C. Reichardt, T. Welton

**WILEY-VCH** 

# Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry

Fourth, Updated and Enlarged Edition



This page intentionally left blank

Christian Reichardt and Thomas Welton

Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry

# **Related Titles**

Leitner, W., Jessop, P. G., Li, C.-J., Wasserscheid, P., Stark, A. (Eds.)

# Handbook of Green Chemistry – Green Solvents

1412 pages in 3 volumes with 843 figures and 100 tables 2010 Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-527-31574-1

### Izutsu, K.

# Electrochemistry in Nonaqueous Solutions

432 pages with approx. 172 figures 2009 Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-527-32390-6

Tanaka, K.

# Solvent-free Organic Synthesis

468 pages with approx. 261 figures 2009 Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-527-32264-0

Carrea, G., Riva, S. (Eds.)

# Organic Synthesis with Enzymes in Non-Aqueous Media

328 pages with 90 figures and 26 tables 2008 Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-527-31846-9 Wasserscheid, P., Welton, T. (Eds.)

# Ionic Liquids in Synthesis

776 pages in 2 volumes with approx. 385 figures and approx. 36 tables 2008 Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-527-31239-9

Bogdal, D., Prociak, A.

# Microwave-Enhanced Polymer Chemistry and Technology

280 pages 2007 Hardcover ISBN: 978-0-8138-2537-3

Lindström, U. M. (Ed.)

# **Organic Reactions in Water** Principles, Strategies and Applications

424 pages Hardcover ISBN: 978-1-4051-3890-1 Christian Reichardt and Thomas Welton

# Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry

Fourth, Updated and Enlarged Edition



WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA

#### The Authors

#### Prof. Dr. Christian Reichardt

Fachbereich Chemie der Philipps-Universität Hans-Meerwein-Strasse 35032 Marburg, Germany

### Prof. Dr. Thomas Welton

Department of Chemistry Imperial College London South Kensington Campus London SW7 2AZ United Kingdom

#### Cover

#### **Previous Editions**

1st Edition 1979 2nd Edition 1988 1st Reprint 1990 3rd Edition 2003 1st Reprint 2004 2nd Reprint 2005 4th Edition 2011 All books published by **Wiley-VCH** are carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors, and publisher do not warrant the information contained in these books, including this book, to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for

**British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data** A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

# Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

© 2011 Wiley-VCH Verlag & Co. KGaA, Boschstrasse 12, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Cover Grafik-Design Schulz, Fußgönheim Typesetting Asco Typesetters, Hong Kong Printing and Binding Strauss GmbH, Mörlenbach

Printed in the Federal Republic of Germany Printed on acid-free paper

ISBN 978-3-527-32473-6

To Maria and in memory of my parents

*C. R*.

To Mike and my parents

*T. W*.

This page intentionally left blank

## **Preface to the Fourth Edition**

About 40 years ago, in 1969, a German paperback entitled *Lösungsmitteleffekte in der* organischen Chemie, written by the first author, was published by Verlag Chemie in Weinheim. Based on this paperback and its second edition in 1973, an enlarged English edition called Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry appeared in 1979, followed by a second English edition in 1988 with the now enlarged title Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry. A first and second reprint in 2004 and 2005 of the third, updated and enlarged English edition of this book, published in 2003, demonstrate the continuing common interest in the study of solvent effects on chemical reactions and physical processes. This and the progress that has been made in recent years in this field of research encouraged us to present now to the interested reader a fourth, again updated and enlarged, edition of this book. This was only possible because a junior author helped the meanwhile retired senior author with the preparation of the manuscript for this new edition, particularly in writing the new Chapter 8.

This new chapter deals with the relationship between solvents and green chemistry, the classification of solvents by their environmental impact, and the replacement of traditional by non-traditional solvents for chemical reactions.

During the seven years after publication of the third edition in 2003, the number of solvent-dependent processes studied has increased to such an extent (particularly in the field of ionic liquids) that only a careful selection of instructive and representative examples could be additionally included in this fourth edition. The literature has been covered up to 2009, partly up to 2010. New references have been added at the end of the reference list of each chapter.

Consistent use of the nomenclature<sup>a)</sup>, symbols<sup>b)</sup>, terms<sup>c)</sup> and SI units<sup>d)</sup> recommended by the respective IUPAC Commissions has again been made in this fourth edition.

For useful comments and valuable suggestions we thank many colleagues, in particular Prof. Dr. N. O. Mchedlov-Petrossyan, Kharkov/Ukraine, Dr. T. Rager, Basel/Switzerland, and Prof. Dr. G. N. Papatheodorou, Rio/Greece. For their assistance in providing us with difficult to obtain literature and in preparing the final manuscript, C. R. thanks Mrs. B. Becht-Schröder (librarian) and Mr. G. Schäfer (technician) of the Department of Chemistry, Marburg, and also Mrs. Maria Reichardt, Marburg,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup> G. J. Leigh, H. A. Favre, and W. V. Metanomski: *Principles of Chemical Nomenclature – A Guide to IUPAC Recommendations*, Blackwell, Oxford, 1998; R. Panico, W. H. Powell, and J.-C. Richer: *A Guide to IUPAC Nomenclature of Organic Compounds – Recommendations 1993*, Blackwell, Oxford, 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup> E. R. Cohen, T. Cvitaš, J. G. Frey, B. Holmström, K. Kuchitsu, R. Marquardt, I. Mills, F. Pavese, M. Quack, J. Stohner, H. L. Strauss, M. Takami, and A. J. Thor: *Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry (IUPAC 2007)*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2007.

e<sup>0</sup> P. Müller: Glossary of Terms Used in Physical Organic Chemistry – IUPAC Recommendations 1994, Pure Appl. Chem. 66, 1077 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d)</sup> G. H. Aylward and T. J. V. Findlay: *SI Chemical Data*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Wiley, Milton/Australia, 2008; see also Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM): *Le Système International d'Unités* (*SI*), 8<sup>th</sup> ed., STEDI Media, Paris, 2006.

for her continuous support of this project. T.W. thanks the final-year Imperial College Chemistry students and Green Chemistry Master students for helpful discussions.

