Large Numbers	544
16.2	U -statistics	547
17	Problems	548
A	Some Useful Mathematics	555
1	Taylor Expansion	555
2	Mill's Ratio	558
3	Sums and Integrals	559
4	Sums and Products	560
5	Convexity; Clarkson's Inequality	561
6	Convergence of (Weighted) Averages	564
7	Regularly and Slowly Varying Functions	566
8	Cauchy's Functional Equation	568
9	Functions and Dense Sets	570
	References	577
	Index	589

Outline of Contents

In this extended list of contents, we provide a short expansion of the headings into a quick overview of the contents of the book.

Chapter 1. Introductory Measure Theory

The mathematical foundation of probability theory is measure theory and the theory of Lebesgue integration. The bulk of the introductory chapter is devoted to measure theory: sets, measurability, σ -algebras, and so on. We do not aim at a full course in measure theory, rather to provide enough background for a solid treatment of what follows.

Chapter 2. Random Variables

Having set the scene, the first thing to do is to forget probability spaces (!). More precisely, for modeling random experiments one is interested in certain specific quantities, called *random variables*, rather than in the underlying probability space itself. In Chapter 2 we introduce random variables and present the basic concepts, as well as concrete applications and examples of probability models. In particular, Lebesgue integration is developed in terms of expectation of random variables.

Chapter 3. Inequalities

Some of the most useful tools in probability theory and mathematics for proving finiteness or convergence of sums and integrals are *inequalities*. There exist many useful ones spread out in books and papers. In Chapter 3 we make an attempt to present a sizable amount of the most important inequalities.

Chapter 4. Characteristic Functions

Just as there are i.a. Fourier transforms that transform convolution of functions into multiplication of their corresponding transforms, there exist probabilistic transforms that “map” addition of independent random variables into multiplication of their transforms, the most prominent one being the characteristic function.

Chapter 5. Convergence

Once we know how to add random variables the natural problem is to investigate asymptotics. We begin by introducing some convergence concepts, prove uniqueness, after which we investigate how and when they imply each other. Other important problems are when, and to what extent, limits and expectations (limits and integrals) can be interchanged, and when, and to what extent, functions of convergent sequences converge to the function of the limit.

Chapter 6. The Law of Large Numbers

The law of large numbers states that (the distribution of) the arithmetic mean of a sequence of independent trials stabilizes around the center of gravity of the underlying distribution (under suitable conditions). There exist *weak* and *strong* laws and several variations and extensions of them. We shall meet some of them as well as some applications.

Chapter 7. The Central Limit Theorem

The central limit theorem, which (in its simplest form) states that if the variance is finite, then the arithmetic mean, properly rescaled, of a sequence of independent trials approaches a normal distribution as the number of observations increases. There exist many variations and generalizations, of the theorem, the central one being the Lindeberg-Lévy-Feller theorem. We also prove results on moment convergence, and rate results, the foremost one being the celebrated Berry-Esseen theorem.

Chapter 8. The Law of the Iterated Logarithm

This is a special, rather delicate and technical, and very beautiful, result, which provides precise bounds on the oscillations of sums of the above kind. The name obviously stems from the iterated logarithm that appears in the expression of the parabolic bound.

Chapter 9. Limit Theorems; Extensions and Generalizations

There are a number of additional topics that would fit well into a text like the present one, but for which there is no room. In this chapter we shall meet a number of them – stable distributions, domain of attraction, infinite divisibility, sums of dependent random variables, extreme value theory, the Stein-Chen method – in a somewhat more sketchy or introductory style. The reader who gets hooked on such a topic will be advised to some relevant literature (more can be found via the Internet).

Chapter 10. Martingales

This final chapter is devoted to one of the most central topics, not only in probability theory, but also in more traditional mathematics. Following some introductory material on conditional expectations and the definition of a martingale, we present several examples, convergence results, results for stopped martingales, regular martingales, uniformly integrable martingales, stopped random walks, and reversed martingales.

