

GEARY A. RUMMLER

THE CO-AUTHOR OF THE BEST-SELLING BOOK


Improving Performance: How to Manage the White Space on the Organization Chart

ALAN RAMIAS

RICK RUMMLER



White Space **Revisited**



Creating Value
Through Process

GEARY A. RUMMLER

THE CO-AUTHOR OF THE BEST-SELLING BOOK

Improving Performance: How to Manage the White Space on the Organization Chart

ALAN RAMIAS

RICK RUMMLER



White Space **Revisited**



Creating Value
Through Process

Table of Contents

[Title Page](#)

[Copyright Page](#)

[Dedication](#)

[Table of Figures](#)

[List of Tables](#)

[Foreword](#)

[PREFACE](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

[SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT](#)

[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS](#)

[SPECIAL THANKS](#)

[ABOUT THE AUTHORS](#)

[GEARY A. RUMMLER](#)

[ALAN J. RAMIAS](#)

[RICHARD A. RUMMLER](#)

[Introduction](#)

[OUTLINE AND PURPOSE OF THIS BOOK](#)

[WHO THIS BOOK WAS WRITTEN FOR](#)

[PART ONE - White Space Revisited](#)

[CHAPTER ONE - The Silver Anniversary of Process](#)

[CIRCA 1985](#)

[MILESTONES SINCE 1990](#)

[GENERAL RESULTS](#)

[CLOSING POINTS](#)

CHAPTER TWO - Process in a Value Perspective

BASIC PREMISE: THE ORGANIZATION AS A SYSTEM
THE VALUE MACHINE
THE VALUE CREATION PERSPECTIVE
OVERVIEW OF THE VALUE CREATION HIERARCHY
KEY FEATURES AND BENEFITS OF THE VALUE CREATION
HIERARCHY
CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER THREE - The Value Creation Hierarchy

A DEFINITION OF PROCESS
VCS MILESTONES
NOW, A SECOND TRIP DOWN THE VCH
LEVEL 1: ENTERPRISE/BUSINESS
LEVEL 2: THE VALUE CREATION SYSTEM (VCS)
LEVEL 3: PROCESSING SUB-SYSTEMS
LEVEL 4: PROCESS
LEVEL 5: SUB-PROCESS/TASK/SUB-TASK
POTENTIAL PITFALLS IN CURRENT PRACTICES
CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER FOUR - Developing the Value Creation Architecture of a Business

MAKING THE VALUE DIMENSION VISIBLE
A CLOSER LOOK AT VALUE CREATION ARCHITECTURE
WHY BOTHER DEVELOPING A VCA?
DEVELOPING THE VCA
CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER FIVE - Process Management in the Value Creation Context

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT MODELS
CLOSING POINTS

PART TWO - Designing or Improving the Value Machine

CHAPTER SIX - A Framework and Methodology for VCS Design

MAJOR REQUIREMENT: A METHODOLOGY FOR THE VALUE CREATION SYSTEM

EVOLUTION OF THE METHODOLOGY

THE EFFECTIVE PROCESS FRAMEWORK (EPF)

RUMMLER PROCESS METHODOLOGY OVERVIEW

DESIGN DIMENSIONS

RPM ASSUMPTIONS

RPM ROLES

RPM CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS

CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER SEVEN - RPM Project Walk-Through: Align, Analysis, Design

CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER EIGHT - RPM Project Walk-Through: Commit, Build, Enable, Adopt

April 15-18

April 21-23

April 24-25

April 30

Commit Phase Summary

March-Mid-May

May-November
November-December
Build Phase Summary
Enable Phase Summary
January 5-March
Adopt Phase Summary
Project Summary and Timetable
CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER NINE - Other RPM Applications

RPM AND OTHER PRACTICES
CLOSING POINTS

CHAPTER TEN - Designing Improvement Capability

INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT CHANGE
THE ROLE OF THE PERFORMANCE ARCHITECT
CLOSING POINTS

PART THREE - Implications

CHAPTER ELEVEN - Process and the IT Department

THE OPPORTUNITY FOR IT IN A PROCESS WORLD
BARRIERS TO SEIZING OPPORTUNITY
IMPLICATIONS

CHAPTER TWELVE - Summary

WHY THIS BOOK
THE "BIG IDEAS"
RPM METHODOLOGY
IMPLICATIONS

[AFTERWORD](#)

