

# Comic Relief

A Comprehensive Philosophy  
of Humor

*John Morreall*

*Foreword by Robert Mankoff,  
Cartoon Editor of The New Yorker*

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication



# Comic Relief

## New Directions in Aesthetics

Series editors: Dominic McIver Lopes, University of British Columbia, and Berys Gaut, University of St Andrews

Blackwell's New Directions in Aesthetics series highlights ambitious single- and multiple-author books that confront the most intriguing and pressing problems in aesthetics and the philosophy of art today. Each book is written in a way that advances understanding of the subject at hand and is accessible to upper-undergraduate and graduate students.

1. *Interpretation and Construction: Art, Speech, and the Law* by Robert Stecker
2. *Art as Performance* by David Davies
3. *The Performance of Reading: An Essay in the Philosophy of Literature* by Peter Kivy
4. *The Art of Theater* by James R. Hamilton
5. *Cultural Appropriation and the Arts* by James O. Young
6. *Photography and Philosophy: Essays on the Pencil of Nature* ed. Scott Walden
7. *Art and Ethical Criticism* ed. Garry L. Hagberg
8. *Mirrors to One Another: Emotion and Value in Jane Austen and David Hume* by Eva Dadlez
9. *Comic Relief: A Comprehensive Philosophy of Humor* by John Morreall

Forthcoming:

*The Art of Videogames* by Grant Tavinor

# Comic Relief

A Comprehensive Philosophy  
of Humor

*John Morreall*

*Foreword by Robert Mankoff,  
Cartoon Editor of The New Yorker*

 **WILEY-BLACKWELL**

A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication

This edition first published 2009

© 2009 John Morreall

Blackwell Publishing was acquired by John Wiley & Sons in February 2007. Blackwell's publishing program has been merged with Wiley's global Scientific, Technical, and Medical business to form Wiley-Blackwell.

*Registered Office*

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, United Kingdom

*Editorial Offices*

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK

The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services, and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at [www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell](http://www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell).

The right of John Morreall to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Morreall, John, 1947–

Comic relief : a comprehensive philosophy of humor / John Morreall ; foreword by Robert Mankoff.

p. cm. — (New directions in aesthetics)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-4051-9612-3 (alk. paper)

I. Wit and humor—Philosophy. I. Title.

PN6149.P5M67 2009

809'.7—dc22

2009007420

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Set in 10/12.5pt Galliard by Graphicraft Ltd, Hong Kong

Printed in Singapore

01 2009

For Jordan,  
who'll probably cure cancer and Alzheimer's  
before all these issues get resolved



# Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	ix
Robert Mankoff	
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
1 No Laughing Matter: The Traditional Rejection of Humor and Traditional Theories of Humor	1
<i>Humor, Anarchy, and Aggression</i>	2
<i>The Superiority Theory: Humor as Anti-social</i>	4
<i>The Incongruity Theory: Humor as Irrational</i>	9
<i>The Relief Theory: Humor as a Pressure Valve</i>	15
<i>The Minority Opinion of Aristotle and Thomas Aquinas: Humor as Playful Relaxation</i>	23
<i>The Relaxation Theory of Robert Latta</i>	24
2 Fight or Flight – or Laughter: The Psychology of Humor	27
<i>Humor and Disengagement</i>	28
<i>Humor as Play</i>	33
<i>Laughter as a Play Signal</i>	36
3 From Lucy to “I Love Lucy”: The Evolution of Humor	40
<i>What Was First Funny?</i>	41
<i>The Basic Pattern in Humor: The Playful Enjoyment of a Cognitive Shift Is Expressed in Laughter</i>	49
<i>The Worth of Mirth</i>	64

