

M. Nasir Khan
Mohammad Mobin
Firoz Mohammad
Francisco J. Corpas *Editors*

Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants

 Springer

Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants

M. Nasir Khan · Mohammad Mobin
Firoz Mohammad · Francisco J. Corpas
Editors

Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants

 Springer

Editors

M. Nasir Khan
Faculty of Science, Department of Biology
University of Tabuk
Tabuk
Saudi Arabia

Firoz Mohammad
Department of Botany
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh
India

Mohammad Mobin
Faculty of Science, Department of Biology
University of Tabuk
Tabuk
Saudi Arabia

Francisco J. Corpas
Departamento de Bioquímica Biol.
Cellular y Molecular de Plantas
Estación Experimental del Zaidín
Granada
Spain

ISBN 978-3-319-17803-5

ISBN 978-3-319-17804-2 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-17804-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015936465

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Foreword

Plants are essential to life on Earth, and they have been harnessed by humans for food, fuel, and many other purposes. The need to increase crop production is becoming more urgent due to increasing population and diversion of crops to biofuels production. Furthermore, this increase in production needs to be done sustainably, with reduced inputs, and in the face of global environmental change. It is also notable that at least one-third of the world's food production is grown under irrigation—much of this irrigation is unsustainable, using water supplies that are both overexploited and under threat from changing weather patterns resulting from global climate change. To meet the consensus targets for increased food production by 2050, significant increases in historical annual increases in production are required, which requires substantial innovations in agricultural production. Many of these innovations must ultimately come from, among other sources, plant science research. One important and relevant area of this plant science research is covered in-depth in this book.

The gap between potential yield and actual yield is primarily due to the effects of abiotic stresses on crop production. It is therefore an imperative to improve our ability to maintain crop production in environments with suboptimal conditions such as low water or nutrient supplies, or high salinity. One of the key components of plant responses to these abiotic stresses is nitric oxide (NO). Understanding the role of NO in the control of plant reactions provides fundamental information to underpin the much-needed innovations to come in global food production.

As such, the book edited by Drs. Khan, Mobin, Mohammad, and Corpas provides a useful and timely compilation of up-to-date overviews of advances in the important area of plant sciences, “Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants.” In this volume, a range of papers have been brought together which address the multifarious roles of NO in plant function and the responses of plants to many abiotic stresses. The breadth of areas covered in this volume highlights well the importance of this simple molecule and thus the utility of this compilation. The highly international set of contributors, including many from developing countries, is also both valuable and encouraging.

The editors and contributors are to be congratulated on their efforts, and readers are commended to use this volume for a long time to come, for both teaching and research.

February 2015

Mark Tester
KAUST
Thuwal
Saudi Arabia

Preface

Nitric oxide (NO), a small gaseous signaling molecule, has attracted huge interest during recent times. The journey of nitric oxide, which started as a harmful air pollutant to the molecule of the year in 1992, has been both interesting and arduous. The discoveries of the enzymes that produce NO with NADPH and L-arginine have altogether shifted the NO paradigm from being a toxic air pollutant to a prolific signaling molecule. Now it is acclaimed to be involved in the modulation of various physiological processes during the entire life of the plant. Several studies have revealed the involvement of NO as a control signal during various abiotic stress responses, including salinity and osmotic stress, temperature, UV light stress, and anoxia. Enhanced NO production by plant tissue has been noted in response to various abiotic stresses. Recent developments in the field of NO biology in plants have indicated that NO closely interacts with several signaling molecules linked with plant adaptive stress responses, such as ABA, cytosolic Ca^{2+} , and H_2O_2 .

Abiotic stresses, such as drought, flood, problem soils (salinity and alkalinity), extreme temperatures, and heavy metal toxicity, impose a serious threat to sustainable agricultural production. The production of reactive oxygen species is a unifying commonality in a large number of abiotic stresses. NO interacts with ROS in various ways and might serve as an antioxidant during various stresses. Modulation by NO of superoxide formation and inhibition of lipid peroxidation also illustrates its potential antioxidant role.

This book “Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants” is a collection of 15 chapters and is divided into two parts. Part I of the book comprises Chaps. 1–4, which vividly describes the properties of NO and gives an au courant information available on the mitigating effect of NO in stress-induced alterations in plants. Part II, which includes Chaps. 5–15, mainly focuses on the role of NO in environmental- and soil-related abiotic stresses including postharvest stress and wounding.

