

---

*LIQUID  
CHROMATOGRAPHY  
TIME-OF-FLIGHT  
MASS SPECTROMETRY*  
Principles, Tools, and Applications for  
Accurate Mass Analysis

EDITED BY  
**IMMA FERRER**  
**E. MICHAEL THURMAN**



WILEY

A JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., PUBLICATION



*LIQUID  
CHROMATOGRAPHY  
TIME-OF-FLIGHT  
MASS SPECTROMETRY*

# CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

A SERIES OF MONOGRAPHS ON ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY  
AND ITS APPLICATIONS

*Series Editor*  
**J. D. WINEFORDNER**

Volume 173

A complete list of the titles in this series appears at the end of this volume.

---

*LIQUID  
CHROMATOGRAPHY  
TIME-OF-FLIGHT  
MASS SPECTROMETRY*  
Principles, Tools, and Applications for  
Accurate Mass Analysis

EDITED BY  
**IMMA FERRER**  
**E. MICHAEL THURMAN**



WILEY

A JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., PUBLICATION

Copyright © 2009 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.  
Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com). Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6088, or online at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permission>.

**Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty:** While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations of warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at [www.wiley.com](http://www.wiley.com).

***Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:***

Ferrer, Imma, 1970–

Liquid chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry : principles, tools, and applications for accurate mass analysis / Imma Ferrer, E.M. Thurman.

p. cm.

Includes index.

ISBN 978-0-470-13797-0 (cloth)

1. Liquid chromatography. 2. Time-of-flight mass spectrometry. I. Thurman, E. M. (Earl Michael), 1946– II. Title.

QD79.C454.F47 2008

543'.84—dc22

2008032176

Printed in the United States of America.

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

---

# CONTENTS

PREFACE  
CONTRIBUTORS

vii  
xi

## **PART I** PRINCIPLES AND THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF ACCURATE MASS

**CHAPTER 1** ACCURATE MASS MEASUREMENTS WITH ORTHOGONAL  
AXIS TIME-OF-FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETRY 3

---

*John C. Fjeldsted*

**CHAPTER 2** THE MASS DEFECT, ISOTOPE CLUSTERS, AND  
ACCURATE MASS FOR ELEMENTAL DETERMINATION 17

---

*E. Michael Thurman and Imma Ferrer*

## **PART II** TOOLS FOR UNKNOWN IDENTIFICATION USING ACCURATE MASS

**CHAPTER 3** ION COMPOSITIONS DETERMINED WITH  
INCREASING SIMPLICITY 35

---

*Andrew H. Grange and G. Wayne Sovocool*

**CHAPTER 4** EXISTENCE AND USE OF ACCURATE MASS DATABASES  
AND ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION TOOLS FOR TARGET  
AND NON-TARGET ANALYSES 57

---

*Imma Ferrer and E. Michael Thurman*

**CHAPTER 5** USE OF UPLC-(Q)TOF MS FOR RAPID SCREENING  
OF ORGANIC MICROPOLLUTANTS IN WATER 71

---

*Félix Hernández, Juan V. Sancho, and María Ibáñez*

**CHAPTER 6** THE USE OF ACCURATE MASS, ISOTOPE RATIOS, AND  
MS/MS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF PPCPs IN WATER 89

---

*Michael C. Zumwalt*

**PART III APPLICATIONS OF LC/TOF-MS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF SMALL MOLECULES**

- CHAPTER 7 APPLICATIONS OF LC/TOF-MS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD: WHEN DID IT START AND WHERE IS IT GOING?** 105

*Imma Ferrer and E. Michael Thurman*

- CHAPTER 8 LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY AND AMBIENT IONIZATION TIME-OF-FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETRY FOR THE ANALYSIS OF GENUINE AND COUNTERFEIT PHARMACEUTICALS** 113

*Facundo M. Fernández, Christina Y. Hampton, Leonard Nyadong, Arti Navare, and Mark Kwasnik*

- CHAPTER 9 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF VETERINARY DRUG RESIDUES BY SUB 2- $\mu$ m PARTICULATE HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY COLUMNS AND TIME-OF-FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETRY (UPLC-TOF)** 133

*Anton Kaufmann*

- CHAPTER 10 INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS OF LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY TIME-OF-FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETRY** 151

*Jeffrey R. Gilbert, Jesse L. Balcer, Scott A. Young, Dan A. Markham, Dennis O. Duebelbeis, and Paul Lewer*

- CHAPTER 11 COMPREHENSIVE TOXICOLOGICAL AND FORENSIC DRUG SCREENING BY LC/TOF-MS** 173

*Ilkka Ojanperä, Anna Pelander, and Suvi Ojanperä*

- CHAPTER 12 APPLICATION OF UPLC/TOF-MS FOR RESIDUE ANALYSIS OF VETERINARY DRUGS AND GROWTH-PROMOTING AGENTS IN PRODUCTS OF ANIMAL ORIGIN** 197

*Alida (Linda) A.M. Stolker*

- CHAPTER 13 ACCURATE MASS MEASUREMENTS WITH A REFLECTRON TIME-OF-FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETER AND THE DIRECT ANALYSIS IN REAL TIME (DART) INTERFACE FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF UNKNOWN COMPOUNDS BELOW MASSES OF 500 DA** 229

*O. David Sparkman, Patrick R. Jones, and Matthew Curtis*

- CHAPTER 14 COMPARISON OF LC/TOF-MS AND LC/MS-MS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF 100 PESTICIDES IN FOOD: FINDING THE "CROSSOVER POINT"** 247

