

Portraits of 21st Century Chinese Universities: In the Move to Mass Higher Education

Ruth HAYHOE, Jun LI, Jing LIN, Qiang ZHA



Springer

Comparative Education Research Centre
The University of Hong Kong



**Portraits of 21st Century
Chinese Universities:
In the Move to Mass Higher Education**

CERC Studies in Comparative Education

30. Ruth Hayhoe, Jun Li, Jing Lin & Qiang Zha (2011): *Portraits of 21st Century Chinese Universities: In the Move to Mass Higher Education*. ISBN 978-988-17852-3-7. 483pp. HK\$300/US\$45.
29. Maria Manzon (2011): *Comparative Education: The Construction of a Field*. ISBN 978-988-1785-26-8. 295pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
28. Kerry J. Kennedy, Wing On Lee & David L. Grossman (eds.) (2010): *Citizenship Pedagogies in Asia and the Pacific*. ISBN 978-988-17852-2-0. 407pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
27. David Chapman, William K. Cummings & Gerard A. Postiglione (eds.) (2010): *Crossing Borders in East Asian Higher Education*. ISBN 978-962-8093-98-4. 388pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
26. Ora Kwo (ed.) (2010): *Teachers as Learners: Critical Discourse on Challenges and Opportunities*. ISBN 978-962-8093-55-7. 349pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
25. Carol K.K. Chan & Nirmala Rao (eds.) (2009): *Revisiting the Chinese Learner: Changing Contexts, Changing Education*. ISBN 978-962-8093-16-8. 360pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
24. Donald B. Holsinger & W. James Jacob (eds.) (2008): *Inequality in Education: Comparative and International Perspectives*. ISBN 978-962-8093-14-4. 584pp. HK\$300/US\$45.
23. Nancy Law, Willem J Pelgrum & Tjeerd Plomp (eds.) (2008): *Pedagogy and ICT Use in Schools around the World: Findings from the IEA SITES 2006 Study*. ISBN 978-962-8093-65-6. 296pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
22. David L. Grossman, Wing On Lee & Kerry J. Kennedy (eds.) (2008): *Citizenship Curriculum in Asia and the Pacific*. ISBN 978-962-8093-69-4. 268pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
21. Vandra Masemann, Mark Bray & Maria Manzon (eds.) (2007): *Common Interests, Uncommon Goals: Histories of the World Council of Comparative Education Societies and its Members*. ISBN 978-962-8093-10-6. 384pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
20. Peter D. Hershock, Mark Mason & John N. Hawkins (eds.) (2007): *Changing Education: Leadership, Innovation and Development in a Globalizing Asia Pacific*. ISBN 978-962-8093-54-0. 348pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
19. Mark Bray, Bob Adamson & Mark Mason (eds.) (2007): *Comparative Education Research: Approaches and Methods*. ISBN 978-962-8093-53-3. 444pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
18. Aaron Benavot & Cecilia Braslavsky (eds.) (2006): *School Knowledge in Comparative and Historical Perspective: Changing Curricula in Primary and Secondary Education*. ISBN 978-962-8093-52-6. 315pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
17. Ruth Hayhoe (2006): *Portraits of Influential Chinese Educators*. ISBN 978-962-8093-40-3. 398pp. HK\$250/US\$38.
16. Peter Ninnes & Meeri Hellstén (eds.) (2005): *Internationalizing Higher Education: Critical Explorations of Pedagogy and Policy*. ISBN 978-962-8093-37-3. 231pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
15. Alan Rogers (2004): *Non-Formal Education: Flexible Schooling or Participatory Education?* ISBN 978-962-8093-30-4. 316pp. HK\$200/US\$32.
14. W.O. Lee, David L. Grossman, Kerry J. Kennedy & Gregory P. Fairbrother (eds.) (2004): *Citizenship Education in Asia and the Pacific: Concepts and Issues*. ISBN 978-962-8093-59-5. 313pp. HK\$200/US\$32.

Earlier titles in the series are listed on the back page of the book.

CERC Studies in Comparative Education 30

**Portraits of 21st Century
Chinese Universities:
In the Move to Mass Higher Education**

Ruth HAYHOE, Jun LI, Jing LIN, Qiang ZHA



Comparative Education Research Centre
The University of Hong Kong



SERIES EDITOR

Mark Bray

*Director, Comparative Education Research Centre
The University of Hong Kong, China*

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Yang Rui, *Comparative Education Research Centre*

The University of Hong Kong, China

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Robert Arno*ve*, *Indiana University, Bloomington*

Beatrice Avalos, *University of Chile, Santiago*

Nina Borevskaya, *Institute of Far Eastern Studies, Moscow*

Michael Crossley, *University of Bristol*

Gui Qin, *Capital Normal University, Beijing*

Gita Steiner-Khamsi, *Teachers College, Columbia University, New York*

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Emily Mang, *Comparative Education Research Centre*

The University of Hong Kong, China

Comparative Education Research Centre

Faculty of Education, The University of Hong Kong,
Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

© Comparative Education Research Centre

First published 2011

ISBN 978-94-007-2788-5 e-ISBN 978-94-007-2789-2

DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-2789-2

Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011941865

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Contents

List of Abbreviations	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	ix
List of Photos	x
Foreword	xiii
<i>Robert F. ARNOVE</i>	
Introduction and Acknowledgements	1
<i>Ruth HAYHOE</i>	
Part I: Overview and Main Themes	19
1. Understanding China's Move to Mass Higher Education from a Policy Perspective	20
<i>Qiang ZHA</i>	
2. Equity, Institutional Change and Civil Society – The Student Experience in China's Move to Mass Higher Education	58
<i>Jun LI</i>	
Part II: Portraits of Three Public Comprehensive Universities	94
3. Peking University – Icon of Cultural Leadership	95
<i>Ruth HAYHOE and Qiang ZHA, with YAN Fengqiao</i>	
4. Nanjing University – Redeeming the Past by Academic Merit	131
<i>Jun LI and Jing LIN, with GONG Fang</i>	
5. Xiamen University – A Southeastern Outlook	162
<i>Ruth HAYHOE and Qiang ZHA, with XIE Zuxu</i>	
Part III: Portraits of Three Education-Related Universities	190
6. East China Normal University – Education in the Lead	192
<i>Ruth HAYHOE and Qiang ZHA, with LI Mei</i>	
7. Southwest University – An Unusual Merger and New Challenges	221
<i>Jun LI and Jing LIN, with LIU Yibin</i>	

