

Springer Praxis Books

Geophysical Sciences

Jeffrey S. Kargel • Gregory J. Leonard Michael P. Bishop • Andreas Kääb Bruce H. Raup Editors

Global Land Ice Measurements from Space





Editors
Jeffrey S. Kargel
Department of Hydrology and Water Resources
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ
USA

Gregory J. Leonard Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Global Land Ice Measurements from Space University of Arizona Tuscon, AZ USA

Michael P. Bishop Department of Geography Texas A&M University College Station, TX USA Andreas Kääb Department of Geosciences University of Oslo Oslo Norway

Bruce H. Raup University of Colorado Boulder Boulder, CO USA

Published in association with Praxis Publishing Chichester, UK

Additional material to this book can be downloaded from http://extras.springer.com/

ISSN 1615-9748 ISBN 978-3-540-79817-0 ISBN 978-3-540-79818-7 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-79818-7 Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014943116

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Contents

Dedicat	tion	XV	1.3.1	Formation of glaciers and their dynamical	
List of	contributors	xvii	1 2 2	controls	4
Forewo	rd by Hugh H. Kieffer	XXV	1.3.2	Glacier reactions to climate change, and response times	5
	•		1.3.3	Reporting glacier change rates	5
Acknow	vledgments	xxix		International glacier monitoring	6
Online	supplemental material	xxxi		History of international glacier monitoring	
Chapter	r receipt information	xxxiii	1.4.2	in the 19th and 20th centuries	6
List of	figures	XXXV	1.4.2	The Global Terrestrial Network for Glaciers (GTN-G)	8
			1.4.3	Available datasets	9
Disclair	ner: GLIMS is not a border authority	xlvii	1.4.4	Challenges of the 21st century	9
List of	tables	xlix		Glacier observations from space	12
T:a4 a£	abbunistions and amounts	1:::	1.5.1	Satellite observations in GTN-G	12
LIST OF	abbreviations and acronyms	liii	1.5.2	Possible applications	13
About t	the editors	lix	1.5.3	Challenges	14
Prologu	10	lxi	1.6	Integrative glacier change assessments	15
1 Tologu	ic	IXI	1.7	Synopsis and organization of the book	15
			1.8	Conclusions	16
1	Introduction: Global glacier		1.9	Acknowledgments	17
	monitoring—a long-term task		1.10	References	17
	integrating in situ observations and				
	remote sensing		2	Theoretical foundations of remote	
	Michael Zemp, Richard Armstrong,			sensing for glacier assessment and	
	Isabelle Gärtner-Roer, Wilfried Haeberli,			mapping	
	Martin Hoelzle, Andreas Kääb,			Michael P. Bishop, Andrew B.G. Bush,	
	Jeffrey S. Kargel, Siri Jodha S. Khalsa,			Roberto Furfaro, Alan R. Gillespie,	
	Gregory J. Leonard, Frank Paul, and			Dorothy K. Hall, Umesh K. Haritashya,	
	Bruce H. Raup	1		and John F. Shroder Jr.	23
1.1	Why this book?	1	2.1	Introduction	23
1.2	Perennial surface ice on land	2	2.2	Radiation transfer cascade	24
1.2.1	Definitions	2	2.2.1	Solar irradiance	24
1.2.2	Global coverage	3	2.2.2	Surface irradiance	25
1.3	Glaciers and climate	4	2.2.3	Surface reflectance	30

2.2.4	Surface emission	31	4.2.1	Radiometric calibration	76
2.3	Surface-energy interactions	32	4.2.2	Geometric preprocessing	76
2.3.1	Snow	32		Multispectral methods	78
2.3.2	Glaciers	34	4.3.1	Spectral reflectance of glacier surfaces	78
2.3.3	Water	35	4.3.2	Image classification approaches	79
2.4	Complications	37	4.3.3	Image-processing techniques	81
2.5	Space-based information extraction	37	4.3.4	Postprocessing and GIS work flow	86
2.5.1	Snow cover	37	4.4	Mapping debris-covered ice	86
2.5.2	Ice sheets	38	4.5	Thermal imaging	89
2.5.3	Alpine glacier mapping	38	4.6	Microwave/SAR methods	89
2.5.4	Debris-covered glaciers	39	4.7	Spectral change detection and temporal	
2.5.5	Snow line and ELA	40		data merging	91
	Ice flow velocities	41		Overview	91
	Numerical modeling	42	4.7.2	Image change evaluation by subtraction of	•
	Climate modeling	42		multispectral anniversary pairs	
	Energy balance modeling	43		(ICESMAP)	95
	Glacier mass balance modeling	45		Ice flow	98
	Conclusions	46	4.8.1	Image choice and preprocessing for	
	Acknowledgments	46		image matching	100
	Notation	47		Image-matching techniques	100
2.10	References	48		Postprocessing and analysis	102
•				Accuracy	102
3	Radiative transfer modeling in			SAR offset tracking and interferometry	103
	the cryosphere			Challenges, conclusions, and perspectives	105
	Roberto Furfaro, Alberto Previti,			Acknowledgments	106
	Paolo Picca, Jeffrey S. Kargel, and		4.11	References	106
	Michael P. Bishop	53	_		
3.1	Introduction	53	5	Digital terrain modeling and glacier	
3.2	Radiative transfer modeling of glacier			topographic characterization	
	surfaces	55		Duncan J. Quincey, Michael P. Bishop,	
3.2.1	RT modeling approach for glacier surfaces	56		Andreas Kääb, Etienne Berthier,	
3.2.2	Radiative transfer equation in layered			Boris Flach, Tobias Bolch,	
	mixtures of snow, ice, and debris	58		Manfred Buchroithner, Ulrich Kamp,	
3.2.3	Radiative transfer equation in glacier lake			Siri Jodha S. Khalsa, Thierry Toutin,	
	waters	59		Umesh K. Haritashya,	
3.3	Optical properties of snow, ice, debris,			Adina E. Racoviteanu, John F. Shroder,	
	mixtures, and glacier lake water	60		and Bruce H. Raup	113
3.3.1	Snow	61	5 1	Introduction	113
	Glacier ice	61		Background	113
	Rock debris	62		Digital elevation model generation	116
3.3.4	Mixtures	63	5.3.1	_	116
3.3.5	Glacier lake water	63		Aerial and satellite image stereoscopy	117
	Numerical solution of the RTE	64		Ground control points	118
3.5	Glacier radiative transfer simulation			Software packages	120
2.6	examples	66		Postprocessing (interpolation and	120
	Conclusions	70 71	3.3.3	smoothing)	121
3.7	References	71	536	Data fusion	122
				DEM error and uncertainty	123
4	Glacier mapping and monitoring using		5.4.1	Representation of DEM error	123
	multispectral data		5	and uncertainty	123
	Andreas Kääb, Tobias Bolch,		5.4.2	Type and origin of errors	123
	Kimberly Casey, Torborg Heid,			Geomorphometry	124
	Jeffrey S. Kargel, Gregory J. Leonard,		5.5.1	Geomorphometric land surface parameters	125
	Frank Paul, and Bruce H. Raup	75		Scale-dependent analysis	125
4.1	Introduction	75	5.5.3	Topographic radiation modeling	127
	Image preprocessing	76		Altitude functions	127

