Wetlands: Ecology, Conservation and Management 5

C Max Finlayson Pierre Horwitz Philip Weinstein *Editors*

Wetlands and Human Health



Wetlands: Ecology, Conservation and Management

Volume 5

Series Editor C Max Finlayson Albury, New South Wales, Australia The recognition that wetlands provide many values for people and are important foci for conservation worldwide has led to an increasing amount of research and management activity. This has resulted in an increased demand for high quality publications that outline both the value of wetlands and the many management steps necessary to ensure that they are maintained and even restored. Recent research and management activities in support of conservation and sustainable development provide a strong basis for the book series. The series presents current analyses of the many problems afflicting wetlands as well as assessments of their conservation status. Current research is described by leading academics and scientists from the biological and social sciences. Leading practitioners and managers provide analyses based on their vast experience.

The series provides an avenue for describing and explaining the functioning and processes that support the many wonderful and valuable wetland habitats, such as swamps, lagoons and marshes, and their species, such as waterbirds, plants and fish, as well as the most recent research directions. Proposals cover current research, conservation and management issues from around the world and provide the reader with new and relevant perspectives on wetland issues

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/7215

C Max Finlayson • Pierre Horwitz Philip Weinstein Editors

Wetlands and Human Health



Editors C Max Finlayson Institute for Land, Water and Society Charles Sturt University Albury New South Wales Australia UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education Delft The Netherlands

Pierre Horwitz School of Natural Sciences Edith Cowan University Joondalup West Australia Australia Philip Weinstein School of Biological Sciences The University of Adelaide Adelaide South Australia Australia

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015935729

Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

This book is about wetlands in their broadest sense—as places of water on land, and where water shapes the land. And it is about health in its richest sense—the wellbeing of people, beyond ill-health or the absence of disease. In some parts of the world these two domains of human thought and existence tend to be separated, into 'environment' and 'health' disciplines and sectors. They only come together when the environment impinges on humans, like an infectious disease, requiring that the environment be manipulated to avoid such possibilities.

We can't continue to see the world, and our relationships with it, like that. In this book we adopt an ecosystem approach to human health, which starts from the premise that Earth systems are foundational for human societies and the well-being of people; the two are interdependent. This is much more like an Indigenous worldview where people, the land and the water are together.

To do this we bring together two concepts—from health promotion we recognise the valuable 'settings' approach, first enunciated in the Ottawa Charter (WHO 1986). The ecological and systems-based perspectives in this approach can be drawn upon to be much more explicit about the ecosystem, in this case the wetland, as the 'setting' in which people "take care of each other, our communities and our natural environment" (see Parkes and Horwitz 2009). The setting includes the institutional and governmental aspects required to deliver health services, to address health inequalities, and to intervene for public health.

From ecological economics we have recognised the 'ecosystem services' approach, which starts from the assumptions that main stream market economics externalises the environment, that we take it for granted, and that we do so at our peril. The ultimate ecosystem service is the availability of water, a chemical compound essential for life. Draining a wetland to avoid mosquito breeding and the transmission of disease, or damming a river to avoid the miserable effects of flooding, at best makes the calculated trade-off to deliver a net benefit; at worst it deprives local people of essential ecosystem services. Either way, the consequences may be more severe than the original problem conceived.

Examining ecosystem services provides analysts with a more effective way to communicate with decision-makers, with the potential for enhancing planning, implementation and assessment efforts for integrated land and water management

(UNU-IHDP 2014). Ecosystem services can also be more clearly linked to aspects of human well-being like health, security, good social relations and basic materials for good life (see Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005).

The book draws from the examples given in the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands' technical report *Healthy Wetlands Healthy People* (Horwitz, Finlayson and Weinstein 2012), and goes beyond; the organisation of chapters is more clearly related to the ways in which wetlands as settings contribute to human health. Chapters 3–8 deal with wetlands as playing a critical role in food security and provision of medicinal products, wetlands as sites of exposure to infectious diseases or their vectors and toxic materials, and wetlands as places for livelihood and lifestyle contributions to human well-being. Chapter 9 demonstrates how a wetland can become the setting in which natural disasters are concentrated and their consequences for human well-being are addressed by societal responses and preventative actions. Chapters 10 and 11 follow, firstly by examining the ways in which the public sector in general, and the health sector in particular, might intervene to enhance human well-being by addressing the erosion of ecosystem services in wetlands, and secondly by arguing for specific human health guidance for wetland managers.

References

- Horwitz, P., Finlayson, M. and Weinstein, P. (2012). *Healthy wetlands, healthy people. A review of wetlands and human health interactions*. Published jointly by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands and the World Health Organisation. Ramsar Technical Report No. 6. Gland, Switzerland.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2005). Ecosystems and Human Well-being: General Synthesis. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Available at: http:// www.unep.org/maweb/en/Synthesis.aspx
- Parkes, M. and Horwitz, P. (2009). Water Ecology and Health. Ecosystems as 'settings' for health and sustainability. *Health Promotion International* 24: 94–102.
- UNU-IHDP. (2014). Land, Water, and People From Cascading Effects to Integrated Flood and Drought Responses. Summary for Decision-Makers. Bonn: UNU-IHDP.
- WHO (1986) Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion. World Health Organisation, Geneva.

