

# Plant Hormone Signaling Systems in Plant Innate Immunity



# **Signaling and Communication in Plants**

### Volume 2

### **Series Editor**

František Baluška Department of Plant Cell Biology, IZMB, University of Bonn, Kirschallee 1, D-53115 Bonn, Germany More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/8094

# Plant Hormone Signaling Systems in Plant Innate Immunity



P. Vidhyasekaran Plant Pathology Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

ISSN 1867-9048 ISSN 1867-9056 (electronic)
ISBN 978-94-017-9284-4 ISBN 978-94-017-9285-1 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-017-9285-1
Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014950145

### © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

# **Contents**

I	Intro	duction		1
	1.1	Plant I	nnate Immunity	2
	1.2	Salicyl	lic Acid Signaling	2
	1.3	Jasmoi	nate Signaling	4
	1.4	Ethyle	ne Signaling	5
	1.5		ic Acid Signaling	6
	1.6	Auxin	Signaling	7
	1.7	-	nins	8
	1.8		ellins	8
	1.9	Brassii	nosteroids	ç
	1.10	Plant F	Hormone Signaling Network	10
	1.11	Can M	olecular Manipulation of Plant Hormone Signaling	
		Netwo	rk Help the Plant to Win the War Against Pathogens?	12
	Refer	ences		13
2	Salic	ylic Acio	d Signaling in Plant Innate Immunity	27
	2.1		lic Acid as an Endogenous Immune Signal in Plants	29
	2.2		nthesis of Salicylic Acid in Plants	29
		2.2.1	Phenylalanine Pathway	29
		2.2.2	Isochorismate Pathway	31
		2.2.3	Role of Regulatory Proteins (EDS1, EDS4, PAD4,	
			EDS5, SID2) in Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis	31
		2.2.4	An RNA-Binding Protein (RBP) May Be Involved	
			in SA Biosynthesis Pathway	34
		2.2.5	GH3.5 Is Involved in Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis	34
		2.2.6	Role of CDR1 Gene in SA Biosynthesis	35
		2.2.7	Role of FMO1 Gene in SA Biosynthesis Pathway	36
		2.2.8	Cytokinin May Be Involved in Activation	
			of Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis	36
		2.2.9	Some Transcription Factors May Be Involved	
			in Accumulation of Salicylic Acid	36

vi Contents

2.3	Upstre	am of Salicylic Acid Signaling System	39
	2.3.1	G-Proteins Trigger Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis	
		in SA Signaling System	39
	2.3.2	Calcium Signaling May Act Upstream	
		of Salicylic Acid Accumulation	40
	2.3.3	MAP Kinases May Act Upstream of Salicylic	
		Acid Accumulation	43
	2.3.4	Reactive Oxygen Species May Act Upstream	
		of Salicylic Acid Accumulation	44
	2.3.5	Nitric Oxide May Act Upstream	
		of Salicylic Acid Accumulation	45
2.4	Downs	stream Events in Salicylic Acid Signaling	46
	2.4.1	Generation of Salicylic Acid Conjugates	46
	2.4.2	ROS Signaling System May Act Downstream	
		of SA Accumulation	47
	2.4.3	NO May Act Downstream of SA Accumulation	48
	2.4.4	MAPK Signaling Cascade May Act Downstream	
		in SA Signaling System	48
2.5	SA Sig	gnaling Induces Increased Expression of Transcription	
	Factors	s to Activate SA-Responsive Defense-Related Genes	49
	2.5.1	SA Induces WRKY Transcription Factors	49
	2.5.2	SA Induces ERF Transcription Factors	51
2.6	NPR1	Is Master Regulator of SA Signaling	52
	2.6.1	NPR1 Acts Downstream of SA Signal	52
	2.6.2	SA Controls Nuclear Translocation of NPR1	52
	2.6.3	SA Modulates Proteasome-Mediated	
		Degradation of NPR1	53
	2.6.4	NPR1 Interacting Proteins	55
	2.6.5	SA-Dependent NPR1-Activated Transcription Factors	55
	2.6.6	SA-Induced Expression of PR Genes,	
		Independent of NPR1	57
2.7	Role of	f SUMO in SA Signaling System	57
2.8	SA Ind	luces Transcription of Various Defense Genes	58
2.9	Role of	f SA Signaling in Stomatal Closure-Related	
	Immur	ne Responses Against Bacterial Pathogens	58
2.10	SA Ind	luces Resistance Against Viruses by Modulating	
	AOX-N	Mediated Alternative Respiratory Pathway	59
2.11	SA Tri	ggers Small RNA-Directed RNA Silencing System	60
2.12	Enhand	cement of Small RNA-Directed RNA Silencing	
	by Sali	cylate Signaling System	62
2.13	Interpl	ay Between SA-Induced AOX-Mediated	
	Redox	Signaling and SA-Induced Small RNA-Directed	
	RNA S	Silencing	62
2.14	Salicyl	ic Acid Signaling Is Involved in Induction	
	-	temic Acquired Resistance	64

Contents vii

2.15	Mobile	Long-Distance Signals for Induction	
	of Syste	emic Acquired Resistance	65
	2.15.1	Search for Long-Distance Mobile Signal	65
	2.15.2	Methyl Salicylate May Be a Mobile Signal	65
	2.15.3	DIR1 and Glycerol-3-Phosphate-Dependent	
		Factor Mobile Signal Complex	68
	2.15.4	Azelaic Acid May Be a Mobile Signal	70
	2.15.5	Dehydroabietinal as a Mobile Signal	70
	2.15.6	Pipecolic Acid as an SAR Long-Distance Signal	71
2.16	Role of	f Mediator Complex in SA-Mediated Systemic	
		ed Resistance	73
2.17		ic Acid Triggers Priming and Induces Systemic	
		ed Resistance	75
	2.17.1	What Is SA-Triggered Priming?	75
	2.17.2	Accumulation of Dormant MAPKs May Be Involved	
		in SA-Triggered Priming	76
	2.17.3	Histone Modifications May Be Involved	
		in Gene Priming in SA-Induced SAR	77
	2.17.4	NPR1 May Be Involved in Chromatin	
		Modification-Induced Priming	78
	2.17.5	Histone Replacement May Be Instrumental	
		for Priming of SA-Responsive Loci	79
2.18	Next-G	Seneration Systemic Acquired Resistance	80
2.19		alk Between Salicylate and Jasmonate Signaling Systems	81
	2.19.1	Antagonism Between SA and JA Signaling Systems	81
	2.19.2	SA May Block JA Biosynthesis	81
	2.19.3	SA May Suppress JA-Responsive Gene Expression	82
	2.19.4	NPR1 in the Cytosol Modulates Crosstalk	
		Between SA and JA Signaling Systems	83
	2.19.5	Role of Glutaredoxin and TGA Transcription	
		Factors in the SA–JA Crosstalk	85
	2.19.6	Role of MAP Kinase 4 (MPK4) in SA	
		and JA Crosstalk	86
	2.19.7	SA May Suppress JA Signaling by Targeting	
		GCC-Box Motifs in JA-Responsive Promoters	87
	2.19.8	JA May Inhibit SA Signaling	88
	2.19.9	Synergism Between SA and JA Signaling Pathways	88
2.20	Crossta	alk Between SA and ET Signaling Systems	89
2.21		alk Between SA and ABA Signaling Systems	89
2.22		alk Between SA and Auxin Signaling Systems	89
2.23		ve Regulation of Salicylate-Mediated Immunity	
		ssinosteroid Signaling	90
2.24		naling System May Induce Resistance Against	
	_	Range of Pathogens	90