5.5.5	Glacier elevation changes and			Ingest quality control steps	176
	mass balance calculations	128		Representation of measurement error	179
	Glacier mapping	131		Derived parameters in the database	180
	Pattern recognition	133		Conclusion	180
	Artificial intelligence techniques	134		Acknowledgments	181
	Object-oriented mapping	135	7.9	References	181
5.7		135			
	Conclusions	138	8	Glacier fluctuations and dynamics	
	Acknowledgments	138		around the margin of the Greenland	
5.10	References	138		Ice Sheet	
_	ACTED			Leigh A. Stearns and Hester Jiskoot	183
6	ASTER datasets and derived products		8.1	Greenland glaciology	183
	for global glacier monitoring			Ice sheet mass changes	184
	Bhaskar Ramachandran, John Dwyer,			Case Study 1: Central East Greenland	
	Bruce H. Raup, and Jeffrey S. Kargel	145		margin fluctuations and climate sensitivity	V
6.1	Introduction	145		from a GLIMS Glacier Inventory and	,
6.2	ASTER Data Access and Use Policy	146		ASTER GDEM	186
	ASTER data	147	8.2.1	Introduction	186
6.3.1	Performance of ASTER VNIR, SWIR,		8.2.2	Methods	188
	and TIR	147	8.2.3	Results	189
6.4	ASTER data-processing stream	152	8.3	Case Study 2: A comparison of high-	
6.4.1	Standard Level 1A and Level 1B	152		rate GPS and ASTER-derived	
6.4.2	ASTER standard higher level products	153		measurements on Helheim Glacier	193
6.5	ASTER data for GLIMS: STARS,		8.3.1	Introduction	193
	DARs, gain settings, and image seasons	159	8.3.2	Data	193
6.6	Acknowledgments	160	8.3.3	Results	196
6.7	References	161	8.4	Discussion and conclusion	199
			8.5	Acknowledgments	200
7	Quality in the GLIMS Glacier		8.6	References	200
	Database				
	Bruce H. Raup, Siri Jodha S. Khalsa,		9	Remote sensing of recent glacier	
	Richard L. Armstrong, William A. Sneed,			changes in the Canadian Arctic	
	Gordon S. Hamilton, Frank Paul,			Martin Sharp, David O. Burgess,	
	Fiona Cawkwell, Matthew J. Beedle,			Fiona Cawkwell, Luke Copland,	
	Brian P. Menounos, Roger D. Wheate,			James A. Davis, Evelyn K. Dowdeswell,	
	Helmut Rott, Liu Shiyin, Li Xin,			Julian A. Dowdeswell, Alex S. Gardner,	
	Shangguan Donghui, Cheng Guodong,			Douglas Mair, Libo Wang,	
	Jeffrey S. Kargel, Chris F. Larsen,			Scott N. Williamson, Gabriel J. Wolken,	
	Bruce F. Molnia, Joni L. Kincaid,			and Faye Wyatt	205
	Andrew Klein, and Vladimir Konovalov	163	0.1		
	•			Introduction	205
	Introduction	163		Regional context	206
7.2		164	9.2.1	Geology and physiography	206
7.3	Accuracy and precision in glacier		9.2.2	Climate and recent climate trends in the	200
	mapping	164	0.2.2	Canadian Arctic	206
7.4	Glacier analysis comparison experiments			Glacier characteristics	209
	(GLACE)	166	9.3	Special topics: regional glacier mass	210
7.4.1	GLACE 1 and GLACE 2	166	0.2.1	balance and proxy indicators	210
7.4.2	GLACE 2A and GLACE 3A (manual	4.65	9.3.1	Surface mass balance and mass balance	210
- -	digitization)	167	0.2.2	changes	210
	GLACE results	168		Summer melt	211
	GLACE 1 and GLACE 2	168		Ice flow and iceberg-calving fluxes	213
	GLACE 2A and GLACE 3A	171		Case studies	214
	Discussion CLIMC Clim Part 1	173	9.4.1	Surge-type glaciers	214
7.6	GLIMS Glacier Database and the data	176		Northern Ellesmere Island ice shelves Regional synthesis: Recent changes in	216
	ingest process	176	9 5	Regional synthesis. Recent changes in	

	equilibrium line altitude and glacier extent	217	11.2.3	Glacier characteristics—Kenai Fjords National Park	243
9.5.1		217	11.2.4	Glacier characteristics—Katmai National	27.
	Results	219	11.2.1	Park and Preserve	244
	Key issue	220	11.3	Procedures for analysis of glacier	
	-	220		changes	245
9.6.1	Changes in glacier surface elevation, volume, and mass; sea level contributions	220	11.3.1	Imagery classification	245
0.7		224	11.3.2	Complicating issues	247
	Summary and conclusions			Manual editing	247
9.8	Acknowledgments	225	11.4	Satellite imagery interpretation accuracy	247
9.9	References	225	11.5	Areal extent—glacier ice	248
			11.5.1	Kenai Fjords National Park	248
10	A disital alasian databasa fan		11.5.2	Katmai National Park and Preserve	248
10	A digital glacier database for			Terminus position measurements	250
	Svalbard Mary Käning Chairtan han North			Methodology	250
	Max König, Christopher Nuth,			Kenai Fjords National Park	251
	Jack Kohler, Geir Moholdt, and Rickard Pettersen	229		Katmai National Park and Preserve	256
	Rickara Pettersen			Discussion and conclusions	259
10.1	Introduction	229	11.8	References	260
10.2	Regional context	230			
10.3	Database structure	230	12	Glacier-dammed ice-marginal lakes	
10.4	Data	231		of Alaska	
10.4.1	The original Topographic Map Series of			David F.G. Wolfe, Jeffrey S. Kargel,	
	Svalbard (S100)—1936/ 1966/1971	231		and Gregory J. Leonard	263
10.4.2	The 1990 photogrammetric survey	232	12.1	Introduction	264
10.4.3	The satellite dataset	232		Regional context	265
10.5	Methodology	233	12.2.1	_	265
10.5.1	Creation of glacier outlines from			Climate	267
	cartographic data for the 1936/1966/1971			Previous research	267
	dataset	233		Methods	268
10.5.2	Creation of outlines from cartographic		12.3.1	Horizontal attributes	271
	data for the 1990 dataset	233	12.3.2	Mean glacier altitude (MGA)	271
10.5.3	Creation of outlines from satellite data			Glacier stream order (complexity)	271
	for the 2001–2010 dataset	233	12.3.4	Glacier surface gradient	271
10.5.4	Glacier and snow patches smaller than		12.3.5	Damming glacier origin and terminus	
	1 km^2	234		types, and minimum-maximum altitudes	271
10.6	Results	234	12.3.6	Aspects of ice dams and damming	
10.7	Conclusions and future perspectives	238		glaciers	272
10.8	Acknowledgments	238	12.4	Results	272
10.9	References	238	12.4.1	Changes over time: Lake-damming glaciers	273
			1242	Changes over time: Glacier-dammed lake	212
11			12.1.2	population	276
11	Alaska: Glaciers of Kenai Fjords		12.5	Case study: Iceberg Lake	280
	National Park and Katmai National			Overview	280
	Parks and Preserve		12.5.2	Satellite observations	283
	Bruce A. Giffen, Dorothy K. Hall, and	241	12.5.3	Field observations	284
	Janet Y.L. Chien	241	12.5.4	Satellite era hydrology	286
11.1	Introduction	241		Possible causes of Iceberg Lake's	
11.2	Regional context	242		dynamical evolution	289
11.2.1	Geographic/topographic/environmental			Discussion and conclusions	291
	setting	242		Acknowledgments	292
11.2.2	Climate	243	12.8	References	293