8. Yanbian University – Building a Niche through a Multicultural Identity	244
<i>Jing LIN and Jun LI, with PIAO Taizhu</i>	
Part IV: Portraits of Three Science and Technology Universities	270
9. The University of Science and Technology of China – Can the Caltech Model take Root in Chinese Soil?	271
<i>Qiang ZHA and Jun LI, with CHENG Xiaofang</i>	
10. Huazhong University of Science and Technology – A Microcosm of New China’s Higher Education	307
<i>Ruth HAYHOE and Jun LI, with CHEN Min and ZHOU Guangli</i>	
11. Northwest Agricultural and Forestry University – An Agricultural Multiversity?	344
<i>Qiang ZHA and Ruth HAYHOE, with NIU Hongtai</i>	
Part V: Portraits of Three Private Universities	372
12. Yellow River University of Science and Technology – Pioneer of Private Higher Education	374
<i>Ruth HAYHOE and Jing LIN, with TANG Baomei</i>	
13. Xi’an International University – Transforming Fish into Dragons	400
<i>Jun LI and Jing LIN, with WANG Guan</i>	
14. Blue Sky – A University for the Socially Marginalized	422
<i>Jing LIN and Qiang ZHA</i>	
Part VI: Conclusion and Future Directions	450
15. Is There an Emerging Chinese Model of the University?	451
<i>Qiang ZHA</i>	
Notes on the Authors	472
Index	473

List of Abbreviations

Measures

- Mu (畝): Traditional Chinese unit of area. One Mu is equal to 1/15 of a hectare or 1/6.1 of an acre.
- Yuan (人民幣圓) – Unit of money used in Mainland China. One Yuan was equal to 0.15 of a US Dollar as of March 1, 2011.

AEARU	Association of East Asian Research Universities
APRU	Association of Pacific Rim Universities
BBS	bulletin board system
BCE	Before the Common Era
Beida	Peking University
BK21	Brain Korea 21
BSU	Blue Sky University
CAS	Chinese Academy of Sciences
CE	The Common Era
CMHE	China's Move to Mass Higher Education
CNRS	French National Center for Scientific Research
COE21	Centers of Excellence in the 21 st Century
CPC	Communist Party of China
CRC	Canada Research Chair
CSOs	civil society organizations
CUSPEA	China-US Physics Examination and Application
ECNU	East China Normal University
EEG	Electro-Encephalography
ENS	Ecole Normale Supérieure
GONGOS	government-organized non-government organizations
HEEC	Higher Education Evaluation Center
HFNL	the Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale
HHUST	The Yellow River University of Science and Technology

HUST	Huazhong University of Science and Technology
MOE	China's Ministry of Education
Nanda	Nanjing University
NGOs	non-governmental organizations
NNSF	China's National Natural Sciences Foundation
NJU	Nanjing University
NPOs	non-profit organizations
NWAFU	Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University
OISE	Ontario Institute for Studies in Education
OLS	ordinary least squares
PECASE	U.S. Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers
PKU	Peking University
PS	political socialization
SCI	The Thomson Scientific's Science Citation Index
SES	socio-economic-status
SWU	Southwest University
TSOs	third sector organizations
USTC	University of Science and Technology of China
WNLO	Wuhan National Laboratory for Optoelectronics
WUR	Wageningen University and Research Center
XAIU	Xi'an International University
Xiada	Xiamen University
XMU	Xiamen University
YBU	Yanbian University

List of Figures

0.1	The Geographical Location of Our 12 Case Study Universities	7
1.1	Higher Education Net Enrollment and Participation Rate Increase: 1990-2008	27
1.2	Three Economic Regions in China	34
2.1	The Conceptual Framework of the Individual Political Socialization Process	73

List of Tables

1.1	Provinces (Municipalities and Autonomous Regions) in Three Economic Regions	33
2.1	Martin Trow's Typology of the Institutional Transformations of Mass Higher Education	71
2.2	Summary of Questionnaires Administered	74
4.1	Statistics on Faculty and Teacher-Student Ratios	154
7.1	Undergraduate Student Enrollment of Southwest University (1990-2005)	225
8.1	Statistics on Undergraduate Student Enrollments from 1990 to 2005	248
8.2	Statistics on Faculty and Teacher-Student Ratios	261
9.1	The USTC's Recruitment Size, 1990-2005	287
9.2	The USTC's Funds under Project 21/1, 1996-2005 (in million <i>yuan</i> RMB)	292
9.3	The USTC's Funds under Project 98/5, 1999-2001 (in million <i>yuan</i> RMB)	293
10.1	Statistics on Faculty and Teacher-Student Ratios (1990-2005)	333
11.1	The NWAUFU Recruitment Size, 1990-2007	352
11.2	Gender and SES Profile of the NWAUFU New Enrollment (%), 2005-2007	353
11.3	Disciplinary Patterns of the NWAUFU Undergraduate Programs, 2005	355
11.4	The NWAUFU's Revenues and Proportion of Budgeted Appropriations, 2000-2007 (in RMB million <i>yuan</i>)	356
11.5	The NWAUFU Faculty Development, 2000 vs. 2005	357

