

Investment Performance Measurement

Evaluating and Presenting Results

Philip Lawton, CIPM, Editor • Todd Jankowski, CFA, Editor





INVESTMENT PERSPECTIVES

Investment Performance Measurement

Evaluating and Presenting Results

Philip Lawton, CIPM, Editor • Todd Jankowski, CFA, Editor



Table of Contents

[*Praise*](#)

[*Title Page*](#)

[*Copyright Page*](#)

[*Foreword*](#)

[*Introduction*](#)

[OVERVIEW](#)

[PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT](#)

[PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION](#)

[PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL](#)

[GLOBAL INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS](#)

[SUMMARY](#)

[PART I - OVERVIEW OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION](#)

[CHAPTER 1 - EVALUATING PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE](#)

[THE IMPORTANCE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION](#)

[THE THREE COMPONENTS OF PERFORMANCE](#)

[EVALUATION](#)

[PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT](#)

[BENCHMARKS](#)

[PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION](#)

[PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL](#)

[THE PRACTICE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION](#)

[NOTES](#)

[REFERENCES](#)

[PART II - PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT](#)

CHAPTER 2 - BENCHMARKS AND INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

FOREWORD

PREFACE

ORIGINS, USES, AND CHARACTERISTICS OF U.S. EQUITY
BENCHMARKS

USING BENCHMARKS TO MEASURE PERFORMANCE

BUILDING PORTFOLIOS OF MANAGERS

THE EVOLUTION OF MPT AND THE BENCHMARKING
PARADIGM

THE 1990s BUBBLE AND THE CRISIS IN MPT

CRITIQUES OF BENCHMARKING AND A WAY FORWARD

THE IMPACT OF BENCHMARKING ON MARKETS AND
INSTITUTIONS

U.S. EQUITY STYLE INDEXES

FIXED-INCOME BENCHMARKS

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY BENCHMARKS

HEDGE FUND BENCHMARKS

POLICY BENCHMARKS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 3 - THE IMPORTANCE OF INDEX SELECTION

INDEX METHODOLOGIES

INDEX COMPARISONS

MANAGING TO INDEXES

CONCLUSION

NOTE

CHAPTER 4 - AFTER-TAX PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

WHY THE AFTER-TAX FOCUS

FACTORS AFFECTING TAX EFFICIENCY

MEASURING AFTER-TAX PERFORMANCE
CONCLUSION
QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

CHAPTER 5 - TAXABLE BENCHMARKS: THE COMPLEXITY INCREASES

STANDARD BENCHMARK RULES
AIMR AFTER-TAX STANDARDS
IMPORTANCE OF THE CAPITAL GAIN REALIZATION RATE
CONVERTING A STANDARD PRETAX BENCHMARK
SHADOW PORTFOLIOS
CONCLUSION
QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION
NOTE

CHAPTER 6 - OVERCOMING CAP-WEIGHTED BOND BENCHMARK DEFICIENCIES

DIVERSIFICATION
PREVALENCE OF CAP-WEIGHTED BENCHMARKS
WHY MOST BOND BENCHMARKS ARE FLAWED
ANALYSIS OF THREE CAP-WEIGHTED INDICES
HIGH-YIELD SECTOR
BEYOND CASH BONDS
EMERGING MARKET BOND INDICES
GBI-EM
NEEDS SHOULD DICTATE THE BENCHMARK
ALTERNATIVE BENCHMARKS
CONCLUSION
QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 7 - YIELD BOGEYS

APPROXIMATING PORTFOLIO YIELD
TREASURY YIELD BOGEYS
ARE YIELD BOGEY Mismeasurements a wash?
CONCLUSION
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 8 - JUMPING ON THE BENCHMARK BANDWAGON

IS IT APPROPRIATE?
"A HELL OF A DISCUSSION"
MEASURING "PURE ALPHA"
TOUGH CHOICES
CONSTRUCTING A SYNTHETIC UNIVERSE
A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT

PART III - PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION

CHAPTER 9 - DETERMINANTS OF PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

A FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS
DATA
RESULTS
RETURN VARIATION
IMPLICATIONS
NOTES

CHAPTER 10 - DETERMINANTS OF PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE II: AN UPDATE

FRAMEWORK
RESULTS
INTERNAL VERSUS EXTERNAL RISK POSITIONING
CONCLUSION

NOTES

CHAPTER 11 - DETERMINANTS OF PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE—20 YEARS LATER

CHAPTER 12 - EQUITY PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS IN PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

USES OF PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

DATA AND CALCULATION ISSUES

TYPES OF CHARACTERISTICS

MANAGER MONITORING AND STYLE ANALYSIS

ATTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

LIMITATIONS OF PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS ANALYSIS

NOTES

CHAPTER 13 - MUTUAL FUND PERFORMANCE: DOES FUND SIZE MATTER?