13	Multispectral image analysis of glaciers and glacier lakes in the Chugach Mountains, Alaska Jeffrey S. Kargel, Matthew J. Beedle, Andrew B.G. Bush, Francisco Carreño,		15	ASTER and DEM change assessment of glaciers near Hoodoo Mountain, British Columbia, Canada Jeffrey S. Kargel, Gregory J. Leonard, Roger D. Wheate, and Benjamin Edwards	
	Elena Castellanos, Umesh K. Haritashya, Gregory J. Leonard, Javier Lillo, Ivan Lopez, Mark Pleasants, Edward Pollock, and David F.G. Wolfe	297	15.3	Introduction Geologic and climatic context Special topics ASTER image differencing	353 354 355 355
	Introduction Regional context	297 299		Topographic differencing of Hoodoo Mountain and vicinity: Analysis of four	
	Geological context Climatic context: Descriptive overview	299	15.3.3	time series of DEMs Mass balance of glaciers in the Hoodoo Mountain study region	360 363
13.2.3	and downscaled model Regional significance of glaciers in the	301	15.3.4	Ground and air photo assessment of glacier changes on Hoodoo Mountain	303
13.3	Chugach/St. Elias Mountains Case studies: Glacier inventorying and assessment of glacier dynamics	304 306	15.3.5	and vicinity Glacier and climate changes in the vicinity	
13.3.1	A preliminary inventory of the Bering— Malaspina glacier complex	306		of Hoodoo Mountain Synthesis and conclusions Acknowledgments	369 372 372
13.3.2	Glaciers of College Fiord: Harvard Glacier and Yale Glacier	312		References	372
	Scott Glacier Glaciers of the Copper River corridor:	319	16	Glaciers of the Ragged Range, Nahanni National Park Reserve,	
13.4	Childs, Miles, and Allen Glaciers Conclusions	319 328		Northwest Territories, Canada Michael N. Demuth, Philip Wilson, and	255
	Acknowledgments References	329 329		Dana Haggarty Introduction	375 375
14	Remote sensing of glaciers in the Canadian Cordillera, western Canada Roger D. Wheate, Etienne Berthier, Tobias Bolch, Brian P. Menounos, Joseph M. Shea, John J. Clague, and		16.3 16.4 16.5 16.6	Geographic, social, and climatic context Glacier inventory and morphometry Regional synthesis Recommendations for further work Acknowledgments References	376 377 377 381 382 382
14.1	Erik Schiefer Introduction	333	17	Glaciers and perennial snowfields of the U.S. Cordillera	
14.1 14.2	Regional context	333 334		Andrew G. Fountain,	
14.2.1	Topographic setting	334		Hassan J. Basagic IV, Charles Cannon, Mark Devisser, Matthew J. Hoffman,	
14.2.2	Climate	334		Jeffrey S. Kargel, Gregory J. Leonard,	
14.2.3	Glacier distribution and characteristics	334		Kristina Thorneykroft, and Steve Wilson	385
14.3	Special topics and case studies	336	17.1	Introduction	385
14.3.1	Glacier hazards	336		Regional context	386
14.3.2	Glacier changes	339		Geologic context	386
14.4		344	17.3	Climatic context Methods	387 388
14.4.1	British Columbia and Alberta	344		Results	388
14.4.2	Yukon	346	17.4.1	California Colorado	389 389
14.5	Concluding remarks	351	17.4.2		390
	Acknowledgments	351		Montana	390
	References	351		Nevada	391

17.4.6	Oregon	391	19.2.2	Glacier changes	429
17.4.7	Washington	392	19.2.3	Previous glacier inventories	430
17.4.8	Wyoming	393	19.2.4	Digital glacier outlines from	
17.4.9	Advancing glaciers	393		topographical maps (N50)	430
17.5	Case studies using ASTER	394	19.3	Methodology (derivation of glacier	
17.5.1	Grinnell Glacier, Glacier National Park,			outlines from Landsat)	431
	Montana	394	19.3.1	Selection of Landsat scenes	431
17.5.2	Glacier changes on Mt. Rainier,			Glacier-mapping methods	432
	Washington, assessed using ASTER and		19.4	Case studies and special topics	433
	MASTER multispectral and	20.5	19.4.1	Glacier size distribution	433
17.5.3	thermal imagery	395	19.4.2	Assessing area changes in Jotunheimen	
17.5.3	ASTER and field studies of Blue Glacier,	403		and Svartisen	433
17.6	Olympic Mountains, Washington	403	19.4.3	Uncertainties	434
	Summary and conclusions Acknowledgments	405		Conclusions	435
	References	405	19.6	Acknowledgments	435
17.0	References	403	19.7	References	436
18	Remote sensing of mountain glaciers		20	European Alma	
	and ice caps in Iceland		20	European Alps Frank Paul, Yves Arnaud,	
	Oddur Sigurðsson,			Roberto Ranzi, and Helmut Rott	439
	Richard S. Williams, Jr.,				
	Sandro Martinis, and Ulrich Münzer	409		Regional context	439
18.1	Introduction	409	20.1.1	Geographic and topographic	
	History of mapping Iceland's glaciers	409		characteristics	439
	Scientific analysis of Iceland's glaciers	410		Climatic conditions	440
18.1.3	Air and spaceborne imaging and remote-			Glacier characteristics	441
	sensing analysis of Iceland's glaciers	411		Glacier observations	443
18.2	Regional context	412		Satellite data	443
	Geography and geology	412		Austria	444
	Climate and climate variability	414		Regional context	444
18.3	Special topics and methodology	415		Austrian glacier inventories	445
18.3.1	Types of glaciers	415	20.2.3	Satellite-based study of glaciers in	
18.3.2	History of Iceland's glacier variations	416	20.24	the Stubaier Alpen	445
18.3.3	Identifying the outline, transient snow line,			Conclusion	447
	and firn line of glaciers	417		France	447
18.3.4	Jökulhlaups	417		Introduction	447
18.4	Three case studies	418	20.3.2	Examples of remote sensing—based studies	4.40
18.4.1	Transient tephra lines	418	20.4	in the French Alps	448
18.4.2	Classification of the Vatnajökull ice cap			Italy	451
	according to three different outlines	418		Introduction	451
18.4.3	The impact of the 2004 jökulhlaup on		20.4.2	Glacier retreat: glaciers in the Sabbione,	450
	glacier dynamics of Skeiðarárjökull	419	20.4.2	Pustertal, and Dolomites regions	452
	Regional summary	421	20.4.3	The Belvedere and Miage debris-covered glaciers	452
	Acknowledgments	422	20.4.4	Albedo and energy balance of Mandrone	432
18.7	References	422	20.4.4	Glacier Glacier	455
			20.5	Switzerland	456
19	Norway		20.5.1	Methods for glacier inventory creation	456
	Liss M. Andreassen, Frank Paul, and		20.5.2	Results	457
	Jon Endre Hausberg	427	20.5.3	Conclusions	458
19.1	Introduction	427		Synthesis and outlook	459
19.2	Regional context	427		Acknowledgments	460
19.2.1	Glacier observations	428		References	460

21	Satellite inventory of glaciers in			Results	498
	Turkey		22.5.1	Glacial change in the Munkh Khairkhan	400
	Mehmet Akif Sarıkaya and			range	498
	Ahmet Emre Tekeli	465		Glacial change in the Tavan Bogd range	499
21.1	Introduction	465		Discussion	502
	Regional context	466		Munkh Khairkhan range	502
	Topography	466		Tavan Bogd range	505
	Climate	467		Conclusions	506
	Methods	467		Acknowledgments	506
	Occurrences of glaciers	468	22.9	References	507
	Glaciers in the Southeastern Taurus	400			
21.4.1	Mountains	468	23	Remote sensing of glaciers in Afghanis	stan
21 / 2	Glaciers in the coastal ranges of the	400		and Pakistan	
21.4.2	eastern Black Sea	471		Michael P. Bishop, John F. Shroder Jr.,	
21.4.2	Glaciers on individual mountains	471		Ghazanfar Ali, Andrew B.G. Bush,	
		476		Umesh K. Haritashya, Rakhshan Roohi,	
	Rock glaciers	476			
	Kavuşşahap Mountains			Mehmet Akif Sarıkaya, and	500
	Soğanlı Mountains	476		Brandon J. Weihs	509
	Rize Mountains	476 477	23.1	Introduction	509
	Karaçal Mountains		23.2	Regional context	510
	Mt. Erciyes Mercan Mountains	477	23.2.1	Geology	510
		477	23.2.2	Topography	513
	Esence Mountains	477	23.2.3	Climate	513
	Summary and conclusion	477	23.2.4	Glaciers	514
	Acknowledgment	478	23.3	Methodology	518
21.8	References	478		Case studies	520
			23.4.1	Afghanistan	520
22	Recent glacier changes in the			Pakistan	529
	Mongolian Altai Mountains: Case		23.5	Regional synthesis	543
	studies from Munkh Khairkhan and		23.5.1	Afghanistan	543
	Tavan Bogd			Pakistan	543
	Brandon S. Krumwiede, Ulrich Kamp,		23.6	Acknowledgments	544
	Gregory J. Leonard, Jeffrey S. Kargel,			References	544
	Avirmed Dashtseren. and		20.7		
	Michael Walther	481	24	Himalayan glaciers (India, Bhutan,	
			47		
	Introduction	481		Nepal): Satellite observations of	
	Regional background	482		thinning and retreat	
22.2.1	Quaternary history of glaciers in the			Adina E. Racoviteanu, Yves Arnaud,	
	Mongolian Altai	482		I.M. Baghuna, Samjwal R. Bajracharya,	
22.2.2	Recent history of glaciers in the			Etienne Berthier, Rakesh Bhambri,	
	Mongolian Altai	483		Tobias Bolch, Martin Byrne,	
	Regional context and study areas	484		Ravinder K. Chaujar, Regula Frauenfelder,	,
	Geography and climate	484		Andreas Kääb, Ulrich Kamp,	
22.3.2	Munkh Khairkhan range	485		Jeffrey S. Kargel, Anil V. Kulkarni,	
22.3.3	Tavan Bogd range	486		Gregory J. Leonard, Pradeep K. Mool,	
22.4	Data and methods	487		· ·	540
22.4.1	Topographic maps	487		and I. Sossna	549
22.4.2	Satellite imagery	487	24.1	Overview	549
22.4.3	GPS data	489	24.2	Regional context	550
22.4.4	Pan-sharpening	489	24.2.1	Geographic, geologic, and topographic	
22.4.5	Glacier mapping	490		setting	550
22.4.6	Error analysis (area accuracy and		24.2.2	Climate dynamics and glacier regimes	552
	change precision)	492	24.2.3	Previous glacier mapping and observations	553
22.4.7	Digital elevation models	493	24.3		553
22.4.8	DEM-derived datasets	496	24.3.1	Sikkim Himalaya: glacier area change,	
22.4.9	Geomorphometric analysis	497		1960–2000	553

