

Montserrat Guibernau

Nations without States

Political
Communities
in a
Global Age

Nations without States

To the memory of Ernest Gellner

Nations without States

Political Communities in a Global Age

MONTSERRAT GUIBERNAU

Polity Press

Copyright © Montserrat Guibernau 1999

The right of Montserrat Guibernau to be identified as author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

First published in 1999 by Polity Press in association with Blackwell Publishers Ltd.

Reprinted 2000, 2005

Polity Press
65 Bridge Street
Cambridge, CB2 1UR, UK

Polity Press
350 Main Street
Malden, MA 02148, USA

All rights reserved. Except for the quotation of short passages for the purposes of criticism and review, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Except in the United States of America, this book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, re-sold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Guibernau i Berdún, M. Montserrat (Maria Montserrat)

Nations without states : political communities in a global age /
Montserrat Guibernau.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-7456-1800-6. — ISBN 0-7456-1801-4 (pbk.)

1. Nationalism Case studies. 2. National state Case studies.

I. Title.

JC311.G783 1999

320.54'09'049—dc21

99-22431

CIP

Typeset in 10/12 Palatino

by SetSystems Ltd, Saffron Walden, Essex

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Marston Book Services Limited, Oxford

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

For further information on Polity, visit our website: www.polity.co.uk

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
Introduction	1
Objectives and structure	9
1 State and Nation	13
Nations without states	16
Globalization and the nation	19
Romanticism and the nation	22
The quest for recognition	24
Civic coherence and the nation	29
2 Nations without States: different political scenarios	33
Cultural recognition	34
Political autonomy	37
Federation	50
Denial and repression	59
3 Nations and Nationalism in Native America	67
Indigenous peoples and the nation-state	68
The Indian–European settlers relationship: an overview	72
Nations and nationalism in Native America	76
Native nationalism in the USA	76
The Native peoples' relationship with Canada	82

4 Nationalism as a Social Movement	89
Elites	90
Nationalism and class	94
Phases of the nationalist movement	96
The task of intellectuals	98
Key elements of the nationalist discourse	103
Nationalism and the media	108
5 Cultural Resistance and Political Violence	114
Cultural resistance	115
Armed struggle	123
Total war	125
Political terrorism	126
Conflict resolution: the 1998 Belfast Agreement	141
State terrorism	145
6 Nations without States as New Global Political Actors	149
The post-traditional nation-state	150
The nation-state system	152
Administrative control	154
Territoriality	156
Violence	160
National identity	163
On British national identity	165
Nations without states	169
Europe of the Regions	172
7 Conclusion	175
Nations without states: major questions and dilemmas	179
<i>Notes</i>	187
<i>Bibliography</i>	198
<i>Index</i>	207

Acknowledgements

I owe a great debt to Tony Giddens for actively supporting this project; his advice and criticism have proved invaluable. Christopher Dandeker, Anthony D. Smith and Salvador Giner have each made lengthy, insightful and very helpful comments on a draft of the book.

I have also greatly appreciated and benefited from my conversations with Joan Manuel Guinda, Maria del Mar Serrano, Simon Williams, Emmanuel Kattann, Wendy Alexander and Francesc X. Puig Rovira.

I wrote this book while holding an ESRC senior research fellowship at the Centre for Research in Ethnic Relations (University of Warwick). Among my colleagues there I would like to thank Zig Layton-Henry for his constant encouragement and support. I am also grateful to John Rex for the sheer fun of intellectual friendship and for so many stimulating conversations on nationalism and ethnicity.

This book began life in the shape of a shorter version which was awarded the 1998 Trias Fargas Prize (Barcelona).

Among those who have contributed in the preparation of this book I would like to thank Gill Motley, Pam Thomas and Julia Harsant. Particular thanks are due to Steve Curtis for a thorough copy-editing.

Introduction

Is it possible to refer to nations without states as new political actors in the global age? Is the traditional nation-state system being replaced by a system of nations integrated within larger political institutions? Will nation-states become nations without states in a world organized around supranational institutions to whom nation-states are progressively surrendering significant aspects of their sovereignty? What are the reasons for the current strengthening of nationalism in nations without states? How does globalization impinge upon the reshaping of political institutions? Nationalism is thriving in nations without states such as Catalonia, Scotland, Quebec and Flanders. A series of factors contribute to this. They include: the fragmentation of the former Soviet Union and the nationalist feelings it has sparked off in places such as the Baltic Republics, Georgia and Chechnia; the proliferation of transnational institutions such as the European Union; the pressure exerted by an increasingly globalized culture capable of reaching the remotest corners of the world; and the invigoration of multinational corporations which break the boundaries of national economies. Contemporary politics are grounded upon a constant tension between pressure exerted by sub-state movements which put forward nationalist claims, thus questioning the state's legitimacy, and the growth of supranational organizations which require the state to surrender relevant attributes of its traditional sovereignty.