List of Photos

3.1	The Unnamed Lake	113
3.2	The New Library	117
3.3	The West Gate	122
3.4	The Lang Run Garden	126
4.1	The North Building, designed by American architect A.G. Small as the administration building of the Private University of Nanking in 1919 and still serving as Nanda's administration center nowadays, is widely thought to express Nanda's ethos of "Sincerity with Aspiration, Perseverance with Integrity".	148
4.2	The 25-story Anzhong MBA Building (2007) of the Business School, Nanda's Manhattan, is a new icon of Nanda's spirit in its pursuit of dynamism, excellence and internationalization.	151
4.3	The Flagpole, first erected in 1935 shortly before the Anti-Japanese War and relocated to the South Schoolyard in 1964, is a symbol of freedom and democracy on the Nanda campus.	158
5.1	The Jiannan Auditorium	174
5.2	The Main Administration Building at Xiamen University	181
5.3	The Main Teaching Building on the new Jiageng Campus	182
5.4	The Furong Buildings on the Main Campus of Xiamen University	184
6.1	The Sciences Quadrangle	207
6.2	The Liwa River on ECNU's urban campus	212
6.3	The Minhang Campus with the Yingtao River	216
6.4	The History and Arts Building	217
7.1	Statue of Chairman Mao holding in his hand a blueprint for the new China, erected in 1954, in front of the steps leading up to the original main administration building of Southwest Normal University and representing its ethos of service to the region.	229

7.2	Bust of Wu Mi (1894-1978), an influential scholar in comparative literature who returned from Harvard University in the early 1920s and taught at Southwest Normal College from 1950 up to his death in 1978, in the Wu Mi Garden.	231
7.3	The Great Auditorium, located at the center of the SWU campus in Beipei, symbolizing the university's dynamic spirit and the diversity of the southwest region.	242
8.1	The Logo of Yanbian University – Chinese and English are used in the outer circle, while the inner circle has the Korean word for Yan.	247
8.2	The Main Administrative Building	251
8.3	The Main Teaching Building, recently built, represents YBU's move towards modernity and globalization	252
8.4	The main entrance of the University where its name is written in both Chinese and Korean characters	266
9.1	The Statue of Guo Moruo on the USTC East Campus	281
9.2	A Night View of the USTC's West Campus	282
9.3	The Number One Teaching Building on the USTC East Campus	299
9.4	The Statue of Two Oxen Holding up the Globe on the USTC's East Campus	301
10.1	The South First Building (南一樓) at the Core of the HUST Campus	321
10.2	The Map of the Main Campus shows the Location and Size of the Iconic Nanyi Building, facing the Main Gate, and the Grid-like Pattern of the Overall Campus Layout.	322
10.3	The Wuhan National Laboratory for Optoelectronics (WNLO), the center of Wuhan Optics Valley, located on the east side of HUST main campus in Hankou, is a cutting edge national platform for inter-disciplinary research in opto-electronics.	328
10.4	The outdoor old theatre, located in the central area of the main campus still had a rusted sign on its front entrance with HUST's original name, the Huazhong Institute of Technology.	338

11.1	The Number Three Teaching Building on the NWAUFU's North Campus, Symbolizing Courage and Perseverance.	346
11.2	The International Center on the NWAUFU's South Campus	356
11.3	The Long Staircase with 93 Steps in Five Sections in Front of the NWAUFU's North Campus Gate, the Original Campus of Northwest Agricultural University	365
11.4	The Historic Library on the NWAUFU's North campus	369
12.1	The Library & Information Building on the Fo Gang Campus	379
12.2	The Concert Hall and College of Music on the Urban Campus	385
12.3	The Emblem of the Rising Sun inside the entrance gate to Yellow River's Urban Campus	397
13.1	The Sculpture of A-Fish-Becoming-A-Dragon erected on the Cultural Square of Yuhua campus, symbolizing the XAIU's dynamic ethos inherited from traditional Chinese culture.	409
13.2	The Teaching Building in European Style integrates learning with the school motto "Comprehensiveness with Diversity, Innovation with Self-Strengthening (多元集納，自強創新)."	418
13.3	The Cultural Square with the six sculptures of ancient educators in China and in the West, from Confucius, Laozi and Cai Yuanpei (right), to Plato, Aristotle, and Humboldt (left), symbolizing XAIU as a place where all creative thoughts, traditional or modern, Eastern or Western, are nurtured and encouraged.	420
14.1	An aerial view of the campus of Blue Sky University, with its gourd-like shape expressing the idea of it as a container of miraculous energy.	428
14.2	Main gate to the campus of Blue Sky University	441

Foreword

In his book *River Town*, Peter Hessler describes the changes that have taken place along the Yangtze River with the construction of the Three Gorges Dam. As he notes, “That sense of transformation – constant, relentless, overwhelming change – has been the defining characteristic of China during the past two decades.”¹ He contrasts the dramatic changes in China following its opening in 1978 to the outside world and the move to market socialism with the long prevalent notion articulated by eminent historian Leopold von Ranke that the Chinese were “the people of eternal standstill”. How the seemingly contradictory forces of change and constancy play out in Chinese higher education is documented in this magisterial book by Ruth Hayhoe, Jun Li, Jing Lin and Qiang Zha.

Portraits of 21st Century Chinese Universities is the most authoritative study to date of the dialectical relationship between globalization and local realities in the particular institutional and regional contexts of China. As such, it is a significant scholarly contribution to the comparative study of higher education systems around the world. The book raises important issues in the field of comparative education concerning the trade-offs between quantity and quality, and between access and equitable outcomes for the increasing numbers of formerly excluded students from rural and lower class backgrounds who now have expanded opportunities to attend both public and private universities. Close collaboration among the contributing authors has resulted in a remarkably consistent set of chapters with regard to the research questions studied, the data gathered, and the ways in which important themes are addressed. These themes relate to institutional mission, vision, governance, finance, curricular emphases, civic education/participation and democratization of Chinese society. Each chapter provides the responses of administrators, faculty, and students to the challenges of globalization and massification.