viii Contents

		2.24.1	SA Signaling System Is Involved in Conferring	
			Fungal and Oomycete Disease Resistance	90
		2.24.2	SA Signaling System Is Involved in Conferring	
			Bacterial Disease Resistance	91
		2.24.3	SA Signaling System Is Involved in Conferring	
			Virus Disease Resistance	93
	2.25	Pathog	ens May Suppress SA Signaling System to Cause Disease	94
		2.25.1	Pathogens May Secrete Effectors to Suppress SA	
			Signaling System	94
		2.25.2	Pathogen Produces Toxin and Suppresses SA Signaling	
			System to Promote Disease Development	94
		2.25.3	Pathogen Manipulates the Antagonistic Effect	
			Between SA and JA Signaling Systems to Promote	
			Disease Development	96
	Refer	ences		96
3	Ioem	onata Si	gnaling System in Plant Innate Immunity	123
,	3.1		nate Signaling System Is a Key Component	123
	3.1		IP-Triggered Innate Immunity	124
	3.2		thesis of Jasmonates	125
	3.3		nate Biosynthesis Intermediate OPDA	123
	3.3		ense Signaling	126
	3.4		abolites Involved in Defense Signaling	126
	Э. <b>т</b>	3.4.1	Methyl Jasmonate	126
		3.4.2	Jasmonoyl-Isoleucine	128
	3.5		am of JA Biosynthesis	129
	3.3	3.5.1	PAMP Triggers Enhanced Biosynthesis	12)
		3.3.1	and Accumulation of JA	129
		3.5.2	G-Proteins in the Induction of JA Biosynthesis	130
		3.5.3	G-Proteins-Activated Polyamine Synthesis	150
		0.0.0	in Triggering JA Biosynthesis	131
		3.5.4	Calcium Signature Triggers JA Biosynthesis	134
		3.5.5	Role of ROS in JA Biosynthesis Pathway	135
		3.5.6	Role of NO in JA Biosynthesis Pathway	135
		3.5.7	Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases Functioning	100
			Upstream in JA Biosynthesis Pathway	137
		3.5.8	Systemin Triggers JA Biosynthesis in Tomato	138
	3.6	Jasmor	nate Receptor Complex in JA Signal Perception	139
		3.6.1	COI1, an F-Box Protein, Is a Jasmonate Receptor	139
		3.6.2	COI1–JAZ Receptor Complex	140
		3.6.3	InsP5 Potentiates JA Perception by	
			COI1–JAZ1 Complex	140
		3.6.4	JA-Ile Promotes Physical Interaction Between	
			JAZ1 and COI1	140

Contents ix

3.7	JA Sigr	naling Pathway	141
	3.7.1	JAZ Proteins Suppress JA Signaling	141
	3.7.2	Role of COI1 Protein in the Degradation of	
		JAZ Proteins by E3 Ubiquitin Ligase	142
	3.7.3	Role of JA-Ile in the JAZ Degradation by	
		26S Proteasome	143
	3.7.4	MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 Transcription	
		Factors Regulate JA-Responsive Gene Expression	144
3.8	Mediat	or Complex Regulates Transcription of JA-Responsive	
		by Interacting with Transcription Factors	147
3.9		Kinases May Regulate the Downstream Events	
		ignaling Pathway	150
3.10		e Acetylation May Regulate JA-Mediated	
		ng Systems	152
3.11	_	uced Pep1 Peptide Amplifies JA Downstream	
		ng to Induce JA-Responsive Genes	153
3.12		ription Factors Acting Downstream of JA	
U.1.2		ense Signaling System	154
3.13		naling System-Activated Defense Genes	156
3.14	_	naling System Triggers Immune Responses	100
		t Necrotrophic Pathogens	157
3.15		Ethylene Signaling Pathways May Operate	
		nitantly in Plant Innate Immune System	158
	3.15.1	Cooperative Function of JA and ET Signaling Pathways	
		in Plant Innate Immunity	158
	3.15.2	ERF Transcription Factors May Concurrently	
		Modulate JA and ET Signaling Pathways	
		in Plant Immune System	159
	3.15.3	Role of Ethylene Transcription Factors EIN3 and EIL1	
		in JA/ET Signaling Synergy	160
	3.15.4	Ethylene Signaling System May Protect JA Signaling	
		System Against Its SA-Mediated Suppression	161
3.16	JA Sign	naling May Suppress SA Signaling System	162
3.17		ssion of JA Signaling by SA Signaling System	163
	3.17.1		163
	3.17.2	* * *	
		GCC-Box Motifs in JA-Responsive Promoters	164
	3.17.3	Role of WRKY62 Transcription Factor	
		in the Suppression of JA Signaling by SA	165
	3.17.4	Role of WRKY70 and MYB Transcription	
		Factors in the Suppression of JA Signaling by SA	166
	3.17.5	WRKY50 and WRKY51 Transcription Factors	
		May Modulate JA Signaling Suppression by SA	166
	3.17.6	Role of TGA Transcription Factors in the Suppression	
		of JA Signaling by SA	167
		·	

x Contents

			t Immune Responses	168
	3.19		lk Between JA Signaling and Small RNA	
			ng Systems	169
	3.20	JA Sign	naling in Induced Systemic Immunity	171
		3.20.1	JA Signaling Plays Major Role in Induced	
			Systemic Resistance	171
		3.20.2	Mobile Signal Involved in Induced	
			Systemic Resistance	173
		3.20.3	Priming in Induced Systemic resistance	173
	Refer	ences		174
4	Ethyl	ene Sign	naling System in Plant Innate Immunity	195
-	4.1		ne Signaling Is an Important Component	1,0
			t Innate Immunity	196
	4.2		ne Biosynthesis in Plants	197
		4.2.1	Enzymes Involved in Ethylene Biosynthesis	197
		4.2.2	Pathogen Infection Triggers Enhanced Expression	1,,
			of Ethylene Biosynthesis Genes	199
		4.2.3	PAMPs/HAMPs Induce Expression of ET Biosynthesis	
			Genes and Trigger ET Biosynthesis	199
		4.2.4	G-Proteins May Trigger Ethylene	
			Biosynthesis Pathway	200
		4.2.5	Role of Ca <sup>2+</sup> Influx-Mediated Ca <sup>2+</sup> Signature	_00
			in Ethylene Biosynthesis	201
		4.2.6	Role of Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase (CDPK)	_01
			in Induction of Ethylene Biosynthesis	202
		4.2.7	Reactive Oxygen Species May Trigger Transcription	
			of Ethylene Biosynthesis Genes	202
		4.2.8	Nitric Oxide May Trigger Activation of Ethylene	
			Biosynthesis Enzymes	204
		4.2.9	MAP Kinase Cascades May Induce Biosynthesis	
			of Ethylene	204
		4.2.10	Role of Ubiquitin–Proteasome	
			in Ethylene Biosynthesis	208
	4.3	Ethylen	ne Signal Transduction Downstream	
			lene Biosynthesis	208
		4.3.1	Ethylene Signal Perception by Membrane-Bound	
			Receptor Complex	208
		4.3.2	Ethylene Receptors Physically Interact with CTR1	
		•	and Transmit the Ethylene Signal	211
		4.3.3	EIN2 Acts as the Central Regulator	
			of Ethylene Signaling	212
		4.3.4	Regulation of the Interaction of EIN2 and Ethylene	
			Receptors by Protein Phosphorylation	213

Contents xi

		4.3.5	EIN3/EIL Family of Proteins Functioning Downstream	
			of EIN2 in Ethylene Signaling Pathway	215
		4.3.6	ETR1-RTE1-Mediated CTR1-Independent Ethylene	
			Signaling Pathway	218
	4.4	ERF T	ranscription Factors Functioning Downstream	
		in Ethy	ylene Signaling System	219
	4.5		nd NO Signaling Systems Activate Transcription	
			ylene-Responsive Genes	221
	4.6		Cascade May Regulate Ethylene Signaling System	222
	4.7		ne Signaling Triggers Transcription of Plant Pattern	
		•	nition Receptors (PRRs) in PAMP–PRR	
			ing System	223
	4.8		ne Triggers Ca <sup>2+</sup> Influx in Downstream Ethylene	
		-	ing System	224
	4.9	_	ne and Jasmonate Signaling Interdependency	
		•	gering Plant Immune Responses	225
	4.10	_	ne Induces Transcription of Defense-Related Genes	228
	4.11		ne Signaling System Modulates Plant Immune	
			ing System Triggering Resistance or Susceptibility	
			st Different Pathogens	229
	Refer	_		231
_				
5			d Signaling System in Plant Innate Immunity	245
	5.1		sic Acid as a Multifaceted Plant Hormone Signal	246
	<i>5</i> 0		ring or Suppressing Plant Defense Responses	246
	5.2		Biosynthesis in Innate Immune Responses	251
		5.2.1	Pathogen/PAMP Triggers Biosynthesis	251
			and Accumulation of ABA	251
		5.2.2	ABA Biosynthesis Pathway	251
	<b>~</b> 0	5.2.3	G-Proteins May Be Involved in ABA Biosynthesis	253
	5.3		Perception and Signal Transduction	254
		5.3.1	ABA Signaling Pathway	254
		5.3.2	ABA Receptors	
		5.3.3	PYR/PYL/RCAR Negatively Regulates PP2C	258
		5.3.4	ABA-Bound PYR/PYL/RCAR Can Shift ABA	• • •
			Signaling Status to "Active" State	259
		5.3.5	ABA-Induced PP2C Phosphatase Inhibition Leads	
			to SnRK2 Protein Kinase-Activated Phosphorylation	
			of ABA-Responsive Genes	259
		5.3.6	Phosphatases in ABA Signaling Network	260
		5.3.7	Role of SnRK2 Protein Kinase in ABA Signaling	261
		5.3.8	Phospholipase D in ABA Signaling Pathway	264
	5.4		Signaling Events Downstream of PYR/PYL/RCAR-	
			-SNRK2 Signaling Complex	265
		5.4.1	Role of G-Proteins in ABA Downstream Signaling	265