In this context, I refer to nations without states as cultural communities sharing a common past, attached to a clearly demarcated territory, and wishing to decide upon their political future which lack a state of their own. These communities are included within one or

more states which they tend to regard as alien, and assert the right to self-determination, sometimes understood as further autonomy within the state, though, in other cases it involves the right to secession. I employ the expression 'nations without states' rather than 'stateless nations' to avoid the specific meaning that the latter term has within anthropology.

The origin of the consciousness of forming a distinct community among members of nations without states can, in most cases, be traced back to a more or less distant past in which the nation enjoyed its own political institutions, as was the case in Catalonia, the Basque Country, Wales and Scotland. Often this involves going back to an era previous to that of the emergence of the nation-state system. As Anthony D. Smith has stressed, the ethnic origin of most nations can be traced back to a time when the 'core' of ethnicity which resides in certain 'myths, memories, values and symbols' transmitted through different generations was established. Smith lists six main attributes of an ethnic community or *ethnie*: (1) a collective proper name; (2) a myth of common ancestry; (3) shared historical memories; (4) one or more differentiating elements of common culture; (5) an association with a specific 'homeland'; and (6) a sense of solidarity for significant sectors of the population.¹ In my view, to acknowledge that there is an ethnic origin to most contemporary nations is far from assuming that all pre-modern political communities turned into nations or nation-states, and does not imply that nations are perennial entities.² Extremely complex processes lead to the disappearance of some nations and to the emergence of new ones. What is relevant about the current strength of nationalist movements in nations without states is that, in many cases, they emerge within nations which once enjoyed a separate political and/or cultural identity which is now being invoked, revitalized and adapted to the new socio-political circumstances in which the nation lives and evolves.

In spite of the significance achieved by nations without states, no attempts have been made to offer a systematic analysis of them as emerging new political actors. One of the major obstacles for this is the lack of a clear-cut distinction between the concepts of nation and state in the literature about nationalism. In this sense, the general approach of this book is highly relevant to the contemporary political situation in as far as one of the central themes is the problem of separating the idea of a nation from that of the state – in other words, the problem of the preservation and perpetuation of communities with their own distinct culture in a world in which most political units are larger than national.

Three main approaches can be found in the restricted literature considering forms of sub-state nationalism. The first focuses on single case studies. It includes writings of a monographic or comparative nature in which one or more particular case studies are subject to an in-depth analysis. In such works very few, if any, theoretical considerations are made which go beyond the specific features of the cases involved.

The second approach comprises more general studies about the relevance of the regions and regionalism within Europe. These analyses tend to display a highly empirical outlook and focus on the implications of political decentralization for the areas concerned. The third is a more abstract, theoretical approach which examines the profound transformations affecting the nation-state. In so doing, most studies consider what is generally referred to as 'peripheral nationalism' and the role of a globalized economy as two major factors contributing to the emergence of sub-state units. The focus of attention is not the rise of nationalism in nations without states but the ways in which the traditional state is being recast.

(1) The first approach examines the re-emergence of nationalism drawing on specific case studies. It explores the reasons for the renewed strength of the nationalist movement and the social structure of the societies involved. The history of the relationship between the national minority and the state which contains it as well as the components of the specific national identity defended by the nationalist movement are carefully examined. I distinguish between two types of studies within this approach. The first contains works in which different case studies are compared. The second refers to monographic analysis concerned with a single case study.

