Rikin Trivedi · Luca Saba Jasjit S. Suri *Editors*

3D Imaging Technologies in Atherosclerosis



3D Imaging Technologies in Atherosclerosis

Rikin Trivedi • Luca Saba • Jasjit S. Suri Editors

3D Imaging Technologies in Atherosclerosis



Editors Rikin Trivedi Department of Neurosurgery Addenbrookes Hospital Cambridge, UK

Jasjit S. Suri AtheroPoint LLC Roseville, CA, USA Luca Saba University of Cagliari Cagliari, Italy

ISBN 978-1-4899-7617-8 ISBN 978-1-4899-7618-5 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-1-4899-7618-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015941312

Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer Science+Business Media LLC New York is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

Nowadays atherosclerosis represents the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in the world. Two of the most common, severe, diseases that may occur, Acute Myocardial Infarction and Stroke, have their pathogenesis in the atherosclerosis that may affect the coronary arteries as well as the carotid\intra-cranial vessels.

Therefore, in the past there was extensive research in identifying pre-clinical atherosclerotic diseases in order to plan the correct therapeutical approach before the pathological events occur.

In the last 20 years imaging techniques and in particular Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance had a tremendous improvement in their potentialities. In the field of the Computed Tomography, the introduction of the multi-detectorrow technology and more recently the use of dual energy and multi-spectral imaging allow reaching an exquisite level of anatomic detail. The MR thanks to the use of strength magnetic field and extremely advanced sequences can image very fast the human vessels by offering an outstanding contrast resolution.

In this book our purpose is to offer a synthetic but complete window into the state of the art of the CT\MR imaging application for the study of arteriosclerosis by the means of the most renowned scientist in this field.

Cambridge, UK Cagliari, Italy Roseville, CA, USA Rikin Trivedi Luca Saba Jasjit S. Suri

Contents

Principles of Computed Tomography Michele Porcu, Luca Saba, and Jasjit S. Suri	1
CT Imaging of Coronary Arteries Michele Porcu, Marco Francone, Giovanni Maria Argiolas, Giuseppe Cannavale, Mario Piga, Jasjit S. Suri, Iacopo Carbone, Carlo Catalano, and Luca Saba	29
CT of Carotid Arteries Kimberly Kallianos, Ana M. Franceschi, John R. Carr, William A. Mehan, and Jason M. Johnson	61
CT Imaging of Intracranial Vessels Sandra A. Cornelissen, Marco Das, and Alida A. Postma	93
Intravenous Flat Panel Detector CT Angiography for the Assessment of Intracranial Arteries Jin Pyeong Jeon and Seung Hun Sheen	141
Basic Principles of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Martin J. Graves and Chengcheng Zhu	153
MR Imaging of Vulnerable Carotid Atherosclerotic Plaques Chin Lik Tan, Rohitashwa Sinha, Karol Budohoski, and Rikin A. Trivedi	171
Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Coronary Arteries Vassilios Vassiliou, James H.F. Rudd, Rene Botnar, and Gerald Greil	187
MRI of Intracranial Atherosclerosis Mark R. Radon, Maneesh Bhojak, H. Levansri D. Makalanda, and Damiano Giuseppe Barone	203
Evolving Technologies in MR and PET for Imaging Atherosclerosis Maciej Jakuciński, Karol P. Budohoski, Victoria E.L. Young, Peter J. Kirkaptrick, Mirosław Ząbek, and Leszek Królicki	225

Principles of Computed Tomography

Michele Porcu, Luca Saba, and Jasjit S. Suri

History and Basic Physics of X-rays [1]

In 1895, Wilhem Röentgen discovered X-rays and opened new ways for medicine, improving diagnosis and therapy.

X-rays are electromagnetic waves (speed: 3×10^9 m/s) with very short wavelength (between 0,1 and 10 nm), high frequency (**f**) between 10^{17} and 10^{19} Hz, and thus high energy (**E**), according to the formula (1):

$$E = hf \tag{1}$$

where **h** is the Planck constant (value: $4,135 \times 10^{-15}$ eVs).