In Addition

A list of notation and symbols precedes the main body of text, and an appendix with some mathematical tools and facts, a bibliography, and an index conclude the book. References are provided for more recent results, for more nontraditional material, and to some of the historic sources, but in general not to the more traditional material. In addition to cited material, the list of references contains references to papers and books that are relevant without having been specifically cited.

Suggestions for a Course Curriculum

One aim with the book is that it should serve as a graduate probability course – as the title suggests. In the same way as the sections in Chapter 9 contain materials that no doubt would have deserved chapters of their own, Chapters 6, 7, and 8 contain sections entitled “Some Additional Results and Remarks”, in which a number of additional results and remarks are presented, results that are not as central and basic as earlier ones in those chapters.

An adequate course would, in my opinion, consist of Chapters 1-8, and 10, except for the sections “Some Additional Results and Remarks”, plus a skimming through Chapter 9 at the level of the instructor’s preferences.

Notation and Symbols

Ω	the sample space
ω	an elementary event
\mathcal{F}	the σ -algebra of events
x^+	$\max\{x, 0\}$
x^-	$-\min\{x, 0\}$
$[x]$	the integer part of x
$\log^+ x$	$\max\{1, \log x\}$
\sim	the ratio of the quantities on either side tends to 1
\mathbb{N}	the (positive) natural numbers
\mathbb{Z}	the integers
\mathbb{R}	the real numbers
\mathcal{R}	the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}
$\lambda(\cdot)$	Lebesgue measure
\mathbb{Q}	the rational numbers
\mathbb{C}	the complex numbers
C	the continuous functions
C_0	the functions in C tending to 0 at $\pm\infty$
C_B	the bounded continuous functions
$C[a, b]$	the functions in C with support on the interval $[a, b]$
D	the right-continuous, functions with left-hand limits
$D[a, b]$	the functions in D with support on the interval $[a, b]$
D^+	the non-decreasing functions in D
\mathbb{J}_G	the discontinuities of $G \in D$
$I\{A\}$	indicator function of (the set) A
$\#\{A\}$	number of elements in (cardinality of) A
$ A $	number of elements in (cardinality of) A
A^c	complement of A
∂A	the boundary of A
$P(A)$	probability of A

X, Y, Z, \dots	random variables
$F(x), F_X(x)$	distribution function (of X)
$X \in F$	X has distribution (function) F
$C(F_X)$	the continuity set of F_X
$p(x), p_X(x)$	probability function (of X)
$f(x), f_X(x)$	density (function) (of X)
$\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \dots$	random (column) vectors
$\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}', \mathbf{Z}', \dots$	the transpose of the vectors
$F_{X,Y}(x, y)$	joint distribution function (of X and Y)
$p_{X,Y}(x, y)$	joint probability function (of X and Y)
$f_{X,Y}(x, y)$	joint density (function) (of X and Y)
E, EX	expectation (mean), expected value of X
$\text{Var}, \text{Var } X$	variance, variance of X
$\text{Cov}(X, Y)$	covariance of X and Y
$\rho, \rho_{X,Y}$	correlation coefficient (between X and Y)
$\text{med}(X)$	median of X
$g(t), g_X(t)$	(probability) generating function (of X)
$\psi(t), \psi_X(t)$	moment generating function (of X)
$\varphi(t), \varphi_X(t)$	characteristic function (of X)
$X \sim Y$	X and Y are equivalent random variables
$X \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} Y$	X and Y are equal (point-wise) almost surely
$X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$	X and Y are equidistributed
$X_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\rightarrow} X$	X_n converges almost surely (a.s.) to X
$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$	X_n converges in probability to X
$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$	X_n converges in r -mean (L^r) to X
$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$	X_n converges in distribution to X
$X_n \not\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$	X_n does not converge almost surely
$X_n \not\xrightarrow{p}$	X_n does not converge in probability
$X_n \not\xrightarrow{r}$	X_n does not converge in r -mean (L^r)
$X_n \not\xrightarrow{d}$	X_n does not converge in distribution
$\Phi(x)$	standard normal distribution function
$\phi(x)$	standard normal density (function)
$F \in \mathcal{D}(G)$	F belongs to the domain of attraction of G
$g \in \mathcal{RV}(\rho)$	g varies regularly at infinity with exponent ρ
$g \in \mathcal{SV}$	g varies slowly at infinity

