

machinery vibration and rotordynamics



John Vance • Fouad Zeidan • Brian Murphy

machinery vibration and rotordynamics



John Vance • Fouad Zeidan • Brian Murphy

Table of Contents

Title Page

Copyright Page

Dedication

PREFACE

Chapter 1 - FUNDAMENTALS OF MACHINE VIBRATION AND CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS

THE MAIN SOURCES OF VIBRATION IN MACHINERY
THE SINGLE DEGREE OF FREEDOM (SDOF) MODEL
USING SIMPLE MODELS FOR ANALYSIS AND
DIAGNOSTICS
SIX TECHNIQUES FOR SOLVING VIBRATION PROBLEMS
WITH FORCED EXCITATION
SOME EXAMPLES WITH FORCED EXCITATION
SOME OBSERVATIONS ABOUT MODELING
UNSTABLE VIBRATION
REFERENCES
EXERCISES

Chapter 2 - TORSIONAL VIBRATION

TORSIONAL VIBRATION INDICATORS
OBJECTIVES OF TORSIONAL VIBRATION ANALYSIS
SIMPLIFIED MODELS
COMPUTER MODELS
TORSIONAL VIBRATION MEASUREMENT
FRENCH'S COMPARISON EXPERIMENTS
A SPECIAL TAPE FOR OPTICAL TRANSDUCERS
TIME-INTERVAL MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

REFERENCES
EXERCISES

Chapter 3 - INTRODUCTION TO ROTORDYNAMICS ANALYSIS

OBJECTIVES OF ROTORDYNAMICS ANALYSIS
THE SPRING-MASS MODEL
SYNCHRONOUS AND NONSYNCHRONOUS WHIRL
ANALYSIS OF THE JEFFCOTT ROTOR
SOME DAMPING DEFINITIONS
THE "GRAVITY CRITICAL"
CRITICAL SPEED DEFINITIONS
EFFECT OF FLEXIBLE (SOFT) SUPPORTS
ROTORDYNAMIC EFFECTS OF THE FORCE COEFFICIENTS
—A SUMMARY
ROTORDYNAMIC INSTABILITY
EFFECT OF CROSS-COUPLED STIFFNESS ON UNBALANCE
RESPONSE
ADDED COMPLEXITIES
GYROSCOPIC EFFECTS
EFFECT OF SUPPORT ASYMMETRY ON SYNCHRONOUS
WHIRL
FALSE INSTABILITIES
REFERENCES
EXERCISES

Chapter 4 - COMPUTER SIMULATIONS OF ROTORDYNAMICS

DIFFERENT TYPES OF MODELS
BEARING AND SEAL MATRICES
TORSIONAL AND AXIAL MODELS
DIFFERENT TYPES OF ANALYSES
EIGENANALYSIS
LINEAR FORCED RESPONSE (LFR)
TRANSIENT RESPONSE

SHAFT MODELING RECOMMENDATIONS
EXAMPLE SIMULATIONS
REFERENCES

Chapter 5 - BEARINGS AND THEIR EFFECT ON
ROTORDYNAMICS

FLUID FILM BEARINGS
FIXED-GEOMETRY SLEEVE BEARINGS
VARIABLE-GEOMETRY TILTING PAD BEARINGS
FLUID FILM BEARING DYNAMIC COEFFICIENTS AND
METHODS OF OBTAINING THEM
LOAD BETWEEN PIVOTS VERSUS LOAD ON PIVOT
INFLUENCE OF PRELOAD ON THE DYNAMIC
COEFFICIENTS IN TILT PAD BEARINGS
INFLUENCE OF THE BEARING LENGTH OR PAD LENGTH
INFLUENCE OF THE PIVOT OFFSET
INFLUENCE OF THE NUMBER OF PADS
BALL AND ROLLING ELEMENT BEARINGS
CASE STUDY: BEARING SUPPORT DESIGN FOR A ROCKET
ENGINE TURBOPUMP
SQUEEZE FILM DAMPERS
APPLICATIONS OF SQUEEZE FILM DAMPERS
INSIGHTS INTO THE ROTOR - BEARING DYNAMIC
INTERACTION WITH SOFT/STIFF BEARING SUPPORTS
INFLUENCE ON NATURAL FREQUENCIES WITH
SOFT/STIFF BEARING SUPPORTS
EFFECTS OF MASS DISTRIBUTION ON THE CRITICAL
SPEEDS WITH SOFT/STIFF BEARING SUPPORTS
INFLUENCE OF ERHUNG MASS ON NATURAL
FREQUENCIES WITH SOFT/STIFF SUPPORTS
INFLUENCE OF GYROSCOPIC MOMENTS ON NATURAL
FREQUENCIES WITH SOFT/STIFF BEARING SUPPORTS
REFERENCES
EXERCISES