Chapter 1 describes the current recognition of the roles of reactive nitrogen species such as peroxynitrite or S-nitrosothiols in the plant metabolism under both physiological and stress conditions as these molecules are known to react with a

wide spectrum of biomolecules and may act as a transporter and reservoirs for NO in a broad range of plant cell signaling affairs.

Chapter 2 elucidates the significance of nitric oxide as phytohormone and its involvement in alleviation of various abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, heavy metal stress, high or low temperature stress, and ultraviolet radiation.

Chapter 3 illustrates the antioxidant role of NO and its ability to maintain the cellular redox homeostasis and regulate the toxicities of oxidative stress-induced ROS. Chapter 4 manifests the involvement of nitric oxide in stress-induced morphogenic response (SIMRs). However, the precise nature of involvement of nitric oxide in SIMRs must await clarifications from the likely complex interactions among NO, auxin, and possibly with other plant hormones and reactive oxygen species.

Chapter 5 delves into the current understanding of the involvement of NO in high temperature stress and in the mechanism of thermotolerance. This chapter also highlights the significance of nitric oxide, abscisic acid, H_2O_2 , Ca^{2+} , and calmodulin (CaM), as signaling cues in heat stress response in plants.

Chapter 6 highlights the physiological and molecular basis of plant adaptive response to drought regulated by the NO. The chapter discusses how NO aids the regulation of the antioxidative systems, stomatal closure, and adventitious root formation under drought conditions. Additionally, the involvement of NO in plant acclimation to water deficit by activating stress defense genes via post-translational modifications is also elucidated.

Chapter 7 emphasizes the function of plant hemoglobin with particular emphasis on nonsymbiotic hemoglobin and their role in hypoxic tolerance in plants and the involvement of NO. It has also been postulated that class 1 nonsymbiotic hemoglobin (nsHb-1s) possibly acts as NO dioxygenase in the nsHb/NO cycle which consumes NADH and maintains ATP levels via an as yet unknown mechanism.

Chapter 8 provides a synthetic view of our current knowledge on the biology of NO in the context of plant response to low temperature. This includes the specific features of NO production in cold-stressed plants and the functions it may undertake during cold acclimation. A particular attention is paid to the involvement of cold-induced NO in the regulation of cold-responsive gene expression.

Chapter 9 addresses the cytoskeleton-related nitric oxide signaling events under ultraviolet-B (UV-B) exposure. The putative biochemical mechanisms of the protective effects of exogenous NO donors on plant cells and the input of NO-synthase-like activities and nitrate reductase under UV-B exposure are also highlighted.

Chapter 10 describes the effect of transition metal stress on endogenous NO level and how it alters the cellular and metabolic responses. The biological actions of NO and its derivatives exerted through the binding to transition metals of metalloproteins and covalent modifications of cysteine and tyrosine residues. Furthermore, it also examines the effect of exogenous application of NO on transition metal stress responses.

Chapter 11 presents an overview of the current knowledge on the involvement of NO in plant response to nutritional stress, with special emphasis on salinity, calcium and iron homeostasis, and heavy metal stress.

Chapter 12 reviews the effects of heavy metals (HMs) on endogenous NO content. It also provides insight into the enzymatic and nonenzymatic pathways of nitric oxide generation. In addition, the role of exogenous-applied NO in alleviating HMs toxicity is summarized and discussed.

Chapter 13 underlines the current state of the art of the physiological and molecular aspects of NaCl-induced NO signaling in plants and discusses the roles of endogenous and exogenous NO in NaCl toxicity and salt tolerance mechanisms. Particular attention is paid to the role of NO and NO-induced protein modifications in the activation of specific steps of PCD during salt stress.

Chapter 14 illustrates the prospects of managing postharvest handling of horticultural produce by exogenous application of NO. The chapter portrays the beneficial effects of exogenous NO application in lowering the ethylene level, ion leakage, and lipid peroxidation and enhancing natural antioxidant defense systems. It suggests that postharvest application of NO may be a potential new technology to reduce losses in horticultural produce during handling and marketing.

Chapter 15 contrives the current knowledge on the possible role of nitric oxide as a modulator in response to wounding. Furthermore, it is elucidated the involvement of extracellular ATP (eATP) and NO in a complex sequence of events occurring downstream wounding in plants. A brief discussion of the interplay between ROS/RNS and Ca^{2+} as counterpart signal molecules is also presented.