These properties allow X-rays to interact with the different tissues of the human body: once they have been generated from an X-ray source and have crossed the patient's body, emerging X-rays hit a detection system, interact with it and create an image which can be read by radiologists.

Various sources can generate X-rays; in 1913 William David Coolidge, a researcher of General Electric Company, invented the so-called "X-ray Coolidge Tube" which represents still today the model on which are designed modern X-ray sources (Fig. 1).

The general principle of Coolidge Tube is very simple: in a vacuum tube are placed a cathode and an anode (both made of tungsten, an element with high atomic number) with a difference in potential (ΔV_1) created between them:

M. Porcu, M.D. • L. Saba, M.D. (🖂)

University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy e-mail: lucasabamd@gmail.com

J.S. Suri, Ph.D., M.B.A., Fellow AIMBE. AtheroPoint LLC, Roseville, CA, USA

[©] Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015 R. Trivedi et al. (eds.), *3D Imaging Technologies in Atherosclerosis*, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4899-7618-5_1

Fig. 1 This picture shows the Coolidge tube model: the electrons created on the cathode (+) for thermionic effect, are accelerated and hit the tungsten plate of the anode (-). An X-rays-beam is generated from this interaction. The X-rays can only goes out from the opening of the tube not covered by the lead



- 1. The **cathode** consists of a little pigtail wire in which flows an electric current generated by a little potential difference (ΔV_2) . This wire is heated because of the Joule effect.
- 2. The **anode** consists of a plate of tungsten.

Thanks to the ΔV_1 between the two electrodes, electrons generated for **thermionic effect** from the pigtail wire are accelerated and an "electron beam" travels through the vacuum from the cathode to the anode with an incredible speed. The kinetic energy of the impact of the "electron beam" is converted into heat for 99 %, the other 1 % as "X-ray beam".

This X-ray beam has its own **intensity** and the X-rays which it consists of have their own average **energy**. It is made of two kinds of X-rays, **bremsstrahlung X-rays** and **characteristic X-rays** (Fig. 2):

Fig. 2 This picture shows the interaction of an electron with the inner orbitals, characterised by the deceleration of the electron, the deflection of the trajectory, and the emission of an X-ray (Bremsstrahlung X-ray)



• **Bremsstrahlung X-rays**: these are produced by the deceleration and deflection of electrons emitted from the cathode when they hit the crystal lattice of the anode. This kind of X-rays is that produced more during an "electron-beam" collision.

• **Characteristic X-rays**: these are produced after the collision of electrons emitted from the cathode with the electrons of inner orbital of the atoms that constitute the anode (in this case tungsten).

We must point out that the entire process is probabilistic, since a single electron could be decelerated and deflected by outer or inner orbitals of the tungsten atoms of the anode, creating single X-rays with different frequency (and thus energy). Actually the number of electrons and X-rays generated is so great that we consider the <u>average value of energy</u> of every single X-ray of the beam; thus we talk in terms of "**X-ray beam**", "intensity of the X-ray beam" and "energy of X-rays".

The intensity of the X-ray beam can be considered as "the number of X-rays" emitted from the source; its value depends on the amount of electrons emitted from the cathode (i.e. the charge of the cathode): we can increase X-rays number, and thus of the intensity of the X-ray beam, increasing the **charge** (**q**) of the cathode, according to the formula (2):

$$q = t\Delta V_2 \tag{2}$$

where **t** is the time and ΔV_2 is the difference in potential between the two ends of the pigtail wire of the cathode. The unit of measure of the X-rays intensity is **mAs** (1 **mAs=10⁻³ C** (**Coulomb**)). Greater the total charge, greater the number of electrons emitted for thermionic effect, greater the intensity of X-ray beam, greater the **definition** of the image, greater the **total ionising dose** (**TID**) given to the patient. In daily practice, in order to obtain a greater charge (and thus X-ray beam intensity), we modify in particular the ΔV_2 value.