*E. Michael Thurman, Imma Ferrer, Jerry A. Zweigenbaum, and Paul A. Zavitsanos*

---

# PREFACE

*“Most of the knowledge and much of the genius of a researcher lie behind ones selection of a problem worth doing.”*

*—Alan Gregg of the Rockefeller Foundation*

We finished our first book, *Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, MS/MS, and Time-of-Flight MS: Analysis of Emerging Contaminants*, six years ago now. Since then the subject of accurate mass analysis has increased exponentially from less than 100 papers to over a thousand. Instruments, too, have changed during this time. We have witnessed an increase in mass accuracy from greater than 5 ppm to less than 1 ppm! Resolving power has increased from 2000 to 5000 for benchtop TOF instruments to now routine 10,000 to 20,000. New accurate mass spectrometers have been developed, such as the Orbitrap, which is capable of resolving power of nearly 100,000, which is comparable to Fourier transform ion cyclotron mass spectrometers. Thus, we felt it was time to assemble a group of seasoned authors to write chapters on accurate mass analysis, including the fundamentals of accurate mass by liquid chromatography/time-of-flight mass spectrometry, the diverse tools of TOF such as exact-mass databases, isotopic mass defects, isotopic ratios and neutral losses, and the subject of accurate mass of fragment ions. We also have applications using TOF to identify unknowns and environmental problem solving for pesticides, pharmaceuticals, hormones, and natural products in food and water.

In the introduction of our last book on LC/MS/MS and LC/TOF-MS, we stated a group of future trends. Lets see if any of those trends have come true. First was the development of a searchable library for LC/MS and LC/MS/MS triple quadrupole. This prediction is coming true not for the triple quadrupole, but instead for LC/TOF-MS. The ability of TOF to give the elemental composition of a compound allows for the development of exact-mass databases. This idea plays an important role in several chapters in this book. The second prediction was the increased use of LC/TOF-MS and LC/Q/TOF-MS for elemental composition of unknowns and fragment ions. This is exactly what has happened. Furthermore, we see that LC/TOF-MS plays an important role here even without the ability to do MS/MS. This is because of the ability to do in-source CID (collision induced dissociation) and to use deconvolution software to unravel the fragment ions and align them with their respective precursor ion.

The third prediction was the routine use of LC/MS/MS triple quadrupole for routine monitoring. This is definitely true and we see that the LC/MS single quadrupole has its days numbered to be replaced by the triple quadrupole. Whereas the

LC/TOF-MS is staking out new ground separated from the LC/MS/MS triple quadrupole. On the other hand, the LC/Q/TOF-MS has not taken a large share of the environmental market away from the LC/TOF-MS. The fourth prediction was the direct analysis of water by triple quadrupole analysis. This prediction is still playing out as we see publication of more methods by LC/MS/MS triple quadrupole on water samples directly, especially as instrument limits of detection continue to go to lower and lower values. The LC/TOF-MS is competing with the triple quadrupole in the area of screening large numbers of samples; in fact one of the chapters in this book discusses the “crossover point” or the point where the limits of detection of both instruments are nearly equal.

But something new has entered the fray of direct sample analysis. It is the direct analysis of surfaces by two new methods of ionization called “DART” and “DESI.” These are both surface analysis methods using a similar ionization to electrospray to generate ions for subsequent analysis by LC/TOF-MS or LC/MS/MS. Several chapters address this new method of ionization for direct analysis of food and pharmaceuticals, something that was not imagined six years ago. The fifth prediction was that libraries of characteristic ions would be developed. We see this coming true, but at a slower pace than we might desire. New software is being developed to take full advantage of the power of sub 1-ppm mass accuracy of both precursor and product ions. The sixth and last prediction was the marriage of trap and TOF into a single instrument. Although this was accomplished in two ways, one by trap and TOF (Shimadzu) but also by trap and Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific), the expense of these instruments has nearly prevented their use in environmental analysis. So our future predictions have been near the mark, with a few surprises thrown in. Thus, we can expect similar exciting things in the next 5 to 10 years.

As we look into the future of LC/TOF-MS, what we see on the horizon are the following:

1. Large and universal databases for easy use via the internet. This would include software tools to help in the identification of true unknowns. A true unknown being a compound not in a database but identifiable via exact mass measurements of it and its fragment ions.
2. Next is a continued increase in resolving power, which is driven by the invention of a competitive instrument, the Orbitrap that has raised the bar of resolving power to 50,000 or more. Accuracy will be more difficult to improve on but will hover around the 1-ppm range.
3. The increased and routine use of LC/TOF-MS in the environmental market with retrospective analysis. This is the ability to return to old data files to discover the identity of unknown compounds and their degradates or metabolites.
4. The size of data files has increased from 50 megabyte files to 400 megabytes. As the resolving power continues to increase so does the memory capacity.
5. Fast chromatography will also play a role in accurate mass analysis. We predict that it will drive the use of LC/TOF-MS since it has the ability to do accurate analysis on 2 second peaks with not only good accuracy but with 5 to

10 scans per peak for excellent chromatographic resolution and excellent mass measurement.

This book compiles the work of many authors who are pioneering the advent of time-of-flight techniques applied to environmental problems. Interestingly enough we came up with a group of scientists who use a diversity of commercially available accurate mass instruments as well. We thought it was worthy to mention the commercial companies that make these analyses possible. In this book, many interesting examples are given for time-of-flight mass spectrometers from (in alphabetical order): Agilent, Bruker Daltonics, Jeol, and Waters.