WHY FUND SIZE MATTERS

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

NET EFFECTS OF FUND SIZE

FUND SIZE AND INVESTMENT STYLE

FUND SIZE AND STYLE CONSISTENCY

CONCLUSION

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 14 - MULTIPERIOD ARITHMETIC ATTRIBUTION

ARITHMETIC VS. GEOMETRIC MEASURES

SINGLE-PERIOD SECTOR-BASED DECOMPOSITION

METHODS' CHARACTERISTICS AND PROPERTIES

ARITHMETIC ALGORITHMS

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX 14A: NATURAL SCALING FROM SINGLE-
PERIOD TO MULTIPERIOD CASE

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 15 - OPTIMIZED GEOMETRIC ATTRIBUTION

SINGLE-PERIOD ATTRIBUTION: REVIEW

MULTIPERIOD ATTRIBUTION

GEOMETRIC ALGORITHMS

ADJUSTED PURE GEOMETRIC METHOD

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX 15A: DERIVATION OF OPTIMIZED GEOMETRIC
ATTRIBUTION

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 16 - CUSTOM FACTOR ATTRIBUTION

GENERAL ATTRIBUTION

PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION FOR CUSTOM FACTORS

RISK ATTRIBUTION FOR CUSTOM FACTORS

RISK-ADJUSTED PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION

EXAMPLE

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX 16A: OPTIMAL EXPECTED RETURNS

APPENDIX 16B: FORMULAS FOR VOLATILITIES AND
CORRELATIONS

APPENDIX 16C: REMOVING COLINEARITIES THROUGH
RESTRICTED LEAST SQUARES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 17 - RETURN, RISK, AND PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION

EXAMPLE 1

EXAMPLE 2

EXAMPLE 3

CONCLUSION

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

CHAPTER 18 - GLOBAL ASSET MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION

FOREWORD

PREFACE

INTRODUCTION

THE GENERAL FRAMEWORK

GLOBAL PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION

INTERPRETATION OF GLOBAL PERFORMANCE

ATTRIBUTIONS

GLOBAL BALANCED PORTFOLIOS

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX 18A

APPENDIX 18B

NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 19 - CURRENCY OVERLAY IN PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

PORTFOLIO DECOMPOSITION AND PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

ATTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

CONCLUSION

APPENDIX 19A: PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

EXAMPLES

[APPENDIX 19B: PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE](#)
[APPENDIX 19C: COVERED INTEREST RATE PARITY](#)
[APPENDIX 19D: ATTRIBUTION VARIABLES](#)
[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS](#)
[NOTES](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

[PART IV - PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL](#)

[CHAPTER 20 - ON THE PERFORMANCE OF HEDGE FUNDS](#)

[DATA AND SAMPLE STATISTICS](#)
[FUND FEATURES AND PERFORMANCE](#)
[HEDGE FUND PERFORMANCE AND RISK](#)
[HEDGE FUNDS VERSUS MUTUAL FUNDS](#)
[SURVIVORSHIP BIAS](#)
[CONCLUSION](#)
[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS](#)
[APPENDIX 20A: HEDGE FUND STRATEGIES](#)
[NOTES](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

[CHAPTER 21 - FUNDS OF HEDGE FUNDS](#)

[GROWTH OF FUNDS OF FUNDS](#)
[ADDED VALUE FROM FUNDS OF FUNDS](#)
[FUND-OF-FUNDS PERFORMANCE](#)
[EFFECTS OF STYLE AND MANAGER CHOICE](#)
[PROSPECTS FOR MULTISTRATEGY FUNDS](#)
[CONCLUSION](#)
[QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

[CHAPTER 22 - HEDGE FUND DUE DILIGENCE](#)

PAYING CAREFUL ATTENTION
SHINING A BRIGHT LIGHT
CREATING A MOSAIC
HANDSHAKE BUSINESS

CHAPTER 23 - PUTTING RISK MEASUREMENT IN CONTEXT

MAKING THE GRADE
LEVERAGING RISK
MAXIMIZING VAR

CHAPTER 24 - CONDITIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION, REVISITED