24.3.2	Khumbu and Garhwal Himalaya: glacier area and thickness changes, 1960s–2000s	555	26	Remote sensing of rapidly diminishing tropical glaciers in the northern Andes	
24.3.3	Everest region, Nepal: geomorphologic and surface reflectance changes,			Todd Albert, Andrew Klein, Joni L. Kincaid, Christian Huggel,	
	2001–2005	560			
24.3.4	Brahmaputra River basin: glacier area, volume, and velocity changes, 1970s			Adina E. Racoviteanu, Yves Arnaud, Walter Silverio, and Jorge Luis Ceballos	609
	through to about 2000	564	26.1	Introduction	609
24.3.5	Ladakh, northwestern Indian Himalaya:		26.2	Regional context	610
21.5.5	glacier length/area change, 1975–2008	569	26.3	Special topics and case studies	610
24.3.6	Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand,		26.3.1	Quelccaya, Peru	610
21.5.0	western Indian Himalaya: glacier area			Cordillera Vilcanota, Peru	614
	change, 1962–2004	571	26.3.3	Nevado Coropuna, Peru	616
24.3.7	Himachal Pradesh, western Himalaya:	0,1		Cordillera Blanca, Peru	616
21.3.7	geodetic mass balance estimates,			Colombia	622
	1999–2004	573		Tres Cruces, Bolivia	625
24.4	Summary and outlook	575		Venezuela	630
	Appendix—image differencing:	313		Regional synthesis	632
24.3	methodology, limitations, and errors	575		Discussion	633
24.6	Acknowledgments	577		Acknowledgments	635
	References	577	26.7	References	635
25			27	A new glacier inventory for the	
25	Glaciers in China and their variations			Southern Patagonia Icefield and	
	Liu Shiyin, Shangguan Donghui, Xu Junli,			areal changes 1986-2000	
	Wang Xin, Yao Xiaojun, Jiang Zongli,			Gino Casassa, José Luis Rodríguez, and	
	Guo Wanqin, Lu Anxin, Zhang Shiqiang,			Thomas Loriaux	639
	Ye Baisheng, Li Zhen, Wei Junfeng,		27.1	Introduction	639
	and Wu Lizong	583		Regional context	641
25.1	Introduction to glaciers in China	583		Geographic setting	641
	Regional context	584		Climate	641
	Methods for glacier change monitoring			Glacier characteristics and changes	641
	by remote sensing	585		Data and methods	643
25.4	Glacier area extent change	586		Satellite imagery	643
	Glacier change since the Little Ice Age	200		Glacier delineation	643
25	maximum	586	27.3.3	Ice divides	643
25 4 2	Glacier change during recent decades	588	27.3.4	Equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs)	644
	Change in surface elevations	591		Glacier area errors	644
	Koxkar Glacier	591		Results	645
		593	27.4.1	Glacier inventory	645
	Yanglong River	393	27.4.2	Glacier variations 1986–2000	648
23.0	Surface movement derived by satellite remote sensing	595	27.5	Discussion	649
25 (1	2		27.6	Conclusions	657
25.6.1	Justification	595	27.7	Acknowledgments	658
25.6.2	Glacier velocity derived using D-InSAR and SAR feature-tracking			References	658
	methods	597	20	First algains inventory and recent	
25.6.3	Glacier velocity derived by optical images	598	20	First glacier inventory and recent	
25.7	Special topics: hydrological aspects of			glacier variations on Isla Grande de	
	Chinese glacier dynamics	599		Tierra del Fuego and adjacent islands	
25.7.1	Special Topic 1: glacier hazards in the			in Southern Chile	
	Upper Yalung Zangbo River basin, China	599		Francisca Bown, Andrés Rivera,	
25.7.2				Pablo Zenteno, Claudio Bravo, and	
	in western China provinces	601		Fiona Cawkwell	661
25.8	Summary and future prospects	605	28.1	Introduction	661
	Acknowledgments	605		Regional context	662
	References	605		Methods	664

28.3.1	Satellite data acquisition and		30.2.1	Geologic context	719
	preprocessing	664		Climatic context	719
28.3.2	Glacier extent classification and ice		30.2.3	Summary of known glacier dynamics	720
	divide digitization	664		Methodology	721
28.3.3	Frontal variations	666	30.3.1	Evaluation of ASTER-derived DEMs for	
28.3.4	Errors	666		the Antarctic Peninsula	721
28.4	Results	666	30.4	Case studies and special topics	725
28.4.1	Glacier inventory	666	30.4.1	Monitoring glacier change in the	
28.4.2	Frontal variations	667		northeastern Antarctic Peninsula	725
	Discussion	669	30.4.2	Glaciers of Vega Island and James Ross	
	Some possible explanations	671		Island	725
	Conclusions	671	30.4.3	Former tributaries of Prince Gustav	
	Acknowledgments	673		Channel (PGC) Ice Shelf	727
28.8	References	673		Former tributaries of Larsen A Ice Shelf	727
				Former tributaries of Larsen B Ice Shelf	727
29	New Zealand's glaciers		30.4.6	Monitoring changes and breakup events	
	Trevor J. Chinn, Jeffrey S. Kargel,			on the Wilkins Ice Shelf	728
	Gregory J. Leonard, Umesh K. Haritashya	η,	30.4.7	, e	
	and Mark Pleasants	675		boundaries in the northeastern Antarctic	
20.1	Introduction	676		Peninsula	731
	Regional context	678		Regional synthesis	736
	Geologic setting	678		Summary and conclusions	736
	Climatic context and glacier overview	679		Acknowledgments	737
	New Zealand's historical glacier	0/9	30.8	References	737
29.3	dynamics	681			
20 3 1	Early historical observations	681	31	Mapping blue-ice areas and crevasses	
	Franz Josef Glacier's long historical	001		in West Antarctica using ASTER	
29.3.2	record	682		images, GPS, and radar measurement	S
29 3 3	Proxy mass balance from the Snowlines	002		Andrés Rivera, Fiona Cawkwell,	
27.3.3	Program and aerial photography	683		Anja Wendt, and Rodrigo Zamora	743
29 3 4	Glacier responses since the end of the	003	21.1		743
27.5.4	LIA	685		Introduction Blue-ice areas	743
29.4	Remote-sensing case studies	687	31.2.1		/44
29.4.1	ASTER observations of Mt. Ruapehu,	00,	31.2.1	Mapping BIA extent in the field and on imagery	744
_,,,,,	North Island	687	31.2.2	Interannual fluctuations in the extent of	/
29.4.2	ASTER observations of small glaciers in	00,	31.2.2	Patriot Hills' BIA	746
_,	the Southern Alps	691	31.2.3	Interannual fluctuation in the extent of oth	
29.4.3	ASTER observations of Mt. Cook		31.2.3	BIAs	749
251110	glaciers	693	31.3	Crevasse detection on satellite imagery	750
29.5	9	704		Radio-echo sounding and ground-penetra	
	Debris production and debris cover of		31.4	radar measurements	752
27.0.1	New Zealand glaciers	704	31.5	Discussion	753
29.5.2	New Zealand glacier and climate coupling	706		Conclusions	755
	Conclusions	710		Acknowledgments	75e
	Acknowledgments	711		References	
	References	711	31.8	References	756
			22	Domete sensing of alasians of the	
30	Monitoring glacier changes on		32	Remote sensing of glaciers of the	
50	the Antarctic Peninsula			subantarctic islands	
				J. Graham Cogley, Etienne Berthier, and	
	Jorge Arigony-Neto, Pedro Skvarca,			Shavawn Donoghue	759
	Sebastián Marinsek, Matthias Braun,		32.1	Introduction	759
	Angelika Humbert,			The regional context	759
	Cláudio Wilson Mendes Júnior, and		32.3	Case studies	762
	Ricardo Jaña	717	32.3.1	Heard Island	762
30.1	Introduction	717		Kerguelen	765
	Regional context	719		Montagu Island	768

