

# Entrepreneurial Strategies

## STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT SOCIETY BOOK SERIES

The Strategic Management Society Book Series is a cooperative effort between the Strategic Management Society and Blackwell Publishing. The purpose of the series is to present information on cutting-edge concepts and topics in strategic management theory and practice. The books emphasize building and maintaining bridges between strategic management theory and practice. The work published in these books generates and tests new theories of strategic management. Additionally, work published in this series demonstrates how to learn, understand, and apply these theories in practice. The content of the series represents the newest critical thinking in the field of strategic management. As a result, these books provide valuable knowledge for strategic management scholars, consultants, and executives.

### Published

*Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*

Edited by Michael A. Hitt, R. Duane Ireland, S. Michael Camp and Donald L. Sexton

*Creating Value: Winners in the New Business Environment*

Edited by Michael A. Hitt, Raphael Amit, Charles E. Lucier and Robert D. Nixon

*Strategy Process: Shaping the Contours of the Field*

Edited by Bala Chakravarthy, Peter Lorange, Günter Müller-Stewens and Christoph Lechner

*The SMS Blackwell Handbook of Organizational Capabilities: Emergence, Development and Change*

Edited by Constance E. Helfat

*Mergers and Acquisitions: Creating Integrative Knowledge*

Edited by Amy L. Pablo and Mansour Javidan

*Strategy in Transition*

Richard A. Bettis

*Restructuring Strategy: New Networks and Industry Challenges*

Edited by Karel O. Cool, James E. Henderson and René Abate

*Innovating Strategy Process*

Edited by Steven W. Floyd, Johan Roos, Claus D. Jacobs and Franz W. Kellermanns

*Entrepreneurial Strategies: New Technologies and Emerging Markets*

Edited by Arnold C. Cooper, Sharon A. Alvarez, Alejandro A. Carrera, Luiz F. Mesquita and Roberto S. Vassolo

### Forthcoming

*Strategic Networks: Learning to Compete*

Edited by Michael Gibbert and Thomas Durand

# Entrepreneurial Strategies: New Technologies and Emerging Markets

Edited by

Arnold C. Cooper, Sharon A. Alvarez,  
Alejandro A. Carrera, Luiz F. Mesquita  
and Roberto S. Vassolo

© 2006 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd

BLACKWELL PUBLISHING

350 Main Street, Malden, MA 02148-5020, USA

9600 Garsington Road, Oxford OX4 2DQ, UK

550 Swanston Street, Carlton, Victoria 3053, Australia

The right of Arnold C. Cooper, Sharon A. Alvarez, Alejandro A. Carrera, Luiz F. Mesquita and Roberto S. Vassolo to be identified as the Authors of the Editorial Material in this Work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs, and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

First published 2006 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd

1 2006

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Entrepreneurial strategies : new technologies and emerging markets / edited by Arnold Cooper . . . [et al.].

p. cm.—(Strategic Management Society book series)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-1-4051-4167-3 (alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 1-4051-4167-0 (alk. paper)

1. Industrial management—Developing countries. 2. Entrepreneurship—Developing countries. 3. International business enterprises—Developing countries. I. Cooper, Arnold C. II. Series.

HD70.D44E58 2006

658.4'21091724—dc22

2005032606

A catalogue record for this title is available from the British Library.

Set in 10 on 12 pt Galliard

by SNP Best-Set Typesetter Ltd, Hong Kong

Printed and bound in India by Replika Press

The publisher's policy is to use permanent paper from mills that operate a sustainable forestry policy, and which has been manufactured from pulp processed using acid-free and elementary chlorine-free practices. Furthermore, the publisher ensures that the text paper and cover board used have met acceptable environmental accreditation standards.

For further information on

Blackwell Publishing, visit our website:

[www.blackwellpublishing.com](http://www.blackwellpublishing.com)

# Contents

Notes on Contributors	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xii
1 Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies <i>Sharon A. Alvarez, Luiz F. Mesquita and Roberto S. Vassolo</i>	1
<b>Part I Entrepreneurial Theory and Uncertain Environments</b>	<b>9</b>
2 Can Organizing a Firm Create New Economic Value? <i>Sharon A. Alvarez and Jay B. Barney</i>	11
3 How Entrepreneurs Create Wealth in Transition Economies <i>Mike W. Peng</i>	26
4 International Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies: A Resource-based Perspective <i>R. Duane Ireland and Justin W. Webb</i>	47
<b>Part II National Context and New Enterprises</b>	<b>71</b>
5 Entrepreneurship in Developing Countries <i>Arnold C. Cooper and Xiaoli Yin</i>	73
6 How Much Does Country Matter? <i>Luiz A. Brito and Flávio C. Vasconcelos</i>	95