In summary, we thank all of our authors who have contributed their time, intellect, and effort to this new book. Thank you to everyone.

*Imma Ferrer*  
*Mike Thurman*

*Longmont, Colorado*  
*April 2009*



---

# *CONTRIBUTORS*

**Facundo M. Fernández**, Christina Y. Hampton, Leonard Nyadong, Arti Navare, and Mark Kwasnik

Assistant Professor, School of Chemistry and Biochemistry  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA

**Imma Ferrer**

Research Scientist, Center for Environmental Mass Spectrometry (CEMS)  
Department of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering  
University of Colorado  
Boulder, CO

**John C. Fjeldsted**

Director, Research and Development, LC/MS Division  
Agilent Technologies, Inc.  
Santa Clara, CA

**Jeffrey R. Gilbert**, Jesse L. Balcer, Scott A. Young, Dan A. Markham, Dennis O. Duebelbeis, and Paul Lewer

Technical Leader, Mass Spectrometry CofE  
Dow AgroSciences  
Indianapolis, IN

**Andrew H. Grange** and G. Wayne Sovocool

Research Scientist, Environmental Chemistry Branch  
U.S. EPA, ORD, NERL, Environmental Sciences Division  
Las Vegas, NV

**Félix Hernández**, Juan V. Sancho, and María Ibáñez

Research Scientist, Research Institute for Pesticides and Water  
University Jaume I  
Castellón, Spain

**Anton Kaufmann**

Research Scientist, Official Food Control Authority of the Canton of Zurich  
Kantonales Labor Zürich  
Zürich, Switzerland

**xii** CONTRIBUTORS

**Ilkka Ojanperä**, Anna Pelander, and Suvi Ojanperä  
Laboratory Director, Department of Forensic Medicine  
University of Helsinki  
Helsinki, Finland

**O. David Sparkman**, Patrick R. Jones, and Matthew Curtis  
Professor, Pacific Mass Spectrometry Facility, Department of Chemistry  
College of the Pacific, University of the Pacific  
Stockton, CA

**Alida (Linda) A.M. Stolker**  
Research Scientist, Analysis and Research/Veterinary Drugs  
RIKILT- Institute of Food Safety  
Wageningen, The Netherlands

**E. Michael Thurman**, Jerry A. Zweigenbaum, and Paul A. Zavitsanos  
Director, Center for Environmental Mass Spectrometry (CEMS)  
Department of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering  
University of Colorado  
Boulder, CO

**Michael C. Zumwalt**  
LC-MS Product Specialist, LC&LC/MS Solutions  
Agilent Technologies, Inc.  
Englewood, CO

PART

**I**

---

*PRINCIPLES AND  
THEORETICAL  
ASPECTS OF  
ACCURATE MASS*



# ACCURATE MASS MEASUREMENTS WITH ORTHOGONAL AXIS TIME-OF- FLIGHT MASS SPECTROMETRY

*John C. Fjeldsted*

Director, Research and Development, LC/MS Division, Agilent Technologies, Inc.,  
Santa Clara, California

**A**N APPEALING attribute of time-of-flight mass spectrometry is its fundamental simplicity. It is easy to conceptualize that for a given population of ions all accelerated to the same energy those with the lightest mass will travel with a greater velocity and reach an end point sooner. Simply recording these arrival times and providing a calibration function converts ion arrival times to mass values and produces a simple spectrum.

From simple beginnings time-of-flight has transformed itself into a very powerful analytical tool. The success of orthogonal axis time-of-flight mass spectrometry is the result of both fundamental improvements in analyzer geometry and design as well as technological advances such as those offered by ultra-high-speed signal digitization systems.

This chapter is aimed at giving the practitioner a basic understanding of the underlying theory of TOF mass analysis and instrumental factors critical to achieving the high performance required to meet today's demanding applications.

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Since the introduction of commercial orthogonal axis–time-of-flight (oa-TOF) instruments in the mid-1990s, significant improvements have been made to both atmospheric sampling ion sources and TOF mass analysis. Today several manufacturers offer MS and MS/MS instruments which take advantage of oa-TOF's unique combination of speed, sensitivity, resolving power, and mass accuracy. In

combination with a liquid chromatograph oa-TOF is a power tool for the analysis and identification of trace organic substances.

### 1.1.1 History of Time-of-Flight Mass Analysis

The origin of time-of-flight mass spectrometry dates back to 1946 when Stephens presented the concept at the American Physical Society [1] and was first demonstrated by Cameron and Eggers with the analysis of mercury vapor [2]. An important advance in resolving power was achieved by Wiley and McLaren [3] in 1955 with the introduction of space focusing. With additional improvements in ion detection, resolving powers up to 300 were achievable. In 1958 the first commercial TOF instrument was manufactured by Bendix [4]. Due to the high-speed measurement associated with TOF, spectra were recorded with electronic oscilloscopes.

The next critical development needed for TOF was a means of overcoming the effects of initial ion energy spread on flight time. In 1966 Mamyrin, in his doctorate thesis [5], put forth the concept of refocusing ion energy spread which he confirmed by measurement in 1971 [6].

The development of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) brought a resurgence in TOF mass analysis in the 1980s and 1990s. In contrast to pulsed ionization development, effective coupling to continuous ion sources was first proposed by Dawson and Guilhaus [7, 8] in 1989 and reported by Dodonov et al. in 1991 [9]. The resulting instrumental design is referred to as orthogonal axis time-of-flight mass spectrometry (oa-TOF) and has steadily grown in use due to the wide spread adoption of atmospheric pressure ionization and in particular electrospray ionization. See references [10, 11] for in depth history and instrumental details.