[LIST OF ACRONYMS](#)

[NOTES](#)

[INDEX](#)

[ABOUT PERFORMANCE DESIGN LAB](#)

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1 The Organization as a System

Figure 2.1 The Four Key Requirements of a Business

Figure 2.2 The Resources Fixation

Figure 2.3 The Value Machine

Figure 2.4 Value Creation Hierarchy

Figure 2.5 The VCH with Performance Goals

Figure 3.1 The Components of a Process

Figure 3.2 Value Creation Hierarchy

Figure 3.3 The Belding Engineering Organization

Figure 3.4 The Value Creation Hierarchy: Enterprise Level

Figure 3.5 The Super-System Map

Figure 3.6 The Primary Processing Systems of an Organization

Figure 3.7 Value Creation System Choices of Belding Engineering

Figure 3.8 Value-Resource Relationship Map

Figure 3.9 The VCH with Contributing Sub-Systems

Figure 3.10 Cross-Functional Value Creation Map

Figure 3.11 Cross-Functional Process Map

Figure 3.12 Process Map with Technology Swimlanes

Figure 3.13 Resource-Centric and Value-Centric Approaches to Process Definition

Figure 3.14 Alternative Views of Business as a Value Machine

Figure 4.1 Templates Used for Value Creation Architecture

Figure 4.2 Example of Business Process Framework

Figure 5.1 Performance Planned and Managed System

Figure 5.2 Detailed Performance Planned and Managed System

Figure 5.3 Work/Work Management System

Figure 5.4 Managing Two Dimensions

Figure 5.5 Belding Engineering Value Versus Resource Dimension

Figure 5.6 Performance Planned Process

Figure 5.7 Order-to-Cash Process Performance Data

Figure 5.8 Performance Planned Sequence

Figure 5.9 VCS Performance Data

Figure 6.1 The Past and Future of Process Improvement

Figure 6.2 The Effective Process Framework

Figure 6.3 The VCH and Key Variables Requirements

Figure 6.4 The Human Performance System

Figure 6.5 The Ideal HPS

Figure 6.6 The Technology Performance System

Figure 6.7 RPM Project Structure

Figure 6.8 RPM Critical Success Factors

Figure 7.1 Sterling Publishing Organization Chart

Figure 7.2 Sterling Publishing “Is” Value Creation System

Figure 7.3 Sterling “Is” Cross-Functional Process Map

Figure 7.4 “Should” Design Specifications

Figure 7.5 “Is” Versus “Should” Assumptions

Figure 7.6 “Should” Macro Process Design

Figure 7.7 “Should” Role-Responsibility Matrix (excerpt)

Figure 7.8 “Should” Details Document

Figure 7.9 “Should” Measures Chain

Figure 7.10 “Should” Management Domain Matrix (excerpt)

Figure 7.11a Process Management Tracking

Figure 7.11b Process Management Tracking

Figure 7.12 “Should” Change Requirements Document

Figure 7.13 “Should” Enablers Chart

Figure 7.14 Macro Implementation Plan

Figure 9.1 A Well-Designed Process

Figure 10.1 Infrastructure to Support the Change Process

Figure 10.2 Improvement Process Overview

Figure 11.1 Example of Functionally Focused Value-Resource Map

Figure 11.2 The Effective Process Framework

Figure 11.3 Level at Which Workflow Mapping Is Performed

Figure 11.4 RPM Methodology with Business and IT Roles

List of Tables

Table F.1 Rummler's Performance Matrix

Table 1.1 The Scope and Range of Process Work

Table 3.1 Role-Responsibility Matrix

Table 3.2 Technology Enabler Chart (excerpt)

Table 4.1 VCA Tool Set

Table 4.2 Insight Team Project Summary

Table 5.1 Director of Finance Function Performance Measures

Table 5.2 Performance Management Routine

Table 6.1 Process performance Variables

Table 6.2 RPM Design Dimensions Versus EPF Variables

Table 6.3 RPM Detail Overview

Table 6.4 Process Engagement Grid

Table 7.1 Align Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 7.2 Analysis Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 7.3 Design Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 8.1 Implementation Planning Agenda