<u>The energy (E) is the average "strength" or the "passing ability" of the single</u> <u>X-rays of which the beam is made of</u>; it depends on Eq. (3):

$$E = q\Delta V_1 \tag{3}$$

where **q** is the charge and ΔV_1 is the potential difference between the electrodes. The unit of measure is **keV** (1 **keV=1.6×10⁻¹⁶ J** (Joule)). Since the charge of a single electron is constant and it is 1.6×10^{-19} C (Coulomb), if we want X-rays with greater energy, we have to increase the potential difference ΔV_1 . High energy X-rays (hard X-rays) are generated for high ΔV_1 values, whereas low energy X-rays (soft X-rays) are generated for low ΔV_1 values. Harder X-rays have greater capability to penetrate through the patient and reach the detection system.

X-rays at different energies interact in different ways with human tissues, depending on their composition.

Radiology technicians can modify these two parameters (intensity of X-ray beam and X-ray energy) to obtain an optimal image, both in traditional radiology and CT (see below).

Once the X-rays emerge from the Coolidge tube, they interact with human tissues, in a probabilistic way. This interaction will be different based on the density and atomic composition of the tissue. Possible interactions are the following:

- 1. **X-rays scattering**: X-rays are deflected in all the directions by the crystalline lattice of the tissues. This kind of interaction is responsible for the **scattered effect** of the image, the so-called "**noise**" of an image (see below).
- 2. **Photoelectric effect** (Fig. 3a): an X-ray hits an electron of the inner orbitals of an atom and gives it all his energy; this results in the ejection of the electron from its orbital, and the ionisation of the atom.
- 3. **Compton effect** (Fig. 3b): an X-ray hits an electron of the outer orbitals of an atom and gives it a part of its energy (less energy is required to eject an electron from outer orbitals than the inner orbitals): this interaction results in the ejection of the electron outside the atom and the deflection and loss of energy of the X-ray.
- 4. **Couple production**: an X-ray interacts near the atomic nucleus, and this led to a production of a couple which consists of electron and positron (This kind of interaction happens only for high X-ray energy, greater than 1.02 MeV; these energies are not used in traditional radiology and CT).



Fig. 3 These pictures show the most important interaction of X-rays with the atoms of the humanbody. In (**a**) is shown the "Photoelectric effect", characterised by the fact that the X-ray interact with an electron of the inner orbitals, throwing it out. In (**b**) is shown the "Compton effect" in which the X-ray interacts with an electron of the outer orbital, resulting in a loss of energy and deflection of its trajectory, and in a emission of the electron hit

Photoelectric and Compton effects are important because they explain the **ionising capability of X-rays**, responsible for undesirable effects (teratogenic effects, mutagenic effects) which limit use of X-rays in clinical practice (in particular in pregnancy) according to stochastic and non-stochastic effects on the tissues (see below).

When an X-ray beam crosses a patient's body, it loses its intensity according to the principles just exposed, and reaches a detection system placed over human body, with intensity (I) lesser from the original (I_0) as we can see in the formula (4):

$$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}_0 \mathbf{e}^{-\mu \mathbf{x}} \tag{4}$$

where I is the intensity of X-ray beam emerging from the patient , I_0 the intensity of X-ray beam originated from the source, e the Euler number, μ the linear attenuation coefficient (different for every tissue based on their composition) and x the tissue thickness.

Applications of X-Rays in Clinical Practice [2, 3]

All the concepts exposed before are the basic physical principles that permit to explain how it is possible to obtain an image (a radiograph, a fluoroscopic image or a CT) using X-rays. Now we quickly expose the most important applications of X-rays in clinical practice.

In traditional radiology X-rays originated from a Coolidge tube once have crossed human body reach a detection system: this detection system originally was a **photographic film** impressed by X-ray beam, while nowadays it is a **radiographic cassette** made of **photo-stimulate phosphors** read by a laser optic viewer after the execution of a radiograph, or a **plane** made of **digital sensors**, which once has detected the X-rays displays directly digital images on a monitor. The images obtained are two-dimensional (**2D**) static images called **radiographs**, and they do not allow to discriminate the three-dimensional (**3D**) arrangement of body structures.