## 1.2 THEORY OF OPERATION

---

### 1.2.1 Equations for Time-of-Flight

The flight time for each ion of particular  $m/z$  is unique. The flight time begins when a high-voltage pulse is applied to the back plate of the ion pulser (see Figure 1.1) and ends when the ions of interest strike the detector. The flight time ( $t$ ) is established by the energy ( $E$ ) to which an ion is accelerated, the distance ( $d$ ) it has to travel, and its mass (strictly speaking its mass-to-charge ratio).

There are two well-known formulae that apply to time-of-flight analysis. One is the formula for kinetic energy, the energy of an object (or an ion) in motion, which is expressed as:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

which solved for  $m$  becomes:

$$m = 2E/v^2$$




Figure 1.1. Orthogonal axis time-of-flight mass spectrometers with the configuration on the left showing linear geometry and the configuration on the right incorporating an ion mirror.

The second equation is the familiar equation where velocity ( $v$ ) equals distance ( $d$ ) divided by time ( $t$ ) or:

$$v = d/t$$

Combining the first and second equations yields:

$$m = (2E/d^2)t^2$$

This gives us the basic time-of-flight relationship. For a given energy ( $E$ ) and distance ( $d$ ) the mass is proportional to the square of the ion flight time.

The equation stipulates that for a given kinetic energy,  $E$ , smaller masses will have larger velocities, and larger masses will have smaller velocities. Hence, ions with lower masses arrive at the detector earlier, as shown in Figure 1.1.

In the design of an oa-TOF mass spectrometer, much effort is devoted to holding the values of the energy (determined by the high voltages) and the distance the ion travels constant, so that an accurate measurement of flight time will give an accurate mass value. As these terms are held constant they are often combined into a single variable,  $A$ , so:

$$m = A(t)^2$$

This is an ideal equation, based on true flight times. In practice, there is a delay from the time the control electronics send a start pulse to the time that high voltage is actually present on the ion pulser plates. There is also a delay from the time an ion reaches the front surface of the ion detector until the signal generated by that ion is digitized by the acquisition electronics. These delays are very short, but not insignificant. Because the true flight time cannot be measured, it is necessary to correct the measured time,  $t_m$ , by subtracting the sum of both the start and stop delay times which, when added together, are referred to as  $t_0$ .

$$t = t_m - t_o$$

By substitution, the basic formula that can be applied for actual measurements becomes:

$$m = A(t_m - t_o)^2$$

## 1.2.2 Mass Calibration

To make the conversion from measured flight time,  $t_m$ , to mass, the values of  $A$  and  $t_o$  must be determined, so a calibration is performed. A mixture of compounds whose exact masses are known with great accuracy is analyzed. Then a simple table (Table 1.1) is established of the flight times and corresponding known masses.

Now that  $m$  and  $t_m$  are known for a number of values across the mass range, the computer that is receiving data from the instrument does the calculations to determine  $A$  and  $t_o$ . Using an intelligent algorithm, it tries different values of  $A$  and  $t_o$  until the right side of the calibration equation,

$$m = A(t_m - t_o)^2$$

matches as closely as possible the left side of the equation ( $m$ ), for all seven of the mass values in the calibration mix.

While this initial determination of  $A$  and  $t_o$  is highly accurate, it is still not accurate enough to give the best possible mass accuracy for time-of-flight analysis. A second calibration step is needed. After the calibration coefficients  $A$  and  $t_o$  have been determined, a comparison is made between the actual mass values for the calibration masses and their calculated values from the equation. These typically deviate by only a few parts-per-million (ppm). Because these deviations are small and relatively constant over time, it is possible to perform a second-pass correction to achieve an even better mass calibration. This is done via an equation that corrects the small deviations across the entire mass range. This correction equation (typically a higher-order polynomial function) is stored as part of the instrument calibration. The remaining mass error after this two-step calibration method, neglecting all other instrumental factors, is typically at or below 1 ppm over the range of calibration masses.

**TABLE 1.1. TOF Mass Calibration**

| Calibrant Compound Mass (m) | Flight Time ( $\mu$ sec) ( $t_m$ ) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 118.0863                    | 20.79841                           |
| 322.0481                    | 33.53829                           |
| 622.029                     | 46.12659                           |
| 922.0098                    | 55.88826                           |
| 1521.971                    | 71.45158                           |
| 2121.933                    | 84.14302                           |
| 2721.895                    | 95.13425                           |

### 1.2.3 TOF Measurement Cycle

TOF measurements do not rely on the arrival times of ions coming from just a single pulse applied to the ion pulser, but instead are summations of the signals resulting from many pulses. Each time a high voltage is triggered to the plates of the ion pulser, a new spectrum (called a single transient) is recorded by the data acquisition system. This is added to previous transients until a predetermined number of sums have been made. For analyses requiring a scan speed of one spectrum per second, approximately 10,000 transients can be summed before transferring the data from the instrument back to the host computer to be written to disk. If the target application involves high-speed chromatography, then fewer transients are summed and the rate at which spectra are recorded is increased.

The mass range limits the number of times per second that the ion pulser can be triggered and transients recorded. Once the ion pulser fires, it is necessary to wait until the last mass of interest arrives at the ion detector before the ion pulser is triggered again. Otherwise light ions triggered from the second transient would arrive before the heavier ions of the first transient, resulting in overlapping spectra.