Table 8.2 Commit Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 8.3 Build Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 8.4 Enable Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 8.5 Adopt Phase Pitfalls/Success Factors Matrix

Table 8.6 Project Timetable

Table 9.1 Components of Methodology

Table 9.2 Some RPM "Methodologies"

Join Us at
Josseybass.com




JOSSEY-BASS™
An Imprint of
 **WILEY**

Register at **www.josseybass.com/email**
for more information on our publications,
authors, and to receive special offers.



White Space Revisited

Creating Value
Through Process



GEARY A. RUMMLER
ALAN J. RAMIAS
RICHARD A. RUMMLER

FOREWORD BY PAUL HARMON

J JOSSEY-BASS
A Wiley Imprint
www.josseybass.com

Copyright © 2010 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by Jossey-Bass
A Wiley Imprint
989 Market Street, San Francisco, CA 94103-1741—www.josseybass.com

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400, fax 978-646-8600, or on the Web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, 201-748-6011, fax 201-748-6008, or online at www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

Readers should be aware that Internet Web sites offered as citations and/or sources for further information may have changed or disappeared between the time this was written and when it is read.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Jossey-Bass books and products are available through most bookstores. To contact Jossey-Bass directly call our Customer Care Department within the U.S. at 800-956-7739, outside the U.S. at 317-572-3986, or fax 317-572-4002.

Jossey-Bass also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Rummler, Geary A.

White space revisited: creating value through process / Geary A. Rummler, Alan J. Ramias, Richard Rummler; foreword by Paul Harmon. p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

eISBN : 978-0-470-55689-4

1. Industrial productivity. 2. Value added. 3. Performance. 4. Organizational effectiveness. I. Ramias, Alan J., 1947- II. Rummler, Richard, 1961- III. Title.

HD56.R864 2010

658.5'15—dc22

2009035519

GEARY

*Once again, for the girl from Ann Street.
Without you, none of this would have happened.*

ALAN AND RICK

*To Geary Rummler, exceptional leader,
patient mentor, tireless innovator,
wise and generous friend and father.*

FOREWORD

BY PAUL HARMON

Businesses have likely been trying to improve processes for as long as there have been businesses. One imagines that ancient Egyptian pottery shop owners worried about how to make production of pots faster, better, and cheaper. Systematic efforts at business process improvement are usually thought to have begun when Fredrick Winslow Taylor published his best-selling book, *The Principles of Scientific Management*, in 1911, just about the same time that Henry Ford was revolutionizing manufacturing with his ideas about a continuous production line.

Throughout the early half of the twentieth century, industrial engineers carried the torch for process improvement and often met at annual Work Simplification conferences. In the years following the Second World War, process work came into its own. Factories in Asia and Europe had been destroyed in the war and needed to be rebuilt from scratch, and everyone wanted to be sure that their new factories were as efficient as possible. Quality control engineers like W. Edwards Deming and Joseph Juran played a major role in helping companies design efficient processes. In the late 1980s a group of people at Motorola combined process work with quality control techniques to create Six Sigma, a popular approach to improving the quality and consistency of processes. In 1990, James

Womack, Daniel Jones, and Daniel Roos wrote *The Machine That Changed the World*, which reported on the huge strides that Toyota had made and coined the term *lean*.

In a similar way, the rapidly evolving field of computing led to massive and widespread changes in the way business processes were organized. Companies had begun to use computers to automate their processes in the 1960s. In the 1980s, with the introduction of the personal computer, automation became available to managers and office workers. In the 1990s, gurus like Michael Hammer, James Champy, and Tom Davenport urged companies to reengineer their processes to take better advantages of the improvements that process-oriented computer systems could offer.

In addition to the gurus in the quality control and automation traditions, there have always been process advocates among business management theorists. Michael Porter, for example, is known for his work on strategy and value chains, and James Heskett has led a reevaluation of how organizations relate to employees and customers. The leading guru in the management tradition, however, was Geary Rummler.