We both express our thanks to the staff of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim, particularly to Dr. Elke Maase and Dr. Stefanie Volk, for their help and excellent work in turning the manuscript into this final book.

Marburg (Lahn) London Summer 2010 Christian Reichardt Thomas Welton

### Preface to the Third Edition

Meeting the demand for the second edition of this book, which is - despite a reprint in 1990 - no longer available, and considering the progress that has been made during the last decade in the study of solvent effects in experimental and theoretical organic chemistry, this improved third edition is presented to the interested reader.

Following the same layout as in the second edition, all topics retained have been brought up to date, with smaller and larger changes and additions on nearly every page. Two Sections (4.4.7 and 5.5.13) are completely new, dealing with solvent effects on host/guest complexation equilibria and reactions in biphasic solvent systems and neoteric solvents, respectively. More than 900 new references have been added, giving preference to review articles, and many older ones have been deleted. New references either replace older ones or are added to the end of the respective reference list of each chapter. The references cover the literature up to the end of 2001.

From the vast number of published papers dealing with solvent effects in all areas of organic chemistry, only some illustrative examples from the didactic and systematic point of view could be selected. This book is not a monograph covering *all* relevant literature in this field of research. The author, responsible for this subjective selection, apologizes in advance to all chemists whose valuable work on solvent effects is not mentioned in this book. However, using the reviews cited, the reader will find easy access to the full range of papers published in a certain field of research on solvent effects.

Great progress has been made during the last decade in theoretical treatments of solvent effects by various quantum-chemical methods and computational strategies. When indicated, relevant references are given to the respective solution reactions or absorptions. However, a critical evaluation of all the theoretical models and methods used to calculate the differential solvation of educts, activated complexes, products, ground and excited states, is outside the expertise of the present author. Thus, a book on all kinds of theoretical calculations of solvent influences on chemical reactions and physical absorptions has still to be written by someone else.

Consistent use of the nomenclature,<sup>a)</sup> symbols,<sup>b)</sup> terms,<sup>c)</sup> and SI units<sup>d)</sup> recommended by the IUPAC commissions has also been made in this third edition.

For comments and valuable suggestions I have to thank many colleagues, in particular Prof. E. M. Kosower, Tel Aviv/Israel, Prof. R. G. Makitra, Lviv/Ukraine, Prof. N. O. Mchedlov-Petrossyan, Kharkiv/Ukraine, and Prof. K. Möckel, Mühlhausen/ Germany. For their assistance in drawing formulae, preparing the indices, and providing me with difficult to obtain literature, I thank Mr. G. Schäfer (technician), Mrs. S. Schellenberg (secretary), and Mrs. B. Becht-Schröder (librarian), all at the Department

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup> G. J. Leigh, H. A. Favre, and W. V. Metanomski: *Principles of Chemical Nomenclature – A Guide to IUPAC Recommendations*, Blackwell Science Publications, London, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup> I. Mills, T. Cvitaš, K. Homann, N. Kallay, and K. Kuchitsu: *Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Blackwell Science Publications, London, 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e)</sup> P. Müller: Glossary of Terms used in Physical Organic Chemistry – IUPAC Recommendations 1994, Pure Appl. Chem. 66, 1077 (1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d)</sup> G. H. Aylward and T. J. V. Tristan: *SI Chemical Data*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Wiley, Chichester, 1999; *Datensammlung Chemie in SI-Einheiten*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim/Germany, 1999.

### X Preface to the Third Edition

of Chemistry, Philipps University, Marburg/Germany. Special thanks are due to the staff of Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim/Germany, particularly to Dr. Elke Westermann, for their fine work in turning the manuscript into the final book. Lastly, my biggest debt is to my wife Maria, not only for her assistance in the preparation of the manuscript, but also for her constant encouragement and support during the writing of this book.

Marburg (Lahn), Spring 2002

Christian Reichardt

### **Preface to the Second Edition**

The response to the first English edition of this book, published in 1979, has been both gratifying and encouraging. Its mixed character, lying between that of a monograph and a textbook, has obviously made it attractive to both the industrial and academic chemist as well as the advanced student of chemistry.

During the last eight years the study of solvent effects on both chemical reactions and absorption spectra has made much progress, and numerous interesting and fascinating examples have been described in the literature. In particular, the study of ionic reactions in the gas phase – now possible due to new experimental techniques – has allowed direct comparisons between gas-phase and solution reactions. This has led to a greater understanding of solution reactions. Consequently, Chapters 4 and 5 have been enlarged to include a description of ionic gas-phase reactions compared to their solution counterparts.

The number of well-studied solvent-dependent processes, *i.e.* reactions and absorptions in solution, has increased greatly since 1979. Only a representative selection of the more instructive, recently studied examples could be included in this second edition.

The search for empirical parameters of solvent polarity and their applications in multiparameter equations has recently been intensified, thus making it necessary to rewrite large parts of Chapter 7.

Special attention has been given to the chemical and physical properties of organic solvents commonly used in daily laboratory work. Therefore, all Appendix Tables have been improved; some have been completely replaced by new ones. A new well-referenced table on solvent-drying has been added (Table A-3). Chapter 3 has been enlarged, in particular by the inclusion of solvent classifications using multivariate statistical methods (Section 3.5). All these amendments justify the change in the title of the book to *Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry*.

The references have been up-dated to cover literature appearing up to the first part of 1987. New references were added to the end of the respective reference list of each chapter from the first edition.