The authors have judiciously selected 12 universities to represent the range of institutional types that have come into existence since the 1990s: three comprehensive, three education-related, three science and technology, and three private universities. The introduction and first two

¹ Peter Hessler, “Return to Fuling,” in *River Town: Two Years on the Yangtze* (New York: Harper Paperback, 2006), p.5.

substantive chapters provide historical and conceptual frameworks for understanding the 12 case studies, and a concluding chapter brings all the pieces together by examining whether or not there is an emerging Chinese model of the university. The final chapter's author, Qiang Zha, summarizes what is perhaps the principal finding of the book: "modern Chinese universities [have] emerged between the worlds of European and Chinese culture and epistemology – a place where deep conflicts were inevitable – and they should not be viewed simply as a product of Westernization" (p.460).

Indeed, *Portraits of 21st Century Chinese Universities* is an ideal example of the synergistic relationship that can occur between Western and Chinese epistemologies and research traditions. Not only are the authors from different societal and disciplinary backgrounds, but they took special care to enlist the support of scholars in each of the institutions studied so as to ensure that local realities were reliably documented. In doing so, the authors ensured a more democratic set of institutional descriptions and conclusions.

The most important contribution of this book may reside in its illustrating the "dialogue among civilizations" explored by Hayhoe and Pan.² At inter-university and inter-societal levels the dialogue is based on values involving "the melding . . . of Western traditions of university autonomy and academic freedom with Chinese values of self-mastery, social responsibility, and intellectual freedom that has taken shape over a century of modern higher education development in China."³ This dialogue, characterized by equal status relations that "recognize and value difference", has much to contribute to the worlds of scholarly knowledge and policy analysis. In turn, much in the tradition of comparative education, a firm theoretical understanding of the way things work, and why, is a starting point for reaching more reasonable courses of action that can lead to transformations in education and society.

² Ruth Hayhoe and Julia Pan (eds.) *Knowledge Across Cultures: A Contribution to Dialogue Among Civilizations*. (Hong Kong: Comparative Education Research Centre, The University of Hong Kong, 2001).

³ Ruth Hayhoe and Jian Liu. "China's Universities, Cross-Border Education and Dialogue among Civilizations," in David W. Chapman, William K. Cummings and Gerald A. Postiglione. (eds.) *Crossing Borders in East Asian Higher Education* (Hong Kong: Comparative Education Research Centre, The University of Hong Kong and Springer: 2010), pp.96-97.

Readers will find this admirable study of great value for its insights into the transformations in Chinese society and higher education, and as a model of how to conduct cross-cultural research in both the most rigorous and democratic ways. It has been a pleasure and honor for me to have reviewed the manuscript and now written this Foreword.

Robert F. Arnove

*Chancellor's Professor Emeritus
Indiana University, Bloomington, USA*

Introduction and Acknowledgements

Ruth HAYHOE

This book has been truly a collaborative effort, with four scholars in the inner circle of our efforts to understand China's universities as they have been transformed through the massification process of the early 21st century, and many other scholars contributing in diverse ways. In the autumn of 2005 we made application to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada for funds to enable us to study the changes that had come about in Chinese higher education between 1990 and 2005, and we are most grateful for the support they provided us. As we put together our research proposal, three questions stood out as being of greatest importance, both for China's own socio-political, cultural and economic development, and for a wider global community with which China is interacting in more and more significant ways. It is appropriate to begin this introduction by presenting these questions, since they have shaped the research methods we adopted and the results that are presented in this volume:

1. What kinds of cultural resources are Chinese universities drawing from their own civilization and how do these inform their activities, as they move onto a global stage?
2. How has the move to mass higher education stimulated civil society and the emergence of forms of democracy shaped by Chinese civilization?
3. How has the move to mass higher education affected the diversity of the system and what have been the consequences for equity of access and provision?

These questions immediately tell the reader something about the four co-authors of this book, their background experience and intellectual interests. Ruth Hayhoe has dedicated her scholarly career to researching and reflecting on the cultural values that have undergirded modern Chinese universities, in their evolution over the 20th century. While their development has been strongly influenced by Japanese, German, French, American and Soviet university models at different periods, the heritage of cultural values associated with China's civil service examination system, and with the independent academies or *shuyuan* that flourished

between the 9th and 19th centuries, have continued to shape contemporary universities.¹ This has been especially evident in the period of reform and opening up, from 1978 to the rapid move towards mass higher education between 1999 and 2005. As China's economy has grown dramatically, and its leaders have taken new directions in political diplomacy, strengthening their ties with Africa and Latin America, as well as engaging pro-actively with Europe and North America, we felt it was important for us to reflect on the role of her universities. For the first time in the modern period, an active program of cultural diplomacy has been launched, with the establishment of several hundred Confucius Institutes for the dissemination of the Chinese language and culture around the world.² Given the unique arms-length arrangement for the management of this program, under the Office of the Chinese Language Council International, universities in China and abroad are playing a significant role in the development of these institutions.³ This is a minor dimension of the global activity of China's universities in the 21st century, but it leads us to ask what values they will bring to global engagement, and what dimensions of Chinese culture will shape their interactions with universities around the world. Will they be in a position to contribute new ideas or initiate new ways of looking at global issues, given the resources of a civilization that is quite distinct from that of the Western world?

Our second question might be seen as equally important for China itself and for countries around the world seeking to understand and engage with her. In the short span of time from 1990 to 2005, the number of higher education students in China increased from under three million to around 23 million, putting enormous pressures for democratization and the development of civil society on China's highly centralized one-Party political system.⁴ Universities are key actors in the democratization

¹ Ruth Hayhoe, *China's Universities: 1895-1995: A Century of Cultural Conflict* (Hong Kong: Comparative Education Research Centre, The University of Hong Kong, 1999).