32.4	Cartographic Inventory of the		33.3.7	Glacier change in the Southern Ocean	
	Subantarctic	771		Super-Region	804
32.5	Summary and conclusion	774	33.3.8	Seasonal thaw in a blue-ice area of the	
32.6	Acknowledgments	777		Antarctic interior	809
32.7	References	777	33.4	Summary discussion: What lies behind	010
33	A world of changing glaciers:		33.4.1	glacier fluctuations and general retreat? Global trends in glacier and ice sheet	810
	Summary and climatic context		22.4.2	mass balance and sea level trends	810
	Jeffrey S. Kargel, Andrew B.G. Bush,		33.4.2	Global warming: first-order cause of	
	J. Graham Cogley, Gregory J. Leonard,			modern-day retreat and thinning of	810
	Bruce H. Raup, Claudio Smiraglia,		22.4.2	glaciers	810
	Massimo Pecci, and Roberto Ranzi	781	33.4.3	What drives variability in glacier	
	•			responses to a changing global environment?	813
	Overview	781	33 / /	Climate change is heterogeneous and	013
33.2	Summary: the foundations of glacier		33.4.4	multivariate	813
	remote-sensing science (Chapters 2–7)	782	33 4 5	Variable response times as a further	013
33.3	Super-regional narratives of glacier		33.7.3	cause of heterogeneous glacier responses	816
	dynamics	784	33 4 6	Other causes of variability in the	010
33.3.1	Glacier changes in the Arctic		33.1.0	response dynamics of glaciers	820
	Super-Region (Greenland and the		33.4.7	Little known or unknown causes with	020
	Canadian High Arctic)	785	00,	the potential to affect glaciers and us	821
33.3.2	Glacier changes in the North Atlantic		33.5	Joe Public's two big questions	825
	Super-Region (Iceland-Norway-Sweden-			Conclusions	828
	Svalbard)	790	33.7	Acknowledgments	830
33.3.3	Glacier changes in the North American		33.8	References	830
	Cordilleran Super-Region (U.S. and				
	western Canada)	793	34	Epilogue: Skepticism versus	
33.3.4	Glacier changes in the Mediterranean			fallibilism for achieving reliable	
	Super-Region	796		science and wise policy decisions	
33.3.5	Glacier changes in the South and			Victor R. Baker	841
	Central Asia Super-Region	799		ricioi R. Bunci	071
33.3.6	Changes in glaciers of the Northern				
	Andes	803	Index		847

Dedication

We dedicate this book to our families, who endured our absences from them and endured as well our dispositions, whether joyous or vexed, during our time on the book. In dedication we also recognize the world's land ice, those frozen lands from the majestic ice sheets of the white circumpolar realms, to the graceful valley glaciers and fast-disappearing glacier bits; and to those glaciers no longer here.

Contributors

The names of Chinese authors in this list are treated traditionally, with the family name appearing before the given name. Names are alphabetized by family name, which here appear in capitals.

Todd Albert

Department of Agriculture, Geosciences, & Natural Resources, The University of Tennessee at Martin, Martin, Tennessee, U.S.A.

Ghazanfar Ali

Water Resources & Glaciology Section, Global Change Impact Studies Center (GCISC), National Center for Physics Complex, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Liss M. Andreassen

Norwegian Water Resources & Energy Directorate, Oslo, Norway; Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Jorge Arigony-Neto

Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia da Criosfera, Laboratório de Monitoramento da Criosfera, Instituto de Ciências Humanas e da Informação, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil

Richard Armstrong

National Snow and Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, U.S.A.

Yves Arnaud

Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement, St Martin d'Hères, France; Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, France

I.M. Baghuna

Marine & Earth Sciences Group, Space Applications Centre (ISRO), Ahmedabad, India

Samjwal R. Bajracharya

International Center for Integrated Mountain Development, Kathmandu, Nepal

Victor R. Baker

Department of Hydrology & Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A.

Hassan J. Basagic IV

Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.

Matthew J. Beedle

Natural Resources & Environmental Studies Institute & Geography Program, University of Northern British Columbia—Northwest Campus, Terrace, British Columbia, Canada

Etienne Berthier

Centre national de la recherche scientifique, Laboratoire d'Etude en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales, OMP-LEGOS, Toulouse, France

Rakesh Bhambri

Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Haryana, India

Michael P. Bishop

Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, U.S.A. (michael.bishop@tamu.edu)

Tobias Bolch

Department of Geography, University of Zurich Irchel, Zurich, Switzerland; Institut für Kartographie, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

Francisca Bown

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Valdivia, Chile

Matthias Braun

Institute of Geography, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

Claudio Bravo

Departamento de Geofísica, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Manfred Buchroithner

Fakultät Forst-, Geo- und Hydrowissenschaften Fachrichtung, Geowissenschaften, Dresden, Germany

David O. Burgess

Natural Resources Canada, National Glaciology Program

Andrew B.G. Bush

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Martin Byrne

Department of Geography, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, U.S.A.

Charles Cannon

Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.

Francisco Carreño

Natural Área de Geología—ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

Gino Casassa

Centro de Estudios Científicos, Valdivia, Chile; Fundación Huilo Huilo, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

Kimberly Casey

CMNS-Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, U.S.A.

Elena Castellanos

Natural Área de Geología—ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Tulipán 28933, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

Fiona Cawkwell

Department of Geography, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

Jorge Luis Ceballos

Institute of Meteorology, Hydrology & Environmental Studies (IDEAM), Bogotá, Colombia

Ravinder K. Chaujar

Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun, India

CHENG Guodong

Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Janet Y.L. Chien

SAIC and Cryospheric Sciences Branch, NASA/ Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A.

Trevor J. Chinn

Alpine & Polar Consultancy, Lake Hawea LGM, Wanaka, New Zealand

John J. Clague

Earth Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

J. Graham Cogley

Department of Geography, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada

Luke Copland

Department of Geography, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Avirmed Dashtseren

Geographical Institute, Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

James A. Davis

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta

Michael N. Demuth

Natural Resources Canada—Geological Survey of Canada—Cryosphere Geoscience Section, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Mark Devisser

Department of Geography, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.

Shavawn Donoghue

Antarctic Climate & Ecosystem Cooperative Research Centre, Hobart, Australia; Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia

Evelyn K. Dowdeswell

Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, U.K.; Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

Julian A. Dowdeswell

Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

John Dwyer

Landsat Data Continuity Mission Ground System, U.S. Geological Survey, Earth Resources Observation & Science Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, U.S.A.

Benjamin Edwards

Department of Earth Sciences, Dickinson College, Carlisle, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Boris Flach

Department of Geography, University of Zurich Irchel, Zurich, Switzerland

Andrew G. Fountain

Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.

Regula Frauenfelder

Norwegian Geotechnical Institute, Oslo, Norway

Roberto Furfaro

Department of Systems & Industrial Engineering and Department of Aerospace & Mechanical Engineering, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A.

Alex S. Gardner

Graduate School of Geography, Clark University, Worcester, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

Isabelle Gärtner-Roer

World Glacier Monitoring Service, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Bruce A. Giffen

Alaska Regional Office, National Park Service, Anchorage, Alaska, U.S.A.

Alan R. Gillespie

Department of Earth & Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.

GUO Wangin

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Wilfried Haeberli

World Glacier Monitoring Service, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Dana Haggarty

Parks Canada—Nahanni National Park and Reserve, Fort Simpson, Northwest Territories, Canada

Dorothy K. Hall

Cryospheric Sciences Branch, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A.