C Max Finlayson Pierre Horwitz Philip Weinstein

Preface

Wetlands provide a wonderful wealth of ecosystem services and benefits to people, of which many are linked to human health and well-being, including water provision and its purification, resilience to climate change, storm protection, erosion prevention and food provisioning, among others. Wetlands are also crucial to the livelihoods and subsistence of communities, as they are central to the provision of food and water security. For example, wetlands can serve as nurseries for fish, provide crops, such as rice and timber, and recharge groundwater supplies. They can also be sources of spiritual renewal, leisure, tourism and recreation. As a result, degradation of the quality or ecological character of wetlands is often linked to a decrease in these benefits and services to people, and in turn the well-being and health of those who depend upon them.

Wise use of wetlands, which is their maintenance through the implementation of ecosystem approaches, supports sustainable development and is central to the health of wetlands and the health of people. "Healthy people, Healthy wetlands" should be a mantra for our modern world.

Recognizing this important relationship, the Conference of the Contracting Parties of the Ramsar Convention has adopted a number of Resolutions relating to wetlands and human health, such as Resolution X. 13, *the Changwon Declaration on human well-being and wetlands*. The Declaration calls for including the interlinkages between wetlands and human health as key components of national and international policies, plans and strategies in light of the significant health benefits that wetlands provide to people.

The most recently adopted Resolution on this matter is Resolution XI. 12, *Wetlands and health: taking an ecosystem approach*, builds on Ramsar Technical Report No. 6 on *Healthy wetlands, healthy people: A review of wetlands and human health interactions*, and calls for the promotion and delivery of an ecosystem approach to healthy wetlands and their catchments with proposals for integrated methodologies and actions across relevant sectors.

In this light, this book explores the complexities of the relationships between wetlands and humans, through a general treatment of ecology-health issues for the wetlands and public health sectors. Furthermore, this book seeks to further build on the strong message that degrading or converting wetlands, thus stopping them from delivering their services, can have serious adverse effects on human health.

Christopher Briggs Secretary General, Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

Contents

Wetlands as Settings for Human Health—the Benefits and the Paradox C Max Finlayson and Pierre Horwitz	1
Public Health Perspectives on Water Systems and Ecology Angus Cook and Peter Speldewinde	15
Wetlands and People's Well-being: Basic Needs, Food Security and Medicinal Properties Anthony B. Cunningham	31
Wetlands as Sites of Exposure to Water-Borne Infectious Diseases Bonnie T. Derne, Philip Weinstein and Colleen L. Lau	45
Ecosystem Approaches to Human Exposures to Pollutants and Toxicants in Wetlands: Examples, Dilemmas and Alternatives Pierre Horwitz and Anne Roiko	75
Healthy Wetlands, Healthy People: Mosquito Borne Disease Scott Carver, David P. Slaney, Paul T. Leisnham and Philip Weinstein	95
Wetlands, Livelihoods and Human Health Matthew P. McCartney, Lisa-Maria Rebelo and Sonali Senaratna Sellamuttu	123
Wetlands and Health: How do Urban Wetlands Contribute to Community Well-being? May Carter	149
Natural Disasters, Health and Wetlands: A Pacific Small Island Developing State Perspective Aaron P. Jenkins and Stacy Jupiter	169

Interventions Required to Enhance Wetlands as Settings for Human Well-Being Pierre Horwitz, C Max Finlayson and Ritesh Kumar	193
Human Health and the Wise Use of Wetlands—Guidance in an International Policy Setting C Max Finlayson and Pierre Horwitz	227
A Synthesis: Wetlands as Settings for Human Health C Max Finlayson, Pierre Horwitz and Philip Weinstein	251

Contributors

May Carter School of Natural Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

Scott Carver School of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, Australia

Angus Cook School of Population Health, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia

Anthony B. Cunningham School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, WA Australia

Bonnie T. Derne Queensland Children's Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia, WHO Collaborating, Centre for Children's Health and Environment, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia, Royal Children's Hospital, Herston, QLD Australia

C Max Finlayson Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW, Australia UNESCO-IHE, Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands

Pierre Horwitz School of Natural Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

Aaron P. Jenkins School of Natural Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia

Stacy Jupiter Wildlife Conservation Society, Suva, Fiji

Ritesh Kumar Wetlands International-South Asia, New Delhi, India

Colleen L. Lau Queensland Children's Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia, WHO Collaborating, Centre for Children's Health and Environment,

The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia, Royal Children's Hospital, Herston, QLD Australia

Paul T. Leisnham Ecosystem Health and Natural Resource Management, Department of Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

Matthew P. McCartney International Water Management Institute, Vientiane, Lao PDR

Lisa-Marie Rebelo International Water Management Institute, Vientiane, Lao PDR

Anne Roiko School of Medicine, Griffith University, Southport, QLD, Australia

Sonali Senaratna Sellamuttu International Water Management Institute, Vientiane, Lao PDR

David P. Slaney Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand and Barbara Hardy Institute, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Peter Speldewinde Centre of Excellence in Natural Resource Management, The University of Western Australia, Albany, WA Australia

Philip Weinstein School of Biological Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

Wetlands as Settings for Human Health —the Benefits and the Paradox

C Max Finlayson and Pierre Horwitz

Abstract As wetlands provide many valuable ecosystem services and are amongst the most degraded ecosystems globally, further degradation could greatly affect the well-being and health of people dependent on them. Healthy wetlands are generally associated with enhanced ecosystem services and improved outcomes for human health, and unhealthy wetlands with degraded ecosystem services and poor outcomes for human health. However, the relationships can also be paradoxical with some direct benefits for human health leading to the loss of other ecosystem services, in particular regulating and supporting services, and the enhancement of others, leading to poor outcomes for human health. This results in a health paradox whereby there is a loss of regulating and supporting services from steps to enhance human health. Examples of the health paradox include: drainage of wetlands for malaria control; conversion of a wetland into a reservoir to store water for human consumption and irrigation; and regulation of rivers for flood mitigation activities to alleviate loss of life or property. A wetland paradox also occurs when there are poor outcomes for human health as a consequence of the maintenance or enhancement of ecosystem services. Examples of the wetland paradox includes: urban wetlands protected for nature conservation can also support mosquitoes and other vectors, and expose humans to vector-borne diseases; and the maintenance of large woody debris in rivers which slows down water flows, and contributes to the trophic web and is a recreational hazard for swimming or boating. In response a framework for the conceptualisation of human and wetland relationships, including the paradoxical situations has been provided based on the concept of wetlands as settings for human health. This enables the trade-offs that have and will occur between wetland ecosystem services and human health to be addressed.