Table 1.2 shows several example masses with their approximate flight times and possible transients/second. These are calculated for an effective flight length of 2 meters and an accelerating potential of 6500 volts. Under these conditions, a mass of 3200 has a flight time of about 100 microseconds ( $\mu\text{sec}$ ). As there is essentially no delay time between transients, this means that 10,000 transients/second correspond to a mass range of 3200  $m/z$ . For a smaller mass range, the ion pulser can be triggered at higher rates. For example, a mass range of 800  $m/z$  (one-fourth of 3200  $m/z$ ) reduces the flight time to  $0.1 \text{ msec}/\sqrt{4}$ , or 0.05 milliseconds, allowing for 20,000 transients/second. Conversely, extending the transient to 0.141 milliseconds doubles the mass range to 6400  $m/z$  (remember, mass is a function of the time squared).

Because each transient takes place in such a short period of time, the number of ions for any particular compound at a specific mass is often quite small and for many oa-TOF instruments traditionally substantially less than one. Historically, this fact plays an important role in the basic design of the data acquisition system of many of today's commercial instruments.

**TABLE 1.2. Flight Time and Transients/Second as a Function of Mass\***

| $m/z$ | Flight Time ( $\mu\text{sec}$ ) | Transients/sec |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 800   | 50                              | 20,000         |
| 3200  | 100                             | 10,000         |
| 6400  | 141                             | 7070           |

\*Two-meter flight effective flight path, flight potential 6500 V.

## 1.3 THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MASS RESOLVING POWER AND MASS ACCURACY

---

### 1.3.1 Instrumental Limitation to Mass Resolving Power

Even in the case of an ideal mass spectrometer which exhibited perfect ion acceleration and flight distance stability there exists factors that produce variations in the ion arrival time for a given mass. The major source of variation arises from the position and energy each ion possess in the ion pulser at the instant that the high-voltage pulse initiates each transient measurement cycle.

The first of these two potential sources of variation, position in the ion pulser, is largely corrected for using Wiley-McLaren space focusing [3, 12]. Under Wiley-McLaren space focusing, a potential gradient is established across the ion pulser region. With a higher potential at the rear of the pulser region, these ions which have a greater distance to travel also receive a greater acceleration potential. The result is that the ions accelerated from the rear of the ion pulser actually “catch up” with those closer to the front of the pulser and are therefore refocused in time.

The second source for variation in ion arrival time is related to the initial energy of each ion in the ion pulser and is commonly referred to as the “turnaround time.” To understand this effect consider two ions of equal mass and both at the same identical position in the ion pulser. The first of these two ions has a small residual energy in line with the field that the pulser applies to accelerate the ions for the time-of-flight measurement. The second has the same small residual energy, but its velocity is directed against the orthogonal accelerating field. At the instant the high-voltage pulser is triggered the first ion accelerates quickly in the desired direction. For a brief moment, the second ion travels in the opposite direction until its initial energy is lost, then re-accelerates past its origin, delayed from the launch of the first ion by a short period of time. The turn around time,  $\Delta t$ , can be calculated by the expression

$$\Delta t = 2v/a$$

where  $v$  is initial ion velocity directed against the desired orthogonal flight path and  $a$  is the ion acceleration in pulser field.

There are three principal approaches to minimize the broadening of ion arrival time. The first is to minimize the energy spread of the beam entering the ion pulser. Most instruments take advantage of beam forming optics and slits to minimize beam divergence entering the pulser. For clarification, it is the energy of the ions orthogonal to entry into the pulser (i.e., the axis of the time of flight measurement) that is critical.

The second instrumental factor is to increase the potential applied to the plates that accelerate the ions in the ion pulser thereby increasing the accelerating field. This plays together with the instrumental requirements for Wiley-McLaren space focusing. In practice it also creates a rather large spread in ion energy for ions exiting the ion pulser. It is for this reason that all oa-TOF instruments make use of an ion mirror that compensates for this energy spread.

The reflectron or Mamyrin ion mirror [6] is placed at the end of the flight tube where in “linear” instruments the ion detector is positioned. The mirror is constructed with a stacked set of metal rings each having a higher electrical potential applied. Ions penetrate the field established by these rings until they lose all forward energy and their direction of travel is reversed. The greater the energy the ions possess entering the ion mirror, the greater the penetration and resulting distance that is traveled. The potential field in the ion mirror is precisely established so that the time required to travel the additional distance is exactly canceled by the ion’s greater ion energy.

The third instrumental factor is to increase the flight time of the measured ions. Because mass is proportional to the square of ion arrival time the mass resolving power is one half the ion arrival time ( $t$ ) divided by the spread in ion arrival time ( $\Delta t$ ), which is principally the ion turnaround time:

$$\text{Mass Resolving Power} = t/(2\Delta t)$$

For a given acceleration potential the ion arrival time, and hence the mass resolving power, is established by the length of the ion flight path. With a 2 meter effective flight path and a corresponding flight time of  $50\mu\text{sec}$  a turnaround time of  $1.67\text{nsec}$  results in a resolving power of 15,000 using the mass resolving power equation above.

### 1.3.2 The Effect of Ion Detection on Resolving Power

In an ideal instrument the exact arrival time for each ion would be detected and recorded without any effect on the actual ion population being measured. In reality, with high ion currents and an arrival time variation on the order of 1 nsec this becomes very challenging to achieve instrumentally. While detector response times can reach down to the 100s of picoseconds, this is still insufficient with high-sensitivity designs that can present up to 100s of ions within this 1 nsec spread. Under such conditions, detecting individual ion arrival times is not possible. To get around this challenge two different approaches have been developed.