4	That Mona Lisa Smile: The Aesthetics of Humor	69
	<i>Humor as Aesthetic Experience</i>	70
	<i>Humor and Other Ways of Enjoying Cognitive Shifts:</i>	
	<i>The Funny, Tragic, Grotesque, Macabre, Horrible,</i>	
	<i>Bizarre, and Fantastic</i>	73
	<i>Tragedy vs. Comedy: Is Heavy Better than Light?</i>	75
	<i>Enough with the Jokes: Spontaneous vs. Prepared Humor</i>	83
5	Laughing at the Wrong Time: The Negative Ethics of Humor	90
	<i>Eight Traditional Moral Objections</i>	91
	<i>The Shortcomings in the Contemporary Ethics of Humor</i>	98
	<i>A More Comprehensive Approach: The Ethics of</i>	
	<i>Disengagement</i>	101
	<i>First Harmful Effect: Irresponsibility</i>	102
	<i>Second Harmful Effect: Blocking Compassion</i>	103
	<i>Third Harmful Effect: Promoting Prejudice</i>	105
6	Having a Good Laugh: The Positive Ethics of Humor	111
	<i>Intellectual Virtues Fostered by Humor</i>	112
	<i>Moral Virtues Fostered by Humor</i>	115
	<i>Humor during the Holocaust</i>	119
7	Homo Sapiens and Homo Ridens: Philosophy and Comedy	125
	<i>Was Socrates the First Stand-up Comedian?</i>	126
	<i>Humor and the Existentialists</i>	129
	<i>The Laughing Buddha</i>	133
8	The Glass Is Half-Empty <i>and</i> Half-Full: Comic Wisdom	139
	<i>Notes</i>	146
	<i>Bibliography</i>	160
	<i>Index</i>	179

# Foreword

Robert Mankoff

People tell me I have the best job in the world. They're wrong, because actually I have the best *jobs* in the world. For my day job, I'm cartoon editor of *The New Yorker* magazine, which means I get to see over one thousand cartoons, every week, from the best cartoonists there are. From those thousand, I get to pick the best of the best – the crème de la crème, de la crème de la crème, if you will. I also moonlight as a cartoonist for *The New Yorker*, contributing over nine hundred cartoons to the magazine since 1977. By the way, as a cartoonist, I use the pen name Mankoff, which, coincidentally, is the same as my real name.

However, as much fun as these jobs are, I take cartoons, and the humor they represent, very seriously – or, at least, very semi-seriously. I have to, because surveys done by *The New Yorker* magazine show that 98 percent of its readers view the cartoons first and the other 2 percent are lying.

Now, that last statement is itself a lie, but you didn't think of it as a lie, because you knew it was a joke, which, in this case, though not literally true, expresses through exaggeration (“98 percent of its readers”) and fabrication (“2 percent are lying”) a truthful insight. Further analysis of this joke might classify it as a certain type, a “one liner” that has the structure of a “set-up” and a “punch line.” Still further analysis might bring to mind the famous quip of E. B. White: “Analyzing humor is like dissecting a frog. Few people are interested and the frog dies of it.” Well, he was joking too, but was he also on to the truth?

Perhaps he was, back then, some 60 years ago, but times have changed. In the first place, a search on Google brings up 196,000 results for “frog dissection,” so a lot of people are interested in the topic, plus, there are even virtual frog dissection kits online, which means, *mirabile dictu*, the frog lives!

Secondly, as fascinating as frog dissection is, and with all due respect to its legion of pithy devotees, the search results it brings up are quite meager when compared to the staggering 25,000,000 you get for “humor analysis.”

So, compared to the frog, interest in humor is definitely an elephant. Unfortunately, in the past, it has been the proverbial elephant in the room of human experience, ignored by the social sciences, whose attention was focused on the twin 800-pound gorillas of aggression and depression. Lately that has changed with a growing understanding that attention must be paid to positive feelings like humor that not only make life enjoyable, but enduring and comprehensible as well.

Of course, this turn of events has enraged the 800-pound gorilla of aggression, and caused his depressive twin to go into such a deep funk that even the antics of the funny elephant couldn’t alleviate it – that is, until he accidentally stepped on the frog, which caused everyone to burst into laughter, except the frog, who was already burst.