P. Horwitz

C. M. Finlayson (🖂)

Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, Australia UNESCO-IHE, Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands e-mail: mfinlayson@csu.edu.au

School of Natural Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia e-mail: p.horwitz@ecu.edu.au

[©] Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

C. M. Finlayson et al. (eds.), *Wetlands and Human Health*, Wetlands: Ecology, Conservation and Management 5, DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-9609-5_1

Keywords Wetland settings • Ecosystem services • Health paradox • Wetland paradox • Trade-offs • Biodiversity • Ecological character • Ecosystem health • Agriculture • Water • Livelihoods • Diseases

Introduction

The complexities of the interactions that occur between people and wetlands has been addressed more and more in recent years, for example, through global assessments of water, biodiversity and the wider environment (Falkenmark et al. 2007; Arthurton et al. 2007; Gordon et al. 2010; Armenteras and Finlayson 2011). These assessments, largely following the lead of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005), have focused on the benefits that can accrue by promoting the positive relationships that can exist between human well-being and livelihoods, as expressed through the Millennium Development Goals, and wetlands. That is, they have focussed on wetlands as settings for human well-being, including human health and livelihoods, through the provision of ecosystem services, encompassing provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural services (as defined by the MEA 2005) as well as settings for biodiversity conservation.

At the same time these assessments have provided further documentation that wetlands and wetland-dependent species are in severe decline globally, as are the many ecosystem services that they provide for many people. Given projected increases in the demand for food and fresh water it is expected that wetlands will face increased pressures and further decline in the benefits that they provide for large numbers of people, in particular for those people who depend most directly on wetlands for their sustenance and well-being (Falkenmark et al. 2007; Gordon et al. 2010). Wider recognition that wetlands are important settings for human well-being and for biodiversity conservation is seen as an important step if the decline of biodiversity and ecosystem services from wetlands is to be stopped, let alone reversed (Horwitz and Finlayson 2011). The latter is important-global efforts to reverse the decline of wetlands and wetland species have not kept pace with the rate of decline (MEA 2005; Armenteras and Finlayson 2011). In other words, despite the problems being articulated for several decades (MEA 2005) the responses to the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services have been inadequate to halt the decline. Further, efforts to ensure greater equity in access to and sharing of the benefits that accrue from biodiversity are increasingly seen as important steps in changing this situation (Armenteras and Finlayson 2011).

The complexities of the relationships between wetlands and people are explored in this book through a general treatment of ecology-health issues for both the wetland and public health sectors, in recognition that both sectors have a vital role to play in ensuring the maintenance of the benefits provided by healthy wetlands. The corollary, namely that disrupting the provision of ecosystem services has adverse impacts on human health, is also examined as a prelude to examining ways in which multi-disciplinary research and practice (including community participation) can be enhanced and policies generated to support ecosystem and human health concurrently.

A key premise behind the abovementioned intent is that the environmental health problems of the twenty-first Century cannot be addressed by the traditional tools of ecologists or epidemiologists working in their respective disciplinary silos; this is clear from the emergence and re-emergence of public health and human wellbeing problems such as cholera pandemics and mosquito borne disease, as well as the impact of climate change and episodic events and disasters (e.g. hurricanes). The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment concluded that genuine cross-disciplinary approaches were necessary to tackle these problems (MEA 2005), a theme subsequently taken up syntheses provided in the Global Environment Outlook (UNEP 2007, 2011). This book brings the disciplines of ecology and health sciences closer to such a synthesis for researchers, teachers and policy makers interested in or needing information to manage wetlands and their interconnected human health and well-being issues.

While recent global assessments and syntheses provide a basis for many of the technical concepts covering health and wetlands that are expanded in the book, they do not, on the whole, explore the technical knowledge and information that supports the intricacies of the interactions between components of the wetlands and people. Similarly, the major text books covering wetland ecology focus on the science of wetland populations and ecological processes and not on human health issues, responses or specific interactions between wetlands and people. This book brings the disciplines of ecology and health sciences closer together with a synthesis for researchers, teachers and policy makers of the relationships that exist between wetlands and human health—relationships that are fundamental to a sustainable future, but also contains what we have termed a health paradox and a wetland (environment) paradox (Horwitz and Finlayson 2011).

The Human-Wetland Nexus

The relationships between humans and wetland ecosystems go back many millennia with hunter-gatherers being directly dependent on the availability of resources in the immediate environment, foremost being a reliable and clean source of drinking water, but also for food and materials for making tools, shelter, and for fuel for heating and cooking (Junk 2002; Gopal et al. 2008). Over many years and in many places, people developed agriculture, including increasingly intensive use of wetlands for grazing, cropping and horticulture, and eventually changed the manner in which wetlands were managed, including the spread of wide-scale detriment, largely through the expansion of agriculture (Finlayson et al. 2005), but still with a large dependency on the provision of ecosystem services (Falkenmark et al. 2007; Gordon et al. 2010). The continued importance of agriculture in wetlands is evident from analyses of the extent of agriculture in wetlands listed as internationally important under the Ramsar Convention (Ramsar sites); for example, in 2006 some 78% of Ramsar sites globally were found to support some form of agriculture (Rebelo et al. 2009a), and similarly, in 2008 some 93% of Ramsar sites in sub-Saharan Africa supported agriculture (Rebelo et al. 2009b).