xii Contents

	5.4.2	Role of Ca <sup>2+</sup> Signaling System in ABA	
		Downstream Signaling	268
	5.4.3	ABA Activates ROS Signaling System Downstream	
		of ABA Signaling System	270
	5.4.4	Nitric Oxide (NO) Acts Downstream of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> in ABA	
		Signaling System	271
	5.4.5	MAP Kinases Function Downstream of ABA	
		Signaling System	271
	5.4.6	ABA Regulates the Expression of Several	
		Transcription Factors	272
5.5	System	nic Movement of ABA and Intercellular ABA	
	Signali	ng Pathway	273
	5.5.1	AtABCG25 Is Involved in the Intercellular Transport	
		of ABA in ABA Signaling Pathway	273
	5.5.2	AtABCG40 Is Involved in Intercellular	
		Transport of ABA in ABA Signaling Pathway	274
5.6	Interpla	ay Between ABA and JA Signaling Systems	274
	5.6.1	ABA Signaling and JA Signaling Pathways	
		May Be Interconnected	274
	5.6.2	ABA and JA May Act Cooperatively in the Induction	
		of Defense Genes	276
	5.6.3	ABA May Suppress JA-Activated Defense Responses	276
	5.6.4	Role of Mediator Subunit MED25 in ABA and JA	
		Signaling Interplay	277
5.7	Interpla	ay Between ABA and SA Signaling Systems	278
	5.7.1	ABA May Suppress SA Biosynthesis	278
	5.7.2	Suppression of SA Signaling System by ABA	279
	5.7.3	Reciprocal Antagonistic Interaction Between ABA	
		and SA Signaling Systems	280
	5.7.4	Synergistic Interaction Between ABA and SA	
		Signaling Systems	281
5.8	Interpla	ay Between ABA and Ethylene Signaling Systems	282
	5.8.1	ABA Activates Ethylene Biosynthesis and Ethylene	
		Signaling Pathway	282
	5.8.2	Ethylene Signaling Triggers ABA Biosynthesis	283
	5.8.3	Synergistic and Antagonistic Interaction	
		Between ABA and Ethylene Signaling Systems	285
5.9	ABA S	Signaling System May Trigger Defense Responses	
	Agains	t Pathogens	285
	5.9.1	ABA Signaling Is Involved in Conferring	
		Resistance Against a Wide Range of Pathogens	285
	5.9.2	ABA Signaling System Triggers Callose	
		Deposition and Confers Disease Resistance	286
	5.9.3	ABA Signaling Cascade May Trigger	
		Stomatal Closure Immune Responses	287

		5.9.4	ABA Signaling May Modulate Other Hormone Signaling	
			Systems and Trigger Defense Responses	288
	5.10	ABA S	Signaling System May Confer Susceptibility	
		Agains	st Pathogens	289
		5.10.1	ABA Induces Susceptibility Against Fungal	
			and Bacterial Pathogens	289
		5.10.2	<u> </u>	
			and Induce Susceptibility	289
		5.10.3	÷ • •	
			Signaling Pathways and Confer Susceptibility	
			Against Pathogens	290
	5.11	Pathog	ens May Suppress Host Defense Mechanisms	
		_	ivating ABA Signaling System to Cause Disease	291
	5.12	•	ens May Hijack ABA Signaling Pathway	
			se Disease	291
	5.13		en Produces Toxins/Effectors and Suppresses	
			Dependent Defenses	292
	Refer			292
_				
6		_	ing System in Plant Innate Immunity	311
	6.1		as a Signaling Molecule	312
	6.2		Biosynthesis	312
	6.3		Signaling Pathway	314
		6.3.1	Auxin-Binding Proteins/Receptors	314
		6.3.2	Auxin–IAA Proteins	314
		6.3.3	Auxin Response Factor (ARF) Proteins	315
		6.3.4	Auxin-Inducible Gene Expression	316
		6.3.5	Ubiquitin–Proteasome System in Auxin	
			Signaling Pathway	316
		6.3.6	Auxin Homeostasis	319
		6.3.7	Auxin Transport	320
	6.4	_	en Infection Elevates Auxin Biosynthesis in Plants	321
	6.5		onism Between Auxin Signaling	
			MPs/Elicitors-Triggered Signaling Systems	322
	6.6	_	onism Between Auxin Signaling and HAMP/Endogenous	
			r-Triggered Signaling Systems	324
	6.7		ay Between Auxin Signaling and Mitogen-Activated	
			Kinase Mediated Signaling Systems	326
	6.8		Oxide Modulates Auxin Signaling	327
	6.9		tion Between Auxin and Salicylic Acid (SA)	
		_	ing Systems	327
		6.9.1	Repression of Auxin Signaling Pathway	
			by Salicylic Acid	327
		6.9.2	Auxin Signaling Compromises the Induction	
			of SA Signaling	328

xiv Contents

		6.9.3	Auxin Response Gene (GH3) Modulates SA Signaling	329
	6.10	Role of	f Auxin Signaling in Systemic Acquired	
		Resista	ince (SAR)	330
	6.11	Interac	tions Between Auxin and Jasmonate Signaling Systems	331
	6.12	Interac	tion Between Auxin and Ethylene Signaling Systems	333
	6.13		tion Between Small RNAs and Auxin Signaling Systems	335
	6.14	Auxin	Signaling May Promote Susceptibility	336
		6.14.1	Enhanced Auxin Levels Promote Susceptibility	336
		6.14.2	Role of Auxin Receptors in Promoting Disease	
			Susceptibility	337
		6.14.3	Role of Aux/IAA Proteins in Promoting Susceptibility	338
		6.14.4	Role of the Auxin-Responsive GH3 Genes in Promoting	
			Disease Susceptibility	339
		6.14.5	Conjugated Auxin Promotes Plant	
			Disease Susceptibility	341
		6.14.6	Role of Auxin Transport System in Promoting	
			Disease Susceptibility	342
	6.15		Signaling May Promote Plant Disease Resistance	342
		6.15.1	Overexpression of Auxin-Responsive Genes	
			Promote Disease Resistance	342
		6.15.2	Auxin Response Factors Modulate Plant	
			Defense Responses	343
		6.15.3	Exogenous Application of Auxin Induces	
			Plant Disease Resistance	344
	Refer	ences		344
7	Cvtol	kinin Sig	gnaling System in Plant Immunity	359
	7.1		nin Signaling in Plant Immune System	360
	7.2		nin Biosynthesis	360
	7.3		nin Degradation	362
	7.4		nin Signal Perception and Transduction	362
		7.4.1	Cytokinin Receptors	362
		7.4.2	Cytokinin Phosphorelay Signaling System	363
	7.5	Cytokii	nin-Responsive Genes	366
	7.6	Cytokii	nins May Be Involved in Triggering Defense Responses	367
		7.6.1	Cytokinins Confer Resistance Against Pathogens	367
		7.6.2	Cytokinin Augments Plant Immune Responses by	
			Enhancing Callose Deposition	368
		7.6.3	Cytokinin May Trigger Accumulation of Antimicrobial	
			Phytoalexins to Confer Disease Resistance	368
		7.6.4	Cytokinins Induce Priming of Plant Cells for Activation	
			of Defense-Related Genes	368
		7.6.5	Cytokinin May Modulate SA Signaling	
			System to Trigger Immune Responses	369