FOREWORD
PREFACE
CONDITIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION, REVISITED
REVIEW OF CONDITIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
MEASURING THE STATES OF THE ECONOMY
EMPIRICAL MODELS
DATA
PERFORMANCE OF BROAD FUND GROUPS
INDIVIDUAL FUND PERFORMANCE
PERFORMANCE AND INDIVIDUAL-FUND
CHARACTERISTICS
MARKET TIMING
IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICING FINANCIAL ANALYSTS
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
APPENDIX 24A: ADDITIONAL TABLES
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 25 - DISTINGUISHING TRUE ALPHA FROM BETA

THE DIMENSIONS OF ACTIVE MANAGEMENT
DO HEDGE FUNDS CHARGE ALPHA FEES FOR BETA
PERFORMANCE?
POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR PENSION FUNDS AND OTHER
INVESTORS
QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION
NOTE

CHAPTER 26 - A PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE INDEX

ALTERNATIVES TO THE SHARPE RATIO
SHARPE RATIO MAXIMIZATION
BEHAVIORAL HYPOTHESIS
FINDING THE OPTIMAL PORTFOLIO: A DISTRIBUTION-
FREE APPROACH
EMPIRICAL EXAMPLE
CONCLUSIONS
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 27 - APPROXIMATING THE CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR SHARPE STYLE WEIGHTS

A PRIMER ON STYLE ANALYSIS
THE SIMULATION PROCEDURE
CONCLUSION
APPENDIX: APPROXIMATING THE CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
FOR SHARPE STYLE WEIGHTS
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 28 - THE STATISTICS OF SHARPE RATIOS

IID RETURNS
NON-IID RETURNS

TIME AGGREGATION
AN EMPIRICAL EXAMPLE
CONCLUSION
APPENDIX 28A: ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF SHARPE
RATIO ESTIMATORS
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 29 - RISK-ADJUSTED PERFORMANCE

THE PROBLEM
LUCK VERSUS SKILL
CORRELATION-ADJUSTED PORTFOLIO AND THE M-3
MEASURE
THE M-3 MODEL
RANKING MUTUAL FUNDS
EXTENSION TO MULTIPLE MUTUAL FUNDS
ADJUSTING FOR TIME
CAVEATS
CONCLUSIONS
APPENDIX 29A: DETERMINING a AND b
APPENDIX 29B: MULTIPLE MUTUAL FUNDS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 30 - INDEX CHANGES AND LOSSES TO INDEX FUND INVESTORS

INDEX CHANGES AND RETURN PATTERNS
LOSSES TO INDEX FUND INVESTORS
CORROBORATING EVIDENCE
LIMITATIONS OF TRACKING ERROR
IMPROVING INDEX CONSTRUCTION
CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 31 - INFORMATION RATIOS AND BATTING AVERAGES

THE GAME
RESULTS FOR VARIOUS INVESTMENT STRATEGIES
GOOD BATTERS ARE SKEWED
CONCLUSION
APPENDIX 31A: FINDING THE IR FROM THE BATTING AVERAGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 32 - THE INFORMATION RATIO

THE RATIO DEFINED
INTERPRETATIONS OF THE RATIO
THE SHARPE RATIO AND THE INFORMATION RATIO
INFORMATION RATIOS AND t-STATISTICS
ANNUALIZATION
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE ON INFORMATION RATIOS
CAVEATS
CONCLUSION
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 33 - DOES ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY EXPLAIN 40, 90, OR 100 PERCENT OF PERFORMANCE?

FRAMEWORK
DATA

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
CONCLUSION
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 34 - FUND MANAGEMENT CHANGES AND EQUITY STYLE SHIFTS

DATA
RESEARCH METHODS
RESULTS
CONCLUSIONS
NOTES
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 35 - MANAGING PERFORMANCE: MONITORING AND TRANSITIONING MANAGERS

BACKGROUND
SELECTING AN INVESTMENT MANAGER
MONITORING AN INVESTMENT MANAGER
CATALYSTS FOR CHANGING A MANAGER
TRANSFERRING A TAXABLE PORTFOLIO TO A NEW
MANAGER
CONCLUSION
QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION

CHAPTER 36 - DOES THE EMPEROR WEAR CLOTHES OR NOT? THE FINAL WORD (OR ALMOST) ...