In **fluoroscopy**, X-rays emerging from human body were detected originally by a fluorescent screen, while nowadays they are detected by an **X-ray image intensifier** and a **CCD camera**; in this way, images are displayed on a monitor in real time. This technique allows to obtain dynamic 2D images, used for such examinations as angiographies in which the operator needs to follow the diffusion of a contrast medium or radiopaque objects (catheters, coils ...) along the body structures in diagnostic and, above all, therapeutic procedures.

Computed Tomography (**CT**) allows to obtain sectional axial images of the body and, in modern CT scanners, thanks to the spiral acquisitions, multiplanar sectional and 3D images of the body.

Introduction to CT [4]

In 1970s, thanks to the work of Sir Godfrey N. Hounsfield and Dr. Conmark, winners of the Nobel Prize in 1979, **CT** was introduced in clinical practice. It was a revolution because of its incredible capability in diagnosis and management of patient.

The great **contrast resolution** (the ability to detect in an image two different points with different degrees of intensity—in b/w images, shades of gray), **spatial resolution** (the ability to distinguish two different points next to each other—the lesser the distance between two points, the higher the spatial resolution) and **temporal resolution** (the time for acquisition of a frame) of modern CT scanner,

in particular in the evaluation of soft tissue, make CT an essential instrument for detection and staging of various pathological conditions.

In fact, traditional X-ray radiographs are still important instruments to assess patient condition, but cannot offer a complete evaluation; moreover, CT's intrinsic capability to explore deep organs despite ultrasonography (US) and its very low execution time than Magnetic Resonance (MR) allow us to have many and detailed information in a very little time. Anyway, we must remember CT uses ionising rays that can have mutagenic effects on human tissues (with increased risk of neoplasms and, in pregnant women, of foetal malformations and spontaneous abortions).

How a CT Scanner Is Made [4, 5]

CT uses X-rays to produce a series of images that will be analysed by the radiologist.

Modern CT scanner consists of (Fig. 4):

- Circular gantry
- Moving patient table
- Control console



Fig. 4 This picture shows the parts of which a Modern CT scanner is made: the control console (1), the circular gantry (2) and the moving table (3)

The **circular gantry** consists of a solid structure containing an **X-ray source** (made based on a **Coolidge tube**, see above) and a **detection system**, firmly connected opposite to each other forming a 180° angle between them, and a **rotation system** that rotates the X-ray source and detection system by a 360° angle. Thanks to the "**slip rings**" technology, a wireless electrical connection, the X-ray source-detection system complex can rotate continuously over 360° degrees (allowing the "**helical scanning**", see below). Detection system is connected with a **data-acquisition-system** (**DAS**) which converts X-rays in electric pulses that will be analysed and managed by the computer to create an image series. Moreover, the circular gantry contains a **laser sighting system** to correctly position the patient in the moving patient table, and an important **cooling system** to dissipate the great heat generated by the X-ray source.

The moving patient table carries the patient into the gantry hole.

The **control console** is managed by the radiology technician, who controls the whole procedure supported by the radiologist.

General Acquisition Principles [1, 4, 6]

The general principle is very simple: X-rays, generated from a rotating X-ray source placed in the circular gantry, cross the patient body lying on the moving table in the centre of the gantry and are detected by the detection system placed opposite to the X-ray source (Fig. 5). The X-ray beam generated at a known intensity value, equal for every degree of rotation, reaches detection system with an intensity value less than that at the source because of the interaction with human body structures, according to the formula (5) derived from the formula (4):



Fig. 5 This picture shows the general principles of a CT scan: the patient lies on the moving table, and an X-ray beam generated by the X-ray tube crosses the patient's body. Once they have crossed the patient, they interact with the detection system placed opposite to the X-ray source. The X-ray tube and the detection system rotate together around the patient

$$I = I_0 e^{-\int_0^L \mu(x)dx}$$
(5)

This formula indicates that the intensity of X-ray beam measured by the detector (I) is equal to the product of the intensity of X-ray beam originating from the source (I_0) multiplied by the Euler number (e) elevated to the integral of every X-ray linear attenuation coefficient ($\mu(x)$) from the origin (0) to (L), where L is the distance between the source and the detector covered by the beam.