Consistent use of the nomenclature, symbols, terms, and SI units recommended by the IUPAC commissions has also been made in the second edition.\*)

I am very indebted to many colleagues for corrections, comments, and valuable suggestions. Especially helpful suggestions came from Professors H.-D. Försterling, Marburg, J. Shorter, Hull/England, and R. I. Zalewski, Poznań/Poland, to whom I am very grateful. For critical reading of the whole manuscript and the improvement of my English I again thank Dr. Edeline Wentrup-Byrne, now living in Brisbane/Australia. Dr. P.-V. Rinze, Marburg, and his son Lars helped me with the author index. Finally, I would like to thank my wife Maria for her sympathetic assistance during the preparation of this edition and for her help with the indices.

Marburg (Lahn), Spring 1988

Christian Reichardt

<sup>\*</sup> Cf. Pure Appl. Chem. 51, 1 (1979); ibid. 53, 753 (1981); ibid. 55, 1281 (1983); ibid. 57, 105 (1985).

This page intentionally left blank

## Preface to the First Edition

The organic chemist usually works with compounds which possess labile covalent bonds and are relatively involatile, thereby often rendering the gas-phase unsuitable as a reaction medium. Of the thousands of reactions known to occur in solution only few have been studied in the gas-phase, even though a description of reaction mechanisms is much simpler for the gas-phase. The frequent necessity of carrying out reactions in the presence of a more or less inert solvent results in two main obstacles: The reaction depends on a larger number of parameters than in the gas-phase. Consequently, the experimental results can often be only qualitatively interpreted because the state of aggregation in the liquid phase has so far been insufficiently studied. On the other hand, the fact that the interaction forces in solution are much stronger and more varied than in the gas-phase, permits to affect the properties and reactivities of the solute in manifold modes.

Thus, whenever a chemist wishes to carry out a chemical reaction he not only has to take into consideration the right reaction partners, the proper reaction vessels, and the appropriate reaction temperature. One of the most important features for the success of the planned reaction is the selection of a suitable solvent. Since solvent effects on chemical reactivity have been known for more than a century, most chemists are now familiar with the fact that solvents may have a strong influence on reaction rates and equilibria. Today, there are about three hundred common solvents available, nothing to say of the infinite number of solvent mixtures. Hence the chemist needs, in addition to his intuition, some general rules and guiding-principles for this often difficult choice.

The present book is based on an earlier paperback "Lösungsmitteleffekte in der organischen Chemie" [1], which, though following the same layout, has been completely rewritten, greatly expanded, and brought up to date. The book is directed both toward the industrial and academic chemist and particularly the advanced student of chemistry, who on the one hand needs objective criteria for the proper choice of solvent but on the other hand wishes to draw conclusions about reaction mechanisms from the observed solvent effects.

A knowledge of the physico-chemical principles of solvent effects is required for proper bench-work. Therefore, a description of the intermolecular interactions between dissolved molecules and solvent is presented first, followed by a classification of solvents derived therefrom. Then follows a detailed description of the influence of solvents on chemical equilibria, reaction rates, and spectral properties of solutes. Finally, empirical parameters of solvent polarity are given, and in an appendix guidelines to the everyday choice of solvents are given in a series of Tables and Figures.

The number of solvent systems and their associated solvent effects examined is so enormous that a complete description of all aspects would fill several volumes. For example, in Chemical Abstracts, volume 85 (1976), approximately eleven articles per week were quoted in which the words "Solvent effects on ..." appeared in the title. In the present book only a few important and relatively well-defined areas of general importance have been selected. The book has been written from the point of view of practical use for the organic chemist rather than from a completely theoretical one.

In the selection of the literature more recent reviews were taken into account mainly. Original papers were cited in particular from the didactic point of view rather

than priority, importance or completeness. This book, therefore, does not only have the character of a monograph but also to some extent that of a textbook. In order to help the reader in his use of the literature cited, complete titles of the review articles quoted are given. The literature up until December 1977 has been considered together with a few papers from 1978. The use of symbols follows the recommendations of the Symbols Committee of the Royal Society, London, 1971 [2].

I am very grateful to Professor Karl Dimroth, Marburg, who first stimulated my interest in solvent effects in organic chemistry. I am indebted to Professors W. H. Pirkle, Urbana/Illinois, D. Seebach, Zürich/Switzerland, J. Shorter, Hull/England, and numerous other colleagues for helpful advice and information. Thanks are also due to the authors and publishers of copyrighted materials reproduced with their permission (cf. Figure and Table credits on page 495). For the careful translation and improvement of the English manuscript I thank Dr. Edeline Wentrup-Byrne, Marburg. Without the assistance and patience of my wife Maria, this book would not have been written.

Marburg (Lahn), Summer 1978

Christian Reichardt

### References

[1] C. Reichardt: Lösungsmitteleffekte in der organischen Chemie. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 1973;

*Effets de solvant en chimie organique* (translation of the first-mentioned title into French, by I. Tkatchenko), Flammarion, Paris 1971;

*Rastvoriteli v organicheskoi khimii* (translation of the first-mentioned title into Russian, by E. R. Zakhsa), Izdatel'stvo Khimiya, Leningrad 1973.

[2] *Quantities, Units, and Symbols*, issued by The Symbols Committee of the Royal Society, London, in 1971.