vi CONTENTS

7	The Entrepreneurship and Clusters Foundations of Development: Theoretical Perspectives and Latin American Empirical Studies <i>Hector O. Rocha</i>	114
8	The Political Foundations of Inter-firm Networks and Social Capital: A Post-Communist Lesson <i>Gerald A. McDermott</i>	160
9	External Networks of Entrepreneurial Teams and High Technology Venture Performance in Emerging Markets <i>Balagopal Vissa and Aya S. Chacar</i>	187
10	Entrepreneurial Innovation in Standards-based Industries: Insights from Indian IT Product Firms <i>T. R. Madanmohan</i>	206
	Author Index	221
	Subject Index	227

# Notes on Contributors

**Alvarez, Sharon A.** is an assistant professor of management and human resources at the Fisher College of Business, Ohio State University. Professor Alvarez's current research focus is on entrepreneurial theory, high technology alliances between entrepreneurship firms and larger established firms, entrepreneurial decision making and women entrepreneurs. She is active with the venture capital and entrepreneurship community in the Columbus area, and was founder and academic director of the Center for Entrepreneurship. Her previous experience includes work with such companies as Hiram Walker, LTD, Celsius Energy and Texaco, Inc. She has started and owned a small business, has served on the board of directors for small businesses and consults in the biotechnology industry.

**Barney, Jay B.** is the Bank One Chair for Excellence in Corporate Strategy. His research focuses on the relationship between costly-to-copy firm skills and capabilities and sustained competitive advantage. He has published more than 50 articles in top-tier journals such as the *Academy of Management Review*, *Management Science*, the *Sloan Management Review* and the *Journal of Management*; serves on the editorial boards of the *Strategic Management Journal*, *Columbia Journal of World Business* and the *Journal of Business Venturing*; and has been associate editor for the *Journal of Management* and senior editor for *Organization Science*. Dr Barney has delivered scholarly papers at more than 40 universities worldwide; has published three books; and has been honored for his research and teaching, including election as a Fellow of the Academy of Management. His consulting work focuses on large-scale organizational change and strategic analysis.

**Brito, Luiz A.** is an assistant professor at the Operations Management Department of the Fundação Getúlio Vargas Business School (FGV-EAESP) in São Paulo, Brazil. His research interests are the determinants of firm performance and its links to operations and business strategy as well as variance component analysis. He has worked for several years as a business consultant in Brazil and several other countries in South America.

**Carrera, Alejandro A.** is a professor and chair of the Business Policy and Strategy department at the IAE School of Management and Business, Universidad Austral. He received his DBA from the IESE Business School, at the Universidad de Navarra. His research interests include food chain competitiveness, corporate strategies of business groups in emerging economies, entrepreneurship and corporate governance of small and medium enterprises.

**Chacar, Aya S.** is an assistant professor at Florida International University. Her research and teaching are on business and corporate strategy and the management

of innovation and change. Her research has been singled out twice for publication in the yearly Academy of Management Proceedings.

**Cooper, Arnold C.** is the Louis A. Weil, Jr. Professor of Management, Krannert Graduate School of Management, Purdue University. He has served on the faculties or as a visiting scholar at the Harvard Business School, Stanford University, The Wharton School, Manchester Business School (England), and IMEDE Management Development Institute (Switzerland). His current research interests include: influences upon entrepreneurship and the performance of new firms, strategic responses to technological threats, and relationships between strategy and performance. He has also studied the management of technology. He has consulted on problems relating to strategic planning, general management, and management of new and small firms.

**Ireland, R. Duane** is the Foreman R. and Ruby S. Bennett Chair in Business Administration at Texas A & M University where he also serves as Head of the Management Department. He has authored or co-authored many books and book chapters and published numerous articles in journals such as the *Academy of Management Journal*, *Academy of Management Review*, *Academy of Management Executive*, *Strategic Management Journal*, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *Decision Sciences*, *Journal of Management*, *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* and *Journal of Management Studies*, among others. Dr Ireland has received awards for best paper from the *Academy of Management Journal* (2000), *Academy of Management Executive* (1999), and the US Association for Small Business and Entrepreneurship (USASBE).

**Madanmohan, T. R.** is an associate professor of technology and operations management at the Indian Institute of Management Bangalore and an adjunct research professor at Eric Sprott School of Business, Carleton University, Canada. He received a BE in Civil Engineering from Gulbarga University, in 1985, and a PhD in Management Studies from the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, in 1992. His research interests include open standards and open source, pharmaceutical industry and service operations. He is a member of the IEEE Engineering Management Society, Operations Research Society of India, and Society of Operations Management.