Gordon S. Hamilton

Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, U.S.A.

Umesh K. Haritashya

Department of Geology, University of Dayton, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.

Jon Endre Hausberg

Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate, Oslo, Norway

Torborg Heid

Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo, Norway

Martin Hoelzle

World Glacier Monitoring Service, Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

Matthew J. Hoffmann

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, U.S.A.

Christian Huggel

Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Angelika Humbert

Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

Ricardo Jaña

Instituto Antártico Chileno, Punta Arenas, Chile; Fundación Centro de Estudios del Cuaternario, Punta Arenas, Chile

JIANG Zongli

Hunan University of Science and Technology, Hunan, China

Hester Jiskoot

Department of Geography, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

Andreas Kääb

Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo, Norway (kaeaeb@geo.uio.no)

Ulrich Kamp

Department of Geography, The University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, U.S.A.

Jeffrey S. Kargel

Department of Hydrology & Water Resources, College of Science, School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, The University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A.: National Snow & Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. (kargel@hwr.arizona.edu)

Siri Jodha S. Khalsa

National Snow & Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, U.S.A.

Hugh H. Kieffer

Celestial Reasonings, Genoa, Nevada, U.S.A.

Joni L. Kincaid

Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.

Andrew Klein

Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.

Jack Kohler

Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Center, Tromsø, Norway

Max König

Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Center, Tromsø, Norway

Vladimir Konovalov

Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Brandon S. Krumwiede

Department of Geography, The University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, U.S.A.

Anil V. Kulkarni

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Chris F. Larsen

Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, U.S.A.

Gregory J. Leonard

Department of Hydrology & Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A. (gleonard@email.arizona.edu)

LI Xin

Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

LI Zhen

Center for Earth Observation & Digital Earth, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Javier Lillo

Natural Área de Geología—ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

LIU Shivin

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Ivan Lopez

Natural Área de Geología—ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

Thomas Loriaux

Centro de Estudios Científicos, Valdivia, Chile

LU Anxin

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Douglas Mair

School of Geosciences—Geography & Environment, University of Aberdeen, Scotland, U.K.

Sebastián Marinsek

División Glaciología, Instituto Antártico Argentino, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Sandro Martinis

German Aerospace Center (DLR), German Remote Sensing Data Center, Munich, Germany

Brian P. Menounos

Natural Resources & Environmental Studies Institute, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada

Geir Moholdt

Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, U.S.A.

Bruce F. Molnia

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, U.S.A.

Pradeep Mool

International Center for Integrated Mountain Development, Kathmandu, Nepal

Ulrich Münzer

Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, Section Geology, University of Munich, Munich, Germany

Christopher Nuth

Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo, Norway

Frank Paul

World Glacier Monitoring Service, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland (frank.paul@geo.uzh.ch)

Massimo Pecci

Ente Italiano della Montagna, Roma, Italy

Rickard Pettersen

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

Paolo Picca

Dipartimento di Energetica, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy

Mark Pleasants

Department of Geology, University of Dayton, Dayton, Ohio, U.S.A.

Edward Pollock

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Alberto Previti

Laboratorio di Ingegneria Nucleare di Montecuccolino, Alma Mater Studiorum, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Duncan J. Quincey

School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, U.K.

Adina E. Racoviteanu

Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), Saint-Martin-d'Hères, Grenoble, France

Bhaskar Ramachandran

NASA EOS LP DAAC, U.S. Geological Survey, Earth Resources Observation & Science Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, U.S.A.

Roberto Ranzi

Department of Civil Engineering, Architecture, Land, Environment and Department of Mathematics, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

Bruce H. Raup

National Snow & Ice Data Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A. (braup@nsidc.org)

Andrés Rivera

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Valdivia, Chile; Departamento de Geografía, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile; Departamento de Geofísica, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

José Luis Rodríguez

Centro de Estudios Científicos, Valdivia, Chile; Universidad Católica de Temuco, Temuco, Chile; Fundación Huilo Huilo,Las Condes, Santiago, Chile

Rakhshan Roohi

CAEWRI—National Agricultural Research
Center, Islamabad, Pakistan;
Environmental Geoscience, Department of
Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Sciences,
Technology and Engineering, La Trobe University,
Victoria, Australia

Helmut Rott

Institute of Meteorology & Geophysics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

Mehmet Akif Sarıkaya

Department of Geography, Fatih University, Istanbul, Turkey; Eurasia Institute of Earth Science, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

Erik Schiefer

Department of Geography, Planning, & Recreation, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, U.S.A.

SHANGGUAN Donghui

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Martin Sharp

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Joseph M. Shea

Natural Resources & Environmental Studies Institute, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada

John F. Shroder, Jr.

Department of Geography & Geology, University of Nebraska-Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, U.S.A.

Oddur Sigurðsson

Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavík, Iceland

Walter Silverio

Institute for Environmental Science, Climate Change & Climate Impact Group, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Pedro Skvarca

Glaciarium, Museo del Hielo Patagónico, El Calafate, Argentina

Claudio Smiraglia

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

William A. Sneed

Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, U.S.A.

I. Sossna

Department of Geography, University of Jena, Jena, Germany

Leigh A. Stearns

Department of Geology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, U.S.A.

Ahmet Emre Tekeli

Civil Engineering Department, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; Civil Engineering Department, Zirve University, Gaziantep, Turkey; Remote Sensing Division, Turkish State Meteorological Service, Ankara, Turkey

Kristina Thorneykroft

Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.

Thierry Toutin

Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Michael Walther

MOLARE Research Center for Climate & Landscape Studies, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

Libo Wang

Climate Research Division, Atmospheric Science & Technology Directorate, Environment Canada, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

WANG Xin

School of Architecture & Urban Planning, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan, China

WEI Junfeng

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Brandon J. Weihs

Department of Geography, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, U.S.A.

Anja Wendt

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Valdivia, Chile

Roger D. Wheate

Natural Resources & Environmental Studies Institute, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, Canada

Richard S. Williams, Jr.

Woods Hole Research Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

Scott N. Williamson

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Cláudio Wilson Mendes Júnior

Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia da Criosfera, Laboratório de Monitoramento da Criosfera, Instituto de Ciências Humanas e da Informação, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande, Rio Grande, Brazil;

Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia da Criosfera, Centro Polar e Climático, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Philip Wilson

Parks Canada—Nahanni National Park & Reserve, Fort Simpson, Northwest Territories, Canada

Steve Wilson

Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, U.S.A.

David F.G. Wolfe

GLIMS Alaska Regional Center Steward, Anchorage, Alaska, U.S.A.

Gabriel J. Wolken

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

WU Lizong

Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Faye Wyatt

Department of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

XU Junli

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

YAO Xiaojun

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China; Geography & Environment College, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China

YE Baisheng

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Rodrigo Zamora

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Valdivia, Chile

Michael Zemp

World Glacier Monitoring Service, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Pablo Zenteno

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECs), Valdivia, Chile

ZHANG Shiqiang

State Key Laboratory of Cryosphere Sciences, Cold & Arid Regions of Environmental & Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Foreword

The origin and development of GLIMS (a personal perspective) by Hugh H. Kieffer, Celestial Reasonings, Genoa, Nevada

I was a young glaciologist once, working for Barkley Kamb and Ron Shreve on the Blue Glacier (WA, USA) and for Mario Giovinetto in Antarctica in the early 1960s. After a 25-year diversion to Mars and its polar caps, I returned to work with terrestrial spacecraft, and again turned my attention to glaciers. I was then at the Astrogeology Branch of the U.S. Geological Survey in Flagstaff, AZ.

In 1988, a group of us led by Anne Kahle (who eventually became the first U.S. ASTER Science Team Leader) proposed to NASA a combined infrared multi-band thermal mapper with an embedded spot infrared spectrometer. This instrument was not selected. However, our team was chosen to join with a team from Japan that would provide to NASA an instrument combining three imaging subsystems: a 3-band visible and near-IR (VNIR) 15 m resolution subsystem with 1-band stereo, a 6-band short-wave IR (SWIR) subsystem with 30 m resolution, and a 5-band thermal-IR (TIR) subsystem with 90 m resolution. All of the US team members had to write proposals for what science they would do with this huge instrument; two of my objectives were Monitoring polar outflow-glacier velocities, and Observations of glacier advance or retreat. This instrument became ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer) on the first EOS platform, Terra, launched in December 1999. An important capability was that the VNIR subsystem could point 24° to either side, allowing imaging to 85° latitude, considerably increasing coverage of the trans-Antarctic mountain range beyond that

accessible with the Landsat satellites. Although the SWIR subsystem ceased operation in 2008, the VNIR and TIR subsystems continue to function in their old age.