$\text{Be}(p)$	Bernoulli distribution
$\beta(r, s)$	beta distribution
$\text{Bin}(n, p)$	binomial distribution
$C(m, a)$	Cauchy distribution
$\chi^2(n)$	chi-square distribution
$\delta(a)$	one-point distribution
$\text{Exp}(a)$	exponential distribution
$F(m, n)$	(Fisher's) F -distribution
$\text{Fs}(p)$	first success distribution
$\Gamma(p, a)$	gamma distribution
$\text{Ge}(p)$	geometric distribution
$H(N, n, p)$	hypergeometric distribution
$L(a)$	Laplace distribution
$\text{LN}(\mu, \sigma^2)$	log-normal distribution
$N(\mu, \sigma^2)$	normal distribution
$N(0, 1)$	standard normal distribution
$\text{NBin}(n, p)$	negative binomial distribution
$\text{Pa}(k, \alpha)$	Pareto distribution
$\text{Po}(m)$	Poisson distribution
$\text{Ra}(\alpha)$	Rayleigh distribution
$t(n)$	(Student's) t -distribution
$\text{Tri}(a, b)$	triangular distribution on (a, b)
$U(a, b)$	uniform or rectangular distribution on (a, b)
$W(a, b)$	Weibull distribution
$X \in P(\theta)$	X has a P -distribution with parameter θ
$X \in P(\alpha, \beta)$	X has a P -distribution with parameters α and β
a.e.	almost everywhere
a.s.	almost surely
cf.	<i>confer</i> , compare, take counsel
i.a.	<i>inter alia</i> , among other things, such as
i.e.	<i>id est</i> , that is
i.o.	infinitely often
iff	if and only if
i.i.d.	independent, identically distributed
viz.	<i>videlicet</i> , in which
w.l.o.g.	without loss of generality
♠	hint for solving a problem
♣	bonus remark in connection with a problem
□	end of proof, definitions, exercises, remarks, etc.

Introductory Measure Theory

1 Probability Theory: An Introduction

The object of *probability theory* is to describe and investigate mathematical models of random phenomena, primarily from a theoretical point of view. Closely related to probability theory is *statistics*, which is concerned with creating principles, methods, and criteria in order to treat data pertaining to such (random) phenomena or data from experiments and other observations of the real world, by using, for example, the theories and knowledge available from the theory of probability.

Probability models thus aim at describing *random experiments*, that is, experiments that can be repeated (indefinitely) and where future outcomes cannot be exactly predicted – due to randomness – even if the experimental situation can be fully controlled.

The basis of probability theory is the *probability space*. The key idea behind probability spaces is the *stabilization of the relative frequencies*. Suppose that we perform “independent” repetitions of a random experiment and that we record each time if some “event” A occurs or not (although we have not yet mathematically defined what we mean by independence or by an event). Let $f_n(A)$ denote the number of occurrences of A in the first n trials, and $r_n(A)$ the relative frequency, $r_n(A) = f_n(A)/n$. Since the dawn of history one has observed the stabilization of the relative frequencies, that is, one has observed that (it seems that)

$$r_n(A) \quad \text{converges to some real number as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The intuitive interpretation of the probability concept is that if the probability of some event A is 0.6, one should expect that by performing the random experiment “many times” the relative frequency of occurrences of A should be approximately 0.6.

The next step is to axiomatize the theory, to make it mathematically rigorous. Although games of chance have been performed for thousands of years,

a mathematically rigorous treatment of the theory of probability only came about in the 1930's by the Soviet/Russian mathematician A.N. Kolmogorov (1903–1987) in his fundamental monograph *Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung* [163], which appeared in 1933.

The first observation is that a number of rules that hold for relative frequencies should also hold for probabilities. This immediately calls for the question “which is the minimal set of rules?”