Dr. Rummler began his career in Michigan in the 1960s. He earned his MBA and his doctorate at the University of Michigan and proceeded, over the course of the remaining decades of the twentieth century, to elaborate an integrated methodology for improving processes in organizations.

In reality, Geary Rummler never focused on processes as such. Instead, he focused on corporate performance and on how companies could be organized and managed to produce superior performance. When I think of Rummler's impact, I usually think first of his performance matrix, which is pictured in [Table F.1](#). More than anything else, the matrix suggests the scope of Geary Rummler's vision. He imagined

an organization comprised of three levels: one concerned with the organization as a whole, one concerned with the specific processes the organization used to accomplish work, and one focused on the concrete activities that people and systems performed. He assumed that at each level organizations would define goals and measures, create designs for achieving their goals and measures, and establish management practices that would assure that the designs achieved the desired goals and measures. Thus, although process was extremely important to Rummler, it was always just one part of a comprehensive approach to performance improvement, and it was important only because it supported the goals of the organization.

When I first started working with Geary, in the late 1960s, he was already using flowcharts to describe business processes, although he had not yet arrived at the “swimlane” diagrams that he made ubiquitous when he joined with Alan Brache to publish *Improving Performance* in 1990. Similarly, in the 1960s, the Activity Level of the Organization Performance Matrix was entirely focused on the employees who performed activities. Thus the Activity Level focused on how goals were defined for employees and how they were trained, managed, and motivated to perform their jobs. In the course of the 1980s and 1990s, like the rest of us, Rummler struggled to understand the role of computers in modern organizations and to incorporate software systems into the Activity Level of the Performance Matrix.

Table F.1 Rummler’s Performance Matrix

	<i>Goals and Measures</i>	<i>Design and Implementation</i>	<i>Management</i>
Organizational Level	Organizational goals and measures of organizational success	Organizational design and implementation	Organizational management
Process Level	Process goals and measures of process success	Process design and implementation	Process management
Activity or Performance Level	Activity goals and measures of activity success	Activity design and implementation	Activity management

In the 1980s, Geary Rummler joined with Alan Brache to form Rummler-Brache and undertook a number of consulting engagements that widely influenced how people today think of process change. To provide two examples: it was during the 1980s that Rummler worked at Motorola, revising processes and teaching the process analysis techniques that laid the groundwork for Motorola's subsequent development of Six Sigma, which marries process and quality control techniques. In a similar way, IBM practitioners took courses from Rummler-Brache and then went on to create LOVEM, an IBM business process reengineering methodology that relied on Rummler's diagramming concepts. Today we find those same concepts in the latest flowcharting notation: BPMN. Many would emphasize the swimlanes that provide business people with insights into who is responsible for managing specific activities. In fact, the idea of always placing the customer of the process in the top swimlane and then diagramming every interaction between the customer and the business process is probably the more valuable insight. The latest approaches for improving service industry processes rely on an ability to model a customer's activities and then change the service business's activities to create a better customer experience.

Geary Rummler achieved widespread recognition in the 1990s. Hammer, Champy, and Davenport convinced companies they needed to reengineer but didn't provide much specific guidance about exactly how processes were

to be analyzed and redesigned. Many companies, once they decided to commit to reengineering, looked around for a systematic methodology and discovered *Improving Performance* and the Rummler-Brache training courses. There was an irony here, since Geary Rummler was never a fan of reengineering. He had always advocated a more comprehensive, systematic approach and thought that reengineering's emphasis on radical change would be too hard to implement. In hindsight, Rummler was right, but in the meantime a whole generation of process practitioners learned to approach process change projects using the Rummler-Brache methodology.

Rummler sold his interest in Rummler-Brache and retired at the end of the 1990s. Within a couple of years, however, he realized, as he once told me, that he had "failed retirement." There were still too many problems he wanted to investigate, too many new insights he wanted to incorporate into his performance improvement methodology. Thus, in the early years of this decade, Geary joined with a group of colleagues to create Performance Design Lab (PDL), a new consulting and training company that provided Geary an organizational base from which he could continue to explore ways to improve organizational performance and elaborate his comprehensive approach to performance analysis and organizational design.