The historical approach for time-of-flight ion arrival detection is based on a Time to Digital Converter (TDC) together with a discriminator to selectively register an arrival when the output of the ion detector crosses a set threshold. This approach was considered important so as to maximize ion detection and reduce electronic noise. The limitation when using such a threshold detection system is that, as sample levels increase, multiple ion arrivals occur for a given mass within a single transient. When such is the case two consequences occur. The first is that the earliest ion to arrive for a given mass triggers the TDC with some of the later part of the distribution being missed. This results in an apparent shift towards lower arrival times and hence a shift towards lower reported mass. As ion intensity continues to increase, individual ions have coinciding arrival times which results in only a single response, thereby truncating the recorded signal. This causes an amplitude saturation effect, and for this reason, oa-TOF has historically not been considered suitable for quantitative analysis.

One approach found in commercial instrumentation to extended dynamic range is through the use of a segmented detector and a multi-input TDC. By reduction of the ion capture area of an individual segment, a higher signal level can be accommodated.

More recently, some instruments that use TDC acquisition systems have incorporated controllable beam attenuator which can be automatically invoked by the instrument acquisition system to decrease the ion transmission before it is pulsed for mass measurement. This results in an increase of dynamic range, but at the cost of losing ion signal which ultimately limits mass accuracy and reduces in-scan dynamic range.

A more recent approach to recording ion arrival time for oa-TOF instruments makes use of high-speed Analog to Digital Converter (ADC) technology. Unlike the discriminator TDC approach, an ADC is able to measure the analog response of the ion detector and can track the ion abundance as it increases. This gives ADC-based systems greater intrinsic dynamic range. The downside for ADC-based systems can be found in the fact that included in the ion arrival measurement is an apparent broadening of the ion arrival distribution. This can be explained when one considers that the analog response of the ion detector has a finite width which gets summed into digitization process.

### 1.3.3 Translating Mass Resolving Power to Mass Accuracy

At this point we have determined a nominal mass resolving power for an oa-TOF mass spectrometer. A resolving power specification of 15,000 is shown for  $m/z$  1500 in Figure 1.2. A simple calculation gives us the peak width (FWHM) of this mass peak of  $0.10 m/z$ .

If the mass measurement obtained from the mass spectrometer pertains only to that of single ions then the mass accuracy is directly related to the resolving power. As measurement accuracy is generally specified using standard deviation, conver-

$$\text{FWHM} = 2\sqrt{2\ln(2)} \sigma \sim 2.35 \sigma$$




Figure 1.2. Relationship between FWHM and standard deviation for a normal distribution.

sion between a peak's full width half maximum value and standard deviation is required.

Considering a mass at  $m/z$  1500 and a resolving power of 15,000 the observed FWHM peak width is  $0.1 m/z$ . The standard deviation for individual ion arrival ( $1\sigma$ ) is equal to  $0.043 m/z$ . It is customary to specify the mass accuracy as a relative unit expressed in parts per million, or in this case 28 ppm ( $1\sigma$ ). As  $\pm 1\sigma$  represents only 68.3% of the measurement distribution,  $2\sigma$  values representing 95.4% of the measurement distribution are often specified.

Absent from the above calculations of mass measurement accuracy is the consideration that each mass measurement is the summation of a large number of transients and generally a large number of ions which populate the arrival distribution. The relationship between the number of ions contributing to the distribution reduces the uncertainty when establishing the mean. This improvement in mass measurement accuracy follows the central limit theorem according to

$$\sigma_{\text{mean}} = \sigma_{\text{single event}} / \sqrt{n}$$

where  $n$  = number of single events included in the mean.

Continuing the previous example, the distribution for a single ion arrival with a resolving power of 15,000 has a  $2\sigma$  confidence interval of 56 ppm, the accuracy of assigning the mean is reduced by the square root of the number of ions detected (see Table 1.3).

The number of ions detected in a measurement is dependent on the quantity of analyte and the sensitivity of the mass spectrometer. While mass spectrometers vary in ionization and transmission efficiency, for standard electrospray operation it is estimated that 1 ng of a reasonably well ionizing analyte results in between 10,000 and 100,000 detected ions depending on the instrument. For fragment ions of an MS/MS spectrum this value is typically reduced by the fraction of ions associated in the MS/MS transition of interest. When based solely on resolving power and ion statistics, oa-TOF can achieve 2 ppm mass accuracy with as little as 10 pg when measured with the most sensitive of instruments.

**TABLE 1.3. Relationship Between Ion Statistics and Theoretical Limit of Mass Measurement Accuracy for a Resolving Power of 15,000**

| Number of Ions Detected | 2 sigma (95% confidence) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1                       | 56 ppm                   |
| 10                      | 18 ppm                   |
| 100                     | 5.6 ppm                  |
| 1000                    | 1.8 ppm                  |
| 10,000                  | 0.56 ppm                 |
| 100,000                 | 0.18 ppm                 |

## 1.4 OPERATIONAL FACTORS AND PRACTICAL LIMITS

---

### 1.4.1 Reference Mass Correction

Achieving an accurate mass calibration is the first step in producing accurate mass measurements. When the goal is to achieve accuracies at the 1 ppm level, even the most miniscule changes in accelerating potentials or flight distances can cause a noticeable shift. It is possible to cancel out these instrumental drift factors with the use of reference mass recalibration. With this technique, compounds of known mass are introduced into the ion source while samples are being analyzed. The presence of reference masses in the acquired spectrum allows calibration corrections to be made on individual or averaged mass spectra. This can be accomplished by the data processing software, or ideally it is performed automatically during the analysis as spectra are initially stored to disk.