We wish to express profound appreciation to our experienced and well-versed contributors, who cordially accepted our invitation to write their chapters. Nonetheless, we would like to thank Springer Science+Business Media, Heidelberg, especially Dr. Christina Eckey, Editor, Plant Sciences and Dr. Andrea Schlitzberger, Project Coordinator for their professional support and cooperation during the preparation of the manuscript.

Tabuk, Saudi Arabia
Tabuk, Saudi Arabia
Aligarh, India
Granada, Spain

M. Nasir Khan
Mohammad Mobin
Firoz Mohammad
Francisco J. Corpas

Contents

Part I Nitric Oxide: Properties and Functional Role

- 1 Reactive Nitrogen Species and Nitric Oxide 3**
Dagmar Procházková, Nad'a Wilhelmová and Milan Pavlík
- 2 Functional Role of Nitric Oxide Under Abiotic Stress Conditions . . . 21**
Mehmet Tufan Oz, Fusun Eyidogan, Meral Yucel
and Hüseyin Avni Öktem
- 3 Nitric Oxide and Abiotic Stress-Induced Oxidative Stress 43**
Sunita Sheokand and Anita Kumari
- 4 Regulatory Role of Nitric Oxide in Alterations
of Morphological Features of Plants Under Abiotic Stress 65**
David W.M. Leung

Part II Nitric Oxide and Plant Adaptation to Abiotic Stresses

- 5 Nitric Oxide and High Temperature Stress:
A Physiological Perspective 77**
M. Nasir Khan, Mohammad Mobin and Zahid Khorshid Abbas
- 6 Nitric Oxide in Drought Stress Signalling and Tolerance in Plants . . . 95**
Shivam Sidana, Jayakumar Bose, Lana Shabala and Sergey Shabala
- 7 Nitric Oxide and Plant Hemoglobins Improve the Tolerance
of Plants to Hypoxia 115**
Christos Dordas

8 Nitric Oxide as a Mediator of Cold Stress Response: A Transcriptional Point of View	129
Emmanuel Baudouin and Sylvain Jeandroz	
9 Nitric Oxide and UV-B Radiation	141
Alla I. Yemets, Yuliya A. Krasylenko and Yaroslav B. Blume	
10 Nitric Oxide Impact on Plant Adaptation to Transition Metal Stress	155
Mohammad Mobin, M. Nasir Khan and Zahid Khorshid Abbas	
11 Nitric Oxide Action in the Improvement of Plant Tolerance to Nutritional Stress	169
Vasileios Ziogas and Athanassios Molassiotis	
12 Role of Nitric Oxide in Heavy Metal Stress	181
R. Cerana and M. Malerba	
13 Role of Nitric Oxide in Salt Stress-induced Programmed Cell Death and Defense Mechanisms	193
Péter Poór, Gábor Laskay and Irma Tari	
14 Nitric Oxide and Postharvest Stress of Fruits, Vegetables and Ornamentals	221
R.B.H. Wills, P. Pristijono and J.B. Golding	
15 Insights into the Participation of Nitric Oxide and Extra Cellular ATP in Wounding	239
Claudia A. Casalongué, Diego F. Fiol, Sebastián D'Ippólito, Claudia Tonón and Ramiro París	
Index	249

Part I
Nitric Oxide: Properties and Functional
Role

Chapter 1

Reactive Nitrogen Species and Nitric Oxide

Dagmar Procházková, Nad'a Wilhelmová and Milan Pavlík

Abstract Free radical nitric oxide (NO) is a biological messenger with diverse functions in plant physiology, including in stress physiology. Together with NO, related molecules called reactive nitrogen species (RNS), e.g. peroxynitrite or *S*-nitrosothiols, are associated with plant metabolism under both physiological and stress conditions. These molecules are able to react with wide spectrum of biomolecules, and they may act as a transporters and reservoirs for NO in a broad range of plant cell signalling affairs. It is possible that some of these reactions, nitration and *S*-nitrosylation, have the same importance as phosphorylation. In this chapter, the current recognition of both the properties, chemical reactions and physiological roles of NO and reactive nitrogen species in plants is reviewed.