# Contents

1	Introduction 1
2	Solute-Solvent Interactions
2.1	Solutions
2.2	Intermolecular Forces
2.2.1	Ion-Dipole Forces
2.2.2	Dipole-Dipole Forces. 14
2.2.3	Dipole-Induced Dipole Forces
2.2.4	Instantaneous Dipole-Induced Dipole Forces
2.2.5	Hydrogen Bonding
2.2.6	Electron-Pair Donor/Electron-Pair Acceptor Interactions (EPD/EPA Interactions) 23
2 2 7	Solvonhobic Interactions 31
2.2.7	Solvophobic Interactions
2.5	Dreferential Soluction 42
2.4	Micellar Solvation (Solubilization)
2.5	Initerial Solution (Solution)
2.0	
3	Classification of Solvents
3.1	Classification of Solvents according to Chemical Constitution
3.2	Classification of Solvents using Physical Constants
3.3	Classification of Solvents in Terms of Acid-Base Behaviour
3.3.1	Brønsted-Lowry Theory of Acids and Bases
3.3.2	Lewis Theory of Acids and Bases
3.4	Classification of Solvents in Terms of Specific Solute/Solvent
3 5	Classification of Solvents using Multivariate Statistical Methods 99
5.5	Classification of Solvents using Multivariate Statistical Methods
4	Solvent Effects on the Position of Homogeneous Chemical Equilibria 107
4.1	General Remarks 107
4.2	Solvent Effects on Acid/Base Equilibria 109
4.2.1	Brønsted Acids and Bases in Solution 109
4.2.2	Gas-Phase Acidities and Basicities 114
4.3	Solvent Effects on Tautomeric Equilibria 121
4.3.1	Solvent Effects on Keto/Enol Equilibria
4.3.2	Solvent Effects on Other Tautomeric Equilibria
4.4	Solvent Effects on Other Equilibria
4.4.1	Solvent Effects on Brønsted Acid/Base Equilibria
4.4.2	Solvent Effects on Lewis Acid/Base Equilibria
4.4.3	Solvent Effects on Conformational Equilibria
4.4.4	Solvent Effects on $cis/trans$ or $E/Z$ Isomerization Equilibria
4.4.5	Solvent Effects on Valence Isomerization Equilibria 150
4.4.6	Solvent Effects on Electron-Transfer Equilibria 153
4.4.7	Solvent Effects on Host/Guest Complexation Equilibria 156

5	Solvent Effects on the Rates of Homogeneous Chemical Reactions 165
5.1	General Remarks
5.2	Gas-Phase Reactivities
5.3	Qualitative Theory of Solvent Effects on Reaction Rates
5.3.1	The Hughes–Ingold Rules
5.3.2	Solvent Effects on Dipolar Transition State Reactions
5.3.3	Solvent Effects on Isopolar Transition State Reactions
5.3.4	Solvent Effects on Free-Radical Transition State Reactions
5.3.5	Limitations of the Hughes–Ingold Rules
5.4	Quantitative Theories of Solvent Effects on Reaction Rates
5.4.1	General Remarks
5.4.2	Reactions between Neutral, Apolar Molecules
5.4.3	Reactions between Neutral, Dipolar Molecules
5.4.4	Reactions between Neutral Molecules and Ions
5.4.5	Reactions between Ions
5.5	Specific Solvation Effects on Reaction Rates
5.5.1	Influence of Specific Anion Solvation on the Rates of S <sub>N</sub> and other
	Reactions
5.5.2	Protic and Dipolar Aprotic Solvent Effects on the Rates of S <sub>N</sub>
	Reactions         26.
5.5.3	Quantitative Separation of Protic and Dipolar Aprotic Solvent Effects
	for Reaction Rates by Means of Solvent-Transfer Activity Coefficients 27
5.5.4	Acceleration of Base-Catalysed Reactions in Dipolar Aprotic Solvents 282
5.5.5	Influence of Specific Cation Solvation on the Rates of S <sub>N</sub> Reactions 283
5.5.6	Solvent Influence on the Reactivity of Ambident Anions
5.5.7	Solvent Effects on Mechanisms and Stereochemistry of Organic
	Reactions 298
5.5.8	Influence of Micellar and Solvophobic Interactions on Reaction Rates
	and Mechanisms 31'
5.5.9	Liquid Crystals as Reaction Media 320
5.5.10	Solvent Cage Effects
5.5.11	External Pressure and Solvent Effects on Reaction Rates
5.5.12	Solvent Isotope Effects
5.5.13	Reactions in Biphasic Solvent Systems and in Neoteric Solvents 34
6	Solvent Effects on the Absorption Spectra of Organic Compounds 355
6.1	General Remarks
6.2	Solvent Effects on UV/Vis Spectra
6.2.1	Solvatochromic Compounds
6.2.2	Theory of Solvent Effects on UV/Vis Absorption Spectra
6.2.3	Specific Solvent Effects on UV/Vis Absorption Spectra
6.2.4	Solvent Effects on Fluorescence Spectra
6.2.5	Solvent Effects on ORD and CD Spectra
6.3	Solvent Effects on Infrared Spectra
6.4	Solvent Effects on Electron Spin Resonance Spectra 40.
6.5	Solvent Effects on Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra

6.5.1	Nonspecific Solvent Effects on NMR Chemical Shifts	410
6.5.2	Specific Solvent Effects on NMR Chemical Shifts	417
6.5.3	Solvent Effects on Spin-Spin Coupling Constants	422
7	Empirical Parameters of Solvent Polarity	425
7.1	Linear Gibbs Energy Relationships.	425
7.2	Empirical Parameters of Solvent Polarity from Equilibrium	
	Measurements.	432
7.3	Empirical Parameters of Solvent Polarity from Kinetic Measurements .	438
7.4	Empirical Parameters of Solvent Polarity from Spectroscopic	
	Measurements.	448
7.5	Empirical Parameters of Solvent Polarity from Other Measurements	481
7.6	Interrelation and Application of Solvent Polarity Parameters	483
7.7	Multiparameter Approaches	490
8	Solvents and Green Chemistry	509
8 1	Green Chemistry	509
8.2	Reduction of Solvent Use	511
83	Green Solvent Selection	513
8.4	Non-Traditional Solvents	514
841	Water	514
842	Supercritical Carbon Dioxide (sc- $CO_2$ )	529
843	Ionic Liquids	534
8.4.4	Polyethylene Glycols (PEGs)	543
8.4.5	Biomass-Derived Solvents	544
8.5	Outlook	548
		- 40
Append		549
A.	Properties, Purification, and Use of Organic Solvents	549
A.1	Physical Properties	549
A.2	Purification of Organic Solvents	556
A.3	Spectroscopic Solvents	557
A.4	Solvents as Reaction Media	562
A.5	Solvents for Recrystallization	563
A.6	Solvents for Extraction and Partitioning (Distribution)	570
A./	Solvents for Adsorption Chromatography	572
A.8	Solvents for Acid/Base Litrations in Non-Aqueous Media	5/4
A.9	Solvents for Electrochemistry	5/8
A.10	I oxicity of Organic Solvents	5/8
Referen	ıces	587
Figure	and Table Credits	675
Subject	Index	677