Contents xv

		7.6.6	Cytokinins May Induce Resistance Independently	
			of SA Signaling System	369
		7.6.7	Cytokinins May Modulate Redox Signaling to Trigger	
			Immune Responses	370
	7.7	Cytoki	nins May Induce Susceptibility	370
	7.8	Interpla	ay Between Cytokinin and SA Signaling Pathways	
		-	it Immune System	371
		7.8.1	Cytokinin May Enhance SA Biosynthesis	371
		7.8.2	Type-B ARR Interacts with TGA3 of SA Signaling	
			Pathway to Trigger Immune Responses	372
		7.8.3	Type-A ARRs Negatively Regulate SA-Dependent	
			Immune Responses	373
		7.8.4	Cytokinin Synergistically Acts with SA to Trigger	
		,	Immune Responses	374
	7.9	Interac	tion Between Cytokinin and Abscisic	<i>.</i>
	7.5		Signaling Systems	374
	7.10		ay Between Cytokinin and Auxin Signaling Systems	371
	7.10		at Immunity	376
	Refer		to initiality	377
				311
8	Gibb		Signaling in Plant Innate Immunity	383
	8.1	Role o	f Gibberellins in Plant Immune Responses	383
	8.2	Biosyn	thesis of Gibberellins	384
	8.3	GA Sig	gnaling Pathway	384
		8.3.1	GA Signal Receptors	384
		8.3.2	DELLA Proteins, Repressors of GA Signaling	386
		8.3.3	Suppression of the Repressive Activity of DELLAs	
			by Proteasome-Dependent Degradation of DELLAs	386
	8.4	GA Tri	iggers Susceptibility or Resistance Against Different	
		Pathog	ens	388
		8.4.1	GA Triggers Resistance Against Pathogens	388
		8.4.2	GAs May Negatively Regulate Plant Defense	
			Responses and Induce Susceptibility	388
	8.5	Interpl	ay of GA Signaling System with SA Signaling System	
		-	dulating Plant Immune System	389
	8.6		ay of GA and JA Signaling Systems	
		-	dulating Plant Immune System	391
		8.6.1	Antagonistic Interaction Between GA	-,-
		0.0.1	and JA Signaling Systems	391
		8.6.2	JA Induces Enhanced Expression of DELLA Genes	371
		3.0.2	Involved in GA Signaling	391
		8.6.3	DELLAs Modulate JA Responses by Degrading JAZ	571
		5.0.5	Proteins and/or Sequestering JAZs into	
			Inactive Complexes	392
			macuve complexes	394

xvi Contents

		8.6.4	GA Attenuates the Expression	
			of JA-Responsive Genes	393
	8.7		ay Between GA and Brassinosteroids Signaling Systems	
			t Immune Responses	394
	8.8		ay Between GA and Auxin Signaling Systems	395
	8.9	GA Ma	y Be Involved in Triggering Systemic Acquired	
			nce (SAR)	395
	8.10		en May Suppress GA Signaling Pathway	
		to Caus	se Disease	396
	Refer	ences		396
9	Brass	sinostero	oid Signaling in Plant Immune System	403
	9.1		osteroids Modulate Plant Immune Responses	404
	9.2		thesis of Brassinosteroids	404
	7.2	9.2.1	Pathogen Triggers Brassinosteroid (BR) Biosynthesis	404
		9.2.2	BR Biosynthesis via Mevalonate Pathway	405
		9.2.3	Early and Late C-6 Oxidation Pathways Involved	103
		7.2.3	in BR Biosynthesis	407
		9.2.4	C-22 Oxidation Branch in Brassinosteroid	107
		7.2.4	Biosynthetic Pathway	408
		9.2.5	Homeostasis of Brassinosteroids	408
	9.3		osteroid Signaling System	411
	7.5	9.3.1	BRI1 as a Brassinosteroid Receptor	411
		9.3.2	BRL1 as an Additional BR Receptor	412
		9.3.3	BAK1 Acts as a Co-receptor in BR Signal Reception	413
		9.3.4	Autophosphorylation of BRI1 and BAK1	414
		9.3.5	BKI1, a Negative Regulator of BRI1 Signaling	415
		9.3.6	BR Signaling Events Downstream of BR	713
		7.5.0	Signal Perception	415
		9.3.7	Brassinosteroid-Signaling Kinases (BSKs)	418
		9.3.8	BRI1 SUPPRESSOR 1 (BSU1) Phosphatase	419
		9.3.9	MSBP1 Negatively Regulates	717
		7.5.7	Brassinosteroid Signaling	420
		9.3.10	CDG1 in BR Signal Transduction	420
		9.3.11	BIN2 Negatively Regulates BR Signaling	421
		9.3.12	Protein Phosphatase 2A (PP2A)	422
		9.3.13	BZR1 and BES1 (BZR2) Transcription Factors	422
		9.3.14	Function of 14-3-3 Proteins in Regulation of Activities	122
		7.5.14	of BZR Transcription Factors	424
	9.4	Pathoge	en Modulates Brassinosteroid Signaling System	747
	<b>∕.</b> ¬	_	cted Plants	425
	9.5		naling Triggers Plant Disease Resistance	427
	9.6	_	in the BR Signaling Pathway Triggers	741
	7.0		bisease Resistance	427
		i iaiii D	130430 130313141100	<b>⊤</b> ∠/

Contents xvii

.7	BR Signaling Machinery Negatively Regulates		
	Plant Immune Responses and Induces Susceptibility		428
9.8	Brassinosteroid Signaling Negatively Regulates		
	Salicylate-Mediated Immunity		428
9.9	BR Signaling Negatively Regulates Gibberellic		
	Acid (GA)-Mediated Plant Immune Responses		429
.10	Interplay Between BR and PAMP-PRR Signaling Systems		430
	-	•	430
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	431
	9.10.3		
		PAMP-PRR Signaling	431
	9.10.4	BR-Responsive Transcription Regulator	
		BZR1 May Suppress PAMP–PRR Signaling System	433
	9.10.5	Antagonistic Regulation of PAMP–Triggered Immunity	
		by the bHLH transcription Factor HBI1	433
	9.10.6	Activation of BRI1 Pathway Leads to Inhibition	
		of PAMP-Triggered Immunity	433
	9.10.7	Inhibition of PRR-Mediated Immune Signaling	
		by BR Perception	435
9.11	Pathogen Hijacks Brassinosteroid Signaling Machinery		
			435
.12			435
Refer	ences		436
x			445
	.8 .9 .10	Plant II  8 Brassin Salicyl  9 BR Sig Acid (C  10 Interpla 9.10.1 9.10.2  9.10.3  9.10.4  9.10.5  9.10.6  9.10.7  11 Pathog to Caus eferences	Plant Immune Responses and Induces Susceptibility

# Chapter 1 Introduction

**Abstract** The plant hormones salicylic acid (SA), jasmonates (JA), ethylene (ET), abscisic acid (ABA), auxin (AUX), cytokinin (CK), gibberellin (GA), and brassinosteroid (BR) play an important role in intercellular and systemic signaling systems triggering expression of various defense-responsive genes. The SA-JA-ET signaling systems are considered as the backbone of the plant immune signaling system, while ABA, auxin, cytokinin, GA, and BR are involved in modulating plant immune responses by regulating host defense responses triggered by the SA-JA-ET signaling systems. SA signaling is required for the manifestation of systemic acquired resistance (SAR). Methyl salicylate, dehydroabietinal, pipecolic acid, azelaic acid, a lipid transfer protein (DIR1), a lipid-derived molecule (glycerol 3-phosphate), and a glycerol-3-phosphate-dependent factor have been reported as mobile signaling components in SA-induced SAR. Mediators MED16 and MED15 are involved in triggering SA-mediated SAR. SAR is associated with priming of defense responses, and the priming results in a faster and stronger induction of defense responses after pathogen attack. Histone modifications are systemically set during a priming event. The priming can be inherited epigenetically, and descendants of primed plants exhibit next-generation systemic acquired resistance. DNA methylation plays an important role in the transgenerational SAR. JA signaling triggers systemic immunity called "induced systemic resistance (ISR)." JA-Ile may be the mobile signal involved in the induction of ISR. The ISR involves priming of JA-dependent responses. MED25, MED16, and MED8 subunits of the Mediator complex interact with several transcription factors known to function in the control of JA-associated gene expression. Ethylene may act as a two-faceted player in the plant immune response network, triggering resistance or susceptibility against different pathogens. ABA signal perception and signal transduction pathway includes PYR/PYL/RCAR (an abscisic acid receptor), type 2C protein phosphatase (PP2C, a negative regulator), and SNF1-related protein kinase (SnRK2, a positive regulator). Auxin binds to TIR1/AFB nuclear receptors, which are F-box subunits of SCF ubiquitin ligase complex. The auxin signal is then modulated by the Aux/IAA repressors and the auxin response factor (ARF) transcription factors. Auxin signaling is also involved in triggering SAR. Auxin signaling increases SA levels, which trigger SAR. Cytokinins regulate the host defense responses either positively or negatively depending on the concentrations of cytokinins available at the infection site. Key components in the GA signaling pathway include the GA receptor GID1, the DELLA proteins, and the F-box proteins. GA regulates plant immune responses by

1

modulating JA and SA signaling systems. Plant hormones act in concert. Plant hormone signaling pathways are not simple linear and isolated cascades, but can crosstalk with each other. Architecture of plant immune signaling networks may not be static and may vary with the invading pathogen genotype. Crosstalk between different hormone signaling pathways in the signaling network helps the plant to choose the effective defense strategy to follow, depending on the type of pathogen it is encountering. The crosstalk also allows the pathogens to manipulate plants to their own benefit by shutting down the specific hormone signaling pathway involved in triggering defense responses and hijacking the signaling pathway involved in induction of susceptibility.