Keywords Nitration · Nitrosothiols · Peroxynitrite · *S*-nitrosylation

1.1 Introduction

Originally, nitric oxide (NO) was recognized as a component of environmentally polluting NO_x complex (NO₂ and NO) from combustion processes such as fossil fuel burning or automobile combustion engines. Surprisingly, in 1998, the Nobel Assembly awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine and Physiology to Robert Furchgott, Louis Ignarro and Ferid Murad for their discoveries concerning NO as a signalling molecule in the cardiovascular system. Since then, NO has become one of the most vigorously researched molecules of biological chemistry. Now, it is well known that this bioactive molecule is involved in many animal physiological processes, such as vasorelaxation, platelet inhibition, neurotransmission,

D. Procházková (✉) · N. Wilhelmová · M. Pavlík
Institute of Experimental Botany, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,
Rozvojová 263, Praha 6, Czech Republic
e-mail: prochazkovad@ueb.cas.cz

cytotoxicity, smooth muscle contraction and relaxation, egg fertilization, immunoregulation and apoptosis (Schmidt and Walter 1994; Stamler 1994; Jeffrey and Snyder 1995; Lloyd-Jones and Bloch 1996; Wink and Mitchell 1998; Gonzales-Zulueta et al. 2000; Hess et al. 2005; Siddiqui et al. 2011).

With the finding of these roles of NO in animal cells, various studies have reported its presence in the plant kingdom and its diverse function in plant cells. As the list of physiological functions of NO has been growing, it has become evident that our knowledge of the pathways and molecular mechanisms responsible for NO effects is poorly understood (Astier et al. 2012). In addition, the term reactive nitrogen species (RNS) was introduced to designate other NO-related molecules, such as *S*-nitrosothiols, *S*-nitrosoglutathione, peroxynitrite, among others, which have relevant roles in multiple physiological processes in animal and plant cells (Halliwell and Gutteridge 2007). With the aim of promoting interest of plant biologists in NO, the following chapter will endeavour to summarize current recognition of both properties and physiological roles of NO and RNS in plants.

1.2 Nitric Oxide

1.2.1 Properties of Nitric Oxide

NO or nitrogen monoxide (systematic name) is a gaseous free radical, existing—due to its ability to adopt an energetically more favourable electron structure either by gaining or by losing an electron—in three redox-related species: nitric oxide radical (NO \cdot), nitrosonium cation (NO $^+$) and nitroxyl anion (NO $^-$) (Stamler et al. 1992; Wojtaszek 2000). It is one of the smallest diatomic molecules which contains an unpaired electron in its π_2 orbital but remains uncharged. It is soluble in water (0.047 cm 3 /cm 3 H $_2$ O at 20 °C, 1 atm), with increasing solubility in the presence of ferrous salts (Anderson and Mansfield 1979; Neill et al. 2003). NO may not only easily migrate in the hydrophilic regions of the cell, such as the cytoplasm, but also due to its lipophilic character also freely diffuse through the lipid phase of membranes without the aid of specific membrane transporters (Arasimowicz and Floryszak-Wieczorek 2007) at a rate of 50 μ m per second (Corpas et al. 2010).

Being the reactive free radical, it has a relatively short half-life which is estimated to be <6 s (Bethke et al. 2004). However, its half-life is dependent on many factors. For example, at low concentrations (<1 μ mol l $^{-1}$), NO can have half-life of minutes to hours and could thus diffuse over several cell layers or over longer distances in intercellular spaces. At higher concentrations, NO has shorter half-life, in order of seconds (Henry et al. 1997). In addition, NO half-life depends on the local concentrations of its targets, i.e. oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, proteins,

haemoproteins, bound iron and copper, cysteine, and ascorbic acid (Stöhr and Ullrich 2002).

Due to its instable nature, NO has a very rich chemistry (Mengel et al. 2013). It reacts with molecules, which are likely to be produced temporally and spatially alongside NO (Neill et al. 2003): for example, very well documented is a simultaneous generation of NO and superoxide radical (O_2^-) in plant peroxisomes, mitochondria and chloroplasts (Corpas et al. 2001; del Río et al. 2006; Jasid et al. 2006; Blokhina and Fagerstedt 2010).