This page intentionally left blank

# List of Abbreviations

# Abbreviations and Recommended Values of Some Fundamental Constants and Numbers $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{b})}$

		aa 1
$N_{\rm A}$	Avogadro constant	$6.0221 \cdot 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$
$c_0$	speed of light in vacuum	$2.9979 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
$\varepsilon_0$	permittivity of vacuum	$8.8542 \cdot 10^{-12} \ F \cdot m^{-1}$
	$[= 1/(\mu_0 \cdot c_0^2); \mu_0 =$ permeability of vacuum]	
е	elementary charge	$1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$
h	Planck constant	$6.6261 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}$
R	molar gas constant	8.3145 J $\cdot$ K <sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ mol <sup>-1</sup> (or 0.08206
		$L \cdot atm \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1})$
k <sub>B</sub>	Boltzmann constant (= $R/N_A$ )	$1.3807 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$
V <sub>m</sub>	standard molar volume of an ideal gas (at $t = 0$ °C and $p = 100$ kPa)	22.711 L · mol <sup>-1</sup>
$T_0$	zero of the Celsius scale	273.15 K
π	ratio of the circumference to the diameter of a circle	3.1416
e	exponential number and base of natural logarithms (ln)	2.7183
ln 10	natural logarithm of ten ( $\ln x = \ln 10 \cdot \lg x$ ; $\lg = \text{decadic logarithm}$ )	2.303

### Abbreviations and Symbols for Units<sup>a,b)</sup>

bar	bar (= $10^5 \text{ Pa} = 10^5 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ )	pressure
cg/g	centigram/gram	weight percent
cL/L, cl/l	centilitre/litre	volume percent
cmol/mol	centimol/mol	mole percent
cm	centimetre $(10^{-2} \text{ m})$	length

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup> E. R. Cohen, T. Cvitaš, J. G. Frey, B. Holmström, K. Kuchitsu, R. Marquardt, I. Mills, F. Pavese, M. Quack, J. Stohner, H. L. Strauss, M. Takami, and A. J. Thor: *Quantities, Units and Symbols in Physical Chemistry (IUPAC 2007)*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b)</sup> G. H. Aylward and T. J. V. Findlay: *SI Chemical Data*, 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Wiley, Milton/Australia, 2008; see also Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM): *Le Système International d'Unités* (*SI*), 8<sup>th</sup> ed., STEDI, Paris, 2006.

cm <sup>3</sup>	cubic centimetre (millilitre mL: $10^{-6}$ m <sup>3</sup> )	volume
Q	coulomb	electric charge
°C	degrees Celsius	temperature
dm <sup>3</sup>	cubic decimetre (litre L; $10^{-3}$ m <sup>3</sup> )	volume
J	joule	energy
kJ	kilojoule $(10^3 \text{ J})$	energy
Κ	kelvin	temperature
L, 1	litre (1 dm <sup>3</sup> ; $10^{-3}$ m <sup>3</sup> )	volume
m	metre	length
min	minute	time
mol	mole	amount of substance
MPa	megapascal (10 <sup>6</sup> Pa)	pressure
mT	millitesla (10 <sup>-3</sup> T)	magnetic flux density (magnetic field)
nm	nanometre $(10^{-9} \text{ m})$	length
Pa	pascal (1 N $\cdot$ m <sup>-2</sup> = 10 <sup>-5</sup> bar)	pressure
percent (%)	part per hundred $(10^{-2})$	dimensionless fraction
ppm	part per million $(10^{-6})$	dimensionless fraction
S	second	time

# Abbreviations and Symbols for Properties<sup>c)</sup>

a <sub>i</sub>	activity of solute i	
$a(^{1}\mathrm{H})$	ESR hyperfine coupling constant (coupling with <sup>1</sup> H)	Hz or mT (= $10^{-3}$ T)
$A_{ m j}$	the solvent's anion-solvating tendency or 'acity' (Swain)	
AN	solvent acceptor number, based on ${}^{31}$ P NMR chemical shift of Et <sub>3</sub> PO (Gutmann and Meyer)	
α	electric polarizability of a molecule or polarizability volume	$C^2 \cdot m^2 \cdot J^{-1}$ or $4\pi\epsilon_0 \cdot cm^3$
α	empirical parameter of solvent hydrogen-bond donor acidity (Taft and Kamlet)	
В	empirical parameter of solvent Lewis basicity (Palm and Koppel)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e)</sup> P. Müller: Glossary of Terms used in Physical Organic Chemistry – IUPAC Recommendations 1994. Pure Appl. Chem. 66, 1077 (1994).