EQUITY STRUCTURE
METHODOLOGY
RESULTS
TIME DEPENDENCY

FIXED-INCOME STRUCTURE
INVESTMENT IMPLICATIONS
CONCLUSIONS
REFERENCES

CHAPTER 37 - DOES HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE PREDICT FUTURE PERFORMANCE?

PREVIOUS RESEARCH
PERFORMANCE MEASURES
STYLE ANALYSIS
SURVIVORSHIP BIAS
THE DATA
METHODOLOGY
EQUITY RESULTS
FIXED-INCOME RESULTS
ACCOUNTING FOR FEES AND EXPENSES
SURVIVORSHIP BIAS
SUMMARY OF RESULTS
CONTEXT
INVESTMENT IMPLICATIONS
CONCLUSIONS
NOTES

CHAPTER 38 - EVALUATING FUND PERFORMANCE IN A DYNAMIC MARKET

A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE
DATA
TRADITIONAL MEASURES OF PERFORMANCE
CONDITIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
EXPLAINING BETA CHANGES
CONDITIONAL MARKET TIMING
CONCLUSIONS
NOTES

REFERENCES

CHAPTER 39 - INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

TOTAL FUND PERSPECTIVE
PERFORMANCE REPORTS
PERFORMANCE RELATIVE TO THE BENCHMARK
PEER GROUP COMPARISONS
PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS ANALYSIS
PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION
RISK ANALYSIS
TAKING ACTION
NOTES

CHAPTER 40 - THINKING OUTSIDE THE BOX: RISK MANAGEMENT FIRMS PUT A CREATIVE ...

A SIMPLE REQUEST
POWERFUL SOLUTIONS
ANSWERING THE CALL
THE 90/10 RULE
STRESSING THE DATA
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND SIMULATIONS
OUT OF THE BOX ...
... AND ONTO THE CUTTING EDGE
NOTE

PART V - GLOBAL INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

CHAPTER 41 - GLOBAL INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

BACKGROUND OF THE GIPS STANDARDS

[PROVISIONS OF THE GIPS STANDARDS](#)

[VERIFICATION](#)

[GIPS ADVERTISING GUIDELINES](#)

[OTHER ISSUES](#)

[NOTES](#)

[REFERENCES](#)

[APPENDIX A - GLOBAL INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE
STANDARDS \(GIPS®\).](#)

[APPENDIX B - CORRECTIONS TO GIPS STANDARDS 2005
ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS](#)

[INDEX](#)

CFA Institute Investment Perspectives Series is a thematically organized compilation of high-quality content developed to address the needs of serious investment professionals. The content builds on issues accepted by the profession in the CFA Institute Global Body of Investment Knowledge and explores less established concepts on the frontiers of investment knowledge. These books tap into a vast store of knowledge of prominent thought leaders who have focused their energies on solving complex problems facing the financial community.

CFA Institute is the global association for investment professionals. It administers the CFA® and CIPM curriculum and exam programs worldwide; publishes research; conducts professional development programs; and sets voluntary, ethics-based professional and performance-reporting standards for the investment industry. CFA Institute has more than 95,000 members, who include the world's 82,000 CFA charterholders, in 134 countries and territories, as well as 135 affiliated professional societies in 56 countries and territories.

www.cfainstitute.org

Research Foundation of CFA Institute is a not-for-profit organization established to promote the development and dissemination of relevant research for investment practitioners worldwide. Since 1965, the Research Foundation has emphasized research of practical value to investment professionals, while exploring new and challenging topics that provide a unique perspective in the rapidly evolving profession of investment management.

To carry out its work, the Research Foundation funds and publishes new research, supports the creation of literature reviews, sponsors workshops and seminars, and delivers online webcasts and audiocasts. Recent efforts from the Research Foundation have addressed a wide array of topics, ranging from private wealth management to quantitative tools for portfolio management.

www.cfainstitute.org/foundation

INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

Evaluating and Presenting Results

Philip Lawton, CFA, CIPM
Todd Jankowski, CFA



WILEY

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Copyright © 2009 by CFA Institute and The Research Foundation of CFA Institute.
All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 646-8600, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

Investment performance measurement : evaluating and presenting results/Philip Lawton, Todd Jankowski. p. cm.
Includes index.
eISBN : 978-0-470-47371-9

1. Investment analysis. 2. Investments. I. Lawton, Philip (John Philip) II.
Jankowski, Todd. HG4529..63'2042—dc22 2009004092

FOREWORD

Investment management firms and their relationship managers need to be able to communicate their results to clients clearly and fairly. Investors, portfolio managers, advisers, and consultants need to be able to evaluate these results and ascertain to what extent performance was attributable to asset allocation, security selection, or other decisions. Technology staff, accountants, and compliance officers also need to understand performance measurement to design and audit systems that generate these results.