Michael Keating offers one of the most sophisticated accounts within the first category. His book *Nations against the State* compares nationalism in Quebec, Catalonia and Scotland. In his view, the nationalist movements now emerging in these three nations should be interpreted as a response both to the changes in the capacity and legitimacy of the states containing them, and to the reconfiguration of territorial politics after the two World Wars. These nationalisms, which are historically rooted and have developed within states, offer a pragmatic solution to cultural or territorial questions. Keating argues that Catalan, Scottish and Quebec nationalism contains ethnic as well as civic elements in its doctrine, the political strategies it tries to advance and the support base it receives, although, in his view, its civic dimension has been strengthened over the years.³

For Keating, it is essential to distinguish between ethnic particularism and minorities' nationalism. In the three societies he studies, he considers national identity to be an organizing principle for the society as a whole, even if not all its members are committed nationalists. He argues that, in the past, Catalonia, Quebec and Scotland were politically and economically dependent on the states containing them, a situation which now has been reversed. He writes, 'This dependence has lessened as the states have lost their capacity for territorial management and the minority nations have become more self-assertive'.⁴ For Keating, these three nations emphasize social solidarity as a main value of the political culture while, at the same time, being strongly committed to their continental regimes, NAFTA in one case and the EU in the other two. These nationalisms, Keating argues, interpret their relationship with the state as a pact which is open to re-negotiation, a perspective which is commonly shared by the states containing them where it has proven a very conflictive point. Within this first approach, David McCrone's *Understanding Scotland: the Sociology of a Stateless Nation* is a good example of a monographic study about the specific features of nationalism in a single area. He describes the different elements which have contributed to the generation of a specific Scottish national identity throughout time and focuses upon the novel factors which are currently contributing to a renaissance of Scottish nationalism. In his view, Scottish nationalism should be understood not simply as the assertion of linguistic or cultural distinctiveness, but as a political challenge to the authority of the central British state.⁵

(2) The second approach examines regions and regionalism in Europe where since the early 1960s there has been a revival of sub-state nationalist movements. These studies focus on different decentralization experiments carried out within the European Union and considers whether regions have become or are in the process of becoming an essential level of government in Europe.

This approach is well represented by Christopher Harvie's *The Rise of Regional Europe*. In this book, Harvie considers different EU regions and focuses upon the ways in which history is being employed by their nationalist movements. He also looks at elites and regional cultures, and he concentrates on the economic dimension of the re-emergence of what he refers to as 'regionalism'. He does not employ the term nation, and includes under a single denomination 'region', areas with a cultural and historical basis and areas which are merely determined by economic or geographic factors. He is sceptical about

the possibility of creating a Europe of the Regions due to the great inequalities existing among them.

Patrick Le Galès and Christian Lequesne in their recent book *Regions in Europe* also challenge the notion of a 'Europe of the Regions' and argue that 'the dynamics of particular regions and the discourse of Europe of the regions, have led one to overlook the fact that regions are structurally weak in terms of government and governance'.⁶ They argue that only a small number of regions (and cities) are on their way to becoming collective political actors in European governance. In their view, most regions are weakly institutionalized, endowed with a weak political capacity, and are destined to manage scarcity or economic decline, as well as to protect culture, the environment and identities. They consider the Europe of the Regions as a myth whose importance should not be exaggerated, though the thesis they defend does not refer to the decline of regions in Europe, 'but to decline of causes that logically converge to reinforce regions'.⁷

(3) The third approach includes a substantial number of theoretical works which from different perspectives concentrate on the changes affecting the traditional nation-state. These studies do not address the rise of nationalism in nations without states in a direct way, however. Their analysis almost invariably contains some references to what is often referred to as 'peripheral nationalism' and considers the role of sub-state units within a progressively globalized economy.⁸ This approach embraces some works which relate the nation-state's crisis to the intensification of globalization processes. David Held offers the best of these accounts.⁹ In his view, the state is suffering a double crisis, one of rationality in so far as it is unable to fulfil its traditional functions, and a legitimacy crisis in that it is no longer able to achieve massive loyalty. Held argues that the number of groups which claim the principle of democratic legitimacy when demanding the right to control their political destiny is increasing. At the same time, the relevance and content of this principle is being altered by the intensification of globalization processes. In his view, it is essential to recognize three elements of regionalization and globalization. First, the changes in the nature, scope and capacity of the modern state produced by processes of economic, political, legal, military and cultural interconnectedness. Second, changes to the nature and dynamics of national political systems brought about by regional and global interconnectedness which links different states and their citizens in chains of interlocking political decisions and outcomes. Third, the transformations experienced by cultural and political identities as

a result of such processes, that have led many local and regional groups and nationalist movements to question the nation-state's legitimacy.¹⁰ It is in this context that Held refers to new patterns of local and regional government and development and argues that while national sovereignty, even in regions with overlapping and divided authority structures, has not been wholly subverted, 'political domains clearly exist with criss-crossing loyalties, conflicting interpretations of rights and duties, and interconnected authority structures which displace notions of sovereignty as an illimitable, indivisible and exclusive form of public power'.¹¹