1.2.2 Various Roles of NO in Plant Physiology

NO first came to prominence within the context of plant defence regulation during plant–pathogen interactions (Delledonne et al. 1998; Durner et al. 1998). Now, it is well known that NO is involved in the stimulation of seed (Beligni and Lamattina 2000) and pollen (Šírová et al. 2011) germination, modulation of plant growth and development (Durner and Klessig 1999), regulation of cell elongation during primary root growth (Fernández-Marcos et al. 2012), plant maturation and senescence (Leshem et al. 1998; Guo and Crawford 2005; Wilhelmová et al. 2006), floral regulation (He et al. 2004), mediation of stomatal movement (García-Mata and Lamattina 2001; Neill et al. 2002; Guo et al. 2003; Desikan et al. 2004; Bright et al. 2006), gravitropism (Hu et al. 2005), mitochondria functionality (Zottini et al. 2002), photosynthesis regulation (Takahashi and Yamasaki 2002) or involvement of light-mediated greening (Zhang et al. 2006a). In addition, NO is involved in responses to various stresses, such as drought, salt, and heat stresses, risk element stress, disease resistance and apoptosis (Durner and Klessig 1999; García-Mata and Lamattina 2002; Zhao et al. 2004, 2007; Zhang et al. 2006b; Procházková et al. 2012). In addition, NO plays an important role in symbiotic organisms, particularly between legumes and *Sinorhizobium* (Baudouin et al. 2006). NO also acts as a regulator of gene expression at the transcriptional-level regulation of disease resistance processes (Polverari et al. 2003) and the expression of stress-related transcription factors and signalling-related kinases (Parani et al. 2004), and by the interaction with other signalling molecules such as salicylic acid and jasmonic acid (Grün et al. 2006; Lozano-Juste et al. 2011).

Recently, the differential intracellular role of NO has been described as well. For example, NO has recently been shown to modulate mitochondrial alternative oxidase activity to influence the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), net NO production and shift primary metabolism towards amino acid biosynthesis via inhibition of aconitase (Cvetkovska and Vanlerberghe 2012; Gupta et al. 2012). In peroxisomes, NO nitrosylates proteins such as catalase, glyoxylate oxidase and malate dehydrogenase are involved in photorespiration, β -oxidation and the detoxification of ROS (Ortega-Galisteo et al. 2012).

1.3 Peroxynitrite

1.3.1 Properties of Peroxynitrite

Reaction between NO and O_2^- results in spontaneous formation of peroxynitrite ($OONO^-$) by a diffusion-limited reaction (Huie and Padmaja 1993), as shown in Eq. 1.1.



The rate constant of the reaction has been determined by several methods to be within the range of $4\text{--}16 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Arasimowicz-Jelonek and Floryszak-Wieczorek 2011). In essence, NO and superoxide form $OONO^-$ every time they collide. No enzyme is required to produce $OONO^-$ because no enzyme can possibly catalyse any reaction as fast. NO is the only known biological molecule that reacts faster with superoxide and is produced in high enough concentrations to outcompete endogenous levels of superoxide dismutase. Consequently, the kinetics and thermodynamics of the reaction of superoxide with NO make the formation of $OONO^-$ inevitable in vivo (Pacher et al. 2007). In addition, $OONO^-$ can be also produced by an enzyme nitrate reductase in the presence of oxygen and NAD(P)H (Bethke et al. 2004). In plants, low levels of $OONO^-$ are likely to be formed continuously in photosynthesizing chloroplasts, whereas higher levels are likely to be synthesized in response to stress, which induces the production of both NO and ROS (Vandelle and Delledonne 2011).


Direct scavenging of O_2^- towards $OONO^-$ may suggest the antioxidant role of NO in relation to this ROS, since in this situation, NO may disrupt the chain of reactions leading to more toxic ROS. On the other hand, $OONO^-$, as will be mentioned later, may cause serious damage to cell structures.

$OONO^-$ is a relatively short-lived reactive nitrogen species at the physiological pH range and temperature, which may readily migrate through biological membranes and interact with target molecules also in surrounding cells within the radius of one or two cells ($\sim 5\text{--}20 \mu\text{m}$) (Szabó et al. 2007). This molecule is surprisingly stable in solution, considering its strong oxidizing potential, and that it is 36 kcal/mol higher in energy than its isomer nitrate. The unusual stability of $ONOO^-$ results in part because it folds into a stable *cis*-conformation where the negative charge is localized over the entire molecule (Tsai et al. 1994). The molecules are further stabilized by forming strong hydrogen bonds with two or three waters (Tsai et al. 1995).