In order to answer this question one introduces the *probability space* or *probability triple* (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , where

- Ω is the *sample space*;
- \mathcal{F} is the collection of *events*;
- P is a probability measure.

The fact that P is a probability measure means that it satisfies the three *Kolmogorov axioms* (to be specified ahead).

In a first course in probability theory one learns that

“the collection of events = the subsets of Ω ”,

maybe with an additional remark that this is not quite true, but true enough for the purpose of that course.

To clarify the situation we need some definitions and facts from measure theory in order to answer questions such as

“What does it mean for a set to be measurable?”

After this we shall return to a proper definition of the probability space.

2 Basics from Measure Theory

In addition, to straighten out the problems raised by such questions, we need rules for how to operate on what we shall define as events. More precisely, a problem may consist of finding the probability of one or the other of two things happening, or for something *not to happen*, and so on. We thus need rules and conventions for how to handle events, how we can combine them or not combine them. This means i.a. that we need to define collections of sets with a certain structure. For example, a collection such that the intersection of two events is an event, or the collection of sets such that the complement of an event is an event, and also rules for how various collections connect. This means that we have to confront ourselves with some notions from measure theory. Since this is rather a tool than a central theme of this book we confine ourselves to an overview of the most important parts of the topic, leaving some of the “routine but tedious calculations” as exercises.

2.1 Sets

Definitions; Notation

A set is a collection of “objects”, concrete or abstract, called *elements*. A set is *finite* if the number of elements is finite, and it is *countable* if the number of elements is countable, that is, if one can label them by the positive integers in such a manner that no element remains unlabeled. Sets are usually denoted by capitals from the early part of the alphabet, A, B, C , and so on. If several sets are related, “of the same kind”, it is convenient to use the same letter for them, but to add indices; A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots

The set $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is finite; $|A| = n$. The natural numbers, \mathbb{N} constitute a countable set, and so do the set of rationals, \mathbb{Q} , whereas the set of irrationals and the set of reals, \mathbb{R} , are uncountable, as is commonly verified by Cantor’s diagonal method. Although the natural numbers and the reals belong to different collections of sets they are both infinite in the sense that the number of elements is infinite in both sets, but the infinities are different. The same distinction holds true for the rationals and the irrationals. Infinities are distinguished with the aid of the *cardinal numbers*, which came about after Cantor’s proof of the fact that the infinity of the reals is larger than that of the natural numbers, that there are “more” reals than natural numbers. Cardinal numbers are denoted by the Hebrew letter *alef*, where the successively larger cardinal numbers have increasing indices. The first cardinal number is $\aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$, the *cardinality* of \mathbb{N} . Moreover, $|\mathbb{R}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Let us mention in passing that a long-standing question, in fact, one of Hilbert’s famous problems, has been whether or not there exist infinities between $|\mathbb{N}|$ and $|\mathbb{R}|$. The famous *continuum hypothesis* states that this is not the case, a claim that can be formulated as $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$. The interesting fact is that it has been proved that this claim can neither be proved nor disproved within the usual axiomatic framework of mathematics. Moreover, one may assume it to be true or false, and neither assumption will lead to any contradictory results. The continuum hypothesis is said to be *undecidable*. For more, see [51].

Set Operations

Just as real (or complex) numbers can be added or multiplied, there exist *operations* on sets. Let A, A_1, A_2, \dots and B, B_1, B_2, \dots be sets.

- Union: $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$;
- Intersection: $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$;
- Complement: $A^c = \{x : x \notin A\}$;
- Difference: $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$;
- Symmetric difference: $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

We also use standard notations such as $\cup_{k=1}^n A_k$ and $\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ for unions and intersections of finitely or countably many sets.

Exercise 2.1. Check to what extent the associative and distributive rules for these operations are valid. \square

Some additional terminology:

- the empty set: \emptyset ;
- subset: A is a *subset* of B , $A \subset B$, if $x \in A \implies x \in B$;
- disjoint: A and B are *disjoint* if $A \cap B = \emptyset$;
- power set: $\mathfrak{P}(\Omega) = \{A : A \subset \Omega\}$;
- $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ is *non-decreasing*, $A_n \nearrow$, if $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$;
- $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ is *non-increasing*, $A_n \searrow$, if $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$.