² Rui Yang, "China's Soft Power Projection in Higher Education," in *International Higher Education*, No.46, Winter, 2007, pp.23-24.

³ Ruth Hayhoe and Jian Liu, "China's Universities, Cross-Border Education and the Dialogue among Civilizations," in David W. Chapman, William K. Cummings and Gerard A. Postiglione (eds.), *Crossing Borders in East Asian Higher Education* (Hong Kong: Comparative Education Centre, The University of Hong Kong and Springer, 2010), pp.76-100.

⁴ Jun Li and Jing Lin, "China's Move to Mass Higher Education: An Analysis of Policy Making from a Rational Framework," in David P. Baker and Alexander W.

and opening up of society, with a huge number of graduates now seeking employment across all sectors of society, including graduates of newly burgeoning private universities, and an increasingly highly educated population demanding accountability from their government at all levels.

Jing Lin has devoted much of her scholarly career to understanding the contribution of education to the dramatic social changes taking place in China over recent decades, including an important volume entitled *The Opening of the Chinese Mind*,⁵ and a series of studies on private schooling and private higher education.⁶ She has explored notions of social capital and civil society in a Chinese context and explained some of the unique connotations these terms take on in a society that now defines itself as one of market socialism, yet that is also still profoundly influenced by Confucian familial and social values.

Jun Li has published extensively on classical Chinese educational history, with a first doctorate in this field from China.⁷ He also has a second doctorate in international education policy and considerable experience in the study of citizenship education in East Asian contexts.⁸ As a postdoctoral fellow working full-time on the project from 2006 to 2008, he has played an important leadership role in our explorations into the first question on the cultural resources Chinese universities are drawing upon as they take up a global role. He also contributed greatly to our reflections on the second question: how are contemporary China's universities, with their hugely increased student numbers, contributing to civil society formation and incipient forms of democratic development?

Our third question brings us into the dimension of comparative studies of the massification of higher education around the world, with its focus on issues of diversification, access and equity in the change process. Qiang Zha developed a strong interest in the comparative theo-

Wiseman (eds.), *The Worldwide Transformation of Higher Education* (Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd., 2008), p.271.

⁵ Jing Lin, *The Opening of the Chinese Mind* (New York: Praeger, 1994).

⁶ Jing Lin, *Social Transformation and Private Education in China* (New York: Praeger, 1999).

⁷ Jun Li, *Xuanrufodao Jiaoyu Lilun Bijiao Yanjiu* (*A Comparative Study of the Educational Theories of the Schools of Metaphysics, Confucianism, Buddhism and Daoism*) (Taipei: Wenjin Press, 1994), 512pp; Jun Li, *Jiaoyuxue Zhi* (*A History of Chinese Thought on Education*) (Shanghai Peoples Press, 1998), 461pp.

⁸ Jun Li, "Student Achievement in Social Studies in Urban Public Schools: China and Japan," in *Education and Society* (Australia), Vol.23, No.3, 2005, pp.35-54.

retical exploration of the diversification of higher education systems in his doctoral thesis, putting the Chinese higher education system under the scrutiny of Western theoretical frames, and examining its diversification process through a range of quantitative measures.⁹ He has drawn upon perspectives from organizational theory such as population ecology, resource dependency and institutional isomorphism in identifying similarities and differences between the Chinese and the international experience. He is also experienced in the analysis of educational policy making processes, and has applied that skill to an exploration of the policy development process in China's move to mass higher education.

Research Design

Given the breadth of our three research questions, we developed a research design that had three major phases, and would be carried out over a period of three to four years. The first phase involved a careful study of the policy development process for China's move to mass higher education at the national and local levels. Special attention was given to the different roles of senior government figures, scholars, scholarly institutions and regional government offices. Qiang Zha and Jing Lin carried out a series of interviews in Beijing, Shanghai, Xiamen and a minority region of Southeast China in December of 2006, in order to see what could be gleaned from conversations with officials and scholars, that might fill out the analysis of the policy process found in the research literature.

Chapter 1 of this volume, by Qiang Zha, presents various aspects of the policy study. One of its interesting findings is the significant role played by scholars and researchers in the policy process, both as individuals and through major state funded research projects. This indicates the persistence of a pattern long noted in Chinese society of "establishment scholars" offering their knowledge and expertise in direct service to the state, paralleling the role of scholar officials in traditional China. The policy study also uncovers dimensions of the change process

⁹ Qiang Zha, "Diversification or Homogenization: How Governments and Markets Have Combined to (Re)Shape Chinese Higher Education in Its Recent Massification Process," in *Higher Education: The International Journal of Education Planning*, Vol.58, No.1, 2009, pp.41-58; Qiang Zha, "Diversification or Homogenization in Higher Education: A Global Allomorphism Perspective," in *Higher Education in Europe*, Vol.34, Nos.3/4, 2009, pp.459-479.

relating to the structure of the higher education system, access, equity and quality that are illuminated by the comparative literature on higher education massification.¹⁰ At this point we would like to acknowledge the work of five graduate students who contributed significantly to the study through the literature review work that they carried out as graduate assistants at the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE) of the University of Toronto: Jian Liu, Marina Ma Jinyuan, Yuxin Tu, Lijuan Wang and Xiaoyan Wang.