**McDermott, Gerald A.** is an assistant professor at the Management Department of the Wharton School. His research interests focus on institutional change and development, origins and change in networks, comparative political economy, and economic and political development. He has several publications in prestigious journals such as the *Academy of Management Review*, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, and *Small Business Economics*.

**Mesquita, Luiz F.** is an assistant professor of strategy at the School of Global Management and Leadership, Arizona State University. His PhD is from Purdue University. His research, which focuses on inter-firm knowledge transfer, vertical-alliances and performance and interdependencies coordination among clustered-firms, has been accepted in prestigious research outlets, such as the *Academy of Management*



*Review* and the *Academy of Management Executive*. Professor Mesquita is fluent in English, Portuguese and Spanish, and has lived for several years in the US, Brazil and Argentina. He has worked/lectured at Fortune 500 companies and has also been Research Project Director for the Inter-American-Development Bank. Professor Mesquita is an active member of both the Strategic Management Society and the Academy of Management.

**Peng, Mike W.** is the Provost's Distinguished Professor of Global Strategy at the University of Texas at Dallas. He received his PhD from the University of Washington. Dr Peng was previously an associate professor at the Fisher College of Business, Ohio State University. He is the author of numerous articles and three books, including, most recently, *Global Strategy* (Thomson South-Western, 2006). He has served on the editorial boards of the *Academy of Management Journal*, *Academy of Management Review*, *Journal of International Business Studies*, and *Strategic Management Journal*, as a guest editor for the *Journal of Management Studies*, and as an editor for the *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*.

**Rocha, Hector O.** is an assistant professor of management at the IAE School of Management and Business, Universidad Austral. Dr Rocha's research focuses on three interrelated areas: the theoretical foundations and practical implications of core assumptions in economics and management; the role of clusters and entrepreneurship in fostering socio-economic development at the regional and national levels; and the role of the private sector and its collaboration with the public sector in the promotion of human development and the alleviation of poverty.

**Vasconcelos, Flávio C.** is a professor at the Management Department of the Fundação Getúlio Vargas Business School (FGV-EAESP) in São Paulo, Brazil. His research interests focus on the convergence of business strategy and institutional theory. He has over 25 articles published in peer reviewed journals and has authored two books.

**Vassolo, Roberto S.** is an assistant professor of business policy and strategy at the IAE School of Management and Business, Universidad Austral. He received his PhD in strategic management from Purdue University. His research interests include strategic alliances in the technological exploration process, real options theory, and the analysis of strategic alliance portfolios and mutual forbearance in exploration contexts.

**Vissa, Balagopal** is an assistant professor of entrepreneurship at INSEAD, Singapore. His research interests focus on how new venture networks influence their performance and how new venture teams can leverage their network ties, especially in emerging economy settings. His research also addresses how business groups in emerging economies such as India can influence the success of their affiliated firms. His teaching evolves around business strategy and entrepreneurship and he currently teaches elective courses offered by the entrepreneurship area.

**Webb, Justin W.** is a PhD candidate in the Mays Business School at Texas A&M University. Strategic management and entrepreneurship are his areas of interests in the PhD program. He has published several chapters in scholarly books. His research interests include strategic entrepreneurship, managing resources, and sustaining organizational value.

**Yin, Xiaoli** is an assistant professor of management at the College of Business of San Francisco State University. She obtained her PhD in Organization Behavior and Sociology at Northwestern University. Her research interests focus on network theory and alliance decisions. Professor Xiaoli is a recipient of the CEER award for her outstanding research.

# Figures

2.1	Governance choices when appropriation and ex-ante entrepreneurial rent uncertainty can both vary	18
6.1	Performance distribution	103
7.1	Research focus	116
7.2	Development and growth	117
7.3	Clusters and related phenomena	119
7.4	Total entrepreneurial activity by country, 2003	128
8.1	Hierarchical network (e.g. Skoda VHJ)	164
8.2	Polycentric network (e.g. TST VHJ)	165
8.3	Network ties in the Czech machine tool industry, 1992–3	170
8.4	Monitoring triangles for Skoda restructuring	177
8.5	New control structure of SST, 1996	179
9.1	Deriving the team's networks from members' networks	196

# Tables

3.1	Share of the unofficial economy as a percentage of total GDP	29
3.2	The nonstate sector in China: Contributions and shares of bank financing	35
4.1	Resource management in international entrepreneurship	56
6.1	Comparative summary of previous studies on variance composition of performance (manufacturing firms), in percent	98
6.2	Descriptive analysis of the sample by economic sector	104
6.3	Variance composition, simple model (in percent)	105
6.4	Variance composition – model with country $\times$ industry interaction (in percent)	107
7.1	Latin America: Empirical studies on entrepreneurship	126
7.2	Latin America: Empirical studies on clusters	131
7.3	Internal validity and levels of analysis	145
8.1	Sample of Czech holdings and their privatization strategies	168
9.1	Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix	199
9.2	Regression analysis of effects of entrepreneurial teams' external networks on venture performance	200
10.1	Details of the firms interviewed	212
10.2	Stages of evolution, strategies, resources, and capabilities developed	217

# Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies

Sharon A. Alvarez, Luiz F. Mesquita and  
Roberto S. Vassolo

The evolving twenty-first century may well be termed a time of uncertainty. Cycles of boom and bust in the rising global competitive landscape have presented firms with complexities and increasing difficulties to predict the future, as new technologies, products and methods of production are destroyed and replaced by even newer ones. Such dramatic changes have led practitioners to broaden their speculation about emerging trends in management, organization, and strategy, often questioning the adequacies of practices hailed in recent years. Emerging managerial practices are often found to be astoundingly dissimilar and habitually conflicting; yet the essential matter for managers today still seems to come down to one thing: coping with dramatic uncertainty (Barkema et al., 2002).

Paradoxically, while uncertain conditions have their own unique managerial challenges, these conditions also foster unique situations and opportunities that can be exploited by entrepreneurs and entrepreneurial firms (Audretsch, 1995). Entrepreneurship and innovation have come to be perceived as engines of economic and social development in many nations throughout the global competitive landscape (Acs and Audretsch, 2003; Holcombe, 2003). In fact, entrepreneurship and innovation have become essential managerial features for young and old firms, for large and small firms, for service companies and manufacturing firms as well as high-technology ventures (Thomke, 2003). Thus, because the very essence of entrepreneurship and innovation in these firms relates to identifying and exploiting new environmental conditions where new goods and services can satisfy evolving needs in the market (Meyer et al., 2002), it is not surprising that the importance of entrepreneurship and the management of innovation has grown over time, at par with the evolving complexity of the new global competitive landscape.

The sources of uncertainty and the factors leading to entrepreneurial activity, however, differ dramatically not only from industry to industry and firm to firm, but most conspicuously from country to country. Specifically, while in developed economies companies face uncertainties which are mostly related to technological and process development of new products and ideas, the conditions of uncertainty in the business environments of emerging economies are often magnified by

phenomena of macroeconomic and institutional instabilities. Such phenomena are often observed to evolve into conditions of currency fluctuations, political disorders and even prevarication of existing property rights defensive laws. Yet, emerging economies are seeing record rates of entrepreneurship and innovation relative to developed economies. For example, the empirical evidence of the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM) studies find that emerging economies in continents as far apart as Latin America (e.g. Argentina, Brazil), Africa (e.g. Uganda) and Asia (e.g. China, India) have greater total entrepreneurial activity (TEA) than other countries of similar size but with more stable environments (GEM, 2005).

While an increasing number of scholarly and managerial publications address the phenomena of entrepreneurship and innovation, it is unclear how these many different sources of uncertainty, so pervasive in emerging economies, shape and affect entrepreneurial opportunities as well as how they affect entrepreneurial decision making. Understanding such links can be not only beneficial to multinationals entering emerging markets through wholly owned investments or partnerships but also to national entrepreneurs and public policy makers. In order to shed more light on such links, we have crafted this book. Specifically, our goal is to help academics, policy makers and business practitioners understand how the different conditions of uncertainty in emerging economies affect entrepreneurial opportunities in the market place, as well as how entrepreneur-managers navigate through these emerging market specific conditions.

To describe how firms produce and manage innovation in emerging economies, we consider several topics in this book, as follows.

## **Part I: Entrepreneurial Theory and Uncertain Environments**

Chapter 2 by Alvarez and Barney begins to lay the foundation for a theory of the firm that addresses why firms would form when value creation is the central question. They challenge whether current theories of the firm such as transactions cost economics and incomplete contracts appropriately address firm formation under conditions of uncertainty when the source of this uncertainty is value creation. While Alvarez and Barney acknowledge that both of these theories have much to say about appropriation issues and conditions of uncertainty as they pertain to appropriation, they point out that neither theory sufficiently address fundamental issues of firm formation in the early stages of the value creation process.

Future research on less developed countries can use this theory of the firm as a lens to understand value creation in settings when such conditions as property rights are unstable such as in China. Moreover, this theory can be applied when problems of creation cannot be separated from problems of appropriation because the problems associated with potential appropriation are not only in the form of opportunistic behavior from individuals but perhaps from governments as well.