The VNIR color could easily distinguish ice and snow from rock and vegetation. The SWIR allowed estimation of grain size and clear distinction of snow from ice, and the TIR could measure surface temperature. Not recognized at that time was the ability to identify sediment load in glacier-surface pools, the ability of stereoscopic imaging to produce DEMs that can help to discern changes in glacier thickness in optimum circumstances, multitemporal imaging that can be used not just to map glacier flow speeds but areas of accelerating and decelerating ice, and VNIR data that can be used to assess growth of vegetation on recently emplaced glacial deposits.

The ASTER VNIR instrument was clearly capable of supporting a 15 m resolution inventory of the world's glaciers; however, a few political and technical steps were involved, each a challenge: (1) getting acceptance of glacier monitoring as an objective of the bi-national ASTER investigation; (2) establishing a method of efficiently scheduling ASTER observations of glaciers; (3) curating the resulting imagery and extracting the glacier information.

The first was accomplished by presentations at the ASTER Science Team meetings, held twice per year. However, the activity needed a name. Having regretted for decades selection of an unpronounceable acronym for an instrument, I insisted that the initials for the name of our dream be a pronounceable acronym, and eventually we settled on GLIMS (Global Land Ice Measurements from Space). *Glim* is an archaic Scottish term that means "a passing look; a glimpse; as much as is seen at a glance," and that seemed appropriate, given our understanding of the effect of global warming.

The second step required considerable iteration with the ASTER group in Japan that was developing the command dictionary and the massive ground data system; the huge scope derived from the ASTER objective to cover all land area on Earth with 15 m stereo with daytime coverage, and much of it at night. GLIMS hoped for annual, non-cloudy coverage in late summer for mountain glaciers and well-lit margins of the polar ice sheets. Anticipating significant cloud cover over glaciers, successful acquisition would depend to some extent on automated rescheduling if images were classified as cloudy. However, we did not expect that the automated cloud coverage algorithm would work well over glaciers, and indeed clouds have remained a challenge. GLIMS did not initially target the interiors of ice sheets.

While the first two steps could be done by a few people, the third could only be accomplished by a large organization, preferably involving people familiar with the glaciers and terrain. From this came the concept of Regional Centers (RCs) and Stewards. RCs were intended to have both data analysis and organizational responsibilities. My experience in large organizations led me to believe that organizations with large "fan-out" factors were difficult to manage; hence, we initially envisioned about a dozen RCs covering geographically contiguous regions. The number has since grown to about 30. RCs could involve as many Stewards as they wished, with a Steward having responsibility for a sub-section of the region, down to an individual glacier. The key concept was that the GLIMS leadership did not have any direct interface responsibility with Stewards; Stewards received guidance from and delivered their products to the RCs. The RCs were responsible for initial quality checks on the derived glacier information for their region, including material from their Stewards. A list of the current RCs and Stewards is at http:// www.glims.org/glims/nsidc rc table public.php

The new GLIMS group would complement two major existing activities; the venerable World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS) (1894+) with emphasis on precision field measurements of several hundred glaciers, and the multi-volume USGS

Satellite Image Atlas of Glaciers of the World (Williams and Ferrigno, 1988) with comprehensive discussion but lacking a digital database.

In June 1994, while on a personal trip to Austria and Switzerland, I visited Michael Kuhn at the University of Innsbruck and Wilfried Haeberli at ETH Zurich to present the concept of satellitebased glacier monitoring. My reception was polite, but it took many discussions and years of slowly converging interests until GLIMS and WGMS eventually became closely integrated. Today GLIMS, WGMS, and the U.S. National Snow and Ice Data Center constitute the Global Terrestrial Network for Glaciers (GTN-G, http:// www.gtn-g.org). Although ground-based observations had been made for decades to centuries, the total number of glaciers monitored was small. When glacier terminus position change was considered in light of the local climate, as in the seminal paper by J. Oerlemans (1994), a consistent warming rate emerged. However, a globally comprehensive approach could better define regional variations, help assess the role of glacier response time on measured variability, and separate short-term effects from the secular influence of climate change,

On August 9, 1994, at the IGS International Symposium on the Role of the Cryosphere in Global Change held at Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A., Jeff Kargel and I made the first open presentation of the GLIMS concept. Fifteen years later, GLIMS had a major role in the world's integrated approach to monitoring glaciers; see http://www.fao.org/gtos/ doc/ECVs/T06/T06.pdf (2009). GLIMS was started on adrenaline at the USGS in Flagstaff; the only funding was through my team membership on ASTER. Over two years the effort grew to a maximum of about two funded positions. There was a tiny fraction of myself; a fraction of Jeff Kargel, who was a planetary geomorphologist and geochemist, then working on Martian glacial geomorphology and Earth analogs; most of Bruce Raup (see below), who had some other ASTER tasks; and most of Rick Wessels, a remote-sensing volcanologist. All but me are still involved in GLIMS!

In the summer of 1995 I emailed to many colleagues an announcement of a position for someone with an engineering background (so they would be familiar with the construction and capabilities of the ASTER instrument), who could work on development of software for measurements of glacier flow and would be skillful in interacting with the Japanese ASTER Team. Astoundingly, I had an applicant—Bruce Raup—with a B.S. degree in

engineering physics who had worked for a Japanese engineering firm, had just finished a M.S. thesis in finite-element modeling of glacier flow, and was fluent in several computer languages as well as written and spoken Japanese (having studied in Japan for two years). Bruce worked in my group for four years and is still a prominent figure in GLIMS.

While Jeff and I worked on establishing the GLIMS organization and defining measurement approaches, Bruce and Rick developed the concepts and software for scheduling ASTER coverage and processing the images into a glacier outline database.

The technical objective was to make full use of modern satellite and computer capabilities. Because of the initial funding source, the data-handling activity concentrated on ASTER. However, our plans had an eye toward later inclusion of data from other instruments, both similar to ASTER (e.g., the Landsat series) and other techniques such as interferometric radar and laser altimetry.

The data formats and data-flow process were refined by extensive interaction with interested glaciologists and database technicians. Once the GLIMS organizational structure and initial Regional Centers were established, development and feedback on the technical aspects progressed rapidly.

Key concepts related to data extraction and storage were: (1) All the products must be fully digital and in a consistent format. (2) The format must support automated comparisons over time to quantify change. (3) The database should be available to anyone.

A corollary was a requirement for uniform quality control on the material entering the GLIMS database. With an objective of addressing the estimated 170,000 glaciers, the accurate extraction of margins, termini, divides, source areas, and other attributes needed support of powerful and reliable software. Bruce Raup has led the integration of these requirements into the development of GLIMSView, and most importantly, the digital glacier database (initially developed at USGS, but now hosted by the National Snow and Ice Data Center).

In the 1990s, the environment for acceptance of GLIMS was marginal. Global warming was not widely accepted (at least in the U.S., and certainly not in Washington, D.C.); the relation of glaciers to climate was conjectural (doubters would cite the opposing behavior of adjacent glaciers in Alaska, for example); most glaciologists worked in the field,

but not with remote sensing; the emphasis was on mass balance (very difficult then to measure remotely), not terminus positions; the WGMS concentrated on a modest number of glaciers that were intensely studied; regional inventory activity was largely outlines on analog maps (e.g., Canada and the eastern Himalaya). At that time, GLIMS was conceived mainly around terminus and area fluctuations, believing then that we could not remotely sense changes in glacier thickness (not from ASTER, at least).