The *de Morgan formulas*,

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k^c \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^c = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k^c, \quad (2.1)$$

can be verified by picking $\omega \in \Omega$ belonging to the set made up by the left-hand side and then show that it also belongs to the right-hand side, after which one does the same the other way around (please do that!). Alternatively one realizes that both members express the same fact. In the first case, this is the fact that an element that does not belong to any A_k whatsoever belongs to all complements, and therefore to their intersection. In the second case this is the fact that an element that does not belong to every A_k belongs to at least one of the complements.

Limits of Sets

It is also possible to define limits of sets. However, not every sequence of sets has a limit.

Definition 2.1. Let $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of subsets of Ω . We define

$$A_* = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m,$$

$$A^* = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m.$$

If the sets A_* and A^* agree, then

$$A = A_* = A^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n. \quad \square$$

One instance when a limit exists is when the sequence of sets is monotone.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of subsets of Ω .*

(i) *If $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \cdots$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

(ii) *If $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \cdots$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Exercise 2.2. Prove the proposition. □

2.2 Collections of Sets

Collections of sets, are defined according to a setup of rules. Different rules yield different collections. Certain collections are more easy to deal with than others depending on the property or theorem to prove. We now present a number of rules and collections, as well as results on how they connect. Since much of this is more or less well known to a mathematics student we leave essentially all proofs, which consist of longer or shorter, sometimes routine but tedious, manipulations, as exercises.

Let \mathcal{A} be a non-empty collection of subsets of Ω , and consider the following set relations:

- (a) $A \in \mathcal{A} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (b) $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (c) $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (d) $A, B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A \implies A \setminus B \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (e) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1, \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (f) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, i \neq j \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (g) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1, \implies \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (h) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1, A_n \nearrow \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (j) $A_n \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1, A_n \searrow \implies \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

A number of relations among these rules and extensions of them can be established. For example (a) and one of (b) and (c), together with the de Morgan formulas, yield the other; (a) and one of (e) and (g), together with the de Morgan formulas, yield the other; (b) and induction shows that (b) can be extended to any finite union of sets; (c) and induction shows that (c) can be extended to any finite intersection of sets, and so on.

Exercise 2.3. Check these statements, and verify some more. □

Here are now definitions of some collections of sets.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of Ω .

- \mathcal{A} is an algebra or a field if $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ and properties (a) and (b) hold;
- \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra or a σ -field if $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ and properties (a) and (e) hold;
- \mathcal{A} is a monotone class if properties (h) and (j) hold;
- \mathcal{A} is a π -system if property (c) holds;
- \mathcal{A} is a Dynkin system if $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$, and properties (d) and (h) hold. \square

Remark 2.1. Dynkin systems are also called λ -systems.

Remark 2.2. The definition of a Dynkin system varies. One alternative, in addition to the assumption that $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$, is that (a) and (f) hold. \square

Exercise 2.4. The obvious exercise is to show that the two definitions of a Dynkin system are equivalent. \square

The definitions of the different collections of sets are obviously based on minimal requirements. By manipulating the different properties (a)–(j), for example together with the de Morgan formulas, other properties can be derived. The following relations between different collections of sets are obtained by such manipulations.

Theorem 2.1. *The following connections hold:*

1. Every algebra is a π -system.
2. Every σ -algebra is an algebra.
3. An algebra is a σ -algebra if and only if it is a monotone class.
4. Every σ -algebra is a Dynkin system.
5. A Dynkin system is a σ -algebra if and only if it is π -system.
6. Every Dynkin system is a monotone class.
7. Every σ -algebra is a monotone class.
8. The power set of any subset of Ω is a σ -algebra on that subset.
9. The intersection of any number of σ -algebras, countable or uncountable, is, again, a σ -algebra.
10. The countable union of a non-decreasing sequence of σ -algebras is an algebra, but not necessarily a σ -algebra.
11. If \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, and $B \subset \Omega$, then $B \cap \mathcal{A} = \{B \cap A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a σ -algebra on B .
12. If Ω and Ω' are sets, \mathcal{A}' a σ -algebra in Ω' and $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ a mapping, then $T^{-1}(\mathcal{A}') = \{T^{-1}(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$ is a σ -algebra on Ω .