Geary Rummler died unexpectedly on October 29, 2008. In the year before his death, Geary, his son, Rick Rummler, and his longtime colleague, Alan Ramias, had begun work on a new book that would pull together all the insights they had garnered during the previous two decades. The book was nearly done when Geary died. Rick and Alan have done the entire business process community an important service by completing the book and seeing it through publication.

To my way of thinking, Geary Rummler was always the performance analyst's performance analyst. He didn't promote himself in the way that others did and was never as well known to the general public as process gurus like W. Edwards Deming or Michael Hammer. On the other hand, over the course of his career, he did more to influence the way process improvement work is done today than anyone else I know. The quality control community owes the emphasis on defining processes at the core of Six Sigma to Geary's work at Motorola. Similarly, the IT community owes its current swimlane-based, customer-focused BPMN diagrams to Geary's efforts to create flow diagrams that business people could easily understand. We all owe Geary thanks for his relentless emphasis on process change that improved corporate and human performance.

Over the years *Improving Performance* has been a bible to many process practitioners. Reviewers have consistently said that it was the best book to give to business managers who were looking for an introduction to process. Predictably, this new book, summarizing Geary Rummler's latest thinking about process analysis, process management, and organizational performance, will be widely read by new managers who are trying to make their organizations more process centric, and by today's process practitioners who are trying to figure out how to fit all the various technologies together into a whole.

Isaiah Berlin famously divided great thinkers into two types: Foxes and Hedgehogs. Foxes, he explained, knew many different things, but Hedgehogs knew one big thing. Geary Rummler was a hedgehog. He knew that organizations were systems that transformed customer needs and raw materials into valuable products or services. He knew that everything was connected to everything else and that effective change required a comprehensive knowledge of how the whole system worked to achieve its

goals. His vision of the performance system that used processes to create value is one of the key managerial insights of our time. Other process gurus had a similar vision, but Geary Rummler's vision was uniquely powerful because he continually stressed the importance of a systematic, integrated approach.

So many things are changing. So many new techniques become available each day. It's very easy to get lost in the details. We are lucky to have this new book to provide us, once again, with Geary Rummler's comprehensive vision of how we can systematically improve the performance of our organizations.

PREFACE

BY GEARY A. RUMMLER

This Preface was found in Geary's notes. It is rough and probably incomplete, but it contains his own words on the purpose for writing this book.

What we wish to present is a view with an edge. We need to elbow our way into a crowded, noisy field. It's sort of a "Hello! Remember, it's still about work!" The only way a business creates value for its customers and an organization for its stakeholders is through its value-adding work processes.

Unlike in the first book in 1990, which laid the foundation for the field, it is now an established, mature, and increasingly confusing field. There are endless lectures, articles, journals, conferences, and workshops devoted to process modeling tools, BPMS products, maturity models, governance models, design and improvement methodologies, process culture, business process leadership, process management, process-centered organizations. . . .

But despite all this activity, the undercurrent of a recent Gartner conference [in February 2008, where Geary was a keynote speaker] was, "Where are the results?" "Is BPM a fad?"—the whole thing powered by technology and training

vendors who themselves are busily maneuvering and consolidating. I guess we would say that BPM is, at best, stalled. It certainly has not realized the potential we saw in 1984 when doing our early work at Motorola.

From our perspective, the BPM/process movement has gotten off track. In fact, it is even hard to find a reference to what we think are the basics: identifying and improving the work required to deliver organizational results. “Process” was not some invention to improve productivity; “process” was itself a process that started not with the work but with the results desired and worked backward from there to identify and redesign the work that must produce those results. That is the essence of industrial and process engineering.

Yet the “field” of BPM seems to be all about the “means,” with no connection to the end. It’s about how to model a process, how to automate a process, how to automate the management system. It’s about the technology to run a process, the tools and techniques for projects, the organization culture to support a process orientation, and about BPM leadership. Which leaves us far off track from the core concept—which is about work.

We think it is way past time to get back to some basics: like understanding the organization results we are trying to deliver, realizing that there are no shortcuts to value creation in any business, and identifying, designing, and managing the work required to achieve those results—and treating process as the best-known way to engineer those results.