Unfortunately, the increasing extent of human exploitation and modification of the environment has also adversely affected the health of wetlands, some of which have been lost or degraded to an extent whereby they no longer provide the ecosystem services that previously supported human well-being and health (Revenga et al. 2000; Agardy and Alder 2005; Finlayson and D'Cruz 2005). Sources of drinking and irrigation water have dried, leading to thirst, starvation and population displacement; toxic pollutants have poisoned waters, fish and people; alterations to water regimes and vegetation structures have led to hardship, epidemics, and wide-spread environmental degradation and adverse consequences for people (Horwitz et al. 2012).

On the other hand, changes in land cover and land use to accommodate expanding agriculture and industrial development have had many beneficial outcomes for many people, for example, through increased irrigation and food production. Unfortunately, many agricultural systems have been managed as though they were disconnected from the wider landscape, with scant regard for maintaining the ecological components and processes that underpinned their sustainability (Molden et al. 2007). The consequences of such approaches include the loss of provisioning services such as fisheries, loss of regulating services such as storm protection and nutrient retention, with negative feedback on food and fibre production. Human health has also suffered in a direct sense, for example, through the increased prevalence of insect-borne disease or through changes in diet and nutrition or the loss of regulating services, such as erosion control and the amelioration of floods (Corvalan et al. 2005). People in rural areas who use a variety of ecosystem services directly for their livelihoods are likely to be the most vulnerable to such changes in ecosystems (MEA 2005).

Finlayson et al. (2005) emphasised that failure to tackle the loss and degradation of wetland ecosystems and their species, such as that caused by the development of agriculture and water resources, could undermine progress toward achieving the human health and poverty components of the Millennium Development Goals. The first United Nations World Water Development Report noted that a healthy and unpolluted natural environment was essential for human well-being and sustainable development, and further stressed that wetland (aquatic) ecosystems and their dependent species provided a valuable and irreplaceable resource base that helped to meet a multitude of human and ecosystem needs which are essential for poverty alleviation and socio-economic development (UN-WWAP 2003). The report also noted that human health provided one of the most striking features of the link between water and poverty.

The adverse consequences of increased interactions between people and wetland ecosystems have received more attention in recent years with the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005) in particular emphasising the strength of the fundamental relationship between ecosystems and human health and poverty, and therefore the importance of developing environmental management strategies that support the maintenance of both wetland health and human health concurrently. Almost in parallel it has become apparent that many environmental health problems cannot be solved by 'traditional' health approaches alone. Rather, broader approaches are needed to analyse interactions between humans and the surrounding environment (Corvalon et al. 2005), often drawing on a wider scientific base, including ecological and social sciences, and accepting that humans are not separable from the complex vagaries of the natural environment.

A fundamental and underlying part of this complexity is the paradox that healthy wetlands (*sensu* Ramsar Wetlands Convention; Finlayson and Weinstein 2008) can provide many valuable ecosystem services as well as support vectors for water-borne diseases (Corvalon et al. 2005). The complexity of such relationships is shown by the historical links between malaria and humans in parts of Europe (O'Sullivan et al. 2008). If wetland health and human health are treated as being in-extricably linked it should be no surprise that the incidence of many diseases varies with short- and long-term changes in wetland health. By extension, for a variety of vector-borne, water-borne and other 'environmental' diseases, appropriate, scientifically based public health interventions can only be devised with an understanding of the relationship between wetland health and human health and the ecology of the vectors and diseases. The interactions and reciprocity of the complex interactions between people and wetlands is also illustrated by the debilitating effect of HIV/AIDS which reduces the capacity of groups of people to support their wider wellbeing through fishing and other basic activities (Mojola 2009).

As wetlands provide many valuable ecosystem services and are amongst the most degraded ecosystems globally, further degradation could greatly affect the well-being and health of people dependent on them both directly and indirectly. In response, the Ramsar Wetland Convention has placed more attention on developing the scientific concepts behind the metaphor 'healthy wetlands, healthy people' and sought more understanding of how people and wetlands interact, for example, through analyses of the interactions between agriculture and wetlands (Falkenmark et al. 2007; Wood and van Halsema 2008) and fisheries and wetlands (Kura et al. 2004), and in this instance, the interactions between human health and wetlands (Horwitz et al. 2012). The metaphor 'healthy wetlands, healthy people' implies an interaction between wetland ecology and management and the health of people with consequent social and cultural interactions between people and wetlands. This is seen as an extension of the multi-disciplinary approaches adopted through the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005) and subsequent global assessments that have addressed human well-being and ecosystem services (Molden et al. 2007; UN-WWAP 2006; UNEP 2007). The interactions between human health and wetlands are expanded in this book through an examination of the linkages between human health and ecosystem services obtained from wetlands; the emphasis being on human health as a component of human well-being and linked inextricably with wetland health.