X-ray beam generated from the rotating source when crossing human body and its deep structures interacts with tissues and yields them energy; **this interaction is different depending on tissue composition** (water, blood, lipids, bone and crystalline structures) **and the rotation degree of the source**.

Detection system is made of different detectors. Although early CT scanners used gas ionisation-detectors, in modern CT scanners detection system consists of solid-state detectors made of ceramic of rare-earth oxides, which have high capture efficiency (total amount of emerging X-rays absorbed by the detector) and conversion efficiency (capability to accurately convert X-rays into electric signal). Detectors are aligned side by side, divided by thin septa made of tungsten to minimise the "**noise**" of the image (i.e. artefacts from **scattered radiation** generated by X-rays emerging from the patient. Once the X-ray beams emerging from human body reach the detection system with intensity value **I**, they are transformed into electric pulses by the **data-acquisition-system (DAS)**. These electric pulses, converted into data series, will be then processed and analysed by the computer, and then converted into axial images series.

CT Generations [4, 5]

From an engineering point of view, we describe four generations of CT scanner (Fig. 6).

1. First generation

The first CT scanner (MARK 1) was made by EMI in 1972. It was only a head scanner, and required about 20 min to obtain a complete axial scan of the head, with a slice thickness of 13 mm. It consisted of a box-shaped gantry in which lay the head of the patient, an X-ray beam source generating a very narrow beam, and a detection system containing a couple of detectors placed against each other in two adjacent rows to scan two slices concurrently and reduce acquisition time. The complex X-ray source—detection system scanned the head moving in the gantry according to a **translation–rotation process**, in which were sampled 160 detection data by a translation movement of the system for every degree of rotation, and an overall 180° rotation.



Fig. 6 This picture shows the five generations of CT scanners. The third generation scanners are the most diffuse in clinical practice nowadays

2. Second generation

This generation differs from the first because of the use of **an X-ray narrow cone beam** and of **a detection system with multiple detectors** (**from 3 for the first model up to 20 for the latest**); the detectors are not placed on multiple rows, but **on a single row**. The complex X-ray source–detection system moved according to a **translation–rotation** movement. The CT scanner was implemented with a moving table, a laser indicator and the capability to tilt the gantry, allowing not only the head scan, but also the body scan for the first time. Scanning time was lesser than first generation, allowing to scan one slice of the chest holding one breath; there were still severe movement artefacts, and to limit them it was necessary to avoid the translation movement, maintaining the rotation movement.

3. Third generation

In principle, the power supply of CT scanners was provided by electric cables which limited the movement of the system constituted by the complex X-ray source–detection system: in fact, after a 360° rotation, the system had to stop and then re-start moving in the opposite verse. This limitation allowed only sequential axial scans.

In the third generation of CT scanners has been introduced the "**slip rings**" technology. It has been a revolution. In fact, with the introduction of this technology, electric cables have been abolished, allowing a continuous rotational movement of the system, over the 360°, and abolishing the need of a translation–rotation movement. A new era of **Helical CT** started (see below).

Another improvement in CT technology that led to further decrease of scan timing has been the use of a detection system which consists of multiple detectors placed not on a single slice but on multiple slices, so that the use of cone-beam X-rays covers a greater section of the body in little time; this is the **multislice-CT** technology (see below).

Nowadays, the principal manufacturers produce only multislice CT scanners with this kind of architecture, which allows to generate sequential or helical CT scans.

4. Fourth generation

The fourth generation has not had a great impact. In fact, high production costs were a limitation for its development. It differed from third generation in the architecture of the detection system: in fact detectors cover entirely the inner part of the gantry, and only the X-ray source (placed adjacent to the detectors and slightly inclined to them) rotates in the gantry.