The hilarity quickly came to an end, however, when a bunch of glum blind men wandered in from another proverb by way of the department of social sciences to examine the elephant. Each glumly sought to explain it from within their particular discipline, which they did to their own satisfaction, but not to each other’s, or, I might add, to someone like myself, for whom humor pays the rent.

What they, and I, and you need is an interdisciplinary approach. Fortunately we have it in this book, *Comic Relief: A Comprehensive Philosophy of Humor*, by that interdisciplinarian nonpareil, John Morreall.

John is a philosopher by training who combines the temperament of a scholar with the timing of a stand-up comedian. This book entertains as it educates us in what we find funny and why. It is both comprehensive and comprehensible. I guarantee you’ll find it interesting and informative. If you don’t, then, well, I’ll warrant it, and if that doesn’t work for you, there’s always the fascinating field of frog dissection to explore.

# Preface

In college I stumbled into the philosophy of laughter and humor while looking for Aristotle's *Politics* in the stacks. Where it should have been was his *Problems*. Opening that book at random, I lighted on the question, "Why is it that no one can tickle himself?" A few seconds later I moved on to, "Why are drunks more easily moved to tears?" but the Tickle Question had lodged in my brain. Ten years later, as an assistant professor looking for a new research topic, Aristotle's question came back to me, triggering many more about laughter and humor. The big one was why humor is so important in ordinary life, but so neglected or frowned upon in traditional philosophy.<sup>1</sup> In *Taking Laughter Seriously* (1983), I wrestled with that and a dozen other questions about laughter and humor. That book is still in print and has been translated into Japanese and Turkish.

I went on to collect what traditional philosophers have said about laughter and humor, and put it together with contemporary essays, in *The Philosophy of Laughter and Humor* (1987). That book brought some media attention, which led to invitations from medical and business groups to talk about the benefits of humor. So, printing up 500 business cards, I became a humor consultant to the likes of AT&T, IBM, and the IRS. That led to a practical book, *Humor Works* (1997). Then, following my wife's career, I joined a department of religion, where I started off with a course on humor in Zen. That got me thinking about humor as a worldview, and its competitors, especially what literary people call the Tragic Vision. So I wrote *Comedy, Tragedy, and Religion* (1999).

This book returns to the philosophy of humor. The philosophy of X asks what X is and how X fits into human life; it describes X and assesses it. We'll be asking some standard questions such as whether humor has an essence and when it's wrong to laugh. But we'll also consider

neglected questions such as why humor is associated with the odd facial expressions and breathing patterns known as laughter; why laughter is contagious; and whether comedy is as valuable as tragedy. While most academic treatments of humor concentrate on fictional texts such as jokes, I will favor humor that we create spontaneously, as in conversation, and that we find in real situations. And to make sure my descriptions and assessments are reasonable, I will test them against lots of real examples.

The central idea of this book is that in humor we experience a sudden change of mental state – a cognitive shift, I call it – that would be disturbing under normal conditions, that is, if we took it seriously. Disengaged from ordinary concerns, however, we take it playfully and enjoy it. Humans, along with the apes that have learned a language, are the only animals who can do this, I argue, because we are the rational animals.

We'll focus on the playful disengagement in humor as we explore issues in psychology, aesthetics, and ethics. In psychology, comic disengagement differentiates amusement from standard emotions. In aesthetics, it explains why humor is so often an aesthetic experience, and it helps us contrast comedy with tragedy. In ethics, comic disengagement is the key to understanding both harmful humor and beneficial humor. In a chapter on philosophy and comedy, I'll argue that most philosophers have been either obtuse or perverse in not recognizing the value of comic disengagement, since they advocate a similar kind of disengagement.