Finally the chapter has some novel ideas about why organizing a firm under conditions of value uncertainty is important. Certainly a research question that can be derived from this theory is: if different cultural norms are applied where opportunistic

behavior is not acceptable and the society itself polices against this type of behavior, do firms still exist and why would they exist if not to create value?

In chapter 3, Peng offers findings which are consistent with the Alvarez and Barney theory of uncertainty that the more dynamic, hostile, and complex the environment, the higher the level of innovation, risk-taking, and “proactivity” among the most successful entrepreneurial firms in transition economies. Specifically, Peng explicitly addresses the increase of entrepreneurial activity in the transition economies of Central and Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union and East Asia. In this chapter, the author strongly posits that the rise in entrepreneurship in these economies is the result of uncertainty from political change and changes in business practices as a result, also pointing out that entrepreneurial firms in these economies are formed – despite poor property rights protections – in order to create wealth.

The chapter suggests that network ties in these economies replace many institutional safeguards that are typically found in developed countries. Peng suggests that in an environment where personal ties figure prominently, entrepreneurs without deep and strong network relations may have a lot of difficulties in getting things done. However, network ties are necessary but not sufficient for good performance, good management practices such as hiring, motivating and retaining talented employees also give these firms an advantage at value creation.

Finally, Peng addresses the issue of value appropriation by entrepreneurs. He suggests that some of this value might be appropriated not by the entrepreneurs creating the value but by other entrepreneurs and even by government officials. Peng further suggests that this type of appropriation behavior might induce either short-term goals on the part of entrepreneurs or even be a deterrent to entrepreneurial behavior.

In chapter 4, Ireland and Webb add to the list of concerns outlined in Peng and also expands their scope to a broader international perspective. Specifically, the authors look at a specific form of entrepreneurship in international contexts, termed as “international entrepreneurship,” as a way of explaining the process through which firms discover and exploit opportunities that lie outside a firm’s domestic markets in the pursuit of global competitive advantages. Their perspective adds to existing literature which often takes firms as “born global,” and does not enable a more thorough analysis of the entrepreneurial initiatives of entering international markets.

Ireland and Webb enrich our understanding of entrepreneurship in emerging economies in that they describe the roles of resource bundles formed with financial capital, human capital, and social capital in the exploration and exploitation of entrepreneurial opportunities in emerging economies. They posit that while certain resource bundles are necessary to flexibly accommodate the identification of entrepreneurial opportunities in the dynamic uncertainty associated with emerging economies, other resource bundles are more appropriate for undertaking entrepreneurial efforts in an international context. Because large and small firms may differ in regards to their resource bundles, they may differently possess certain competencies that enhance their competitive advantage in different phases of their international entrepreneurship efforts. Thus, large firms, who often own ample financial resources and experiential knowledge of routines are often more successful in exploiting opportunities. On the other hand, smaller firms who often lack “deep pockets” are more

likely to be successful when leveraging human-technical and social capitals to maneuver through the maze of changing institutional forces in emerging markets and more quickly and flexibly identify opportunities while engaging in exploration-oriented actions.

## Part II: National Context and New Enterprises

Previous empirical studies notice important differences on entrepreneurship and innovation across countries. In order to shed light on the nature and relationships explaining these differences, our book incorporates several different empirical perspectives. Chapter 5 by Cooper and Yin surveys the literature about entrepreneurship and innovation across countries, and examines the factors that bear upon the creation of innovative and growth oriented firms. They stress the relevance of the GEM studies as a source of information about the relationship between entrepreneurship and innovation in emerging economies. One of the apparent paradoxes of emerging economies is their important amount of entrepreneurial activity. However, as the GEM studies show, this higher rate of entrepreneurship is partially explained as “entrepreneurship by necessity” as opposed to “entrepreneurship by opportunity.” Entrepreneurship by opportunity leads to growth-oriented firms, which are more willing to attract venture capitalist and are more innovative. Therefore, an important percentage of the entrepreneurial activity in emerging economies will face severe problems of survival.

In spite of these limitations, new and small firms in developing countries will operate with some advantages. Cooper and Yin identify at least two: proximity to focal markets will allow them to better identify market opportunities, and production in such countries will permit firms to take advantage of lower factor costs. It is still unclear, however, whether these advantages are enough to lead to growth-oriented innovative firms. Nonetheless, as Cooper and Yin conclude, *most new ventures will not be very innovative or lead to much growth, regardless of the country setting*. For new entrepreneurial ventures in emerging economies, much will depend upon the human and financial capital they can bring to the entrepreneurial process.