### 1.4.2 Mass Assignment Errors Due to Chemical Interferences

The second significant factor that limits mass accuracy is chemical background. The high resolving power of a TOF system helps to reduce the chances of having the peak of interest merged with background, yet even a small unresolved impurity can shift the centroid of the expected mass. The magnitude of this effect can be estimated by using a simple weighted average calculation:

$$\Delta_{\text{obs}} = \Delta_{\text{contaminant}} \cdot \text{Abd}_{\text{contaminant}} / (\text{Abd}_{\text{contaminant}} + \text{Abd}_{\text{sample}})$$

where

$\Delta_{\text{obs}}$  is the observed shift in mass in ppm

$\Delta_{\text{contaminant}}$  is the mass difference between the sample and contaminant in ppm

$\text{Abd}_{\text{contaminant}}$  and  $\text{Abd}_{\text{sample}}$  are the mass peak heights or areas of the contaminant and sample

For a resolving power of 10,000, a mass difference between the sample and contaminant of 50 ppm, and relative mass peak heights of 10:1 (sample vs background) the observed mass shift would be  $50 \cdot 1 / (1 + 10)$  or about 5 ppm.

There are a number of ways to minimize chemical background. Of instrumental importance is to use system with a sealed ion source chamber that minimizes contamination from the laboratory air. It is also essential to use high-purity HPLC solvents and follow a systematic cleaning program for the HPLC and the ion source.

### 1.4.3 Enhanced Performance Through Novel Acquisition Systems

The combination of ultra-high-speed sampling and real-time signal processing enables two new modes of operation. They are referred to as Transient Level Peak Picking (TLPP) and simultaneous dual gain mode. In TLPP mode, as each TOF

# CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

A SERIES OF MONOGRAPHS ON ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY  
AND ITS APPLICATIONS

*Series Editor*

**J. D. WINEFORDNER**

- Vol. 1 **The Analytical Chemistry of Industrial Poisons, Hazards, and Solvents.** *Second Edition.*  
By the late Morris B. Jacobs
- Vol. 2 **Chromatographic Adsorption Analysis.** By Harold H. Strain (*out of print*)
- Vol. 3 **Photometric Determination of Traces of Metals.** *Fourth Edition*  
Part I: General Aspects. By E. B. Sandell and Hiroshi Onishi  
Part IIA: Individual Metals, Aluminum to Lithium. By Hiroshi Onishi  
Part IIB: Individual Metals, Magnesium to Zirconium. By Hiroshi Onishi
- Vol. 4 **Organic Reagents Used in Gravimetric and Volumetric Analysis.** By John F. Flagge  
(*out of print*)
- Vol. 5 **Aquametry: A Treatise on Methods for the Determination of Water.** *Second Edition*  
(*in three parts*). By John Mitchell, Jr. and Donald Milton Smith
- Vol. 6 **Analysis of Insecticides and Acaricides.** By Francis A. Gunther and Roger C. Blinn  
(*out of print*)
- Vol. 7 **Chemical Analysis of Industrial Solvents.** By the late Morris B. Jacobs and Leopold Schetlan
- Vol. 8 **Colorimetric Determination of Nonmetals.** *Second Edition.* Edited by the late David F. Boltz and James A. Howell
- Vol. 9 **Analytical Chemistry of Titanium Metals and Compounds.** By Maurice Codell
- Vol. 10 **The Chemical Analysis of Air Pollutants.** By the late Morris B. Jacobs
- Vol. 11 **X-Ray Spectrochemical Analysis.** *Second Edition.* By L. S. Birks
- Vol. 12 **Systematic Analysis of Surface-Active Agents.** *Second Edition.* By Milton J. Rosen and Henry A. Goldsmith
- Vol. 13 **Alternating Current Polarography and Tensammetry.** By B. Breyer and H. H. Bauer
- Vol. 14 **Flame Photometry.** By R. Herrmann and J. Alkemade
- Vol. 15 **The Titration of Organic Compounds** (*in two parts*). By M. R. F. Ashworth
- Vol. 16 **Complexation in Analytical Chemistry: A Guide for the Critical Selection of Analytical Methods Based on Complexation Reactions.** By the late Anders Ringbom
- Vol. 17 **Electron Probe Microanalysis.** *Second Edition.* By L. S. Birks
- Vol. 18 **Organic Complexing Reagents: Structure, Behavior, and Application to Inorganic Analysis.** By D. D. Perrin
- Vol. 19 **Thermal Analysis.** *Third Edition.* By Wesley Wm. Wendlandt
- Vol. 20 **Amperometric Titrations.** By John T. Stock
- Vol. 21 **Reflectance Spectroscopy.** By Wesley Wm. Wendlandt and Harry G. Hecht
- Vol. 22 **The Analytical Toxicology of Industrial Inorganic Poisons.** By the late Morris B. Jacobs
- Vol. 23 **The Formation and Properties of Precipitates.** By Alan G. Walton
- Vol. 24 **Kinetics in Analytical Chemistry.** By Harry B. Mark, Jr. and Garry A. Rechnitz
- Vol. 25 **Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy.** *Second Edition.* By Morris Slavin
- Vol. 26 **Characterization of Organometallic Compounds** (*in two parts*). Edited by Minoru Tsutsui
- Vol. 27 **Rock and Mineral Analysis.** *Second Edition.* By Wesley M. Johnson and John A. Maxwell
- Vol. 28 **The Analytical Chemistry of Nitrogen and Its Compounds** (*in two parts*). Edited by C. A. Streuli and Philip R. Averell
- Vol. 29 **The Analytical Chemistry of Sulfur and Its Compounds** (*in three parts*). By J. H. Karchmer
- Vol. 30 **Ultraamicro Elemental Analysis.** By Güther Toölg
- Vol. 31 **Photometric Organic Analysis** (*in two parts*). By Eugene Sawicki
- Vol. 32 **Determination of Organic Compounds: Methods and Procedures.** By Frederick T. Weiss
- Vol. 33 **Masking and Demasking of Chemical Reactions.** By D. D. Perrin