Early in the writing of this book, I put "Comprehensive" in the subtitle to remind myself that I was aiming for at least three kinds of explanations. First, I wanted to clarify the concepts of laughter, amusement, and humor. Secondly, I wanted to provide two causal explanations: a psychological account of what causes what in amusement, and an evolutionary account of what in early humans led to humor, and how it then developed. That evolutionary explanation, being based on the survival value of humor, would lead to a third kind of explanation – an evaluation of the benefits humor has had for our species. To what extent I've succeeded in any of these explanations, I leave to you to determine.

# Acknowledgments

**Figure 1.1:** “Please enjoy this culturally, . . .”

© The New Yorker Collection 2006 Michael Shaw from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 2.1:** “We’re from the FBI . . .”

© The New Yorker Collection 2001 Handelsman from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 3.1:** “Thin crust, no onions, with extra zebra and wildebeest”

Drawing © John Morreall 2008

**Figure 4.1:** “I don’t get it. You never get it”

© The New Yorker Collection 1987 Robert Mankoff from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 5.1:** “Have a good day, God bless, and for heaven’s sake, lighten up”

© The New Yorker Collection 1985 Dana Fradon from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 6.1:** “But, seriously . . .”

© The New Yorker Collection 1996 John Jonik from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 7.1:** “By God, for a minute there it suddenly all made sense”

© The New Yorker Collection 1986 Gahan Wilson from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.

**Figure 8.1:** “I heard a bit of good news today. We shall pass this way but once”

© The New Yorker Collection 1973 George Price from cartoonbank.com. All Rights Reserved.



# Chapter 1

## No Laughing Matter

The Traditional Rejection of Humor  
and Traditional Theories of Humor

## Humor, Anarchy, and Aggression

Of all the things human beings do or experience, laughing may be the funniest – funny strange, that is, not funny ha-ha. Something happens or someone says a few words, and our eyebrows and cheeks go up, as the muscles around our eyes tighten. The corners of our mouths curl upward, baring our upper teeth. Our diaphragms move up and down in spasms, expelling air from our lungs and making staccato vocal sounds. If the laughter is intense, it takes over our whole bodies. We bend over and hold our stomachs. Our eyes tear. If we had been drinking something, it dribbles out our noses. We may wet our pants. Almost every part of our bodies is involved, but none with any apparent purpose. We are out of control in a way unmatched by any other state short of neurological disease. And – funniest of all – the whole experience is exquisitely pleasurable! As Woody Allen said of stand-up comedy, it's the most fun you can have with your clothes on.

Not only is laughter biologically odd, but the activities that elicit it are anomalous. When we're out for a laugh, we break social conventions right and left. We exaggerate wildly, express emotions we don't feel, and insult people we care about. In practical jokes, we lie to friends and cause them inconvenience, even pain. During the ancient Roman winter festival of Saturnalia, masters waited on servants, sexual rules were openly violated, and religious rituals were lampooned. Medieval Europe saw similar anarchy during the Feast of Fools and the Feast of Asses, which were organized by minor clerics after Christmas. The bishop was deposed, and replaced with a boy. At St. Omer, they wore women's clothes and recited the divine office mockingly, with howls. At the Franciscan church in Antibes, they held their prayer books upside-down, wore spectacles made from orange peels, and burned soles of old shoes, instead of incense, in the censers.<sup>1</sup> Today, during Mardi Gras and Carnival, people dress in outlandish costumes and do things forbidden during the rest of the year, sometimes leading to violence.

In everyday humor between friends, too, there is considerable breaking of social conventions. Consider five of the conversational rules formulated by Paul Grice:

1. Do not say what you believe to be false.
2. Do not say that for which you lack adequate evidence.
3. Avoid obscurity of expression.
4. Avoid ambiguity.
5. Be brief.<sup>2</sup>

Rule 1 is broken to create humor when we exaggerate wildly, say the opposite of what we think, or “pull someone’s leg.” Its violation is a staple of comedians like George Carlin:

**Legal Murder Once a Month**

You can talk about capital punishment all you want, but I don’t think you can leave everything up to the government. Citizens should be willing to take personal responsibility. Every now and then you’ve got to do the right thing, and go out and kill someone on your own. I believe the killing of human beings is just one more function of government that needs to be privatized. I say this because I believe most people know at least one other person they wish were dead. One other person whose death would make their life a little easier . . . It’s a natural human instinct. . . . Don’t run from it.<sup>3</sup>

Grice’s second rule is violated for laughs when we present fantasies as if they were reasonable hypotheses. If there are rumors at work about two colleagues having an affair, we might say, “Remember on Monday when nobody could find either of them – I bet they were downstairs making hot monkey love in the boiler room.”