As these resource conditions may vary country by country, the question that naturally evolves is to what extent performance differences can be sustained across different markets. In other words, to what extent does “country” matter, as an explanatory factor for competitive success? In chapter 6, Brito and Vasconcelos present an important methodological study assessing this question. Following a long tradition in strategic management of measuring the firm and the industry effect on performance (Rumelt, 1991; Schmalensee, 1985; McGahan and Porter, 1997; Brush and Bromiley, 1997) they incorporate another variable – the country effect. Although most previous studies decomposing performance variances focus on industry and firm effects, Brito and Vasconcelos’ novel methodological approach enables us to confirm what economic and strategic management theorists have long posited – that local works as an important determinant of firm heterogeneity.

Although they find that country *does* matter, their study also enables one to assess *how much* country matters. Their conclusion is that country effects are not the main



factor in explaining performance variance. Factors associated with the individual firm, such as entrepreneurial drive and mind-set for example, are still the most important source of explanation for performance. Country effects compete in the second rank of factors like industry membership. Moreover, country effects also vary across economic sectors. Specifically, they find that country effect is low in sectors like Transportation and Services and rich by up to 20 percent of total performance in sectors like Agriculture.

As these studies suggest that entrepreneurial mind-sets and entrepreneurship management can help firms gain an edge in the higher volatility of emerging economies, in chapter 7 Rocha investigates how a firm's positioning within a social network can explain different performances. Rocha uses a meta-study to explore if clusters are conducive to new entrepreneurial activities in Latin American countries. As the study assesses, clusters are not only an agglomeration of firms, but also networks within geographical boundaries. From a theoretical perspective, clusters foster entrepreneurship for multiple reasons like lowering entry and exit barriers and fostering competitive climate. However, the empirical evidence in Latin America is not conclusive on this relationship. Rocha hypothesizes that clusters foster entrepreneurship in traditional manufacturing or specialized suppliers' clusters such as software, given the more flexible governance structures in these types of industries. Instead, clusters inserted in value chains with vertical structures not embedded in the local community, such as some automotive clusters, are likely to hinder firm creation.

Rocha also explores the relationship between entrepreneurship, clusters, and economic development in Latin America. Its unique conditions are the emergent nature and especial configuration of its clusters and the higher level of entrepreneurial activity in terms of both necessity and opportunity driven entrepreneurship.

In chapter 8, McDermott complements the previous chapter by analyzing how a country's policy makers' approach to institution building interacts with network reproduction and, therefore, with social capital. Since firms are embedded in a concrete socio-political establishment, the distribution of public power affects economics networks. McDermott examines how existing institutional and political factors in the Czech Republic inhibit or enhance network adaptation to external technological and economic shocks in the mechanical engineering industry. Therefore, this study stresses that the political approaches that governments take to build new institutions alter not only their network authority structures but their network stability and reconfiguration.

Emerging economies suffer from institutional volatility, a fact which in turn seems to erode social capital. Given these changes, McDermott's study highlights the importance of providing alternative institutional arrangements to mediate disputes and share risks. Under this framework, to the extent that political leaders are able to empower and monitor a variety of public actors to experiment with new institutional roles, network firms appear to be more likely to extend their time horizons and pursue negotiated modes of reorganizations. On the other hand, to the extent that political leaders seek to insulate and centralize public power, fragmentation and winner-take-all strategies are likely to prevail in the network. This study gains especial relevance given the spur in liberalization of emerging economies across Asia, Latin America, and Eastern and Central Europe.

Vissa and Chacar, in chapter 9, stress the positive impact of social ties on new ventures performance in emerging economies, since such ties are often substitutes for underdeveloped institutions. Analyzing the software industry in India, they show that external network contacts provide informational advantages that, giving the uncertainty of managing a new venture, results in superior strategic decision making and, therefore, in superior venture performance. Uncertainty is mitigated and the informational advantage is enhanced by trustworthiness. Therefore, trustworthiness emerges as an important moderator between external network contacts and new business performance in an environmental context with weak institutions like that of emerging economies.

Moving towards network markets in India, in chapter 10, Madanmohan explores the growth process by which recently established firms attain substantial size and they keep growing. The study points out different capabilities endowments required at different stages of the life of a high-tech venture. At initial stages, the key organizational capability is employee recruitment and training and strategy setting. In more developed stages, the key capabilities are quick imitation and strategic extension. Thinking in the long run, however, firms not only need to have the right capabilities at the right moment, but also be in condition to update this set when they move at a different development stage. This is a core dynamic capability that new start ups should develop since their creation if they want to achieve sustainability.