### 1.1 Plant Innate Immunity

Plants are endowed with innate immune system, which has a high potential to detect and fight against viral, bacterial, oomycete, and fungal pathogens and protect the crop plants against a wide range of diseases (Vidhyasekaran 2004, 2007, 2014; Lacombe et al. 2010; Segonzac and Zipfel 2011; Alkan et al. 2012; Dubery et al. 2012; Denancé et al. 2013; Kim and Hwang 2014). The plant innate immune system is a sleeping system in unstressed healthy plants (Vidhyasekaran 2014). Specific signals are needed to activate the "sleeping" immune system. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) of invading pathogens have been found to be potential signals to activate the plant innate immunity. These PAMP signals are perceived by the plant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), and the PAMP-PRR signaling complex activates the plant immune system (Iriti and Faoro 2009; Nicaise et al. 2009; Petutschnig et al. 2010; Shinya et al. 2010; Schulze et al. 2010; Segonzac and Zipfel 2011; Yang et al. 2013). The plant immune system uses several second messengers to encode information generated by the PAMPs and deliver the information downstream of PRRs to proteins which decode/interpret signals and initiate defense gene expression (Mersmann et al. 2010; Boudsocq et al. 2010; Hwang and Hwang 2011). Plant hormones such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonates (JA), ethylene (ET), abscisic acid (ABA), auxin (AUX), cytokinin (CK), gibberellin (GA), and brassinosteroid (BR) have been reported to play an important role in intercellular and systemic signaling systems triggering expression of various defense-responsive genes (Denancé et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Alazem et al. 2014; Kim and Hwang 2014).

### 1.2 Salicylic Acid Signaling

Salicylic acid (SA) is an important endogenous immune signal in the induction of disease resistance responses in plants (Anand et al. 2008; Fung et al. 2008; Garcion et al. 2008; Mukherjee et al. 2010; Dempsey et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2011a, b; Argueso

et al. 2012; Fu et al. 2012; Denancé et al. 2013; Gimenez-Ibanez and Solano 2013; Yang et al. 2013). Infection of plants by necrotizing pathogens, which induce the accumulation of SA, or treatment of plants with synthetic compounds, which are able to trigger SA signaling, induces systemic acquired resistance (SAR). SAR is a heightened state of defense against a broad spectrum of pathogens activated throughout a plant following a local infection (Liu et al. 2011a, b). SA signaling is required for the manifestation of SAR (Du et al. 2012; Návarová et al. 2012; Shah and Zeier 2013).

Methyl salicylate, dehydroabietinal, pipecolic acid, and azelaic acid are the systemic signal molecules involved in the activation of SAR (Jung et al. 2009; Manosalva et al. 2010; Chaturvedi et al. 2012; Návarová et al. 2012; Shah and Zeier 2013). A lipid transfer protein (DIR1), a lipid-derived molecule (glycerol 3-phosphate), and a glycerol-3-phosphate-dependent factor have been reported as lipid-based mobile signaling components in SA-induced SAR (Kachroo et al. 2001, 2004; Chaturvedi et al. 2008; Jung et al. 2009; Chanda et al. 2011). The mediators MED16 and MED15/NRB4 have been shown to be involved in triggering SA-mediated SAR. Mediator is a multiprotein complex that functions as a transcriptional coactivator (Conaway and Conaway 2011a, b; Kidd et al. 2011a; Canet et al. 2012; An and Mou 2013). MED16 may regulate the function of NPR1 in inducing SAR (Zhang et al. 2012).

SAR is associated with priming of defense (Kohler et al. 2002; Jung et al. 2009; Luna et al. 2012; Slaughter et al. 2012), and the priming results in a faster and stronger induction of defense mechanisms after pathogen attack (Conrath 2011). Jaskiewicz et al. (2011) showed that histone modifications are systemically set during a priming event. These modifications might create a memory of the primary infection that is associated with an amplified reaction to the second stress condition. The priming can be inherited epigenetically from disease-exposed plants, and descendants of primed plants exhibit next-generation systemic acquired resistance (Luna et al. 2012; Slaughter et al. 2012). The transgenerational SAR was found to be sustained over one stress-free generation, indicating an epigenetic basis of the phenomenon (Luna et al. 2012). DNA methylation may also play an important role in the transgenerational SAR (Luna et al. 2012).

NPR1 functions as a transcriptional co-activator in a TGA2–NPR1 complex after SA treatment. Binding of SA causes a conformational change in NPR1 that is accompanied by the release of the C-terminal transactivation domain from the N-terminal autoinhibitory BTB/POZ domain (Wu et al. 2012). Pathogen/PAMP exposure induces SA accumulation (Durrant and Dong 2004), and the induced SA controls the nuclear translocation of NPR1 through cellular redox changes (Spoel and Dong 2012). The SA-induced changes in gene expression have been found to have a link to chromatin remodeling, such as histone modifications and histone replacement. The recruitment of chromatin-modifying complexes to SA-responsive loci controls their basal and SA-induced expression (March-Diaz et al. 2008; van den Burg and Takken 2009, 2010; Jaskiewicz et al. 2011). SA signaling triggers transcription of a multitude of defense-related genes in plants (Krinke et al. 2007). Small RNA-directed RNA silencing is a potent immune surveillance system

targeting foreign nucleic acids of invading pathogens (Ding and Voinnet 2007; Jaubert et al. 2011). SA signaling may enhance the efficiency of RNA silencing pathway in triggering immune responses against viruses by activating RdRP/RDR (Diaz-Pendon et al. 2007).

### 1.3 Jasmonate Signaling

Several metabolites of jasmonates (JA) have been reported to act as signal molecules in triggering plant immunity. Among them, (+)-7-iso-jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine (JA-Ile) is the major bioactive form of the hormone JA (Kombrink 2012; Wasternack and Hause 2013). JA signaling systems modulate plant immune responses and confer resistance or susceptibility against different pathogens (Méndez-Bravo et al. 2011; Moffat et al. 2012). JA receptor is a three-molecule co-receptor complex, consisting of COI1, JAZ, and inositol pentakisphosphate, all of which are indispensable for high-affinity hormone binding (Sheard et al. 2010). The JA receptor JAZ proteins are suppressors of jasmonate signaling (Chini et al. 2007; Howe 2010; Wasternack and Hause 2013). Repression of JA response genes involves binding of JAZ to NINJA, which contains an EAR motif that recruits the corepressor TPL, which may silence gene expression. COI1 is involved in the degradation of the repressors of the JA signaling pathway through SCF<sup>COII</sup>-dependent ubiquitin (Thines et al. 2007). In response to stress cues that activate JA-Ile synthesis, high levels of JA-Ile promote SCF<sup>COII</sup>-mediated ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of JAZs by the 26S proteasome. JAZ degradation relieves TPL-mediated repression of gene expression (Howe 2010; Wasternack and Hause 2013). Acetylation of the core histones in nucleosomes plays an important role in gene regulation (Wu et al. 2008), and histone deacetylation has been shown to be involved in COI1-mediated activation of JA-inducible transcription factors (Wang et al. 2008b). MED25, MED16, and MED8 subunits of the Mediator complex interact with several transcription factors (TFs) known to function in the control of JA-associated gene expression (Kidd et al. 2009; Cevik et al. 2012; Ińigo et al. 2012; Wathugala et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2012). MYC2 is a master regulator of the JA signaling pathway. Several ERF, bHLH, WRKY, MYB, NAC, and bZIP transcription factors have been shown to act downstream of JA signaling system triggering expression of JA-responsive defense genes (Nurmberg et al. 2007; Wasternack 2007; Zander et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2011; Le Hénanff et al. 2013).

