BestMasters

Lukas Heim

Inflation versus Price-Level Targeting

Bayesian Estimation of a Small Open DSGE Model for Switzerland



BestMasters

Springer awards "BestMasters" to the best application-oriented master's theses, which were completed at renowned chairs of economic sciences in Germany, Austria, and Switzerland in 2014.

The works received highest marks and were recommended for publication by supervisors. As a rule, they show a high degree of application orientation and deal with current issues from different fields of natural sciences, psychology, engineering, and economics.

The series addresses practitioners as well as scientists and offers guidance for early stage researchers.

Lukas Heim

Inflation versus Price-Level Targeting

Bayesian Estimation of a Small Open DSGE Model for Switzerland

With a foreword by Prof. Dr. Luca Benati



Lukas Heim Luzern, Switzerland

BestMasters
ISBN 978-3-658-08227-7
ISBN 978-3-658-08228-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-08228-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014956973

Springer Gabler

© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer Gabler is a brand of Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)



Foreword

Following the recent financial crisis, academics and policymakers have been engaged in a wide-ranging reappraisal of the pros and cons of alternative monetary regimes and monetary policy instruments. The crisis highlighted two key issues which, before 2007-2008, had received scant attention: first, the role played by asset price bubbles in macroeconomic fluctuations; second, the fact that the probability of hitting the zero lower bound (ZLB) is significantly greater than previously thought.

As for the former issue, the professionals' response has been to augment the toolkit of monetary policy instruments with so-called 'macro-prudential policies' (e.g., limits to the size of a real estate loan compared to the price of the house which is being bought, or the income of the borrower). By surgically targeting disequilibria in specific asset markets' segments, these (yet untested) instruments promise, in principle, to take away the froth from that particular segment without the deleterious, economy-wide recessionary side-effects which would result from an interest rate hike.

As for the ZLB, research has focused on several alternative 'lines of attack'. In particular, on the one hand, there has been an intensive research effort aimed at identifying which policies (quantitative easing, 'forward guidance', etc.) can jolt the economy out of the recession once the ZLB has been reached, so that standard monetary policy has all but lost its effectiveness. A second group of contributions has instead explored alternative ways of 'hardwiring' into the system a set of self-stabilizing expectations, in order to make the economy more resilient in the face of shocks which push it to the ZLB, and to make it easier, for policymakers, to push it out of that state once it has been reached. Under this respect, the fundamental theoretical contributions of Krugman (1998), and of

VIII Foreword

Eggertson and Woodford (2003), have highlighted how a comparatively simple (at least, in principle) solution to the problem posed by the ZLB would be to create inflationary expectations in that state of the world. The rationale behind this proposal is straightforward: given that, by definition, at the ZLB the short-term interest rate is stuck at zero - so that, different from the 'normal state of affairs', it does not react to macroeconomic developments (in particular, it does not react to fluctuations in either inflation or inflationary expectations) - any change in expected inflation maps, one-for-one, into a corresponding change in the opposite direction in the real ex ante interest rate. This automatically implies that an increase in expected inflation causes a corresponding decrease in the real ex ante interest rate, thus stimulating demand and pushing the economy out of the recession. Eggertson and Woodford (2003) have shown that the optimal policy, which takes an extremely convoluted and non-transparent form, can be well-approximated, to a quite remarkable extent, by a simple price-level targeting rule. The reason for this is, once again, straightforward: if the central bank commits to keeping the price level constant, this logically implies that deflation today ought to be followed by inflation tomorrow, simply because any price decline has to be followed by a corresponding and identical price increase, in order to bring prices to their original level. This implies that - if the price level targeting policy is credible - the deflation associated with the recessionary shock which pushed the economy down to the ZLB automatically creates inflationary expectations, thus introducing into the economy an automatic stabilizer of aggregate demand. As discussed by Eggertson and Woodford (2003), a simple price-level targeting rule presents two fundamental advantages compared to the optimal policy. First, as previously mentioned, it is much easier in terms of formulation, and it can therefore be easily communicated to the public. Second, because of this, it is comparatively easy, for a central bank, to build credibility about such a policy: quite simply, it has to stick to this policy 'through thick and thin' - that is, in all states of the world, including when the ZLB is not binding. In this way the public regularly observes the policy being implemented, and the central bank builds up a reputation for following such a policy.