From a different perspective, Horsman and Marshall provide one of the most illuminating accounts of the changes affecting the nation-state and the possible alternatives to its traditional structure. In their view, 'the decline in nation-state autonomy has compromised the pact between citizens and the nation-state . . . Any solution will require ways of managing the multiple links between and among the citizen, the state, regional and international organizations, and the global economy. It will require a rethinking of communities and their rights and responsibilities.'¹² Notwithstanding such affirmations, Horsman and Marshall in some sections of their book refer to the Scots, the Catalans, the Basques and the Lombards' demands for autonomy as a sign of growing tribalism.¹³ Michel Maffessoli and Eric Hobsbawm¹⁴ also refer to the re-emergence of nationalism in what I call nations without states (they usually refer to them as regions) as an anachronism and a sign of backwardness. In my view, one should be wary of the theories which label the resurgence of sub-state nationalism as tribal, while often legitimizing state nationalism. From their perspective, 'the nationalists' are always the 'other people', those who 'generate trouble', 'show dissatisfaction', 'pose a threat to the state's integrity' and 'question the state's legitimacy'. I argue that to employ the term 'tribal' to describe the nationalism of nations without states disregards two crucial points.

First, it ignores the fact that contemporary forms of nationalism differ substantially from the classical nationalism which contributed to the constitution and consolidation of the nation-state in the nineteenth and early twentieth century. A sizeable number of the nationalisms which are now gaining salience in nations without states are grounded upon the defence of democracy and collective rights and, as I will show later on in the book, are intimately connected with the transformations brought about by globalization. A considerable number of these nationalisms claim the right to freely develop their nation's specificity within a framework of respect and tolerance and,

in so doing, they challenge the nation-state by questioning its legitimacy.

It is true that not all nationalisms in nations without states are democratic and for this reason we should be attentive to the urgent need to distinguish between those which are based upon democratic principles and those which defend an ethnocentric world view grounded upon the implementation of exclusion mechanisms which often involve the use of force. My point is that, at present, there is a sufficient number of democratic sub-state nationalist movements for the social sciences to reflect on them as distinct phenomena which deserve particular attention.

Second, the very use of the term 'tribalization' to refer to the resurgence of all types of sub-state nationalism is an anachronism because it implies a return to the past which is no longer possible and neglects the connections between the rise of sub-state forms of nationalism and current alterations affecting the nation-state system.

Nationalism has traditionally been an uncomfortable topic for social scientists. In the nineteenth century and early this century we encounter numerous examples of great scholars who paid scant attention to what clearly was one of the major political forces of their time. As I have shown elsewhere, Max Weber, a German nationalist himself, never provided a systematic theory of nationalism. Émile Durkheim and Karl Marx predicted that nationalism would soon disappear.¹⁵ History has proved them to be wrong. Instead, nationalism has played a key role in the modern age and it currently manifests itself as a potent force. Nationalism, however, has often been portrayed in intellectual circles as a sign of backwardness and as a doctrine opposed to the cosmopolitan ideal once formulated by Kant.¹⁶ Such uneasiness towards nationalism stems from its potent emotional dimension which clearly differs from the ideal of rationality defended by the *philosophes* which has up to now remained unquestioned. On these grounds, indiscriminate rejection of sub-state nationalism should be carefully assessed, since it often hides strong and not always declared forms of 'state nationalism'.

The study of nationalism requires the analysis of the specific situations in which it arises. The political ideologies to which nationalism is attached are crucial to understanding the significance and character of nationalism in each particular case. Above all, we should realize that the complexity and flexibility of nationalism are connected to its multifaceted character. Therefore, nationalism is sometimes associated with those who advocate xenophobia and ethnic cleansing, while in other cases it is applied to describe those who defend their right to

exist and peacefully cultivate a particular culture which makes them 'different' from other groups. The eighteenth-century concept of popular sovereignty was designed for the 'whole people'. When the revolutionaries stated that the principle of sovereignty resides essentially in the nation, they may be taken to have asserted that the nation was more than the king and the aristocracy. National self-determination turned out to be one of the most frequent interpretations of popular sovereignty.