5. Fifth generation

Electron beam computed tomography (EBCT) was developed to evaluate heart. It consisted of a cathode that generated an electron beam, diverted and rotated by an electromagnetic fold; the electrons hit four semicircular anodes placed around the patient, generating X-rays captured by detectors placed adjacent to the anodes. Despite the high temporal resolution (about 50 ms), EBCT did not have great success due to high costs and intrinsic technician limitations.

Spiral-Volume Scanning and Multislice-CT [2–7]

With the introduction of "slip rings" technology in 1987, a new era started in CT. In fact, the capability to have a continuous rotating movement allowed not only to achieve faster scans in lower time but also multiaxial images. Before this innovation, scanners allowed to obtain only axial images for the limited movement of the complex X-ray source–detection system because of electric cables; in fact CT scanners were able to execute only **axial sequential scans** (slice-by-slice).

Third generation CT scanners with "**slip rings**" technology work with a continuous rotating movement of the complex X-rays-source–detection system; while the complex rotates, the table moves consensually along the *z*-axis (the longitudinal axis of the patient; the other two axes, *x* and *y*, are perpendicular to *z*-axis and represent the coordinates of axial images). This innovation, together with improvements in computing calculation speed, took CT scanner to a higher level, and in the early 1990s, Kalender et al. [5] developed the algorithm of **spiral volume scanning** (**Spiral or Helical-CT**) (Fig. 7).



Beside the introduction of "slip rings" technology, researchers developed a new system to improve Helical-CT scan faster than before. The idea was to create a new detection system, or better, to improve the old detection system in order to obtain more data in less acquisition time. The engineers created a detection system made of not only a single array of detectors (slice), but multiple rows of detectors, aligned in arrays, side by side. This detection system is able to capture X-rays-beam in a wider range along the *z*-axis. **These scanners are called multislice-CT** (**MSCT**) **scanners**. Nowadays there are CT-scanners capable to cover in a single rotation a field of view of 128 mm, using 256-rows detectors in a 0.5 s rotation time; this means that in 1 s it can cover a body section of about 26 cm, and a slice thickness of 0,675 mm, very impressive!

Currently, MSCT scanners are a fundamental instrument to evaluate patients, in particular for the great amount of clinical information they provide in very little time, especially, but not only, in emergency.

The recent manufacturing developments in CT-scanner technology, such as dual and multi-energy scanners, are exposed below.

Images Reconstruction [2, 4, 5, 7]

As we have seen before, in CT scanners images are created comparing data acquired from different acquisition obtained at different rotation degrees.

Computer represents every scan circle as a matrix with a 512×512 of 3D boxes called **voxels** (Fig. 8). Every voxel has three axes mutually perpendicular: *x* and *y* are the axes perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the patient (called *z*-**axis**), and every voxel on a screen is represented by a 2D square, called **pixel**. To create 2D images, the computer has to obtain for every voxel, and hence pixel, the corresponding **attenuation coefficient value** (μ_v).





To obtain **attenuation coefficient** (μ_v) of the voxel, the computer must extract the linear coefficient attenuation value (μ) for every emerging X-ray beam (I) intercepted by the detectors during a 180° rotation, knowing the X-ray beam intensity at origin (I₀) and the distance covered by the beam (L), according to the formula (6) derived from the formula (5):

$$\int_{0}^{L} \mu(x) dx = -\frac{1}{L} \ln\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right)$$
(6)

The computer can calculate every voxel attenuation coefficient (μ_v) knowing the linear attenuation coefficient (μ) of every projection obtained during a 180° rotation, because in the gantry the intensity of X-ray beam emerging from human body, and thus linear attenuation coefficient (μ), measured on the same direction and opposite verse (0° and 180°, 1° and 181° and so on) are equal. The algorithms used by the computer could be the filtered back-projection (FBR) or, in most recent CT scanners, the iterative algorithms.