solvent Lewis basicity (Palm and	
Ropper) IR based empirical parameter of solvent Lewis basicity (Koppel and	
Paiu: Makitra)	
the solvent's cation-solvating	
tendency or 'basity' (Swain)	
empirical parameter of solvent	
hydrogen-bond acceptor basicity	
(Taft and Kamlet)	
cohesive pressure (cohesive energy density) of a solvent	MPa $(= 10^{6} Pa)$
molar concentration of solute i	$mol \cdot L^{-1}$
Lewis acidity and Lewis basicity	
parameter (Drago)	
critical micellisation concentration	$mol \cdot L^{-1}$
molar bond-dissociation energy of the bond between H and A	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
empirical parameter of solvent Lewis	
basicity, based on a 1,3-dipolar	
cycloaddition reaction (Nagai <i>et al.</i> )	1111
solvent donor number (Gutmann) [= $-\Delta H(D-SbCl_5)$ ]	kcal · mol
normalized solvent donor number (Marcus)	
Hildebrand's solubility parameter	$MPa^{1/2}$
chemical shift of NMR signals	ppm
solvent polarizability correction term (Taft and Kamlet)	
energy, molar energy	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
electric field strength	${ m V} \cdot { m m}^{-1}$
enol constant (K. H. Meyer)	
empirical parameter of solvent Lewis acidity (Palm and Koppel)	
Arrhenius activation energy	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
Lewis acidity and Lewis basicity parameter (Drago)	
electron affinity	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
empirical solvent Lewis basicity	
parameter, based on the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of an aminyloxide radical (Mukerjee; Wrona)	
	solvent Lewis basicity (Palm and Koppel) IR based empirical parameter of solvent Lewis basicity (Koppel and Paju; Makitra) the solvent's cation-solvating tendency or 'basity' (Swain) empirical parameter of solvent hydrogen-bond acceptor basicity (Taft and Kamlet) cohesive pressure (cohesive energy density) of a solvent molar concentration of solute i Lewis acidity and Lewis basicity parameter (Drago) critical micellisation concentration molar bond-dissociation energy of the bond between H and A empirical parameter of solvent Lewis basicity, based on a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction (Nagai <i>et al.</i> ) solvent donor number (Gutmann) $[= -\Delta H(D-SbCl_5)]$ normalized solvent donor number (Marcus) Hildebrand's solubility parameter chemical shift of NMR signals solvent polarizability correction term (Taft and Kamlet) energy, molar energy electric field strength enol constant (K. H. Meyer) empirical parameter of solvent Lewis acidity (Palm and Koppel) Arrhenius activation energy Lewis acidity and Lewis basicity parameter (Drago) electron affinity empirical solvent Lewis basicity parameter, based on the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of an aminyloxide radical (Mukerjee; Wrona)

E <sub>K</sub>	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the $d \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of a molybdenum complex (Walther)	kcal $\cdot$ mol <sup>-1</sup>
$E^*_{ m MLCT}$	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the $d \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of a tungsten complex (Lees)	
E <sub>T</sub>	molar electronic <i>t</i> ransition <i>e</i> nergy, molar electronic excitation energy	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ or $kcal \cdot mol^{-1}$
$E_{\mathrm{T}}(30)$	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the intramolecular CT absorption of a pyridinium- <i>N</i> - phenolate betaine dye (Dimroth and Reichardt)	kcal · mol <sup>−1</sup>
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}}$	normalized $E_{T}(30)$ solvent polarity parameter (Reichardt)	
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{SO}}$	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of an <i>S</i> -oxide (Walter)	kcal $\cdot$ mol <sup>-1</sup>
EPA	electron-pair acceptor	
EPD	electron-pair donor	
$\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma}$	relative permittivity (= $\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0$ ) ("dielectric constant")	1
$\Phi$	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of ketones (Dubois)	
G	IR based empirical solvent polarity parameter (Schleyer and Allerhand)	
$\Delta G^{\circ}$	standard molar Gibbs energy change	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta G^{ eq}$	standard molar Gibbs energy of activation	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta G_{ m solv}^\circ$	standard molar Gibbs energy of solvation	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta G_{ m hydr}^{\circ}$	standard molar Gibbs energy of hydration	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$ \Delta G^{\circ}_{t}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}), \\ \Delta G^{\circ}_{t}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}) $	standard molar Gibbs energy of transfer of solute X from a reference solvent (O) or water (W) to another solvent (S)	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\gamma_{i}$	activity coefficient of solute i	
$\Delta H^{\circ}$	standard molar enthalpy change	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta H^{ eq}$	standard molar enthalpy of activation	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta H_{ m v}$	molar enthalpy (heat) of vaporization	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$

$H_0$	acidity function (Hammett)	
HBA	hydrogen-bond acceptor	
HBD	hydrogen-bond donor	
НОМО	highest occupied molecular orbital	
$E_i, I, IP$	ionization energy	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
<i>I</i> , <i>I</i> <sub>c</sub>	ionic strength (concentration basis) (= $1/2 \cdot \sum c_i \cdot z_i^2$ )	$mol \cdot L^{-1}$
Ι	gas-chromatographic retention index (Kováts)	
J	NMR spin-spin coupling constant	Hz
k	rate constant for monomolecular $(n = 1)$ and bimolecular $(n = 2)$ reactions	$(L \cdot mol^{-1})^{n-1} \cdot s^{-1}$
<i>k</i> <sub>0</sub>	rate constant in a reference solvent or in the gas phase for monomolecular (n = 1) and bimolecular reactions (n = 2)	$(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1})^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{-1}$
$k_0$	in Hammett equations the rate constant of unsubstituted substrates	$(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1})^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ with $n = 1$ or 2
$K, K_{\rm c}$	equilibrium constant (concentration basis; $v =$ stoichiometric number)	$(\mathrm{mol}\cdot\mathrm{L}^{-1})^{\Sigma v}$
$K_{\rm a}, K_{\rm b}$	acid and base ionization constants	$(\mathrm{mol}\cdot\mathrm{L}^{-1})^{\Sigma v}$
K <sub>auto</sub>	autoionization ion product, autoprotolysis constant	$mol^2 \cdot L^{-2}$
$K_{\mathrm{Assoc}}, K_{\mathrm{Dissoc}}, K_{\mathrm{ion}}, K_{\mathrm{T}}$	equilibrium constants of association, dissociation, ionization, resp. tautomerization reactions	$(\mathrm{mol}\cdot\mathrm{L}^{-1})^{\Sigma v}$
$K_{ m o/w}$	1-octanol/water partition constant (Hansch and Leo)	
KB	kauri-butanol number	
L	desmotropic constant (K. H. Meyer)	
LUMO	lowest unoccupied molecular orbital	
λ	wavelength	nm (= $10^{-9}$ m)
т	mass of a particle	g
$M_{ m r}$	relative molecular mass of a substance ("molecular weight")	
M	miscibility number (Godfrey)	
МН	microscopic hydrophobicity parameter of substituents (Menger)	
μ	empirical solvent softness parameter (Marcus)	