## Conclusions

In the first paragraphs of this introduction, we stressed that uncertainty is a double-edge sword that a successful entrepreneur in emerging economies should know how to handle. Innovation characterizes growth-oriented ventures, and entrepreneurs should develop critical capabilities to succeed in hostile environments. Our primary concern involved in selecting the chapters of this book, thus, has been with categorizing different sources of uncertainty which are more peculiar to emerging economies and illustrating how different managerial mind-sets, different entrepreneurial strategies in deploying resource bundles as well as different positioning tactics relative to changing environmental conditions enable a firm to sustain competitive advantages.

As a final comment, let us paraphrase Cooper and Yin: “Overall, entrepreneurship has demonstrated that it can be a major force in economic development. As millions of entrepreneurs all over the world start their businesses and try to develop them, countries benefit from their creativity, their energy, and their dreams.”

## References

- Acs, Z. J. and Audretsch, D. (Eds.) 2003. *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research: An Interdisciplinary Survey and Introduction*. (Vol. 1). The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Audretsch, D. 1995. *Innovation and Industry Evolution*. London: MIT Press.

- Barkema, H. G., Baum, J. A. C., and Mannix, E. 2002. Management challenges in a new time. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(5): 916–30.
- Brush, T. H., and Bromiley, P. 1997. What does a small corporate effect mean? A variance components simulation of corporate and business effects. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(10): 825–35.
- GEM. 2005. *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor*: <http://www.gemconsortium.org/>.
- Holcombe, R. G. 2003. The origins of entrepreneurial opportunities. *Review of Austrian Economics*, 16: 25–54.
- McGahan, A. and Porter, M. 1997. How much does industry matter, really? *Strategic Management Journal*, 18 (Summer special issue): 15–30.
- Meyer, G. D., Neck, H. M., and Meeks, M. D. 2002. The entrepreneurship-strategic management interface. In M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, S. M. Camp and D. L. Sexton (Eds.), *Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 19–44.
- Rumelt, R. 1991. How much does industry matter? *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(3): 167–85.
- Schmalensee, R. 1985. Do markets differ much? *American Economic Review*, 75(3): 341–51.
- Thomke, S. 2003. R&D comes to services. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(4): 70–9.
- Thompson, J. D. 1967. *Organizations in Action: Social Science Bases of Administration*. New York: McGraw-Hill.



# Entrepreneurial Theory and Uncertain Environments

- 2 Can Organizing a Firm Create New Economic Value?  
*Sharon A. Alvarez and Jay B. Barney*
- 3 How Entrepreneurs Create Wealth in Transition Economies  
*Mike W. Peng*
- 4 International Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies:  
A Resource-based Perspective  
*R. Duane Ireland and Justin W. Webb*



# Can Organizing a Firm Create New Economic Value?

Sharon A. Alvarez and Jay B. Barney

For some time now, economists (Smith, 1778; Marshall, 1930), strategic management scholars (Penrose, 1959), and entrepreneurship scholars (Knight, 1921; Schumpeter, 1934) have studied how various productive resources in an economy can be used to create new economic value. The ability of a variety of these resources – including labor, capital, and technology – to be sources of new economic value has already been examined by several scholars (Smith, 1778; Walras, 1954; Williamson, 1985). This chapter examines the ability of another productive resource in the economy – the firm as an organizing entity – to be a source of such value creation.

Unfortunately, the currently most influential theory that explains why firms come into existence – opportunism-based transactions cost economics – focuses on how organizing a firm can reduce transactions costs in completing an exchange rather than on how organizing a firm can create new economic value (Williamson, 1975; 1985).<sup>1</sup> Despite a few efforts to extend opportunism-based logic from cost minimization to value maximizing (e.g., Riordan and Williamson, 1985; Zajac and Olsen, 1993), most theoretical and empirical work that applies this theoretical tradition is still based on the assumption that “efficiency” is more important than “strategizing” in understanding why firms are created (Williamson, 1991).

This chapter acknowledges that the creation of firms often depends on the ability of these governance devices to reduce transactions costs in completing an exchange. However, when it is possible for new value in an exchange to be created, failing to recognize the impact that organizing a firm can have on realizing this potential might lead to misleading conclusions about whether or not a firm should be used to manage a given exchange.

Following Zajac and Olsen (1993), the purpose of this chapter is to extend current opportunism-based transactions cost logic to incorporate the notion that adopting a firm to manage an economic exchange can, in some settings, create new economic value. The chapter does this by analyzing the governance consequences of recognizing that exchanges can be characterized by market uncertainty as well as by behavioral uncertainty. The theory of the firm that is derived from this effort specifies conditions under which the creation of a firm is necessary if an exchange is to create

new economic value. The chapter concludes by discussing the relationship between this theory of the firm, opportunism-based transactions cost theories of the firm, and incomplete contract theories of the firm.