For convention, once the computer has achieved the correct attenuation coefficient value (μ_v) for every voxel of the matrix, this value has to be converted into an integer according to the formula (7):

$$\operatorname{CTnumber}(\operatorname{HU}) = \left[1000 \times \left(\mu_{v} - \mu_{water}\right)\right] / \mu_{water}$$
(7)

where μ_{water} is the attenuation coefficient of water. We remember here that μ_v of air is 0 because X-ray beam is not attenuated along his route.

CT number indicates the density of the tissue included in the analysed voxel; the unit of measurement of CT number is called **Hounsfield Unit (HU)**.

These values are included in a scale note as **Hounsfield Scale**, where the value of water is 0, the value of bone is +1,000 and air -1,000. This value, as we have said

before, changes according to the type of tissue; in particular fat has a negative value, whereas blood and abdominal organs have a positive Hounsfield value. This concept is very important to correctly interpret imaging findings (e.g. a steatotic liver has a middle HU lower than a non-pathologic liver because the steatotic liver contains more fat than normal).

Now the computer has all data to build an image on the monitor: 3D voxels included in the 512×512 matrix (spatial resolution) with a defined CT number are converted into 2D pixels with a defined CT number using a predefined **kernel**, that is, an algorithm of reconstruction that allows to obtain images "smoother" or "sharper" in order to better evaluate different districts, such as lung or abdominal organs. Sharp kernels such as the B46f allow to evaluate structures in which the tissues differs for very high density values, like lung and bone, whereas smooth kernels such as the B20f allow us to evaluate structures in which the tissues do not differ for high degrees of density, like the abdomen or the mediastinum (Fig. 9). For every pixel is assigned a different shade of gray of a grayscale according to CT number; in particular pixel with higher HU values, like bone, tend to appear white, while those with lower HU values, like air, tend to appear black.



Fig. 9 These pictures show the same slice of the chest CT examination of a patient, reconstructed with two different kernels: in (a) it has been used a smooth kernel (B20) in order to evaluate the mediastinum; in (b) has been used a sharp Kernel (B46f) which allows to better analyse the lungs

Monitors can show about 250 shades of gray despite the 2,000 values of the Hounsfield scale; moreover, human eye can discern about 100 shades of gray, and so more HU values will be grouped in a single gray shade. Radiologists when analysing images have to select the **window of view**, namely, select the **window centre** (e.g. 0 HU for water) and **window width** (the range of CT number to display); this process, called **windowing**, allows to change the **contrast resolution** of the image, modifying the shades of gray of the image in which are grouped ranges of HU to better visualise anatomic details.

The analysis of tissues that differ for very low HU, like abdominal organs, requires greater resolution contrast and radiologists analyse the images easier choosing a narrow window width (400–500 HU) and with the window centre at 20–40 HU, whereas to analyse bones details radiologists choose a large window width (2,000 HU) and an higher window centre (550–600 HU) (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10 These pictures show the same coronal slice of a CT examination of the chest of one patient: they are reconstructed with the same kernel (smooth, B20). In (**a**) has been set a narrow window width with the centre at 20–40 HU in order to better evaluate tissues which differs between them having very low HU values; in (**b**) has been chosen a large window width with a high window centre at 550 HU in order to better evaluate bone and lung structures

Post-processing

In modern systems, every radiological investigation (radiographs, US, CT, angiography and MRI) is collected in a digital file, which format is defined **DICOM** (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine). This kind of file can be read and analysed on a PC by various software.

Modern software, moreover, allow to reformat images in various ways, thanks to specific algorithms, developed by biomedical informatics engineering: this is the post-processing, and it is a fundamental phase of the interpretation of CT-findings.