The second phase of the study involved a series of case studies of different types of universities in different regions of the country. We wished to present a lively picture of how different institutions are dealing with the opportunities, threats and challenges of rapid massification since 1999, also how their visions for the future, strategic planning and key decisions are reflecting different elements of the Chinese context. In choosing the case study institutions, we made a conscious decision to select nine public institutions and three private institutions, since around 12% of enrollments were in private higher education when we began the study, and this percentage increased to increase to 19.4% by 2008.¹¹ China has a tradition of excellence in private higher education both in the Nationalist period, and over its long history, particularly that of the classical academies or *shuyuan*. Although private higher education was abolished after the revolution of 1949, it re-emerged in the 1980s, and has benefited from the new opportunities provided by the massification policy. A few outstanding institutions show promise for scholarly leadership in the longer term future, even though they are in an entirely different league from the major public universities at the present time. These institutions have tended to draw upon powerful and colorful cultural symbols to raise their profile in Chinese society: “Yellow River,” always thought of as the heartland of Chinese civilization, “Turning Fish into Dragons,” a phrase associated with the civil service examinations during the Tang dynasty when Xi’an was the imperial capital and “Blue Sky,” a concept associated with openness and inclusivity to those suffering social disadvantage.

¹⁰ Qiang Zha and Jing Lin, “China’s Move to Mass Higher Education: The Policy Process,” under review.

¹¹ Jun Li and Jing Lin, “China’s Move to Mass Higher Education,” p.272; Jian Liu and Xiaoyan Wang, “Expansion and Diversification in Chinese Higher Education,” in *International Higher Education*, No.60, Summer, 2010, p.7.

It will become very evident in the analysis of the policy process and the structural changes in China's higher education over the period of massification that it is the provincial and local level institutions, also private institutions, which have carried the major burden of expansion, while top-tier public universities have seen more modest expansion and in some cases focused on increases only in graduate enrollments. They have also benefited from significant funding from the national government to enable them to maintain their elite standing and strive for world-class quality. Given our interest in the potential cultural contributions which Chinese universities will bring into the global higher education community, as expressed in our first question, we decided that our focus should be on institutions that are in a position to be global actors. Seven of the nine institutions are thus part of the elite 98/5 project, launched at the time of Peking University's centenary in May of 1998, which provides significant additional funding to 43 top institutions, while another two are part of the earlier 21/1 project, launched in 1993, and giving priority funding to about 100 universities nationwide. In selecting the three private universities, we also tried to identify those which have potential for a global role in the longer term future.

A second consideration that influenced our selection of cases was that of geography. We wanted to ensure that the twelve institutions were spread over all major regions of the country. China's rapid economic development has been uneven in terms of region, with the major coastal areas moving ahead most quickly. Thus location has tremendous importance for higher institutions in the Chinese context, and differing regions of the country have different economic conditions, as well as unique elements in their historical experience of higher education development.


Thirdly, we wanted to ensure that all main types of university were represented in the selection of our case studies. Thus we have chosen several major comprehensive universities, as well as two universities of science and technology and one university of agriculture and forestry, both of these being institutional types that emerged with the establishment of the socialist planning system in the early 1950s.¹² We also selected one normal university, with a historic mandate for teacher education, and one university mainly serving a minority population. A further consideration that affected our choice of institutions was the wave of mergers that has been encouraged by the Chinese government in

¹² See Ruth Hayhoe, *China's Universities 1895-1995*, pp.77-80.

the process of expansion and the upgrading of an elite group of institutions to global standards. In our choice of institutions we made sure there were some that have embraced a major merger, others that rejected merger entirely and yet others which made their own choice of partners for merger, rather than following Beijing's directives.

The map in Figure 0.1 shows how the twelve universities are distributed throughout China's heartland, and we list them here in the order in which the case studies are presented. Part Two of this volume includes three major comprehensive universities: Peking University (PKU) in Beijing, Nanjing University (NJU) in Nanjing, Jiangsu province and Xiamen University (XMU) in Xiamen, Fujian province. Part Three presents three universities with a strong orientation towards education: the East China Normal University (ECNU) in Shanghai, Southwest University (SWU) in the major city of Chongqing and Yanbian University (YBU) in Yanji, Jilin province, the only institution in China's Northeast, and one that serves a minority Korean population. Part Four sets out case studies of three universities of science and technology: The University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) in Hefei, Anhui province, the Huazhong (Central China) University of Science and Technology (HUST) in Wuhan, Hubei province, and the Huazhong (Central China) University of Science and Technology (HUST) in Hefei, Anhui province.

Figure 0.1: The Geographical Location of Our 12 Case Study Universities



in Wuhan, Hubei Province, and the Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University (NWAUFU) in Yangling, Shaanxi province. Finally, Part Five includes the three private universities which we have selected for the study: The Yellow River University of Science and Technology (HHUST) in Zhengzhou, Henan Province, the Xi'an International University (XAIU) in Xi'an, Shaanxi province and the Blue Sky University (BSU) in Nanchang, Jiangxi province.

Willingness to participate was another important factor in our selection process, and a few changes had to be made from the original list of institutions we drew up. Nevertheless, we were able to maintain the principles of selection in terms of types of institution and a broad spread of geographical location. At this point we would like to express appreciation to two academic advisors who were visiting scholars at OISE over the 2006/2007 academic year, attended our project meetings regularly and helped us in important decisions over the selection of institutions. Professor Huang Mingdong of Wuhan University and Professor Zhou Guangli of the Huazhong (Central China) University of Science and Technology in Wuhan also gave advice over the design of a set of base data tables, whereby we gathered information on changes in enrollments, curricular patterns, faculty profiles and finance and budgeting over the period from 1990 to 2005. The collection of this data has served to provide an empirical basis that is roughly comparable for each of the twelve institutions.