The field of performance measurement has made great strides since Gray P. Brinson, L. Randolph Hood, and Gilbert L. Beebower published their pioneering work on attribution analysis in 1986 and the Committee for Performance Reporting Standards of the Financial Analysts Federation (a predecessor of CFA Institute) proposed the development of performance presentation standards in 1987. These Standards have developed progressively over the last 20 years through the work of CFA Institute and almost 30 country sponsors. Today, the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) articulate a set of industrywide ethical principles that provide investment firms with guidance on how to calculate and report their investment results. Furthermore, a professional designation program has developed for professionals desiring to specialize in this area: the Certificate in Investment Performance Measurement (CIPM®).

This volume provides the reader with the tools necessary to measure, present, and evaluate investment performance results. It is a compilation of some of the best writings on presenting and evaluating investment performance. These

include articles from the Research Foundation of CFA Institute, the *Financial Analysts Journal*, *CFA Institute Conference Proceedings Quarterly*, *CFA Magazine*, and the CIPM program. We are grateful to the distinguished team of authors for sharing their knowledge with investors and investment professionals through CFA Institute.

The 41 papers included here are organized in five sections beginning with an overview and followed by sections on performance measurement (what happened), performance attribution (why it happened), performance appraisal (how the investment manager did), and the Global Investment Performance Standards (how results should be presented).

CFA Institute is pleased to present *Investment Performance Measurement: Evaluating and Presenting Results*, the second in our CFA Institute Investment Perspectives series. We hope you will find it a useful guide and resource in performance measurement.

Robert R. Johnson, CFA
Deputy CEO
CFA Institute

INTRODUCTION

Evaluating performance insightfully and presenting it fairly are crucial to the vitality of an investment firm. Security analysts and portfolio managers make decisions under conditions of uncertainty about the relative attractiveness of market sectors and individual investments; the role of performance analysts is to explain the outcome of those decisions. At its best, the intelligent feedback provided by trained, experienced performance analysts can help the firm improve its decision process and refine its investment strategies, and the performance presentations they prepare can contribute to the firm's success in expanding client relationships and winning new business. Whether markets are rising or falling, resilient investment organizations value highly qualified performance professionals. Indeed, there is a curious countercyclicity to the demand for their expertise: It is when results are most disappointing that cogent explanations are most urgently needed.

In the chapter that opens this volume in the CFA Institute Investment Perspectives series, authors Jeffery V. Bailey, Thomas M. Richards, and David E. Tierney state that three questions arise in the process of evaluating the performance of an account—that is, a portfolio or a group of portfolios:

1. What was the account's performance?
2. Why did the account produce the observed performance?
3. Is the account's performance a result of luck or skill?

The first question falls in the domain of performance measurement, more narrowly defined in this context than in common usage. It is answered by calculating the account's rate of return over the evaluation period. Rate-of-return

calculations are relatively straightforward in the case of traditional, long-only equity portfolios holding assets denominated in a single currency, but they are appreciably thornier for portfolios with more esoteric strategies. Once the return of the portfolio has been determined, it remains to judge whether the results meet the client's expectations, usually by comparing the portfolio's return with the return of a valid benchmark. Bailey, Richards, and Tierney set forth widely accepted criteria of benchmark validity and useful tests of benchmark quality.

The second question belongs to the realm of performance attribution. It is answered by applying quantitative techniques to establish the sources of the portfolio's return relative to the benchmark (i.e., to determine which investment decisions added value and, of course, which ones did not). Here, too, the mathematics of attribution analysis is fairly easy to grasp in the case of single-currency, long-only equity portfolios considered over a single evaluation period, but it is more challenging for portfolios holding both long and short positions, measured over multiple periods, or invested in fixed-income securities, derivatives, and assets denominated in multiple currencies. Attribution analysis, often accompanied by portfolio characteristics analysis, enables proficient performance professionals to discern what the firm does well and not so well. It also facilitates productive dialogue with clients who may be reassured to find that the firm is investing as expected, following its mandate and adhering to its discipline even when the agreed-upon strategy is out of favor in the marketplace.