Wetlands as Settings for Human Health

With this background the purpose of the book is to review and map out the relationships and issues concerning the wise use of wetland ecosystems and human health, including information and concepts from the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment and its synthesis reports (www.millenniumassessment.org). Specific issues that have been addressed include:

- wetland health and ecological character of wetlands;
- human health and wetland ecosystem services;
- the effects on human health of disruptions to wetland ecosystem services;
- economic values and incentives for supporting human health;
- · global trends affecting wetlands and human health; and
- responses and interventions for maintaining the ecological character of wetlands and supporting human health.

In addressing these issues the trade-offs between ecosystem maintenance and the risk of human diseases and ill-health have been considered with comments provided on the complexity of making decisions and choices that support ecosystems and the services that they provide. In doing this the following issues have been addressed—declines in water quantity and quality, including waterborne pollutants; human sanitation; water-related diseases; disease emergence related to small and large dams; increased land use in marginal landscapes leading to closer disease contacts; implications of climate change for human health issues associated with wetlands; human nutrition and wetlands; and wetlands as sources of beneficial drugs. A further section is added on the effect of global trends on wetlands and human health with attention being drawn to the complex interactions with global climate change.

The depth and detail of coverage of the above have benefited by the accessibility of information in recent global overviews such as the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005), the World Water Development Report (UN-WWAP 2003, 2006), the Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture (Molden 2007), and the Global Environmental Outlook (UNEP 2007, 2011). These overviews represent both a global consensus by scientists on key issues affecting wetland ecosystems, water and people, and up-to-date widely reviewed compilations of science-based evidence. These are particularly important when considering the implications of the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals that may run counter to efforts focussed on wetland conservation with an emphasis on the biodiversity in virtual isolation of wider ecosystem issues. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment in particular has emphasised the strength of the fundamental relationship between wetland ecosystems and their services and human health, and therefore the importance of developing environmental management strategies that support the maintenance of both wetland health and human health concurrently (Finlayson et al. 2005). It is contended that at a metaphorical level the linkages are being established—further scientific evidence is needed to support these and enable more informed decisions that consider the complexities involved.

The importance of wetlands for humans, in particular in relation to their health and well-being was explored by Horwitz et al. (2012) in a landmark report that considered wetlands as settings that supported and even determined human health and well-being in a number of ways, including the provision of safe water and food and support for livelihoods, but also as places where people could be exposed to pollution, toxicants or infectious diseases. They concluded that wetland settings could "... either enhance or diminish human health depending on the ecological functioning of wetlands and their ability to provide ecosystem services." and that wetland loss and degradation would have consequences for human health, and that adverse outcomes were likely to be distributed unequally, possibly along socioeconomic lines.

Horwitz and Finlayson (2011) further explored the concept of wetlands as settings for human health by considering the commonality of issues contained within the concepts of the ecological character of wetlands and wetland ecosystem services. They explored the modern tendency to assess the condition of wetlands and wetland resources separately from human well-being associated with wetlands (considering wetlands in the broad sense of the word as defined by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands in 1971, to include, e.g., rivers, lakes, marshes, rice fields, coastal areas), and developed the synergies with the Ramsar Convention's concepts of the wise use of wetlands and the maintenance of their ecological character. The Convention responded to the widening gap between wetland conservation and the use of wetlands by people by equating the terms wise use and ecological character with the maintenance of ecosystem services. This was done by adopting the framework developed by the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment as a framework for the wise use of wetlands (Fig. 1) and updating the definitions of wise use and ecological character (Ramsar Convention Secretariat 2010; Davidson and Finlayson 2007; Finlayson et al. 2011).

Ecological character is defined as "the combination of the ecosystem components, processes, and benefits or services that characterize the wetland at a given point in time. *Wise use* of wetlands is defined as "the maintenance of their ecological character, achieved through the implementation of ecosystem approaches, within the context of sustainable development."



Fig. 1 Conceptualisation of the linkage between ecological character (comprising ecological processes and components and ecosystem services, and their interactions) and human health (comprising human health as one constituent)



Fig. 2 Categorisation of the settings for wetland and human health based on the condition of ecosystem services and outcomes for human health (adapted from Horwitz and Finlayson 2011). The four settings are: the "double dividend" (++) with healthy wetlands and enhanced ecosystem services and improved outcomes for human health; the "double detriment" (--) with unhealthy wetlands and degraded ecosystem services and poor outcomes for human health; the "health paradox" (+-) with the loss of regulating and supporting services from steps to enhance human health through; and the "wetland paradox" (-+) with poor outcomes for human health as a consequence of the maintenance or enhancement of ecosystem services

The description of the ecological character of a wetland provides a basis for identifying key issues for management, including the role of wetlands in supporting human well-being and health (Fig. 2). It also enabled the relationship between human well-being and health to be expressed pictorially. In making these connections human well-being is treated as a broad notion that includes security, basic materials for a good life, health, good social relations, and freedom of choice and action (MEA 2005), and where *human health* is "a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity" (WHO 2006). This illustrates how the Ramsar Convention has linked human health with the ecological character of wetlands and given support to the metaphor of "healthy wetlands, healthy people" as a central tenet of the international efforts to make wise use of wetlands globally.

With the above described background it is possible to depict human health issues in a wetland setting, with Horwitz and Finlayson (2011) identifying the following as ways in which wetlands affect human health and well-being. Namely, wetlands are:

- contributors to hydration and safe water;
- contributors to nutrition;
- sites of exposure to pollution and toxicants;
- sites of exposure to infectious diseases;
- settings for mental health and psychological well-being;
- places where people derive their livelihoods;
- places that enrich people's lives, enable them to cope, and allow them to help others;

- · places that help absorb the damage of natural disasters; and
- sites where medicinal and other products can be derived.