Let us talk about the principal post-processing methods used in clinical practice:

1. Multi Planar Reconstruction (MPR) (Fig. 11): once CT scans were composed only by axial images; as we have seen before, with the introduction of slip rings and then Spiral and Multislice CT technology, it was possible to obtain very thin scans (nowadays up to 0.625 mm thin!); this method allows the radiologists to obtain sectional imaging of the body along any axis of the space (sagittal, coronal, oblique) starting from an axial acquisition. Thin layer longitudinal axial acquisition (indifferently if sequential or spiral) is the only care needed to obtain optimal and diagnostic cross-sectional imaging using MPR. This is the main post-processing method used and allows to clarify doubts derived from misunderstandings in the analysis of the longitudinal axis images only. A particular method very useful for the evaluation of the vessels is the so-called "**Stretching MPR**" (Fig. 12) in which, thanks to different software, the vascular structures are "stretched" along the long axis, allowing to evaluate the course and any narrowing or enlargement.



Fig. 11 These pictures show a Multi-Planar Reconstruction (MPR) elaborations of the same patient. (a) sagittal view. (b) axial view. (c) coronal view



Fig. 12 The pictures show the "Stretched" Multi-Planar Reconstruction (MPR) elaborations of the right coronary artery. (a) VR of the heart and of right coronary artery. (b, c) A normal "stretched" right coronary artery

2. Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP) (Fig. 13): with this method, we can obtain an image constituted by a fusion of a packet of adjacent images (from 2 to all the images of the study). For every pixel of the matrix of this reconstructed image is assigned the highest HU value among all the images of the packet. The images obtained with this method, which in some way have a 3D aspect, allow to obtain a better definition of tissues with high linear attenuation coefficient (like the vessels in contrast medium CT, see below) and often help radiologist and clinicians to identify small findings otherwise difficult to detect only with MPR.



Fig. 13 These pictures show the Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP) elaborations of the body of the same patient. (a) normal non-MIP coronal view. (b) MIP coronal view, enhancing in particular the vascular structures

3. **Minimum Intensity Projection** (**MinIP**) (Fig. 14): it is analogous to the MIP, but in this case for every pixel of the matrix of the reconstructed image is assigned the lowest HU value of that same point of the matrix of all the images of the packet. This method is helpful to identify structures with low linear attenuation coefficient, such as pathologies of Wirsung duct, biliary tract or pulmonary emphysema.



Fig. 14 The picture shows the Volume Rendering (VR) elaboration of the skull

4. Volume rendering (VR): thanks to this method, it is possible to obtain 3D model of the body from 2D images (thin layer axial acquisition is needed); this is possible converting the pixel of the images in voxel, and every voxel in small polyhedral. It is possible to choose the structures to represent modifying the window of view, and underlined them with different colours. This kind of post-processing allows to obtain very impressive images, useful in particular for clinics to approach in a more familiarly way the pathologic findings and for the surgeons in the preoperative planning.

Contrast Agents [2, 3]

A radiologic study can be made with or without contrast agent (or contrast medium). What are contrast mediums? **They are substances**, obviously compatible with human organism, **that because of their compositions enhance the structures in which they diffuse and improve the contrast resolution of the images** once they are administered in the body by various ways.

There are different contrast mediums. We can classify them as follows:

- Natural contrast agents, such as air, water and CO₂.
- Artificial contrast agents:

Barium sulphate Diatrizoic acid Injective iodinated contrast agents Gadolinium based drugs (used only in MRI) Sulphur hexafluoride (used only in US)

These contrast agents can be administrated in the human body by various ways: for example they can be administered directly in the gastrointestinal tract by mouth or anus, or can be injected directly in the blood (intravenously or intra-arterially) and are indispensable in every radiological investigations (MRI, CT, traditional radiology, US, angiography and interventional radiology).

In CT are used natural contrast agents, diatrizoic acid and iodine based contrast agents:

- 1. **Natural contrast agents** (air, CO₂ and water) are used in such studies in which is needed the distension of GI tract, like CT-enteroclysis or virtual colonoscopy.
- 2. **Diatrizoic acid** is used when we have to study the G.I. tract, in particular coupled with air in CT-enteroclysis.
- 3. Intravenous iodinated contrast agents are used in many condition, and could be consider essential to obtain diagnostic scans, in particular in vascular CT. Now we analyse them in detail focusing on their composition, precautions for use, dose, fluxes and possible adverse reactions.