Exercise 2.5. (a) Prove the above statements.

- (b) Find two σ -algebras, the union of which is not an algebra (only very few elements in each suffice).
- (c) Prove that if, for the infinite set Ω , \mathcal{A} consists of all $A \subset \Omega$, such that either A or A^c is finite, then \mathcal{A} is an algebra, but not a σ -algebra. \square

2.3 Generators

Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of Ω . Since the power set, $\mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$, is a σ -algebra, it follows that there exists at least one σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} . Since, moreover, the intersection of any number of σ -algebras is, again, a σ -algebra, there exists a *smallest* σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} . In fact, let

$$\mathcal{F}^* = \{\sigma\text{-algebras } \supset \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} equals

$$\bigcap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}^*} \mathcal{G},$$

and is unique since we have intersected *all* σ -algebras containing \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of Ω . The smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} , $\sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}$, is called the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . Similarly, the smallest Dynkin system containing \mathcal{A} , $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$, is called the Dynkin system generated by \mathcal{A} , and the smallest monotone class containing \mathcal{A} , $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$, is called the monotone class generated by \mathcal{A} . In each case \mathcal{A} is called the generator of the actual collection. \square

Remark 2.3. The σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} is also called “the minimal σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} ”. Similarly for the other collections.

Remark 2.4. Let $\{\mathcal{A}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be σ -algebras. Even though the union need not be a σ -algebra, $\sigma\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n\}$, that is, the σ -algebra generated by $\{\mathcal{A}_n, n \geq 1\}$, always exists. \square

Exercise 2.6. Prove that

- (i) If $\mathcal{A} = A$, a single set, then $\sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{A\} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, \Omega\}$.
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, then $\sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{A}$. \square

The importance and usefulness of generators is demonstrated by the following two results.

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. Then

$$\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}.$$

Proof. Since every σ -algebra is a monotone class (Theorem 2.1) and $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is the minimal monotone class containing \mathcal{A} , we know from the outset that $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} \subset \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}$. To prove the opposite inclusion we must, due to the minimality of $\sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}$, prove that $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is a σ -algebra, for which it is sufficient to prove that $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is an algebra (Theorem 2.1 once more). This means that we have to verify that properties (a) and (b) hold;

$$\begin{cases} B \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} & \implies & B^c \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}, & \text{and} \\ B, C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} & \implies & B \cup C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Toward this end, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &= \{B \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} : B \cup C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \{B \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} : B^c \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We first note that \mathcal{E}_1 is a monotone class via the identities

$$\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) \cup C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (B_k \cup C) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) \cup C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (B_k \cup C), \quad (2.3)$$

and that \mathcal{E}_2 is a monotone class via the de Morgan formulas, (2.1).

Secondly, by definition, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$, and by construction,

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

so that, in view of minimality of $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$,

$$\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2.$$

To finish off, let

$$\mathcal{E}_3 = \{B \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} : B \cup C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} \text{ for all } C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}\}.$$

Looking at $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ from another angle, we have shown that for every $B \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ we know that if $C \in \mathcal{A}$, then $B \cup C \in \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$, which means that

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}_3.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{E}_3 is a monotone class via (2.3), so that, by minimality again, we must have $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{E}_3$.

We have thus shown that $\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ obeys properties (a) and (b). \square

By suppressing the minimality of the monotone class, the following corollary emerges (because an arbitrary monotone class contains the minimal one).

Corollary 2.1. *If \mathcal{A} is an algebra and \mathcal{G} a monotone class containing \mathcal{A} , then*

$$\mathcal{G} \supset \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}.$$

A related theorem, *the monotone class theorem*, concerns the equality between the Dynkin system and the σ -algebra generated by the same π -system.