Another invaluable advisor and mentor to the project has been Dr. Julia Pan, Senior Coordinator for International Initiatives in the Dean's Office at OISE and a constant encourager to all of us. Some time before the project was born, she assisted in a set of pilot interviews with university leaders in Shanghai and Hangzhou, and she has continued to take a strong interest in the research.¹³ We were also privileged to have Dr. Cristina Pinna, a postdoctoral fellow from the University of Cagliari in Sardinia, Italy, spend two autumn terms with our project team, as she carried out research on academic relations between China and Europe.¹⁴

¹³ Ruth Hayhoe and Julia Pan, "China's Universities on the Global Stage – Views from University Leaders," in *International Higher Education*, No.39, Spring, 2005, pp.20-21.

¹⁴ Cristina Pinna, "EU-China Relations in Higher Education" (paper presented at an international workshop on "The Dynamics of Transformation in East Asia",

She spent the two spring terms at Peking University, where we linked up with her when doing our case study research there in May of 2008 and our culminating workshop in May of 2009.

Research for the case studies was carried out in the spring of 2007 and 2008, with two members of our core team spending four to six days at each university, interviewing a range of university leaders, and holding focus group meetings with faculty and students. We sought to gain as many insights as possible into the way in which each institution had handled the change process, also to explore their emerging ethos. Gaining institutional permission for these studies and making all the logistical arrangements was a demanding process, and we decided to invite a scholar with experience in higher education research at each university to partner with us. Thus we would like to acknowledge a debt of gratitude to thirteen collaborating scholars from our case institutions, following the order in which the portraits of their universities appear in this volume: Professor Yan Fengqiao of Peking University, Professor Gong Fang of Nanjing University, Professor Xie Zuxu of Xiamen University, Professor Li Mei of East China Normal University, Professor Liu Yibin of Southwest University, Professor Piao Taizhu of Yanbian University, Professor Cheng Xiaofang of the University of Science and Technology of China, Professors Chen Min and Zhou Guangli of the Huazhong (Central China) University of Science and Technology, Professor Niu Hongtai of the Northwest University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ms Tang Baomei of the Yellow River University of Science and Technology, Professor Wang Guan of the Xi'an International University and Professor Xu Xiangyun of Blue Sky University.

The members of our focus group meetings with faculty and students were selected to represent different disciplinary fields in the university: humanities, social sciences and natural sciences and technology. The collaborating scholar from each institution played a key role in selecting individuals who were willing to join a focus group meeting, and comfortable with providing the individual consent necessary in our ethical review process. For faculty members, an effort was made by the collaborating scholar, in cooperation with one of the vice-presidents or the higher education research institute, to select faculty members who would be interested in the questions we wished to discuss,

the University of Edinburgh, October 24-26 2007) and published in *The Asia-Europe Journal*, Vol.7, Nos.3-4, December, 1009, pp.505-527.

as these had been provided well in advance. For students, our ethical protocol specified that they would be identified through consultation with the student government, but we imagine our collaborating scholars were also influenced by practical concerns of student availability and political concerns, in some cases, that the students chosen should represent their institution appropriately. Thus there were a few institutions where the student focus group members seemed to be well connected with the Communist Party or the Youth League, but this was not the case for all institutions. We can say that a wide range of disciplines were represented in the members of faculty and student focus groups, and many interesting and candid views were expressed, though we cannot claim these voices to be “representative” in any rigorous sense.

The third phase of our study involved a survey of students in all twelve of the case study institutions. We felt it was important to hear the voices of students in this way, and not only through the focus group meetings. They were asked to respond to questions relating to issues of equity and access, also to give their observations on how the transition to mass higher education affected teaching quality and campus culture, and their ideas on and experiences of civil society and civic participation. In each of our case study institutions we selected three classes of third year students, one from the humanities, one from the social sciences and one from sciences and technologies, with about 60 students in each class. All were invited to fill out a questionnaire which had been developed and pilot tested by the core research team in the early months of our project work. In spite of the sensitivity of the questionnaire, we were pleased that all twelve case study universities gave permission for the survey to be administered, and data collection was completed in the spring and summer of 2007. This made possible the inputting of all the survey data over the summer and autumn of 2007, with significant assistance from our team of graduate students at the University of Toronto.

In Chapter 2 of this volume, Jun Li presents the major findings of the survey and interprets them through a comparative analysis of core concepts in the Chinese and Western literature. We believe this chapter provides a helpful backdrop for the case studies, and particularly for the findings from the student focus group meetings which were held on each of the twelve campuses. I would also note that Dr. Li has written two articles in international refereed journals that go into greater depth on the

survey findings, and that two of our graduate students are using the survey data in doctoral theses recently completed.¹⁵

Portraits of 21st Century Chinese Universities

The main body of this volume is made up of twelve portraits of contemporary Chinese universities in the context of China's move to mass higher education. In crafting these case studies we were inspired by the work of Burton Clark in his influential volume on the entrepreneurial university.¹⁶ Clark selected five institutions in the Northern European countries of Holland, England, Scotland, Finland and Sweden on the basis of their reputation for an entrepreneurial spirit and their success in self-initiated change that took them from being entirely dependent on state funding to a situation where a significant percentage of their funding came from non-governmental sources. He was particularly interested in innovative features of their curricula, research, and service to local and regional economic development.

Clark studied these five institutions through the collection of documents about their development, both historical and contemporary, and through visits which allowed him to carry out interviews with members of the leadership at various levels. He also observed administrative practices, curricular and research innovations and initiatives whereby the institutions were responding to a local and regional public. After immersing himself in the data he had collected, he came up with what might be described as a grounded theory about the conditions that nurtured these entrepreneurial institutions: a strengthened steering core, an enhanced development periphery, a discretionary funding base, a stimulated heartland and an entrepreneurial belief, that had transformed institutional

¹⁵ Jun Li, "Fostering Citizenship in China's Move to Mass Higher Education: An Analysis of Students' Political Socialization and Civic Participation," in *International Journal of Educational Development*, Vol.29, No.4, 2009, pp.382-398; Jun Li, "The Student Experience in China's Move to Mass Higher Education: Institutional Challenges and Policy Implications," under review; Ji'an Liu, "Equality of Educational Opportunity in China's Move to Mass Higher Education since the 1990s" (PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2010); Yuxin Tu, "A Chinese Civil Society in the Making? Civic Perceptions and Civic Participation of University Students in an Era of Massification" (PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2010).