The relationships that exist between healthy wetlands and the provision of ecosystem services that provide benefits for human health are complicated and include direct or linear links as well as indirect links. Examples of direct links include the provision of food, fuel and fresh water, whereas indirect links include the reduction in vulnerability to extreme events, such as storms and floods, or the amelioration of climate change through carbon sequestration. When wetlands are disrupted these benefits are generally assumed to be reduced or lost; however, the relationship between ecosystem services and human health and wetland health is more complex. In some circumstances degraded wetlands can provide benefits for human health, as shown in the simplified categories outlined in Fig. 2.

The generalised relationships between ecosystem services and human health are outlined in Fig. 2. Healthy wetlands are generally associated with enhanced ecosystem services and improved outcomes for human health (the ++ or double dividend scenario), and unhealthy wetlands with degraded ecosystem services and poor outcomes for human health (the -- or double detriment scenario). However, given the multiplicity of ecosystem services and outcomes for human health, the relationships can also be paradoxical with some direct benefits for human health leading to the loss of [other] ecosystem services, in particular regulating and supporting services, and the enhancement of others, for example, nature conservation in particular environments, leading to poor outcomes for human health. These situations lead to what is described as the "health paradox" (the +- scenario) and the "wetland paradox" (the -+ scenario) in Fig. 2.

The health paradox occurs when there is a loss of regulating and supporting services from steps to enhance human health through, for example: (i) drainage of wetlands for malaria control; (ii) conversion of a wetland into a reservoir to store water for human consumption and irrigation; and (iii) regulation of rivers for flood mitigation activities to alleviate loss of life or property. The wetland paradox occurs when there are poor outcomes for human health as a consequence of the maintenance or enhancement of ecosystem services, for example: (i) urban wetlands protected for nature conservation can also support mosquitoes and other vectors, and expose humans to vector-borne diseases; and (ii) the maintenance of large woody debris in rivers which slows down water flows, and contributes to the trophic web and is a recreational hazard for swimming or boating.

While the scenarios in Fig. 2 simplify the interactions between human and wetland health they do provide a framework for considering the general relationships or settings for these relationships. Horwitz and Finlayson (2011) explain that the simplification is to some extent inevitable given that in any wetland some ecosystem services will be maintained, some embellished and some degraded, and similarly, there is the ever present likelihood that there will be both poor and beneficial health outcomes for various people. The multiplicity of wetland and human health outcomes can seem complex but through careful consideration many can be seen to be causally linked, layered, displaced in space and time, dependent on similar or even the same modifying forces, and form chains of events and outcomes. The chains of events and outcomes imply that trade-offs for particular aspects of human health will occur when wetlands are modified by human activities that promote or favour one or a few ecosystem services over others. In saying this we note that a comprehensive and specific assessment of how particular wetland ecosystem services, or combinations of services, affect human health has not been undertaken.

Horwitz and Finlayson (2011) have provided a framework for collecting further information and teasing apart the relationships between wetlands and human health. This was developed by providing a joined-up account and by adopting constructs from ecosystem management, ecological economics, public health, epidemiology, and health promotion. They also drew upon an accepted global framework for describing a wetland's ecological character and described how it should include the services that wetlands provide to human welfare. In doing this they made a case for bringing wetland ecosystems to the foreground as the settings and context in which health determinants can be addressed.

The complexities of interactions between wetlands and human health are contained within the conceptualisation of wetlands as settings for human health, including those of a paradoxical nature. The framework for the conceptualisation does not ignore the paradoxes—rather it enables them to be highlighted along with the double dividend and double detriment scenario. This includes what have been termed the "health and wetland paradoxes": (i) the health paradox occurring when there is a loss of regulating and supporting services from steps to enhance human health, and (ii) the wetland paradox occurring when there are poor outcomes for human health as a consequence of the maintenance or enhancement of specific ecosystem services.

Establishing the trade-offs that have and will occur between multiple measures of wetland ecosystem services and multiple measures of human health can be done using the concept of wetlands as settings for human health. The alternative of treating them separately, as has been done in many societies for decades (or longer), may drive us further towards the double detriment rather than towards the double dividend scenario. Looking at wetlands and human health in this manner also enables a further statement about the situation whereby wetlands are seen as valuable, and yet are highly degraded by human activities. The settings concept raises the hypothesis that insufficient attention has been given to the dividends for human health that can accrue from a healthy wetland, and conversely, that more attention has been given to the negative outcomes that can accrue from an unhealthy wetland. Further, it may also be hypothesised that the consequences of the health paradox has received more attention than the consequences of the wetland paradox.

The settings construction enables the complexities of the interactions between wetlands and human health to be explored in a manner than extends far beyond the oversimplification of statements such as "healthy wetlands healthy people". The construction of artificial wetlands in urban environments is an example where wetland settings are considered to bring multiple benefits to people, including, in places, the treatment of wastewater and mediation of flood flows while providing amenity value for people. In many instances however, artificial wetlands are constructed for single purposes, with Everard et al. (2012) describing them as nature

without imagination. The restoration of wetlands, as actively promoted by the Ramsar Convention (Alexander et al. 2011; Alexander and McInnes 2012), provides another opportunity to develop the benefits of wetlands as settings for human health and well-being. Recent attention to the creation or restoration of urban wetlands is an area where the concept of wetlands as settings with potentially paradoxical outcomes is most advanced.