Theorem 2.3. (The monotone class theorem)

If \mathcal{A} is a π -system on Ω , then

$$\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}.$$

Proof. The proof runs along the same lines as the previous one. Namely, one first observes that $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} \subset \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\}$, since every σ -algebra is a Dynkin system (Theorem 2.1) and $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is the minimal Dynkin system containing \mathcal{A} .

For the converse we must show that $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is a π -system (Theorem 2.1). In order to achieve this, let

$$\mathcal{D}_C = \{B \subset \Omega : B \cap C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}\} \quad \text{for } C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}.$$

We claim that \mathcal{D}_C is a Dynkin system.

To prove this we check the requirements for a collection of sets to constitute a Dynkin system. In this case we use the following alternative (recall Remark 2.2), namely, we show that $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_C$, and that (a) and (f) hold.

Let $C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$.

- Since $\Omega \cap C = C$, it follows that $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_C$.
- If $B \in \mathcal{D}_C$, then

$$B^c \cap C = (\Omega \setminus B) \cap C = (\Omega \cap C) \setminus (B \cap C),$$

which shows that $B^c \in \mathcal{D}_C$.

- Finally, if $\{B_n, n \geq 1\}$ are disjoint sets in \mathcal{D}_C , then

$$\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right) \cap C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n \cap C),$$

which proves that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{D}_C$.

The requirements for \mathcal{D}_C to be a Dynkin system are thus fulfilled. And, since C was arbitrarily chosen, this is true for any $C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$.

Now, since, by definition, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}_A$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathcal{D}_A \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For $C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ we now have $C \cap A \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, which implies that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}_C$, and, hence, that $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathcal{D}_C$ for every $C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$. Consequently,

$$B, C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} \implies B \cap C \in \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\},$$

that is, $\mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ is a π -system. □

By combining Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 (and the exercise preceding the former) the following result emerges.

Corollary 2.2. *If \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, then*

$$\mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{A}.$$

2.4 A Metatheorem and Some Consequences

A frequent proof technique is to establish some kind of reduction from an infinite setting to a finite one; simple functions, rectangles, and so on. Such proofs can often be identified in that they open by statements such as

- “it suffices to check rectangles”,
- “it suffices to check step functions”.

The basic idea behind such statements is that there either exists some approximation theorem that “takes care of the rest”, or that some convenient part of Theorem 2.1 can be exploited for the remaining part of the proof. Our next result puts this device into a more stringent form, although in a somewhat metaphoric sense.

Theorem 2.4. (A Metatheorem)

- (i) Suppose that some property holds for some monotone class \mathcal{E} of subsets. If \mathcal{A} is an algebra that generates the σ -algebra \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{G}$.
(ii) Suppose that some property holds for some Dynkin system \mathcal{E} of subsets. If \mathcal{A} is a π -system that generates the σ -algebra \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E : \text{the property is satisfied}\}.$$

(i): It follows from the assumptions and Theorem 2.2, respectively, that

$$\mathcal{E} \supset \mathfrak{M}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{G}.$$

(ii): Apply Theorem 2.3 to obtain

$$\mathcal{E} \supset \mathfrak{D}\{\mathcal{A}\} = \sigma\{\mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{G}. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.5. As the reader may have discovered, the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are of this kind.

Remark 2.6. The second half of the theorem is called *Dynkin's π - λ theorem*. \square

3 The Probability Space

We now have sufficient mathematics at our disposal for a formal definition of the *probability space* or *probability triple*, (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Definition 3.1. The triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability (measure) space if

- Ω is the sample space, that is, some (possibly abstract) set;
- \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra of sets (events) – the measurable subsets of Ω .
The “atoms”, $\{\omega\}$, of Ω , are called elementary events;
- P is a probability measure,

that is, P satisfies the following Kolmogorov axioms:

1. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a number $P(A) \geq 0$; the probability of A .
2. $P(\Omega) = 1$.
3. Let $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ be disjoint. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n). \quad \square$$