APPENDIX: SHAFT WITH NO ADDED WEIGHT

Chapter 6 - FLUID SEALS AND THEIR EFFECT ON ROTORDYNAMICS

FUNCTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF SEALS
UNDERSTANDING AND MODELING DAMPER SEAL FORCE COEFFICIENTS
ALFORD'S HYPOTHESIS OF LABYRINTH SEAL DAMPING
CROSS-COUPLED STIFFNESS MEASUREMENTS
INVENTION OF THE POCKET DAMPER SEAL
POCKET DAMPER SEAL THEORY
ROTORDYNAMIC TESTING OF POCKET DAMPER SEALS
IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS OF POCKET DAMPER SEAL FORCE COEFFICIENTS (STIFFNESS AND ...
THE FULLY PARTITIONED PDS DESIGN
EFFECTS OF NEGATIVE STIFFNESS
FREQUENCY DEPENDENCE OF DAMPER SEALS
LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS OF STIFFNESS AND DAMPING FROM POCKET DAMPER SEALS AT ...
FIELD EXPERIENCE WITH POCKET DAMPER SEALS
DESIGNING FOR DESIRED FORCE COEFFICIENT CHARACTERISTICS
SOME COMPARISONS OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF ANNULAR GAS SEALS
REFERENCES

Chapter 7 - HISTORY OF MACHINERY ROTORDYNAMICS

THE FOUNDATION YEARS, 1869 - 1941
REFINING AND EXPANDING THE ROTORDYNAMIC MODEL, 1942-1963
MULTISTAGE COMPRESSORS AND TURBINES, ROCKET ENGINE TURBOPUMPS, AND DAMPER ...

NEW FRONTIERS OF SPEED AND POWER DENSITY WITH
ROCKET ENGINE TURBOPUMPS
SHAFT DIFFERENTIAL HEATING (THE MORTON EFFECT).
REFERENCES

INDEX

MACHINERY VIBRATION AND ROTORDYNAMICS

John Vance, Fouad Zeidan, Brian Murphy



WILEY

JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC.

This book is printed on acid-free paper. ♻️

Copyright © 2010 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.
Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey
Published simultaneously in Canada

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and the author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information about our other products and services, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

Vance, John M.

Machinery vibration and rotordynamics / John Vance, Brian Murphy, Fouad Zeidan. p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-471-46213-2 (cloth)

1. Rotors-Dynamics. 2. Rotors-Vibration. 3. Machinery-Vibration. 4.

Turbomachines-Dynamics. I. Murphy, Brian, 1956- II. Zeidan, Fouad. III. Title.
TJ177.V36 2010
621.8' 11—dc22 2009045963

The first author gratefully dedicates his part in this book to his loving wife Louise, who made the book possible by her unselfish support of the task and devotion to her husband while it was being written.

John M. Vance

PREFACE

This book follows the first author's book *Rotordynamics of Turbomachinery* in its practical approach and style. Much of the material in that book has been updated and extended with new information, new examples, and a few corrections that reflect what has been learned since then. Of particular interest and significance are the new chapters (4, 5, and 6) on bearings, seals, and computer modeling contributed by the co-authors Dr. Fouad Zeidan and Dr. Brian Murphy. Dr. Zeidan is the president of two companies that design and manufacture high performance bearings and seals. These products often require the design and modeling of the complete rotor-bearing system to ensure reliable operation and compatibility. Dr. Murphy is the author of XLRotor[™], one of the most widely used computer programs for rotordynamic analysis. Chapters 1 and 7 are also completely new. Chapter 1 describes the classical analytical techniques used by engineers for troubleshooting vibration problems. Chapter 7 gives a history of the most important rotordynamics analysis and experiments since 1869.