$\mu$	permanent electric dipole moment of a molecule	$\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{m} (\text{or } \mathbf{D})$
$\mu_{ m ind}$	induced electric dipole moment of a molecule	$C \cdot m \text{ (or } D)$
$\mu_{i}^{\circ}$	standard chemical potential of solute i	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\mu_{i}^{\infty}$	standard chemical potential of solute i at infinite dilution	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$n, n_{\mathrm{D}}$	refractive index (at sodium D line) $(= c_0/c)$	
Ν	empirical parameter of solvent nucleophilicity (Winstein and Grunwald)	
$N_+$	nucleophilicity parameter for (nucleophile + solvent)-systems (Ritchie)	
ν	frequency	Hz, $s^{-1}$
v°	frequency in the gas phase or in an inert reference solvent	Hz, $s^{-1}$
v	wavenumber (= $1/\lambda$ )	$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
Ω	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on a Diels-Alder reaction (Berson)	
p	pressure	Pa (= $1N \cdot m^{-2}$ ), bar (= $10^5$ Pa)
Р	measure of solvent polarizability (Palm and Koppel)	
Р	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on <sup>19</sup> F NMR measurements (Taft)	
PA	proton affinity	$kJ\cdot mol^{-1}$
Py	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the $\pi^* \to \pi$ emission of pyrene (Winnik)	
$P_{\rm o/w}$	1-octanol/water partition coefficient (Hansch and Leo)	
рН	$-\lg c(H_3O^+)$ , or more precisely $-\lg a(H_3O^+)$ abbreviation of <i>p</i> otentia or <i>p</i> ondus <i>h</i> ydrogenii, <i>p</i> ower of <i>h</i> ydrogen, or <i>p</i> uissance d' <i>h</i> ydrogène (Sørensen 1909). The pH scale ranges usually from 1 to 14, but is open-ended, allowing for pH values below 0 or above 14!	

p <i>K</i>	−lg K	
π	internal pressure of a solvent	MPa (= $10^6$ Pa)
π*	empirical solvent dipolarity/ polarizability parameter, based on the $\pi \to \pi^*$ absorption of substituted aromatics (Taft and Kamlet)	
$\pi^*_{ m azo}$	empirical solvent dipolarity/ polarizability parameter, based on the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of azo merocyanine dyes (Buncel)	
$\pi_{\mathrm{x}}$	hydrophobicity parameter of substituent X in H <sub>5</sub> C <sub>6</sub> -X (Hansch)	
r	radius of sphere representing an ion or a cavity	cm (= $10^{-2}$ m)
ř	distance between centres of two ions or molecules	cm (= $10^{-2}$ m)
ρ	density (mass divided by volume)	${ m g}\cdot{ m cm}^{-3}$
$ ho, ho_{ m A}$	Hammett reaction or absorption constants	
S	generalized for solvent	
S	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the Z-values (Brownstein)	
S	lg $k_2$ for the Menschutkin reaction of tri- <i>n</i> -propylamine with iodomethane (Drougard and Decroocq)	
$\Delta S^{\circ}$	standard molar entropy change	$J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}$	standard molar entropy of activation	$\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
$S_{ m p}$	solvophobic power of a solvent (Abraham)	
SA	empirical parameter of solvent hydrogen-bond donor acidity (Catalán)	
SB	empirical parameter of solvent hydrogen-bond acceptor basicity (Catalán)	
SPP	empirical parameter of solvent dipolarity/polarizability, based on the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption of substituted 7- nitrofluorenes (Catalán)	
σ	Hammett substituent constant	
$\sigma$	NMR screening constant	

### XXVI List of Abbreviations

t	Celsius temperature	°C
Т	thermodynamic temperature	Κ
t <sub>mp</sub>	melting point	°C
t <sub>bp</sub>	boiling point	°C
U	internal energy	kJ
$\Delta U_{ m v}$	molar energy of vaporization	$kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$
$V_{\rm m}, V_{{ m m,i}}$	molar volume (of i)	$\mathrm{cm}^3 \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta V^{ eq}$	molar volume of activation	$\mathrm{cm}^3 \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$x_i, x(i)$	mole fraction of i $(x_i = n_i / \sum n)$ amount-of-substance fraction	1
X	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on an $S_E^2$ reaction (Gielen and Nasielski)	
$\chi_{\mathrm{R}}, \chi_{\mathrm{B}}$	empirical solvent polarity parameters, based on the $\pi \to \pi^*$ absorption of merocyanine dyes (Brooker)	kcal $\cdot$ mol <sup>-1</sup>
$o_{y_X^S}, w_{y_X^S}$	solvent-transfer activity coefficient for the transfer of a solute X from a reference solvent (O) or water (W) to another solvent (S)	
Y	empirical parameter of solvent ionizing power, based on <i>t</i> -butyl chloride solvolysis (Winstein and Grunwald)	
Y <sub>OTs</sub>	empirical parameter of solvent ionizing power, based on 2-adamantyl tosylate solvolysis (Schleyer and Bentley)	
Y	measure of solvent polarization (Palm and Koppel)	
Zi	charge number of an ion i $(z_i = Q_i/e)$	positive for cations, negative for anions
Ζ	empirical solvent polarity parameter, based on the intermolecular CT absorption of a substituted pyridinium iodide (Kosower)	kcal $\cdot$ mol <sup>-1</sup>

"Agite, Auditores ornatissimi, transeamus alacres ad aliud negotii! quum enim sic satis excusserimus ea quatuor Instrumenta artis, et naturae, quae modo relinquimus, videamus quintum genus horum, quod ipsi Chemiae fere proprium censetur, cui certe Chemistae principem locum prae omnibus assignant, in quo se jactant, serioque triumphant, cui artis suae, prae aliis omnibus effectus mirificos adscribunt. Atque illud quidem Menstruum vocaverunt."\*)

> Hermannus Boerhaave (1668–1738) De menstruis dictis in chemia, in: Elementa Chemiae (1733) [1, 2].