The third, and the most difficult and consequential, question pertains to performance appraisal. When conducting manager searches and monitoring managers' performance, institutional investors and their consultants seek to identify the investment firms most likely to produce

consistently favorable results—firms whose track records arise not merely from fortunate timing but from the competent, disciplined execution of coherent, evidence-based investment strategies. Luck may change at any moment, whereas in stable organizations, skillfulness may reasonably be expected to persist. Because it is costly to terminate an advisory relationship and transfer assets to a new manager, investors must select managers prudently, and if portfolio returns prove disappointing, as they sometimes will, investors must attempt to distinguish between a simple run of bad luck and a much more serious lack, or loss, of skill. It is generally acknowledged, however, that investors cannot definitively establish, in a realistic timeframe, whether investment results are because of the manager's skill or dumb luck. In practice, therefore, performance appraisal commonly focuses on related and somewhat more decidable issues, to wit, determining whether the manager has taken acceptable risks and whether, over time, the investor has been adequately compensated for them.

In addition to evaluating decisions made on behalf of existing clients, performance professionals employed by investment firms are responsible for preparing presentations for the use of prospective clients. Working in close collaboration with numerous other organizations over the last two decades, CFA Institute has been a leader in developing voluntary performance presentation standards that protect the interests of prospective clients. The Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) advance the ethical ideals of presenting investment results fairly and disclosing them fully. The Standards set forth minimum requirements and recommend best practices related to input data, calculation methodology, composite construction, disclosures, and the presentation and reporting of investment performance—all intended to

ensure that a firm claiming compliance gives prospective clients complete and accurate information about its historical results. Now widely endorsed (and still evolving), the GIPS standards are a signal contribution to the investment industry, benefiting investors and investment firms around the world. It behooves anyone with an interest in performance measurement to become familiar with them.

The foregoing survey of the field of investment performance measurement accounts for the way in which we have organized the papers selected for this specialized collection from the wealth of CFA Institute publications. Participants in the Certificate in Investment Performance Measurement (CIPM®) program will recognize some papers from their study of the curriculum; this volume contains most of the Principles-level readings and several Expert-level readings.[a](#)

OVERVIEW

The “Overview” section contains the outstanding essay, previously mentioned, by Jeffery V. Bailey, Thomas M. Richards, and David E. Tierney. “Evaluating Portfolio Performance” is a masterful introduction to performance measurement, attribution, and appraisal. The authors explain the algebra of time-weighted and money-weighted rates of return, evaluate various types of benchmarks (notably including custom security-based benchmarks), present a widely used method of attribution analysis for individual portfolios and a systematic approach to attribution analysis at the total fund level, and give a well-considered account of the objectives and techniques of performance appraisal, including *ex post* risk measures, quality control charts, and manager continuation policies. To those who are exploring the field for the first time, the value

of this paper is inestimable; however, we recommend it no less enthusiastically to readers long acquainted with the challenges of performance evaluation.

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

The section of this book devoted to performance measurement includes only one paper on rate-of-return calculations. In his important treatment of after-tax performance evaluation, James M. Poterba argues that the return calculation methodology should capture the contingent tax liability associated with unrealized gains held in the portfolio at the end of an evaluation period. For the rest, this section centers on issues surrounding the construction and selection of performance benchmarks.

Re-published here in full, Laurence B. Siegel's monograph "Benchmarks and Investment Management" recounts the historical development of benchmarking in the context of modern portfolio theory and judiciously addresses a range of fundamental and often contentious issues. By comparing the philosophies and methodologies of two major index providers, Christopher G. Luck illustrates how the choice of a benchmark can affect the behavior of active portfolio managers. Lee N. Price describes three progressively accurate techniques for approximating the after-tax return of a pre-tax benchmark. Arguing that generic, capitalization-weighted bond indices do not represent the true opportunity set for most fixed-income portfolios, William L. Nemerever suggests using derivative securities to construct alternative benchmarks. Brent Ambrose and Arthur Warga demonstrate that dollar-duration weighting results in significantly more reliable estimates of fixed-income portfolio yields than the conventional market-value-weighted approach. Finally, Crystal Detamore-Rodman presents the views of several