The authors have noted (with some surprise) for many years that the subject material of this book is not taught in most engineering colleges, even though rotating machines are probably the most common application of mechanical engineering. The book is organized so that the first three or four chapters could be used as a text for a senior or graduate college elective course. These chapters have exercises at the end that can be assigned to the students, which will greatly enhance their understanding of the chapter material. The later chapters will serve the same students well after graduation as reference source material

with examples of analysis and test results for real machines, bearings, and seals. But for the majority of engineers assigned to troubleshoot a rotating machine, or to design it for reliability, and having no relevant technical background, this entire book can be the substitute for the course they never had.

It is the author's hope that this book will make a significant contribution to the improvement of rotating machines for the service of mankind in the years to come.

John M. Vance Fouad Y. Zeidan Brian T. Murphy

1

FUNDAMENTALS OF MACHINE VIBRATION AND CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS

This chapter is focused on practical applications of mechanical vibrations theory. The reader may want to supplement the chapter with one of the vibration textbooks in the reference list at the end of the chapter if he has no background in the theory.


THE MAIN SOURCES OF VIBRATION IN MACHINERY

The most common sources of vibration in machinery are related to the inertia of moving parts in the machine. Some parts have a reciprocating motion, accelerating back and forth. In such a case Newton's laws require a force to accelerate the mass and also require that the force be reacted to the frame of the machine. The forces are usually periodic and therefore produce periodic displacements observed as vibration. For example, the piston motion in the slider-crank mechanism of [Fig. 1-1](#) has a fundamental frequency equal to the crankshaft speed but also has higher frequencies (harmonics). The dominant harmonic is twice crankshaft speed (2nd harmonic). [Figure 1-2a](#) shows the displacement of the piston. It looks almost like a sine wave but it is slightly distorted by higher-order harmonics due to the nonlinear kinematics of the mechanism. [Fig. 1-2b](#) shows the acceleration of the piston, where the 2nd harmonic is

amplified since the acceleration amplitude is frequency-squared times the displacement amplitude.

Even without reciprocating parts, most machines have rotating shafts and wheels that cannot be perfectly balanced, so according to Newton's laws, there must be a rotating force vector at the bearing supports of each rotor to produce the centripetal acceleration of the mass center. Most of these force vectors are rotating and therefore produce a rotating displacement vector (all real machine parts are elastic) that can be observed as an orbit if two orthogonal vibration transducers are employed. Each of the transducers will produce a time trace similar to [Fig. 1-2a](#) or [1-2b](#). Harmonics and resulting distortion similar to [Fig. 1-2a](#) and [1-2b](#) can be produced by shaft misalignment or by nonlinearity of the bearing stiffness. The fundamental frequency of the X and Y (orthogonal) vibration vectors is shaft speed ω , so the fundamental vibration is $x(t) = X \cos(\omega t)$ and $y(t) = Y \sin(\omega t)$. This type of vibration is referred to as *forced response* or *synchronous response to unbalance*. The vibration amplitude can become very large if the excitation frequency (rotor speed for example) becomes close to one of the natural frequencies of the machine structure. This is called a *resonance* or a *critical speed*, but it is not an unstable motion since the amplitude does not grow with time (unless there is no damping).

Figure 1-1 Slider-crank mechanism.




Another type of machine vibration problem, less common but more difficult to deal with, can come from the characteristic natural vibration frequencies (eigenvalues) of the machine structure and its supports, even if no imbalance or excitation is present. Natural frequencies die out in static structures due to the energy dissipated by damping, but in rotating machines they can grow larger with time. This is known as *self-excited instability* or *rotordynamic instability*. It is an innate potential characteristic of some rotating machines, especially when fluid pressures are present (e.g., bearings, impellers, turbine wheels, or seals).

Every real structure has an infinite number of natural frequencies, but many machinery vibration problems involve just one of these frequencies. That is why the simple single degree of freedom (SDOF) model (with just one natural frequency) presented in vibration textbooks [1-3] can be useful for analyzing vibration in machines. In fact, a SDOF model, consisting of one rigid mass, one spring, and one damper can be constructed to represent the vibration characteristics of any real machine in the neighborhood of a particular natural frequency of interest. This is called a *modal model*. To make physical sense out of complex machinery vibration data, or from realistic computer simulations of machinery vibration, the details of the SDOF

mathematical model, its variations, and its solutions must be burned indelibly into the mind of the vibration engineer.