¹⁶ Burton R. Clark, *Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organizational Pathways of Transformation* (Oxford: Elsevier, 1998).

culture towards the valuing of openness and change over tradition.

In Yin's classic work on case study research, he has noted that case studies may be exploratory or explanatory, depending on the situation.¹⁷ Clark's cases demonstrate elements of both, as he explores and defines the emerging concept of an entrepreneurial university, while also explaining the changes in management, organizational structure and financial provisioning that made them possible. He developed a broad theoretical frame applicable to all, yet also identified historical and geographical factors and curricular characteristics in individual cases that made a specific contribution to their entrepreneurial identity.

Thus for Chalmers University in Sweden, the fact that it had been founded in the early 1800s as a private institution dedicated to technical education and only later incorporated into Sweden's public higher education system may have accounted for some aspects of its entrepreneurial success. The fact that Strathclyde University in Scotland had been founded in 1796 in protest against the academicism of the University of Glasgow, with a conscious emphasis on "practical arts for practical students,"¹⁸ was an equally significant element in its history. Geographical factors also played a role, particularly for Twente University in the Netherlands which had been established as a technological university in a remote eastern part of the country in the 1960s, and for Joensuu in Finland, also in a geographically remote area and with a curriculum that focused on teacher education.

In depicting how each of the case universities rose from marginal status within their national systems and became institutions with a high academic standing and innovative orientation, Clark highlighted one or two major initiatives that gave concrete expression to the unique features of each case, while bringing out elements that were common in the transformation of each case. His open-minded exploratory approach reminded us that it was important to listen intently and observe carefully when we carried out our case study visits, and avoid imposing our own pre-conceived theories or hypotheses. His work also reminded us of the importance of institutional history and of geography.

Our core question in studying twelve Chinese universities in the early 21st century was naturally quite different from that of Clark. We wanted to see if the contours of an emerging Chinese model of the

¹⁷ Robert Yin, *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (London: Sage, 1989).

¹⁸ Clark, *Creating Entrepreneurial Universities*, p.61.

university might be found and whether it could be interpreted in relation to persisting elements of China's cultural and scholarly traditions. Our first question focused on the cultural contributions Chinese universities might make to the world community, and led us to a series of questions around vision, mission and strategic planning with leaders at different levels. It also led us to reflect on particular aspects of each institution's history, and to examine recent curricular developments, the organization of teaching and learning as well as research policies and practices, in order to see how the emerging ethos was expressed in these core areas of the university's work. We tried also to discern how far faculty members and students were aware of their institution's vision and mission, and how they related to its history and culture.

In addition to collecting relevant documents, such as mission statements and teaching evaluation reports, developing a set of base data, and collating the information we were able to glean from interviews, we wanted to find visual expression for the university ethos. Therefore we asked leaders, faculty members and students which building or which part of their campus space best expressed its spirit. This has enabled us to include three or four photos from each campus in our portraits, with some comments from university members on why these had become "places of the heart." There were also interesting differences of view in some cases!

Our second question related to the development of civil society in China and how the expansion was affecting relations between the university and government, also the attitudes, expectations and activities of faculty and students, both within the campus and in the wider society. We asked leaders to tell us what it meant for the university to have the status of a legal person, recently enshrined in the 1998 Higher Education Law, and the extent to which there was democratic participation in major decision-making processes. We asked faculty and students about their views of civil society and their opportunities for participation in decision-making processes on campus and in formal and non-formal political or social organizations that linked them to developments in the wider society.

Our third question was the most wide-ranging, covering issues of structure, equity, access and quality. In talking to leaders we were interested in their attitudes towards institutional merger, their approaches to the reconfiguration of campus space, and their decisions regarding whether or not to establish independent second tier colleges as

a way of enrolling additional students at higher fees, as well as their views on many other strategic issues. In talking to faculty members and students, we wanted to understand how they perceived these decisions, and how their teaching and learning experiences and their life opportunities were being affected by the move to mass higher education.

Over our four to five days of listening, observing, discussing and debating,¹⁹ we tried to formulate a holistic portrait of each institution, and then encapsulate that in written form at a later point. This involved immersing ourselves in the base data tables that had been provided, the relevant institutional documents and our extensive notes from interviews and focus group meetings. In some cases we listened to tapes of the interviews and meetings in order to recreate the experience of our visit in a vivid way. For each case, we had a lead author, whose name is given first, and who crafted the first draft of the case study, before seeking inputs from the other core member of the research team who had participated. After that the draft was shared with the collaborating scholar of each institution in China, for their feedback and any corrections or amplifications they might wish to provide. In addition, we invited all of them to a workshop held at Peking University in May of 2009, so they could offer constructive criticism on the first draft of Chapters 1 to 14 of this volume, and suggestions for the final chapter. We were delighted that ten of the thirteen collaborating scholars were able to come, together with several other scholars doing related work in Beijing. We had three intense days of dialogue, sharing and comparative reflection, with each of the scholars giving detailed attention to two or three of the draft chapters. We have since sought to incorporate their perspectives into the book, as far as has been possible. We have also invited four of them to provide written reflections on our portraits, following the grouping of four distinct types of institutions in the book. We were delighted that all four were able to participate in the Annual Meeting of the Comparative and International Education Society in Chicago in March of 2010, where their papers provided interesting feedback on the findings of the project from the perspective of scholars

¹⁹ In many cases institutional members, whether leaders, faculty or students, had studied our questions carefully in advance, and thanked us for stimulating them to think about these issues.