The new ideas of the *philosophes* emphasizing liberty, equality and, in particular, the idea of state power rooted in popular consent were initially applied to the construction and consolidation of the nation-state. At present, democratic nationalist movements in nations without states invoke the principle of consent and the idea of popular sovereignty to legitimate their claims for self-determination, a concept which, as we shall see later on in the book, may be subject to significantly different interpretations. The idea of self-determination has the capacity to challenge the nation-state as a political institution which, in most cases, has been created upon the attempt to seek the cultural and political homogenization of its citizens, paying scant attention to its own internal diversity.

It is my contention that, although the accounts included in the three approaches I have just briefly summarized have made significant contributions to understanding current changes affecting the nation-state while examining with varying depth the unexpected force of sub-state nationalism, they lack a systematic account of the re-emergence of nationalism in nations without states and fail to consider the crucial role that it is likely to play in the near future. I consider the re-emergence of nationalism in Western nations without states as the result of a multidimensional process which requires a specific examination of the conditions of modernity which have brought it about. The main weaknesses of the literature I have mentioned stem from its inability to focus upon nations without states as a primary subject of analysis.

At present a significant number of nations without states are struggling to become global political actors in a changing world within which they enjoy the capacity to provide individuals with a strong sense of identity. Nations without states open up the possibility for individuals to play a more active part in the political life of their communities through participation in autonomous institutions. In so doing they contribute to the dynamization of civil society and encourage civic coherence. Emphasis upon identity is of paramount import-

ance, but it is also crucial to take on board the sound economic arguments for decentralization which are in favour of the creation of sub-state units. In my view, there are strong cultural, political and economic arguments for nations without states to become global political players.

Objectives and structure

This book focuses upon the re-emergence of nationalism in nations without states in Europe and North America. The empirical focus includes Catalonia, Scotland, Wales, the Basque Country, Quebec and Northern Ireland as well as First Nations in the United States and Canada, although there are spot references to some other cases. All these nations have ethnic origins that can be traced back to an era previous to the rise of the nation-state. They are all evolving within industrialized societies endowed with democratic institutions, Western democratic nation-states that grant them varying degrees of autonomy and recognition. Thriving nationalist movements exist in all of them. Most of these nations without states are, in fact, included in the European Union, a pioneering political institution which might play a part strengthening them and their various nationalisms if it decides to implement a much debated decentralization policy in favour of a Europe of the Regions.

I am aware that there are sub-state nationalist movements emerging in many parts of the world, the former Soviet Union, Asia and Africa, as well as native movements among native peoples in Australia and New Zealand which deserve much attention and offer interesting examples of new forms of nationalism. For the reasons I have just mentioned, their analysis is beyond the scope of this book.

This book has four main aims. First, to offer a definition and a typology of the different political scenarios in which nations without states find themselves in the West. Second, to advance a systematic analysis of the processes leading to the generation of nationalist movements in nations without states paying particular attention to the role of intellectuals and the impact of the media in the construction and reproduction of nationalist messages. Third, to establish a clear-cut distinction between cultural resistance and armed struggle as major strategies employed by different nationalist groups in the advancement of their goals. Fourth, to assess the factors which might contribute to generating a completely new political environment in

which nations without states are likely to become global political actors.

The book is divided into seven chapters. Chapter One focuses upon the concepts of nation, state and nationalism as three terms closely related to each other. It explains the link between these concepts which are presented as forming a triad characterized by constant tension and interdependence. Here, I relate the unfolding of nationalism in nations without states to the profound metamorphosis currently being experienced by the nation-state and the intensification of globalization processes. The pre-eminence which the nation has achieved in late modernity is connected to the role it played in the Romantic era. Following this line of argument, questions about the value of diversity, the need for civic coherence and the restoration of a sense of community are examined. The nationalisms of nations without states are studied as social movements seeking the recognition of the communities they represent as distinctive cultural units with a territorial dimension and the desire to decide about their political future.

Chapter Two offers a typology of the different political scenarios in which nations without states find themselves, paying particular attention to the nature of the state containing them and the aspirations expressed by the nationalist movements studied. References to Catalonia, Quebec, Northern Ireland, Scotland, Wales, and Kurdistan, among others, are made here to illustrate the various political environments in which nations without states live and develop. It should be emphasized that this is not an exhaustive typology. It does, however, offer sufficient elements of analysis to permit a general classification of the radically distinct options faced by nations without states.