JA signaling triggers systemic immunity conferring resistance against a wide range of pathogens, and the systemic immunity triggered by JA is called "induced systemic resistance (ISR)" as against "systemic acquired resistance (SAR)" induced by SA. ISR is dependent mostly on jasmonic acid (Kravchuk et al. 2011; Niu et al. 2011; Weller et al. 2012; Zamioudis and Peterse 2012; Bakker et al. 2013; Martinez-Medina et al. 2013). ISR is triggered mostly by biocontrol agents (BCAs) and necrotrophic fungi. Several chemicals and PAMPs/MAMPs have also been reported to trigger ISR (Kravchuk et al. 2011). JA-Ile may be the mobile signal involved in

the induction of ISR (Sato et al. 2011; Matsuura et al. 2012). JA-Ile may be synthesized de novo and transported into systemic tissues (Matsuura et al. 2012). The ISR has been shown to be mainly based on priming JA-dependent responses (Martinez-Medina et al. 2013). Treatment with *Trichoderma hamatum* T382 primes the plant (ISR-prime), resulting in an accelerated activation of defense responses against *B. cinerea* during ISR-boost in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Mathys et al. 2012). Hexanoic acid-treated plants infected with the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* showed priming in the expression of the JA-responsive genes *PDF1.2*, *PR-4*, and *VSP1* in *Arabidopsis* (Kravchuk et al. 2011).

### 1.4 Ethylene Signaling

Ethylene (ET) signaling system is an important component in plant innate immune system (Berr et al. 2010; Nie et al. 2011; Shakeel et al. 2013). Pathogen invasion or PAMP application results in enhanced expression of ET biosynthetic genes leading to enhanced ET biosynthesis (Outob et al. 2006; Denoux et al. 2008; Mur et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2011a; Nambeesan et al. 2012; Vidhyasekaran 2014). Ethylene signal transduction is initiated by the binding of ethylene to its membrane-bound receptors ETR1, ERS1, ETR2, EIN4, and ERS2 (Grefen et al. 2008; Zhao and Guo 2011; Shakeel et al. 2013). The five-member family of ethylene receptors act as negative regulators in the ethylene signaling pathway (Ou and Schaller 2004; Gao and Schaller 2009). CTR1 functions as a key mediator of ethylene signal transduction, acting just downstream of the receptors. It negatively regulates ET signaling (Guo et al. 2004; Yoo et al. 2008). All the five ethylene receptors physically interact with CTR1 (Gao et al. 2003). EIN2 is a positive regulator of the ethylene signaling pathway and acts downstream of CTR1 (Ju et al. 2012; Qiao et al. 2012; Shakeel et al. 2013). Upon ethylene binding to the ethylene receptors, the ethylene receptors inactivate CTR1, potentially through propagation of conformational changes in the receptor-CTR1 protein complex. EIN2 becomes dephosphorylated and this results in proteolytic cleavage and release of C-terminal domain of EIN2 (Ju and Chang 2012; Ju et al. 2012; Qiao et al. 2012; Wen et al. 2012; Shakeel et al. 2013). The C-terminal domain of EIN2 translocates to the nucleus. In the nucleus, EIN2 either directly or indirectly activates the transcription factors EIN3 and EIN3-like1 (EIL1) to initiate the transcriptional response to ethylene (Qiao et al. 2012; Wen et al. 2012; Shakeel et al. 2013). EIN3 binds to the promoter sequence of the ethylene-inducible transcription factor ERF1 (Solano et al. 1998). ERFs contain a single DNA-binding domain. ERFs have been shown to bind specifically to the GCC-box that is found in several promoters of the pathogenesis-related (PR) genes as well as ethylene- and jasmonate-inducible defense genes (Yamamoto et al. 1999; Gutterson and Reuber 2004). ERF2 might play a major role in the elicitor-induced GCC-box-mediated transcription of defense genes (Yamamoto et al. 1999). The transcription factor ERF1 has been shown to induce transcription of several defense-related PR genes (Zhou et al. 2005; Johansson et al. 2006; Jung et al. 2007; Oñate-Sánchez et al. 2007).

Ethylene may act as a two-faceted player in the plant immune response network, triggering resistance or susceptibility against different pathogens (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2008, 2010; Gaige et al. 2010; Akagi et al. 2011; Son et al. 2012). ET has also been reported to be involved in systemic immunity (Zhu et al. 2011a). Ethylene has been shown to be an integral part of PAMP-triggered immunity. Ethylene perception and signaling are crucial for the PRR *FLS2* gene transcription (Boutrot et al. 2010). FLS2 promoter revealed the presence of nine potential EIN3/EIL-binding sites (Boutrot et al. 2010), suggesting that EIN3 may bind to the promoter of the *FLS2* gene to influence its transcription. Plants mutated in the key ethylene signaling protein EIN2 are impaired in all the PRR FLS2-mediated responses. The EIN3 and EIN3-like transcription factors, which depend on EIN2 activity for their accumulation, directly controlled the transcription of the PRR *FLS2* gene transcription (Boutrot et al. 2010).

### 1.5 Abscisic Acid Signaling

The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a multifaceted role in plant immunity (Cao et al. 2011; Alazem et al. 2014). ABA induces defense responses (Asselbergh et al. 2008; Fan et al. 2009; Garcia-Andrade et al. 2011) or suppresses the immune responses depending upon the type of plant–pathogen interactions (Feng et al. 2012; Sánchez-Vallet et al. 2012; Yazawa et al. 2012). Pathogen/pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) induce increase in ABA accumulation triggering disease resistance (Whenham et al. 1986) or susceptibility (Mohr and Cahill 2003; Koga et al. 2004; Schmidt et al. 2008). ABA signal perception and signal transduction pathway includes PYR/PYL/RCAR (an abscisic acid receptor), type 2C protein phosphatase (PP2C, a negative regulator), and SNF1-related protein kinase (SnRK2, a positive regulator) (Umezawa et al. 2010, 2013). In the presence of accumulated ABA, the PYR/PYL/RCAR receptor proteins disrupt the interaction between the SnRK2s and PP2Cs, thus preventing the PP2C-mediated dephosphorylation of the SnRK2s and resulting in the activation of the SnRK2 kinases (Fujii et al. 2009; Miyazono et al. 2009; Umezawa et al. 2009; Vlad et al. 2009; Raghavendra et al. 2010). The SnRK2 kinases phosphorylate and activate downstream transcription factors, which initiate transcription at ABA-responsive promoter elements (Sheard and Zheng 2009). The cis-regulatory elements responsible for the ABA regulation of gene expression share a conserved motif, ACGTGGC, which is known as ABAresponsive element (ABRE). ABRE appears in the promoters of many defense genes (Adie et al. 2007). The ABA-induced gene regulation is mediated by a subfamily of basic leucine zipper class transcription factors referred to as ABREbinding factors (ABFs, also referred to as AREBs) (Choi et al. 2005; Furihata et al. 2006). The bZIP-type transcription factors AREBs/ABFs bind ABRE and transactivate downstream gene expression in Arabidopsis (Furihata et al. 2006). MYC2, MYB2, BOS1, and WRKY transcription factors are also involved in ABA-inducible gene expression (Abe et al. 2003; Mengiste et al. 2003; Anderson et al. 2004; Xie et al. 2005). ABA signaling system is involved in the induction of callose (β-1,3glucan) deposition (Yazawa et al. 2012), which is involved in conferring disease resistance. Stomatal closure is an innate immune response involved in bacterial disease resistance (Hettenhausen et al. 2012). ABA induces stomatal closure immune response (Saito et al. 2008; Hossain et al. 2011; Munemasa et al. 2011; Hubbard et al. 2012; Sugiyama et al. 2012; Uraji et al. 2012). ABA regulates plant immune responses mostly by modulating other plant hormone signaling systems involved in the immune signaling systems. Antagonistic interaction between ABA and JA signaling pathways modulates defense gene expression and disease resistance (Anderson et al. 2004; Chen et al. 2012). ABA negatively regulates SA-dependent immune responses (Sánchez-Vallet et al. 2012). ABA signaling system suppresses SA signaling system and vice versa (Alazem et al. 2014). ABA signaling may also act synergistically with SA signaling in triggering plant immune responses (Seo and Park 2010). Ethylene signaling pathway triggers ABA biosynthesis pathway (Wasilewska et al. 2008; Hauser et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2012). ABA-induced resistance against the brown spot pathogen Cochliobolus miyabeanus in rice involves repression of ethylene signaling (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2010) Synergistic interaction between ABA and ethylene signaling systems has also been reported (Sánchez-Vallet et al. 2012).