### 1 Introduction

The development of our knowledge of solutions reflects to some extent the development of chemistry itself [3]. Of all known substances, water was the first to be considered as a solvent. As far back as the time of the Greek philosophers there was speculation about the nature of solution and dissolution. The Greek alchemists considered all chemically active liquids under the name "Divine water". In this context the word "water" was used to designate everything liquid or dissolved. The Greek philosopher Thales of Miletus (*ca.* 640–546 BC) asserted that water is the origin out of which everything arose and into everything resolved itself.

From these ancient times, a familiar and today often cited quotation of the famous Greek philosopher Aristotle (384–322 BC) was handed down, which reads in Latin *Corpora non agunt nisi fluida* (or *liquida*) seu soluta, and was translated into English as "Compounds do not react unless fluid or if dissolved" [43]. However, according to Hedvall [44], this seems to be a misinterpretation of the original text given in Greek as  $T\dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\gamma} \rho \dot{\alpha} \ \mu \kappa t \dot{\alpha} \ \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \sigma \tau \alpha \ \tau \omega \nu \ \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$  (Ta hygra mikta malista ton somaton), which is probably taken from Aristotle's work *De Generatione et Corruptione* [45]. According to Hedvall, this statement should be better read as "... it is chiefly the liquid substances which react" [44] or "... for instance liquids are the type of bodies most liable to mixing" [45c]. In this somewhat softened version, Aristotle's statement is obviously less distinct and didactic. With respect of the many solid/solid reactions known today, it is quite understandable that solid-state chemists were not very happy with the common first version of Aristotle's statement [43, 44].

The alchemist's search for a universal solvent, the so-called "Alkahest" or "Menstruum universale", as it was called by Paracelsus (1493–1541), indicates the importance given to solvents and the process of dissolution. Although the eager search of

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Well then, my dear listeners, let us proceed with fervor to another problem! Having sufficiently analyzed in this manner the four resources of science and nature, which we are about to leave (*i.e.* fire, water, air, and earth) we must consider a fifth element which can almost be considered the most essential part of chemistry itself, which chemists boastfully, no doubt with reason, prefer above all others, and because of which they triumphantly celebrate, and to which they attribute above all others the marvellous effects of their science. And this they call the solvent (menstruum)."

the chemists of the 15th to 18th centuries did not in fact lead to the discovery of any "Alkahest", the numerous experiments performed led to the uncovering of new solvents, new reactions, and new compounds\*). From these experiences arose the earliest chemical rule that "like dissolves like" (similia similibus solvuntur). However, at that time, the words solution and dissolution comprised all operations leading to a liquid product and it was still a long way to the conceptual distinction between the physical dissolution of a salt or of sugar in water, and the chemical change of a substrate by dissolution, for example, of a metal in an acid. Thus, in the so-called chemiatry period (iatrochemistry period), it was believed that the nature of a substance was fundamentally lost upon dissolution. Van Helmont (1577–1644) was the first to strongly oppose this contention. He claimed that the dissolved substance had not disappeared, but was present in the solution, although in aqueous form, and could be recovered [4]. Nevertheless, the dissolution of a substance in a solvent remained a rather mysterious process. The famous Russian polymath Lomonosov (1711-1765) wrote in 1747: "Talking about the process of dissolution, it is generally said that all solvents penetrate into the pores of the body to be dissolved and gradually remove its particles. However, concerning the question of what forces cause this process of removal, there does not exist any somehow reasonable explanation, unless one arbitrarily attributes to the solvents sharp wedges, hooks or, who knows, any other kind of tools" [27].

The further development of modern solution theory is connected with three persons, namely the French researcher Raoult (1830–1901) [28], the Dutch physical chemist van't Hoff (1852–1911) [5], and the Swedish scientist Arrhenius (1859–1927) [6]. Raoult systematically studied the effects of dissolved nonionic substances on the freezing and boiling point of liquids and noticed in 1886 that changing the solute/solvent ratio produces precise proportional changes in the physical properties of solutions. The observation that the vapour pressure of solvent above a solution is proportional to the mole fraction of solvent in the solution is today known as Raoult's law [28].

The difficulty in explaining the effects of inorganic solutes on the physical properties of solutions led in 1884 to Arrhenius' theory of incomplete and complete dissociation of ionic solutes (electrolytes, ionophores) into cations and anions in solution, which was only very reluctantly accepted by his contemporaries. Arrhenius derived his dissociation theory from comparison of the results obtained by measurements of electroconductivity and osmotic pressure of dilute electrolyte solutions [6].

The application of laws holding for gases to solutions by replacing pressure by osmotic pressure was extensively studied by van't Hoff, who made osmotic pressure measurements another important physicochemical method in studies of solutions [5].

The integration of these three basic developments established the foundations of modern solution theory and the first Nobel prizes in chemistry were awarded to van't Hoff (in 1901) and Arrhenius (in 1903) for their work on the osmotic pressure and the theory of electrolytic dissociation in dilute solutions, respectively.

The further development of solution chemistry is connected with the pioneering work of Ostwald (1853–1932), Nernst (1864–1941), Lewis (1875–1946), Debye (1884–

<sup>\*</sup> Even if the once famous scholar J. B. Van Helmont (1577–1644) claimed to have prepared this "Alkahest" in a phial, together with the adherents of the alkahest theory he was ridiculed by his contemporaries who asked in which vessel he has stored this universal solvent.