The complexities of human interactions with wetlands in urban areas are being explored with increasing attention to the benefits and problems that may arise when wetlands are created, highly modified or restored (McInnes 2014). The same view-point may not be as prevalent in more rural settings with smaller populations, such as in the Murray-Darling Basin in south-eastern Australia, where steps to restore the riverine environment have focussed largely on engineering solutions based on hydrological criteria with little consideration of wider values and benefits for people (Pittock and Finlayson 2011). The conceptualisation of wetlands as settings for human health and well-being, taking into account the double dividend and paradox, as described above, is seen as a way of exploring the benefits that can accrue for people from wetlands.

References

- Agardy T, Alder J (2005) Coastal systems. In: Millennium ecosystem assessment, vol 1. Ecosystems and human well-being: current state and trends. Findings of the Conditions and Trends Working Group. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Alexander S, McInnes RJ (2012) The benefits of wetland restoration. Ramsar Scientific and technical briefing note No. 4. Gland: Ramsar Convention Secretariat
- Alexander S, Davidson N, Erwin K, Finlayson MC, McInnes RJ (2011) Restoring our wetlands: an important tool for improving ecosystem services and enhancing human well-being. In: Abstracts of posters presented at the 15th meeting of the subsidiary body on scientific, technical and technological advice of the convention on biological diversity, 7–11 November 2011, Montreal, Canada. Technical Series No. 62. Montreal, SCBD, pp 15–17
- Armenteras D, Finlayson CM (2011) Biodiversity. In: UNEP. Keeping track of our changing environment: from Rio to Rio+20 (1992–2012). Division of Early Warning and Assessment (DEWA), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi
- Arthurton R, Barker S, Rast W, Huber M, Alder J, Chilton J, Gaddis E, Pietersen K, Zöckler C, Al-Droubi A, Dyhr-Nielsen M, Finlayson M, Fortnam M, Kirk E, Heileman S, Rieu-Clark A, Schäfer M, Snoussi M, Danling TL, Tharme R, Vadas R, Wagner G (2007) Water. In: United Nations Environment Program. Global Environment Outlook 4-Environment for Development, UNEP, Nairobi, pp 115–156
- Corvalan C, Hales S, McMichael A (2005) Ecosystems and human well-being: health synthesis. World Health Organization, Geneva
- Davidson NC, Finlayson CM (2007) Developing tools for wetland management: inventory, assessment and monitoring-gaps and the application of satellite-based radar. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst 17:219–228
- Everard M, Harrington R, McInnes RJ (2012) Facilitating implementation of landscape-scale water management: the integrated constructed wetland concept. Ecosyst Serv 2:27–37
- Falkenmark M, Finlayson CM, Gordon L (coordinating lead authors) (2007) Agriculture, water, and ecosystems: avoiding the costs of going too far. In: Molden D (ed) Water for food, water

for life: a comprehensive assessment of water management in agriculture. Earthscan, London pp 234–277

- Finlayson CM, D'Cruz R (2005) Inland water systems. In: Millennium ecosystem assessment, vol 2. Conditions and trends. Island Press, Washington, DC, pp 551–583
- Finlayson CM, Weinstein P (2008) Wetlands, health and sustainable development-global challenges and opportunities. In: Ounsted M, Madgwick J (eds) Healthy wetlands, healthy people. Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 23–40
- Finlayson CM, D'Cruz R, Davidson NC (2005) Ecosystems and human well-being: wetlands and water synthesis. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC
- Finlayson CM, Davidson N, Pritchard D, Milton GR, MacKay H (2011) The Ramsar Convention and ecosystem-based approaches to the wise use and sustainable development of wetlands. J Int Wildl Law Policy 14:176–198
- Gopal B, Junk W, Finlayson CM, Breen CM (2008) Present state and future of tropical wetlands. In: Polunin NVC (ed) Aquatic ecosystems: trends and global prospects, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 141–154
- Gordon L, Finlayson CM, Falkenmark M (2010) Managing water in agriculture to deal with tradeoffs and find synergies among food production and other ecosystem services. Agric Water Manag 97:512–519
- Horwitz P, Finlayson CM (2011) Wetlands as settings: ecosystem services and health impact assessment for wetland and water resource management. BioScience 61:678–688
- Horwitz P, Finlayson M, Weinstein P (2012) Healthy wetlands, healthy people: a review of wetlands and human health interactions. Ramsar Technical Report No. 6. Secretariat of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, Gland, Switzerland, & The World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- Junk W (2002) Long-term environmental trends and the future of tropical wetlands. Environ Conserv 29(4):414–435
- Kura Y, Revenga C, Hoshino E, Mock G (2004) Fishing for answers. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC
- McInnes RJ 2014. Recognising wetland ecosystem services within urban case studies. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 65: 575-588.
- MEA (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment) (2005) Ecosystems and Human Well-being: synthesis. Island Press, Washington, DC
- Mojola SA (2009) Fishing in dangerous waters: ecology, gender and economy in HIV risk. (Population Program Working Paper). Institute of Behavioural Studies, University of Colorado, Colorado
- Molden D (ed) (2007) Water for food, water for life: a comprehensive assessment of water management in agriculture. Earthscan, London
- Molden D, Frenken K, Barker R, de Fraiture C, Mati B, Svendsen M, Sadoff, C, Finlayson CM (2007) Trends in water and agricultural development. In: Molden D (ed) Water for food, water for life: a comprehensive assessment of water management in agriculture. Earthscan, London, pp 57–89
- O'Sullivan L, Jardine A, Cook A, Weinstein P (2008) Deforestation, mosquitoes, and ancient Rome: lessons for today. BioScience 58:756–760
- Pittock J, Finlayson CM (2011) Australia's Murray Darling Basin: freshwater ecosystem conservation options in an era of climate change. Mar Freshw Res 62:232–243
- Ramsar Convention Secretariat (2010) Ramsar handbooks for the wise use of wetlands. In: Pritchard D (ed) Handbook 1, Concepts and approaches for the wise use of wetlands, 4th edn.
- Rebelo L-M, Finlayson CM, Nagabhatla N (2009a) Remote sensing and GIS for wetland inventory, mapping and change analysis. J Environ Manag 90:2144–2153
- Rebelo L-M, McCartney MP, Finlayson CM (2009b) Wetlands of Sub-Saharan Africa: distribution and contribution of agriculture to livelihoods. Wetl Ecol Manag 8:557–572
- Revenga C, Brunner J, Henninger N, Kassem K, Payne R (2000) Pilot analysis of global ecosystems: freshwater ecosystems. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC

- UNEP (United Nations Environment Program) (2007) Global Environment Outlook 4- Environment for Development, UNEP, Nairobi
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) (2011) Global Environment Outlook 5- Environment for the future we want. UNEP, Nairobi
- UN-WWAP (United Nations & World Water Assessment Programme) (2003) UN world water development report: water for people, water for life. UNESCO and Berghahn Books, Paris and New York
- UN-WWAP (United Nations & World Water Assessment Programme) (2006) UN world water development report: water, a shared responsibility. UNESCO and Berghahn Books. Paris and New York
- WHO (2006) Constitution of the World Health Organization. WHO. http://www.who.int/governance/eb/who_constitution_en.pdf (17 January 2015)
- Wood A, van Halsema GE (eds) (2008) Scoping agriculture -wetland interaction: towards a sustainable multiple-response strategy. FAO Water Report 33, Rome

Public Health Perspectives on Water Systems and Ecology

Angus Cook and Peter Speldewinde

Abstract Human health is directly linked to ecosystem functioning, including the dynamics within wetlands. Wetlands can act to initiate or mitigate biological and chemical contamination. In order to assess the potential health risk, investigations focus on potential exposure pathways and evidence that exposure events are actually linked to adverse health effects. This chapter provides a brief introduction to the principals of health risk assessment in relation to wetlands.

Keywords Public health · Human health · Health risk assessment · Epidemiology · Surveillance · Water-borne disease · Water-borne exposures · Contamination · Disability adjusted life years (DALYs) · Cholera · Human pathogens · Toxic substances · Wetland settings · Ecosystem services

Introduction

Protection of public health requires the maintenance of adequate quality, quantity, accessibility and continuity of water supplies for communities (World Health Organization 2006a). For this reason, the World Health Organisation (WHO) has stressed the importance of "continuous and vigilant public health assessment and review of the safety and acceptability of drinking-water supplies" (World Health Organization 1976). Although considerable effort and investment has been directed towards achieving this health objective, water-borne diseases—that is, those arising from pathogens or chemical contaminants transmitted through water supplies remain a major cause of global disease (Tebbutt 1998). Over 1.1 billion people globally continue to consume unsafe drinking water (Rodgers et al. 2004; WHO

A. Cook (🖂)

P. Speldewinde

15

School of Population Health, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia e-mail: angus.cook@uwa.edu.au

Centre of Excellence in Natural Resource Management, The University of Western Australia, Albany, Australia e-mail: peter.speldewinde@uwa.edu.au

[©] Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

C. M. Finlayson et al. (eds.), *Wetlands and Human Health*, Wetlands: Ecology, Conservation and Management 5, DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-9609-5 2



Fig. 1 Patterns of global water withdrawal (http://www.grida.no/publications/vg/water2/page/ 3289.aspx)

2004a) and a large proportion (88%) of diarrhoeal illnesses has been attributed to unsafe water, sanitation and hygiene (WHO 2004b). In developing countries, diarrhoeal illnesses are ranked third in terms of the total burden of disease (with only HIV/AIDS and lower respiratory infections ranking higher) (WHO 2004a). Approximately 90% of this disease burden occurs in children under the age of 5 years (Pruss et al. 2002) where severe malnutrition and lack of medical care compound the severity of the problem (Leclerc et al. 2002).

In recent decades, issues of global water availability and sustainability have become ever more pressing (Vörösmarty et al. 2010) (Fig. 1). The amount and quality of fresh water is decreasing, and aquifers, rivers and oceans are steadily becoming more degraded and depleted (Fig. 2 and 3). The construction of wells, dams, drains, canals and systems for irrigation have accelerated over the past century and contributed to serious disruptions in flow. Water contamination with chemicals or with infectious organisms is a significant cause of human disease globally.

In response to these growing challenges, there has also been a widening in the scope and activities associated with public health. It has become more generally appreciated that human well-being must be conceptualised within a wider set of environmental processes. The future of our health ultimately depends on the interaction between our species and surrounding physical, chemical and biological environments. These examples of human reliance on the environment can be termed as ecosystem services, where an ecosystem service is defined as the benefits that humans obtain from ecosystems (Corvalán et al. 2005). Four types of ecosystem services are identified: supporting services (such as nutrient cycling), provisioning (such as production of food and fuel), regulating services (such as regulation of climate or disease) and cultural services (which includes recreational and educational uses) (Corvalán et al. 2005). In the context of wetlands, ecosystem services such as provision of drinking water, flood control, source of fuel for water boiling, water for agriculture, can have a dramatic impact on the health of human populations (Horwitz et al. 2012). (See Box 1) Wetlands provide all four ecosystem services (Fig. 4). Our relationship with the biotic world and biogeochemical cycles is in a