### 1.6 Auxin Signaling

The plant hormones SA, JA, and ET signaling systems are considered as the backbone of the plant immune signaling system. In contrast, the plant hormone auxin is considered as a simple signaling molecule involved in modulation of those hormone signaling pathways activating or suppressing the plant defense responses (Hayashi 2012; Peer et al. 2013; Sauer et al. 2013; Tatsuki et al. 2013). Auxin binds to TIR1/ AFB nuclear receptors, which are F-box subunits of SCF ubiquitin ligase complex (Dharmasiri et al. 2005; Mockaitis and Estelle 2008; Parry et al. 2009; Calderon-Villalobos et al. 2010). The auxin signal is then modulated by the Aux/IAA repressors and the auxin response factor (ARF) transcription factors (Hayashi 2012). The specificity of the auxin-regulated gene expression is regulated by the expression of these regulatory proteins (Hayashi 2012). Auxin signaling appears to be mostly involved in disease susceptibility rather than in disease resistance (O'Donnell et al. 2003; Kidd et al. 2011b). Elevated levels of endogenous plant IAA have been observed during pathogen infection, and the susceptible reaction seems to be associated with rapidly increased endogenous biosynthesis of IAA (Fu et al. 2011). Auxins may induce susceptibility by inducing the formation of the conjugated forms of auxin through the action of GH3 proteins (Fu and Wang 2011; González-Lamothe et al. 2012). Fungal and bacterial pathogens hijack the host auxin metabolism in Arabidopsis thaliana, leading to the accumulation of a conjugated form of the hormone, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)-Asp, to promote disease development. IAA-Asp increases pathogen progression in the plant by regulating the transcription of

virulence genes (González-Lamothe et al. 2012). Auxin may suppress the induction of SA signaling and induce susceptibility (Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2011).

Auxin also induces resistance against some pathogens, probably modulating auxin homeostasis, polar auxin transport, and expression of ARF transcription factors (Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2011; Mah et al. 2012). Auxin signaling has been reported to be involved in triggering systemic acquired resistance (SAR). Auxin signaling increases SA levels, which trigger SAR (Rock and Sun 2005). Auxin is highly mobile and is involved in SA-triggered SAR (Truman et al. 2010). Auxin signaling induces disease resistance by triggering accumulation of SA, which positively regulates defense responses, and by suppressing both JA and ABA signaling systems, which negatively regulate defense responses (Truman et al. 2010). Auxin triggers biosynthesis of ethylene (Tatsuki et al. 2013). Application of ethylene biosynthetic precursor ACC triggers an increase in the rate of IAA biosynthesis (Swarup et al. 2007), and ACC treatments also increase IAA transport (Negi et al. 2008). Ethylene has been shown to induce susceptibility (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2010; Pantelides et al. 2013) or resistance (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2008; Gaige et al. 2010; Zhu et al. 2011a; Nambeesan et al. 2012) against various pathogens.

### 1.7 Cytokinins

Cytokinins act as both long-range and local signals (Hwang and Sakakibara 2006) and play an important role in modulation of plant innate immunity (Choi et al. 2010; Grosskinsky et al. 2011; Naseem et al. 2012; Pieterse et al. 2012). Cytokinin signaling system may regulate positively or negatively the plant defense responses (Choi et al. 2010; Argueso et al. 2012). Cytokinins may regulate the host defense responses either positively or negatively depending on the concentrations of cytokinins available at the infection site (Babosha 2009; Argueso et al. 2012). Cytokinin may modulate SA signaling system to trigger immune responses (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2010; Pantelides et al. 2013). ABA treatment decreases the expression of several genes involved in cytokinin biosynthesis and degradation (Tsai et al. 2012) Cytokinin antagonistically impacts the signaling of auxin (Stepanova and Alonso 2011). Synergism between auxin and cytokinin signaling has also been reported (Hwang et al. 2012). Elevated plant auxin levels enhance susceptibility by repressing the defense-related *PR1* gene expression (Kazan and Manners 2009), while elevated cytokinin levels mediate resistance and induction of *PR1* (Choi et al. 2011; Naseem et al. 2012).

### 1.8 Gibberellins

Gibberellins (GA) are important plant growth hormones involved in plant innate immunity. GA modulates plant defense responses, mostly by regulating SA–JA–ET signaling systems. Key components in the GA signaling pathway include the GA

1.9 Brassinosteroids 9

receptor GID1, the DELLA proteins, and the F-box proteins (Hauvermale et al. 2012; Davière and Achard 2013). Upon GA binding, the GA-dependent conformational change causes the GID1 N-terminal helical lid domain to behave like "molecular glue" to form the GA-GID1-DELLA complex. DELLAs repress GA-dependent defense responses, whereas GA relieves their repressive activity (Achard and Genschik 2009). GA lifts DELLA repression by targeting DELLA for destruction via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. GA regulates the plant innate immune responses either positively or negatively. It induces susceptibility or resistance against different fungal and bacterial pathogens (Navarro et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2008; De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012; Oin et al. 2013). GA modulates plant disease resistance or susceptibility by inducing the degradation of DELLA proteins (Hauvermale et al. 2012). GA regulates plant immune responses by modulating JA and SA signaling systems (Navarro et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2008; Oi et al. 2014). It also enhances SAR against pathogens (Xia et al. 2010). GA expedites SA accumulation (Navarro et al. 2008; Alonso-Ramirez et al. 2009) and promotes resistance against pathogens by degrading DELLA proteins (Navarro et al. 2008). Loss-offunction mutants in DELLAs, the suppressors of GA signaling up-regulate the SA-mediated defense and down-regulate JA/ET-mediated defense in Arabidopsis (Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2007). GA interacts antagonistically with JA signaling (Yang et al. 2013), while GA attenuates the JA-induced expression of a number of JA-responsive genes (Cao et al. 2006; Hou et al. 2008, 2010). Both JA and GA signaling systems modulate plant immune responses. JA interferes with gibberellin signaling cascade. JA delays GA-mediated DELLA protein degradation. The JAZ protein JAZ9 inhibits RGA (a DELLA protein) interaction with the transcription factor PIF3 (phytochrome-interacting factor 3) (Yang et al. 2012). JA signaling has been shown to activate expression of DELLA genes involved in GA signaling pathway. JA-induced RGL3 expression works via the COI1/MYC2-dependent signaling pathway. JA-mediated induction of RGL3 expression was abolished in the coil-1 mutant, indicating that RGL3 is downstream of COI1 (Wild et al. 2012). Brassinosteroids (BR) negatively regulate innate immune responses induced by GAs. BR and GA cause cross-inhibitory effects on the reciprocal hormone biosynthesis pathways to interact in a mutually antagonistic manner (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). Pathogen triggers overexpression of DELLA proteins to suppress GA-regulated defense responses (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012).