Figure 1-2 (a) Displacement of the piston, and (b) acceleration of the piston.



THE SINGLE DEGREE OF FREEDOM (SDOF) MODEL

The SDOF model as seen in most vibration textbooks is shown in [Fig. 1-3](#). Here it will be referred to as system A. The stiffness, damping, and mass are k , c , and m , respectively. The undamped natural frequency is given by

[\(1-1\)](#).

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \text{ rad/sec}$$

The circular frequency ω_n can be converted to hertz (Hz) (cycles/sec) as $f_n = \omega_n / 2\pi$, or to revolutions per minute (rpm) as $N = 60f_n$.

With a sinusoidal force applied to the mass, the differential equation of motion

[\(1-2\)](#).


$$m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = F \sin(\omega t)$$

has a solution made up of two parts: (1) the particular solution for x that gives $F \sin(\omega t)$ on the right-hand side, and (2) the homogeneous solution for x that gives zero on the right-hand side. The sum of the two solutions, of course, gives $F \sin(\omega t)$, which satisfies the equality sign. The two solutions represent the two types of machine vibration described in the previous section, that is, forced response and characteristic (free) vibration. The particular solution for forced response is

[\(1-3\)](#).

$$x_p(t) = F \sin(\omega t + \phi) / \sqrt{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + (c\omega)^2}$$

Figure 1-3 Single degree of freedom vibration model (system A).



Notice that the frequency ω of the forced vibration response is the same as the frequency of the excitation. The angle ϕ gives the time ϕ/ω by which the response x lags the excitation force F . For analyzing a vibration problem it is important to understand how k , c , and m influence the response amplitude. They have different effects depending on the frequency ratio ω/ω_n , as we shall see in the section to follow. Looking at [Eq. 1-3](#) we can see that the amplitude X of the forced vibration response is

[\(1-4\)](#).

$$X = F / \sqrt{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + (c\omega)^2}$$

which depends on k , c , m , ω , and F . Notice that the denominator gets small when the exciting frequency ω is ω_n ([Eq. 1-1](#)) unless the damping coefficient c is large. A plot of [Eq. 1-4](#) is shown in [Fig. 1-7](#). It is called the *Bode amplitude plot* or the *frequency response plot* for system A.

The homogeneous part of the solution (for free vibration) with $F = 0$ is given by

[\(1-5\)](#).

$$x_h(t) = Ae^{st}$$

where s is a complex number, $s = \lambda + i\omega_d$. s is called the *eigenvalue*. Using the law of exponents, [Eq. 1-5](#) can be rewritten as

[\(1-6\)](#).

$$x_h(t) = Ae^{\lambda t} e^{i\omega_d t}$$

where

(1-7)


$$e^{i\omega_d t} = \cos(\omega_d t) + i \sin(\omega_d t)$$

[Equation 1-5](#) or [1-6](#) satisfies the differential [Eq. 1-2](#) with $F = 0$ provided that the real part of the eigenvalue is $\lambda = -c/2m$ and the imaginary part is the square root of $\omega_d^2 = k/m - (c/2m)^2$. The amplitude A in [Eq. 1-5](#) is of little interest here since it is determined only by the initial condition that instigates the free vibration. In rotating machinery, the differential equations are more complicated but still are of the same class as [\(1-2\)](#) and have the same form of homogeneous solution as [\(1-5\)](#). The imaginary part of s , ω_d , is the damped natural frequency. Notice that it becomes equal to ω_n , [Eq. 1-1](#), when the damping coefficient $c = 0$.

The real part λ of the eigenvalue s determines how fast the free vibration dies out. It is often converted into a *damping ratio* $\zeta = c/c_{cr}$, where the critical damping $c_{cr} =$

$2m\omega_n$. Critical damping is the amount required to prevent free vibration (and no more). The conversion equation is $\zeta = -\lambda/\omega_n$. [Figure 1-4a](#) shows free vibration with $\zeta = 0.05$ (5% of critical damping); [Fig. 1-4b](#) shows the same system with $\zeta = 0.25$ (25% of critical damping). If a free vibration is graphed like [Fig. 1-4](#), the damping can be expressed as the natural logarithm of the ratio of successive amplitudes X_n/X_{n+1} . The logarithmic decrement $\delta = \ln(X_n/X_{n+1}) = 2\pi\zeta/(1 + \zeta^2)^{1/2}$. The inverse expression is often useful: $\zeta = \delta/(2\pi)^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2}$.