It is challenging to obtain funding for an activity that crosses agency lines, and at that time it seemed that we needed several agencies to provide the needed financial support. This was certainly the case for GLIMS, with NASA responsible for ASTER and other EOS instruments, NOAA addressing climate monitoring, the USGS with a small glacier-monitoring program and home of the GLIMS principals, and the National Science Foundation that supported much of the basic climate research. Commonly in a discussion with one of these, they would say, "but this is agency X's area". To address this ubiquitous redirection, I arranged a joint meeting with representatives of NASA, NOAA, USGS, and NSF. This meeting raised awareness of the GLIMS objectives and the inter-agency interests, but did not immediately generate fiscal support. GLIMS toddled along on ASTER team-member funding for nearly a decade. There was, however, a general interest in the science community and we began organization of the RCs, with a mutual faith that our various governments and institutions would ultimately recognize the importance and efficacy of global coordination. The early and continued involvement of Andy Kääb, Michael Bishop, and others provided inspiration, perspective, and technical prowess, and some essential humor.

GLIMS international organization also faced serious challenges due to political dividing lines. The original concept was for a physical geographic division of regional centers. However, the case of the Alps proved an initial organizational challenge, where each participating nation had its own funding agencies, and each nation had its own significant history of interest in its glaciers dating decades (or more than a century) before GLIMS. The European Union had just been formed (November 1, 1993) and this predated the Euro-zone (January 1, 1999). This "first among equals" situation was resolved by the willingness of an Italian hydrologist fluent in French and German who was working in

Switzerland, Paolo Burlando. However, eventually this arrangement dissolved, and separate regional centers were defined for each Alpine nation. Similarly, other challenges loomed for Scandinavia, the Himalayan region, and others. Some were successfully integrated across national borders, but most were not.

GLIMS workshops began in 1999 and were held about once per year, commonly in conjunction with IGS or other scientific society meetings, and intentionally geographically diverse (a list is at http://www.glims.org/Workshops/).

Since my retirement in 2003, GLIMS activity has flourished. Database, quality control, and technical interaction with the RCs has been driven mainly by NSIDC (University of Colorado), with NASA funding to Richard Armstrong. Some algorithm development and applications, and RC and workshop organization has taken place at the University of Arizona, where Kargel has operated with NASA ASTER Science Team support since 2005. Other NASA funding has supported several other U.S. GLIMS investigators; foreign regional centers are

supported by their own, mainly national, funding sources. The Regional Centers (together with their Stewards) remain the main source of glacier analysis, as well as a prodigious family of innovators for GLIMS.

The scientific value of GLIMS has become widely recognized. I am pleased to have been part of the origin of GLIMS and gratified by its growth, which is due to the efforts of many dedicated people around the world. If only the glaciers could do so well....

Thus endeth my story; all the rest is in the pages that follow.

REFERENCES

Oerlemans, J. (1994) Quantifying global warming from the retreat of glaciers. *Science*, **264**, 243–245.

Williams, R.S., Jr., and Ferrigno, J.G. (Eds.) (1988+), Satellite Images Atlas of Glaciers of the Work (USGS Prof. Paper 1386, 11 volumes, A–K). Available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/p1386/

Acknowledgments

First, the authors are grateful to the global glaciology research community for their cooperative spirit that has made GLIMS a successful project. We also thank many people who have been important in supporting GLIMS including those at ASTER Mission Operations, those helping to bring this book project through to completion, or in otherwise lending support to GLIMS through the years. At Praxis and Springer we are grateful to Philippe Blondel, Clive Horwood, Janet Sterritt, and Neil Shuttlewood for their guidance and dedication to this book project. Thanks also to Jim Wilkie for producing a spectacular cover design. We greatly appreciate the work of Kate Taralova for creating a wiki site that was crucial early in the book project. We wish to acknowledge Tom Wagner, Jared Entin, Waleed Abdalati, Seelye Martin, Cerese Albers, Dan Irwin, Ashutosh Limaye, Nancy Searby, and Woody Turner at NASA for having provided a support base and ASTER data access; and Michael Abrams, Leon Maldonado, Anne Kahle at NASA/JPL on ASTER Mission Operations; and Yasushi Yamaguchi, Hiroyuki Fujisada, Kohei Arai, Isao Sato on the Japan side of the U.S.-Japan Terra/ASTER program. We are grateful as well to ESA for having supported GLIMS through the GlobGlacier, SPOT-IPY, and other programs; and to NASA, ESA, ISRO, and other space agencies for a long succession of capable, productive, and essential Earth-observing satellites; and to USGS for having made possible the inception of GLIMS 20 years ago.

Jeff Kargel thanks NASA, USAID, and the peer community and taxpayers for having sustained Jeff's work in GLIMS through a succession of grants through the NASA IPY, Science of Terra and Aqua, SERVIR Applied Sciences Team, and Climbers' Science programs. Specifically, Jeff thanks Lana Lightle, Michael Cote, and Alton Byers with the USAID Climbers' Science Program for their enthusiastic support of field-based research. He also extends gratitude to Rick Wessels, who was important in the early operational phase of GLIMS, and particularly Greg Leonard who has served the GLIMS project so well for the past five years. Jeff also thanks his family, including nowgrown children, and his wife Huong ("Bé"), for her enduring patience and support. Jeff recognizes that the positive impact that the editors can hope to have on the world begins with the positive impact our families have on us.

Gregory Leonard is highly appreciative for the support of GLIMS work he has received through a series of grants from NASA and USAID that includes the NASA IPY, the Science of Terra and Aqua, SERVIR Applied Sciences Team, and the Climber's Science programs. He is incredibly thankful to his coeditors, Michael Bishop, Andy Kääb, Bruce Raup, and especially Jeff Kargel, all of whom continue to inspire him to perceive glaciers in new ways. Greg is most grateful for the support of his family and friends who also believe with him in the importance of scientific investigation, and share in the joy of exploring the natural world.

Michael Bishop thanks NASA, Hugh Kieffer, and Jeff Kargel for establishing the GLIMS project. He would also like to thank the individuals who assisted in the analysis of data for studying the glaciers in Afghanistan and Pakistan. Special thanks are extended to Gregory Leonard, Andrew B.G. Bush, Umesh Haritashya, Jeffrey Olsenholler, and John F. Shroder, Jr. Furthermore, thanks are extended to his family for enduring the Friday afternoon teleconferences for years and years and years.

Andreas Kääb expresses gratitude to ESA for support on the GLIMS and related glacier monitoring projects through the GlobGlacier and Glaciers_cci contracts, and to the European Research Council through its Advanced Grant. Without Wilfried Haeberli, Hugh Kieffer and Jeff Kargel, Andy

would not have found his way into satellite-based glacier monitoring. Special thanks from Andy go to his wife Regula and the children for their emotional support and patience with the book project.

Bruce Raup is grateful to NASA for several grants, including from the REASON and ACCESS programs. Many people at NSIDC have been extremely helpful and supportive. Richard Armstrong, in particular, has been the strongest champion for GLIMS and PI on most of the GLIMS-related grants. Bruce is also thankful to the NSIDC Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) for picking up the GLIMS Glacier Database for their data portfolio. Lastly, Bruce is thankful for the support and patience of his family.

Online supplemental material

The Online Supplemental materials listed below are arranged by chapter and number of supplements per chapter. These materials represent: (i) full figures, figure panels, and plots from the chapters that can be viewed as higher resolution files or otherwise as larger images to observe details that might be obscured or difficult to discern in the book, (ii) additional material to support analysis and conclusions reported within the chapters, (iii) additional analyses that expand upon research presented within the chapter. With the exception of figures and plots that appear in the chapters of this book, all other auxillary supplemental material is not peer reviewed.

Chapter	No. of online supplements
01—Introduction: Global glacier monitoring	3
02—Foundations of glacier remote sensing	0
03—Radiative transfer modeling in the cryosphere	8
04—Multispectral glacier mapping	10
05—Digital terrain and glacier topographic modeling	3
06—ASTER datasets for glacier monitoring	1
07—Quality in the GLIMS Database	6
08—Greenland	3
09—Canadian Arctic	7

Chapter	No. of online supplements
10—Svalbard Glacier Database	5
11—Alaska: Southwest glaciers	6
12—Alaska: Glacier-dammed lakes	14
13—Alaska: Chugach glaciers and lakes	22
14—Canadian Cordillera	6
15—Hoodoo Mountain, British Columbia, Canada	8
16—Nahanni National Park Reserve, Northwest Territories, Canada	4
17—U.S. Cordillera	10
18—Iceland	1
19—Norway	2