### 1.9 Brassinosteroids

Brassinosteroids (BRs) are growth-promoting steroidal hormones in plants, and they are also involved in plant innate immunity (Nakashita et al. 2003; Bajguz and Hayat 2009; Divi et al. 2010; Jaillais et al. 2011; Albrecht et al. 2012; Owens et al. 2012; Wang 2012; Vriet et al. 2012). BR signals are perceived by the plasma membrane receptor BRI1 and co-receptor BAK1. Several positive (BSK1, BSU1, PP2A, CDG1) and negative (BKI1, BIN2, MSBP1, and 14-3-3) regulators of BR

signaling control the activities of BZR1 and BES1 family of transcription factors, which regulate the expression of hundreds to thousands of genes for various BR responses (Wang et al. 2008a; Kim et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010). BRs either positively (Khripach et al. 2000; Nakashita et al. 2003) or negatively (Albrecht et al. 2012; Belkhadir et al. 2012; De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012; Nahar et al. 2013) regulate plant innate immunity. BAK1, a key component in BR signaling pathway (Schwessinger et al. 2011), is involved in triggering plant disease resistance by modulating JA signaling system (Yang et al. 2011). BR may also induce susceptibility to pathogens (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). BR negates disease resistance conferred by the SA synthetic analog benzothiadiazole, suggesting negative crosstalk between BR and SA signaling pathways. BR-mediated suppression of SA defenses occurs downstream of SA biosynthesis, but upstream of NPR1 and OsWRKY45 in the SA signaling pathway (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). BR triggers the expression of GA repressor proteins and suppresses GA-induced defense responses (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). Crosstalk between PAMP-PRR signaling and BR synthesis pathway has been reported (Albrecht et al. 2012). Increasing the endogenous pool of bioactive BR antagonizes flg22-induced responses (Belkhadir et al. 2012). BRI1-BAK signaling modulates PAMP-PRR signaling pathway. BAK1 is a common co-receptor for the PRRs activated by various PAMPs. Similarly BAK1 is a co-receptor for the BR receptor, BRI1. Signaling downstream of BAK1 differs between BRI1 and FLS2 (PRR for flg22) pathways (Lu et al. 2010). Pathogen infection results in elevation of BR signal processing (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). Pathogens may exploit BRs as virulence factors and hijack the plant BR machinery to cause disease (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012).

### 1.10 Plant Hormone Signaling Network

Plant hormones activate different signaling pathways inducing distinctly different defense genes (Liu et al. 2007; Spoel et al. 2007; Mitsuhara et al. 2008; van Verk et al. 2008; Cevik et al. 2012). These signaling pathways are not simple linear and isolated cascades, but can crosstalk with each other (Tsuda et al. 2009; Verhage et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2013). Architecture of plant immune signaling networks may not be static and may vary with the pathogen genotype invasion. Both antagonism and synergism between SA and JA signaling systems have been widely reported in plants (Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2011; El Rahman et al. 2012; Pieterse et al. 2012; Thaler et al. 2012; Zander et al. 2012; Zheng et al. 2012; Gimenez-Ibanez and Solano 2013; Van der Does et al. 2013). Crosstalk between JA and ET (Melotto et al. 2008; Pré et al. 2008; Bari and Jones 2009; Grant and Jones 2009; Pauwels and Goossens 2011; Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2011b), SA and ET (Leon-Reyes et al. 2010), JA and GA (Yang et al. 2013), SA and auxin (Robert-Seilaniantz et al. 2011), and SA and ABA (Xu et al. 2013) has been reported. The DELLA protein RGL3 in the GA pathway represses the SA pathway (Wild et al. 2012). In contrast, the DELLA protein enhances the expression of JA-dependent expression

(Wild et al. 2012). The interplay between SA, JA, and GA signaling pathways has been reported in *Arabidopsis* (Wild et al. 2012). Synergism between ABA and SA signaling systems has also been reported in *Arabidopsis* (Chen et al. 2013). Mutual interactions between stress-specific hormones such as SA and JA/ET are regarded as the central backbone of the immunity (Pieterse et al. 2012). However, the growth-promoting hormones (auxin, cytokinins, gibberellic acid, and abscisic acid) either inhibit or potentiate this balance in mediating the protection or susceptibility of the plant against the invading pathogen (Pieterse et al. 2012; Naseem et al. 2012; Naseem and Dandekar 2012).

Plant hormones act in concert (Naseem et al. 2012; Naseem and Dandekar 2012). Crosstalk between defense signaling pathways may provide the plant with a powerful regulatory potential, which helps the plant to "decide" which defensive strategy to follow, depending on the type of attacker it is encountering (De Vos et al. 2005). Plants modulate the relative abundance of SA, JA, and ET levels; modify the expression of defense-related genes; and coordinate complex interactions between defense signaling pathways to activate an effective defense response against attack by various types of pathogens (Bari and Jones 2009). Crosstalk between the hormone signaling systems fine-tunes the defense responses in the plant immune system (Grant and Jones 2009; El Rahman et al. 2012; Pieterse et al. 2009, 2012). Argueso et al. (2012) showed that cytokinin up-regulates plant immunity via an elevation of SA-dependent defense responses and SA in turn feedback inhibits cytokinin signaling. The crosstalk between cytokinin and SA signaling networks may help plants to fine-tune defense responses against pathogens (Argueso et al. 2012). DELLAs, the repressors of GA signaling, promote susceptibility to virulent biotrophs and resistance to necrotrophs, partly by altering the relative strength of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid (Navarro et al. 2008).

Crosstalk between defense signaling pathways may also allow pathogens to manipulate plants to their own benefit by shutting down induced defense through influences on the signaling network. Infection with biotrophic *Pseudomonas syringae*, which induces SA-mediated defense, renders plants more susceptible to the necrotrophic pathogen *Alternaria brassicicola* by suppressing JA signaling pathway (Spoel et al. 2007). *Botrytis cinerea* manipulates the antagonistic effects between immune pathways to promote disease development in tomato (El Oirdi et al. 2011). The rice bacterial blight pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* has been shown to hijack the rice ABA machinery to cause disease (Xu et al. 2013). This immunesuppressive effect of ABA may be due to suppression of SA-mediated defenses that normally serve to limit pathogen growth (Xu et al. 2013).

Concentration of the plant hormones in the signaling network may also alter the immune responses. Treatment of rice plants with increasing concentrations of gibberellic acid (GA) enhanced resistance to *Pythium graminicola* in a concentration-dependent manner. Conversely, depletion of endogenous GA levels using the GA biosynthesis inhibitor uniconazole promoted disease susceptibility (De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). Enhanced biosynthesis of ethylene induced by ACC treatment decreases SA- and JA-associated defense signaling (Shen et al. 2011). A transient synergistic enhancement in the expression of genes associated with

either JA or SA signaling was observed when both jasmonic acid and salicylic acid were applied at low concentrations. However, antagonism was observed at more prolonged treatment times or at higher concentrations. Similar results were also observed when adding the jasmonate precursor  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid with salicylic acid (Mur et al. 2006). These results suggest that the outcomes of JA–SA interactions depend on the relative concentration of JA and SA.

Activation of multiple hormone signaling pathways may induce resistance against a wide range of pathogens (Hénanff et al. 2013). JA signaling system triggers resistance against necrotrophic pathogens (McGrath et al. 2005; Zheng et al. 2006; Méndez-Bravo et al. 2011; El Rahman et al. 2012), while SA signaling is involved in triggering resistance against biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens (Thaler et al. 2004; Nie 2006; De Vos et al. 2006; Spoel et al. 2007; Jelenska et al. 2007; El Oirdi et al. 2011). By contrast, the SA–JA–ET–ABA signaling network triggers expression of several defense genes and confers resistance to both necrotrophic and biotrophic pathogens (Hénanff et al. 2013). *Bacillus cereus* induces systemic resistance against pathogens by simultaneously activating SA-, JA-, and ET-dependent signaling pathways (Niu et al. 2011).

# 1.11 Can Molecular Manipulation of Plant Hormone Signaling Network Help the Plant to Win the War Against Pathogens?

Crosstalk between the different hormone signaling systems fine-tunes the defense responses against biotrophic and necrotrophic fungal, oomycete, bacterial, and viral pathogens (Pieterse et al. 2009; Méndez-Bravo et al. 2011; El Rahman et al. 2012; Pieterse et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013). Crosstalk between different hormone signaling pathways in the hormone signaling network helps the plant to choose the effective defense strategy to follow depending on the type of pathogen it is encountering (De Vos et al. 2005; Niu et al. 2011; Nambeesan et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012; Hénanff et al. 2013). The crosstalk also allows the pathogens to manipulate plants to their own benefit by shutting down the specific hormone signaling pathway involved in triggering defense responses and hijacking the signaling pathway involved in induction of susceptibility (de Torres-Zabala et al. 2007; Katsir et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2013). Can we manipulate specific signaling system to activate defense responses and suppress the action of pathogens in hijacking the signaling pathway triggering susceptibility? It has been reported that concentration of the plant hormones in the signaling network can alter the strong and fast expression of specific hormones (Mur et al. 2006; Shen et al. 2011; De Vleesschauwer et al. 2012). Manipulation of the signaling network may be a potential strategy to enhance activation and improvement of plant immunity for crop disease management. This book describes the molecular basis of plant hormone-induced immune responses in plants to develop technologies for effective management of crop diseases. Enhancing disease resistance through altered regulation of plant immunity systems would be durable and