Thus this book . . .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To our partner and friend, Cherie Wilkins, who contributed greatly to this book, not only writing parts of it but also giving an endless amount of inspiration, ideas, energy, and material. In particular, she wrote the Sara story, which provides the reader with a detailed walk-through of the Rummler Process Methodology. Bravo, and deepest gratitude.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For contributing to the concepts and methodology

Mark Munley
Jaime Hermann
Klaus Wittkuhn

For providing opportunities to develop the ideas in their organizations

Steve Hassenfelt, for whole enterprise PPMS design

Richard King and Bert Kerstetter, for management system design and application of RPM to custom application development

José Luis Luna, Homero Reséndez, and the many talented members of the Processes and IT department, for business process framework design and integration of process and technology change

Steven Teal, Stacey Rachilla, Susan Erwin, Trevor Sparrow, and Ruth Henderson, for value creation portfolio management

T. J. Elliott and Willa Thomas, for process management and contributing sub-processes

Yvette Montagne and Rick Wills, for management system design

Mark Munley, for management system design in a service-based industry

Catherine Plowman and Oren Hester, for application to a shared service environment

Kerry Sharp, for business process architecture design and technology/process integration

Joyce Wells, for whole business redesign

For helping in the writing of this book

Dale Brethower

T. J. Elliott

Kregg Hale

Paul Harmon

Lorena Lanese

Pat Murphy

Fred Nickols

Chris Ramias

Klaus Wittkuhn

SPECIAL THANKS

To Paul Harmon, for writing the Foreword

To Leslie Stephen, for expert editing

To Matt Davis and Lindsay Morton, for leading us through publication

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

GEARY A. RUMMLER

Dr. Geary A. Rummler was the founding partner of the Performance Design Lab (PDL), where he was continuing his lifelong work on organizational performance improvement in complex systems. He died on October 29, 2008. At the time of his death, Geary was working with his partners on two books. One was this book; the other addresses management and focuses on the design and operations of an effective management system from a process perspective.

Prior to founding the Performance Design Lab, Geary was the founding partner of the Rummler-Brache Group, an organization that became a leader in the business process improvement and management business in the 1980s and 1990s. Prior to that, Geary was president of the Kepner-Tregoe Strategy Group, specialists in strategic decision making; cofounder (with Thomas F. Gilbert) and president of Praxis Corporation, an innovator in the analysis and improvement of human performance; cofounder (with George S. Odiorne) and director of the University of Michigan's Center for Programmed Learning for Business.

Geary was a pioneer in the application of instructional and performance technologies to organizations and brought this experience to the issue of organization effectiveness. His clients in the private sector included the sales, service, and manufacturing functions of the aircraft, automobile, steel, food, rubber, office equipment, pharmaceutical, telecommunications, chemical, and petroleum industries as well as the retail banking and airline industries. He also worked with such federal agencies as IRS, SSA, HUD, GAO,

and DOT. Geary's research and consulting took him to Europe, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, China, and Mexico.

In addition to consulting, teaching, and presenting at conferences, Geary published a steady stream of articles and a variety of books ranging from labor relations to the development of instructional systems and his articles appeared in numerous professional and management journals and handbooks. In 1988, he coauthored *Training and Development: A Guide for Professionals*, with George S. Odiorne. In 1990, he coauthored *Improving Performance: How to Manage the White Space on the Organization Chart*, with Alan P. Brache.

Geary received his MBA and PhD from the University of Michigan and served as:

- The national president of the International Society for Performance Improvement (ISPI)
- A member of the board of directors of the American Society of Training and Development (ASTD)
- A member of the editorial board of *Training* magazine

Geary's professional accomplishments include:

- Induction into the Human Resource Development Hall of Fame in 1986
- The Distinguished Professional Achievement Award from ISPI in 1992
- The Enterprise Reengineering Excellence Award from *Enterprise Reengineering* magazine in 1996
- The Distinguished Contribution Award for Workplace Learning and Performance from ASTD in 1999
- The Lifetime Achievement Award from the Organization Behavior Management Network in 1999

ALAN J. RAMIAS