Figure 1-4 (a) Free vibration with 0.05 damping ratio; (b) free vibration with 0.25 damping ratio.



The algebraic sign of the real part of the eigenvalue λ is the mathematical test for vibration stability, i.e., whether the free vibration of frequency ω_d will die out or, in the unstable case, will grow with time. For example, in the simple system of [Fig. 1-3](#), λ becomes positive if the damping c is negative. Negative damping is possible in mechanical systems, especially when fluid pressures are acting.

USING SIMPLE MODELS FOR ANALYSIS AND DIAGNOSTICS

Techniques and methods for solving vibration problems can often be developed by using the simple one degree of freedom model even though the real system is more complicated. The main purpose of the model is to provide an understanding of the type of problem being encountered so that the most effective type of “fix” can be identified. Sometimes a simple model can even yield useful approximations for the optimum parametric values, such as stiffness and damping to be employed. In contrast to the large and detailed finite element models being promoted by some for all diagnostic vibration analysis, this approach suggests that the engineer should first use the simplest possible model that contains the relevant physical characteristics and resort to the more detailed models only when the simple models do not yield sufficient guidance for modifications to the design or when improved accuracy is desired.

In addition to system A of [Fig. 1-3](#), two more single degree of freedom models are shown in [Figs. 1-5](#) and [1-6](#). All three of these systems have a single natural frequency determined by their modal mass and stiffness, but there are

subtle differences between the three models that are related to the type of excitation.

The constant amplitude exciting force F in system A is generally unrealistic. Inertia forces in rotating machinery are proportional to speed squared. Model C in [Fig. 1-6](#) has an unbalanced rotor so that the exciting force $F = m \omega^2 u$, where u is the offset of the center of rotor mass m from the axis of rotation. Note that the mass m is the rotating mass, not the total mass, so m on the left side of differential [equation \(1-2\)](#) must be replaced by the total mass M unless the nonrotating mass is negligible.

In some cases the excitation is a vibration displacement at the base, rather than a force. This is represented by system B in [Fig. 1-5](#).

These small differences in the models produce different frequency response curves. The differences are useful in diagnosing problems and determining solutions. Obviously, to use these differences, the engineer must have a complete and thorough knowledge of the three models and their responses. The three systems illustrated in [Figs. 1-3](#), [1-5](#), and [1-6](#) and their mathematical analyses are described in most vibration textbooks [1-3]. In some cases the damping should be included in the most realistic way possible, i.e., as viscous, Coulomb, hysteretic, or aerodynamic damping. However, if the damping is other than viscous, it may usually be represented by an equivalent viscous damping coefficient that varies with frequency [1, page 73]. For purely steel structures, it is usually less than 5% of the critical value. System B may have its predominant damping either (1) between the vibrating base and the modal mass, or (2) from the mass to ground. It is important to recognize the difference and set up the model correctly.

[Figure 1-5](#) SDOF model with base excitation.





Figure 1-6 SDOF model with rotating unbalance.



The frequency response curves for systems A, B, and C are plots of the amplitude of forced vibration versus the frequency. The response amplitude for system A is computed from [Eq. 1-4](#) at each frequency, using appropriate values for k , c , m , and F . [Figure 1-7](#) shows the response curve for system A with parameter values from [Table 1-1](#). For plotting the curve, frequency ω (rad/sec) has been converted to rpm (cpm). X_{static} in the table is F/k , the displacement at zero frequency, which is the deflection of the spring under a static force F . Resonance is the undamped natural frequency ω_n converted to cpm. Zeta is the critical damping ratio, i.e., the percentage of critical damping divided by 100. The solid curve in [Fig. 1-7](#) has all the parametric values of [Table 1-1](#).

Figure 1-7 Forced response of system A (constant amplitude excitation force F).