Chapter Three examines recent expressions of nationalism emerging among Native peoples in the United States and Canada. From an historical perspective, these peoples are very different from the well-documented ethno-historical European nations without states, but they share enough features with them to be included here. This chapter expands the traditional definition of nations without states to include American Indians as nations which have appropriated the language of nationalism and adapted it to their own non-Western realities. Conflicting definitions of self-determination employed by Canadian indigenous communities are considered here to illustrate the complexity of current debates on sovereignty and self-determination.

Chapter Four's focal point concerns the role of intellectuals in the construction of nationalist discourses seeking to re-create a sentiment

of community among the nation's members. Intellectuals are under pressure to generate, wherever it is absent, or increase, wherever it already exists, the intensity of national consciousness. Their task is to construct a political discourse critical and subversive of the current state of affairs and put forward a set of principles which should favour the strengthening of the community as distinct and separate from the state containing it. Intellectuals are crucial in the configuration of the nationalist movement, but they are insufficient to guarantee the survival and development of a particular culture and language as something more than a piece of ethnographic curiosity and philological interest. To be successful, a nationalist movement, which generally starts as an elite movement, has to obtain a mass following. Elite nationalisms are bound to disappear if they fail to transform themselves into mass social movements.

Chapter Five investigates different strategies employed by nationalist movements in nations without states to counteract the homogenizing policies of the state and advance their goals ranging from cultural resistance to armed struggle. Here, I examine different types of action which are generally included within cultural resistance. This chapter goes on to study the so-called 'dark face' of nationalism, its relation with violence. Political terrorism and 'total war' as well as 'state terrorism' are carefully analysed in this section.

Chapter Six explores some of the main features of the traditional nation-state which are being altered by increasing globalization. It introduces the concept of the 'post-traditional nation-state' to refer to a nation-state which is being forced to make fundamental changes to its traditional concepts of sovereignty, territoriality, control of the means of violence and the administration. A careful analysis of the relationship between the European Union and its member states illustrates the changes and dilemmas faced by nation-states in these four crucial areas. The chapter then moves on to consider the reasons which permit us to envisage a medium term future in which nations without states might become global political actors. Questions about national identity and geopolitics, as well as the economic viability of nations without states are discussed here.

Chapter Seven sums up the main arguments expounded throughout the book and concentrates on what I consider the main questions and dilemmas currently faced by nations without states which are likely to be intensified in the near future. These are: internal diversity; the possible use of violence as a mechanism to advance their goals; and excessive bureaucratization. Multiculturalism, procedures to avoid the use of force and the implementation of subsidiarity as a political

principle are examined as useful tools in confronting these three major challenges.

Questions about legitimacy, the desire to reconstruct a sense of community among otherwise isolated individuals, and the disintegration of traditional sources of identity are crucial factors to consider when studying the renewed vigour which nationalist movements in nations without states are experiencing. The transformation of the economy, communications technology and a rising awareness of global interdependence design a novel political scenario in which new actors are coming on stage. To begin with I turn to the relation between nation, state and nationalism as three interdependent concepts in constant tension with each other and offer a definition of what I mean by nations without states. In recent times the pre-eminence of the nation seems to be on the increase. Individuals have embarked upon a quest for identity which often seems to take them away from a progressively weaker state and back to smaller cultural communities which in many cases seek to play a political role. At present, identity is closely connected to politics.

1

State and Nation

The nation has become one of the most contested concepts of our times. Different cultural, political, psychological, territorial, ethnic and sociological principles underlie the multifarious definitions of the nation provided by the various scholars, politicians and political activists willing to shed some light on this much disputed term. Their lack of agreement suggests a major difficulty in dealing with such a complex phenomenon. The crux of the matter probably resides close to the link which has been established between nation and state, and to the common practice of using the nation as a source of political legitimacy. To be or not to be recognized as a nation entails different rights for the community which claims to be one, since being a nation usually implies the attachment to a particular territory, a shared culture and history and the assertion of the right to self-determination. To define a specific community as a nation involves the more or less explicit acceptance of the legitimacy of the state which claims to represent it, or if the nation does not possess a state of its own, it then implicitly acknowledges the nation's right to self-government involving some degree of political autonomy which may or may not lead to a claim for independence.

The nation, however, cannot be viewed in isolation. I argue that a clear-cut distinction needs to be drawn between three main concepts: nation, state and nationalism. By 'state', taking Weber's definition, I refer to 'a human community that (successfully) claims the *monopoly of the legitimate use of physical force* within a given territory',¹ although not all states have successfully accomplished this, and some of them have not even aspired to accomplish it. By 'nation', I refer to a human