We can create humor by breaking Rule 3 when someone asks us an embarrassing question and we give an obviously vague or confusing answer. “You want to know why my report contradicts the Census Bureau? Well, we used a new database that is so secret I’m not at liberty to reveal its name.”

Violating Rule 4 is the mechanism of most jokes, as Victor Raskin showed in *Semantic Mechanisms of Humor*.<sup>4</sup> A comment, a story, or a question-and-answer exchange starts off with an assumed interpretation for a phrase, but then at the punch line, switches to a second, usually opposite interpretation. A simple example is Mae West’s line, “Marriage is a great institution – but I’m not ready for an institution.”

Rule 5 is broken in comic harangues, such as those of Roseanne Barr and Lewis Black.

Not only does humor break rules of conversation, but it often expresses contempt or even hostility toward someone, appropriately called the “butt” of the joke. Starting in childhood, we learn to make fun of people by imitating their speech patterns, facial expressions, and gestures in ways that make them look awkward, stupid, pompous, etc. To be mocked and laughed at can be taken as seriously as a physical attack would be, as the 2006 worldwide controversy over the Danish cartoons about the Prophet Muhammad showed.

## The Superiority Theory: Humor as Anti-social

With all the ways in which laughter and humor involve the loss of self-control and the breaking of social rules, it's not surprising that most societies have been suspicious of them and have often rejected them. This rejection is clear in the two great sources of Western culture: Greek philosophy and the Bible.

The moral code of Protagoras had the warning, "Be not possessed by irrepressible mirth," and Epictetus's *Enchiridion* advises, "Let not your laughter be loud, frequent, or unrestrained."<sup>5</sup> Both these philosophers, their followers said, never laughed at all.

Plato, the most influential ancient critic of laughter, saw it as an emotion that overrides rational self-control. In the *Republic*, he said that the Guardians of the state should avoid laughter, "for ordinarily when one abandons himself to violent laughter, his condition provokes a violent reaction."<sup>6</sup> Plato was especially disturbed by the passages in the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey* where Mount Olympus was said to "ring with the laughter of the gods." He protested that "if anyone represents men of worth as overpowered by laughter we must not accept it, much less if gods."<sup>7</sup>

The contempt or hostility in humor, which Ronald de Sousa has dubbed its *phthonic* dimension,<sup>8</sup> also bothered Plato. Laughter feels good, he admitted, but the pleasure is mixed with malice towards those being laughed at.<sup>9</sup>

In the Bible, too, laughter is usually represented as an expression of hostility.<sup>10</sup> Proverbs 26:18–19 warns that, "A man who deceives another and then says, 'It was only a joke,' is like a madman shooting at random his deadly darts and arrows."

The only way God is described as laughing in the Bible is scornfully: "The kings of the earth stand ready, and the rulers conspire together against the Lord and his anointed king. . . . The Lord who sits enthroned in heaven laughs them to scorn; then he rebukes them in anger, he threatens them in his wrath." (Psalms 2:2–5)

God's prophet Elijah also laughs as a warm-up to aggression. After he ridicules the priests of Baal for their god's powerlessness, he has them slain (1 Kings 18:27). In the Bible, ridicule is offensive enough to carry the death penalty, as when a group of children laugh at the prophet Elisha for being bald:

He went up from there to Bethel and, as he was on his way, some small boys came out of the city and jeered at him, saying, "Get along with you,