- Vol. 34 **Neutron Activation Analysis.** By D. De Soete, R. Gijbels, and J. Hoste
- Vol. 35 **Laser Raman Spectroscopy.** By Marvin C. Tobin
- Vol. 36 **Emission Spectrochemical Analysis.** By Morris Slavin
- Vol. 37 **Analytical Chemistry of Phosphorus Compounds.** Edited by M. Halmann
- Vol. 38 **Luminescence Spectrometry in Analytical Chemistry.** By J. D. Winefordner, S. G. Schulman, and T. C. O'Haver
- Vol. 39 **Activation Analysis with Neutron Generators.** By Sam S. Nargolwalla and Edwin P. Przybylowicz
- Vol. 40 **Determination of Gaseous Elements in Metals.** Edited by Lynn L. Lewis, Laben M. Melnick, and Ben D. Holt
- Vol. 41 **Analysis of Silicones.** Edited by A. Lee Smith
- Vol. 42 **Foundations of Ultracentrifugal Analysis.** By H. Fujita
- Vol. 43 **Chemical Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy.** By Peter R. Griffiths
- Vol. 44 **Microscale Manipulations in Chemistry.** By T. S. Ma and V. Horak
- Vol. 45 **Thermometric Titrations.** By J. Barthel
- Vol. 46 **Trace Analysis: Spectroscopic Methods for Elements.** Edited by J. D. Winefordner
- Vol. 47 **Contamination Control in Trace Element Analysis.** By Morris Zief and James W. Mitchell
- Vol. 48 **Analytical Applications of NMR.** By D. E. Leyden and R. H. Cox
- Vol. 49 **Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen.** By Michael L. Hitchman
- Vol. 50 **Analytical Laser Spectroscopy.** Edited by Nicolo Omenetto
- Vol. 51 **Trace Element Analysis of Geological Materials.** By Roger D. Reeves and Robert R. Brooks
- Vol. 52 **Chemical Analysis by Microwave Rotational Spectroscopy.** By Ravi Varma and Lawrence W. Hrubesh
- Vol. 53 **Information Theory as Applied to Chemical Analysis.** By Karl Eckschlager and Vladimir Stepanek
- Vol. 54 **Applied Infrared Spectroscopy: Fundamentals, Techniques, and Analytical Problemsolving.** By A. Lee Smith
- Vol. 55 **Archaeological Chemistry.** By Zvi Goffer
- Vol. 56 **Immobilized Enzymes in Analytical and Clinical Chemistry.** By P. W. Carr and L. D. Bowers
- Vol. 57 **Photoacoustics and Photoacoustic Spectroscopy.** By Allan Rosencwaig
- Vol. 58 **Analysis of Pesticide Residues.** Edited by H. Anson Moye
- Vol. 59 **Affinity Chromatography.** By William H. Scouten
- Vol. 60 **Quality Control in Analytical Chemistry. Second Edition.** By G. Kateman and L. Buydens
- Vol. 61 **Direct Characterization of Fineparticles.** By Brian H. Kaye
- Vol. 62 **Flow Injection Analysis.** By J. Ruzicka and E. H. Hansen
- Vol. 63 **Applied Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis.** Edited by Hassan Windawi and Floyd Ho
- Vol. 64 **Analytical Aspects of Environmental Chemistry.** Edited by David F. S. Natusch and Philip K. Hopke
- Vol. 65 **The Interpretation of Analytical Chemical Data by the Use of Cluster Analysis.** By D. Luc Massart and Leonard Kaufman
- Vol. 66 **Solid Phase Biochemistry: Analytical and Synthetic Aspects.** Edited by William H. Scouten
- Vol. 67 **An Introduction to Photoelectron Spectroscopy.** By Pradip K. Ghosh
- Vol. 68 **Room Temperature Phosphorimetry for Chemical Analysis.** By Tuan Vo-Dinh
- Vol. 69 **Potentiometry and Potentiometric Titrations.** By E. P. Serjeant
- Vol. 70 **Design and Application of Process Analyzer Systems.** By Paul E. Mix
- Vol. 71 **Analysis of Organic and Biological Surfaces.** Edited by Patrick Echlin
- Vol. 72 **Small Bore Liquid Chromatography Columns: Their Properties and Uses.** Edited by Raymond P. W. Scott
- Vol. 73 **Modern Methods of Particle Size Analysis.** Edited by Howard G. Barth
- Vol. 74 **Auger Electron Spectroscopy.** By Michael Thompson, M. D. Baker, Alec Christie, and J. F. Tyson
- Vol. 75 **Spot Test Analysis: Clinical, Environmental, Forensic and Geochemical Applications.** By Ervin Jungreis