Table 1-1 System A values for [Fig. 1-7](#)

	Data	Units
Input		
Mass	100	lb
Kstiff	30,000	lb/in
Cdamp	20	lb-sec/in
Force	150	lb
Freqstart	0	rpm
Freqstop	8000	rpm
Npoints	101	use 101
Output		
Resonance	3251.252	rpm

Zeta	0.11349	none
X_static	5.00E-03	in

The dashed curve in [Fig. 1-7](#) has all the values of [Table 1-1](#) except that the damping coefficient c has been increased from 20 lb-sec/in. (in the solid curve) to 30 lb-sec/in. The main effect of the increased damping is to reduce the vibration amplitude at the critical speed. It has very little effect at frequencies away from the critical speed. The critical speed (where the peak vibration occurs) is 3200 rpm for the solid curve and about 3150 rpm for the dashed curve. These are both slightly below the undamped natural frequency of 3251 cpm. Thus, damping tends to lower the critical speed. (This effect is reversed in system C (below) when the constant shaking force F is replaced with a rotating unbalance force $m\omega^2 u$). In [Fig. 1-7](#), notice that the response amplitude X ($= 5$ mils at zero frequency) becomes large near the natural frequency, and approaches zero at very high frequencies. [Figure 1-8](#) shows how the vibration X (the dashed curve) lags the force F with a phase angle ϕ (see [Eq. 1-3](#)). [Figure 1-9](#) shows how the phase angle varies with frequency. More damping (the dashed curve) makes the phase angle change more gradually as the excitation frequency passes through ω_n . The phase angle is 90 degrees at the undamped natural frequency ω_n , regardless of the amount of damping. This fact is useful in determining the value of ω_n , since the phase angle can be measured but ω_n cannot be measured.

Graphs like [Figs. 1-7](#) and [1-9](#) are often referred to as the frequency response curves, or Bode plots. If the parameter values (k , c , m) are changed, then the response curves will look similar but will have different values of response

amplitude and phase. Increasing the damping generally brings the peak amplitude down but has a negligible effect at frequencies away from the natural frequency.

Figure 1-8 X (dashed) lagging force (solid).





Figure 1-9 Phase lag response of system A.



The necessity to plot many different curves for different values of F , k , and m is avoided by plotting the curve with dimensionless ratios as shown in [Fig. 1-10](#). The abscissa in [Fig. 1-10](#) is frequency ratio ω/ω_n ; the ordinate Xk/F is X/X_{static} (the ratio of vibration amplitude to static displacement under the force F).

The frequency response of system B ([Fig. 1-5](#), base vibration excitation) is given by

(1-8)

$$X = Y \sqrt{\frac{k^2 + (\omega c)^2}{(k - m\omega^2)^2 + (c\omega)^2}}$$

[Figure 1-11](#) shows the response amplitude X calculated with the parametric values of [Table 1-2](#). In the table, X_{Base} is the displacement amplitude Y of the vibrating support. Notice that damping in system B (the dashed curve) actually increases the vibration response at high frequencies. Solving the differential equation for system B [1, page 66] shows that the crossover frequency is 1.4 times the undamped natural frequency. All the curves with different damping values cross at this frequency, and the amplitude there is the same as X_{Base} . The frequency range above this is called the *isolation range*, since the response there is reduced below what would be obtained with a hard support. A vibrating system with a fixed excitation frequency can be put into the isolation range by softening the spring K_{stiff} between the vibrating base and the mass.

[Figure 1-10](#) Dimensionless response of system A.





Figure 1-11 Response to base excitation of system B.



The frequency response of system C ([Fig. 1-6](#)) is given by [Eq. 1-9](#), where u is the unbalance (C.G. offset of the rotor), m is the rotor mass, and M is the total mass:

[\(1-9\)](#).

$$X = m\omega^2 u / \sqrt{(k - M\omega^2)^2 + (c\omega)^2}$$

Table 1-2 System B parameters for [Fig. 1-11](#)


	Data	Units
Input		
Mass	0.35	lb
Kstiff	100	lb/in
Cdamp	0.1	lb-sec/in
Cdamp2	0.4	lb-sec/in

X_Base	0.1	in
Freqstart	0	rpm
Freqstop	10,000	rpm
Npoints	101	use 101

Output

Resonance	3172.897	rpm
Zeta	0.166132	none
Zeta2	0.66453	none

Figure 1-12 Response with an unbalanced rotor for three damping values.



The ratio X/u is often used and is sometimes called the *magnification factor*. The response calculated with the parametric values of [Table 1-3](#) is shown in [Fig. 1-12](#) with dimensionless amplitude XM/mu . In the table, $m = \text{Rotrmass}$ and $M = \text{Rotrmass} + \text{Housmass}$. Note that XM/mu is