## Opportunism-based Transactions Cost Economics<sup>2</sup>

The theoretical and empirical literature in opportunism-based transactions cost economics is vast, and no effort will be made to review this literature. Rather, the objective here is to summarize the basic arguments of this theory, especially as they relate to the conditions under which firms are created to manage economic exchanges.

Opportunism-based transactions cost economics takes as its unit of analysis a transaction between two economic entities. The theory examines the different ways that such a transaction can be managed, including markets, intermediate governance mechanisms, and hierarchies (or firms). The main driver of the choice among these governance devices is hypothesized to be what might be called “behavioral uncertainty,” or the inability of one economic entity to evaluate the motives and objectives of another economic entity at low cost. In particular, high levels of behavioral uncertainty imply that the willingness of an exchange partner to behave opportunistically, if given the opportunity to do so, cannot be evaluated at low cost. In this context, opportunism is defined as “profit seeking with guile” (Williamson, 1975), and might include a broad range of adverse selection, moral hazard, or hold-up activities (Barney and Ouchi, 1986).

Given high levels of behavioral uncertainty, the theory further hypothesizes that profit seeking, but boundedly rational economic entities, will assume that those with whom they are contemplating an exchange will, if given the opportunity, behave opportunistically. Whether or not an economic entity has the opportunity to behave opportunistically is hypothesized to depend on the level of transaction specific investment that parties to an exchange must make if they are to complete that exchange. Transaction specific investments have more value in a particular transaction than they do in alternative transactions.

If it is possible to write and enforce a contract that fully specifies all the ways that parties to an exchange may behave opportunistically, then that exchange can be managed through some sort of market or intermediate market form of governance. But if such a contract is too costly to write or enforce, an exchange will have to be managed with hierarchical governance. Hierarchical governance, or a firm, is also a type of contract. However, this contract gives some people associated with an exchange the right to monitor and control the behavior of other people associated with that exchange, as long as those behaviors are not controlled by other contracts, by custom, or by law. Thus, in this sense, a firm is said to exist when rights to make decisions not otherwise specified in a relation are given to some individuals associated with an exchange, but not others.

The advantage of hierarchical governance under conditions of high behavioral uncertainty is that this form of contract does not have to anticipate all the different ways that parties to an exchange may behave opportunistically. Rather, through close monitoring, unanticipated forms of opportunism can be identified over the life of



the exchange, and appropriate remedies to ensure all parties to this exchange are treated fairly can be implemented.

### **Opportunism-based Transactions Cost Economics and New Value Creation**

This opportunism-based transactions cost theory of the firm has received significant criticism in the literature. Some scholars have argued that this theory overstates the likelihood that exchange partners will be willing to behave opportunistically, if given the opportunity (Donaldson, 1990). Others have argued that this assumption leads firms to vertically integrate too much, and thus is bad for practice (Ghoshal and Moran, 1996). Still others have argued that those who are given the right to monitor and control behaviors in a firm often have interests that conflict with efficiently realizing the full value of an exchange (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). Finally, several scholars have pointed out that, over time, exchange partners can come to understand the motives and objectives of each other, and thus this basic theory needs to be augmented by some learning dynamics (Barney and Hansen, 1994).

While all these criticisms have some validity, and have stirred controversy in this area of research for some time, these potential limitations of the opportunism-based transactions cost theory of the firm are not the primary issue here. The primary issue to be discussed in this chapter is that this theory assumes that the only purpose of making governance choices in managing an economic exchange is to minimize the lost economic value that could have existed if this exchange was managed efficiently. That is, opportunism-based transactions cost theory takes the value to be created by an exchange as given, and seeks to identify that governance device that will enable parties to this exchange to extract as much of this value, at the lowest cost, possible.

This is an important and legitimate research question. Unfortunately, it is not the research question that underlies several management research disciplines, including strategic management and entrepreneurship. These fields of work are interested not only in how to manage an exchange so as to extract as much value from it as possible, they are also interested in where this value comes from in the first place, and in particular, how organizing a firm can affect the total value created in an exchange (Rumelt et al., 1991).

Riordan and Williamson (1985) recognized this limitation of opportunism-based transactions cost economics and developed a model to examine the governance implications when transactions specific investments in an exchange are allowed to have two effects. The first effect – higher transactions specific investments lead to higher threats of opportunism – is the traditional effect in the theory. The second effect – higher transactions specific investments can increase the productive efficiency of an exchange – is not considered in the traditional model. Unfortunately, Riordan and Williamson's (1985) model adopted the assumption that the governance choices in question were being made in very competitive conditions, conditions where the ability of any investments, specific or not, to generate new economic value in an exchange is extremely limited (Besanko et al., 1996). Thus, since the structure of their model truncated any possible value enhancing effects of transactions specific