The first papers suggesting that $ONOO^-$ could be a biological oxidant were shown on animal cells in 1990 (Beckman 1990; Beckman et al. 1990). In plants, at first, the generation of $ONOO^-$ was demonstrated during plant response to biotic stress. Saito et al. (2006) observed intracellular time-dependent $ONOO^-$ production in tobacco BY-2 cells treated with INF1 elicitor secreted by *Phytophthora infestans*. Gaupels et al. (2011) found a significant accumulation of $ONOO^-$ at 3–4 h in *Arabidopsis* challenged with an avirulent *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.

tomato, which significantly increased at 7–8 h after pathogen treatment. Corpas et al. (2009b) described the generation of ONOO^- also during abiotic stress: they found ONOO^- production in *Arabidopsis* roots exposed to salinity stress.

At physiological pH, ONOO^- equilibrates rapidly with peroxyntrous acid (HOONO) that rapidly decomposes to the highly reactive hydroxyl radical ($\text{HO}\cdot$) as shown below in Eq. 1.2.



It has been reported that this reaction is a far more effective in producing hydroxyl radical than the Fenton reaction or the iron-catalysed Haber–Weiss reaction (Beckman et al. 1990). In biological systems, the reaction may be relevant mainly in hydrophobic phases to initiate lipid peroxidation and nitration processes (Radi et al. 1991; Szabó et al. 2007).

ONOO^- interacts with proteins, lipids and DNA via direct one- or two-electron oxidation reactions or indirectly through the formation of highly reactive radicals. Surprisingly, in plants, ONOO^- does not appear to be as toxic as in animal tissues (Delledonne et al. 2001). Why ONOO^- is not very toxic to plant cells is still unclear. One hypothesis could be the existence of specific detoxifying mechanisms absent in animals. Among them, flavonoids that are known to display a strong antioxidant capacity attracted an attention. However, *transparent testa* mutants impaired in flavonoid biosynthesis are not susceptible to ONOO^- treatment (Vandelle and Delledonne 2011).

ONOO^- reacts with target molecules through two possible pathways. First, peroxyntrite anion or peroxyntrous acid can react directly with a certain target molecule in an overall second-order process (e.g. thiol oxidation). Second, peroxyntrous acid can first homolyse to form nitrogen dioxide and hydroxyl radicals, which in turn react with the target molecule. The latter processes are first order in peroxyntrite but zero order in target, because the formation of the radicals is rate-limiting. To this last type of reaction belong tyrosine nitration and lipid peroxidation (Alvarez and Radi 2003).

The main product from peroxyntrite decay in the absence of targets is nitrate (Anbar and Taube 1954), while secondary reactions of the radicals can also lead to nitrite and dioxygen, particularly at alkaline pH (Pfeiffer et al. 1997; Coddington et al. 1999; Alvarez and Radi 2003).


1.3.2 Reactions of ONOO^- with Proteins

The reaction of ONOO^- with proteins occurs through three possible pathways:

1. ONOO^- reactions with prosthetic group, particularly transition metal centres:

The reactions of ONOO^- with transition metal centres, particularly those containing haeme and non-haeme iron, copper and manganese ions, are some of the fastest known for ONOO^- (Alvarez and Radi 2003).

2. In the same way as with other Lewis acids (LA), such as the proton or carbon dioxide, the reaction proceeds to form a Lewis adduct which in turn homolyses to yield NO_2 and the corresponding oxyradical ($\cdot\text{O-LA}^-$) (Radi et al. 2000), as shown in Eq. 1.3.



3. Peroxynitrite can also oxidize reduced metal centres by two electrons yielding the oxyradical or oxo-compound accompanied by the formation of nitrite instead of nitrogen dioxide. This is particularly relevant in the case of reduced cytochrome c oxidase. In the case of the one electron oxidation of cytochrome c, which has all six coordination positions occupied, peroxynitrite reacted with the reduced but not the oxidized form, oxidizing the Fe^{2+} - Fe^{3+} possibly through an outer sphere electron transfer process (Thomson et al. 1995).

Jasid et al. (2006) showed that ONOO^- oxidizes chloroplastic proteins and diminishes both the oxygen evolution and the fluorescence yield of photosystem (PS) II in a dose-dependent manner.

1.3.3 Reactions of ONOO^- with Amino Acids

(a) Cysteine, methionine, tryptophan and histidine oxidation

Peroxynitrite may alter protein structure and function by reacting with various amino acids in the peptide chain. The predominant and the fastest (10^3 – $10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) reaction is with cysteine (Alvarez and Radi 2003). It has been described in animal cells that ONOO^- directly oxidizes methionine, forming methionine sulphoxide, and to a lesser extent ethylene and dimethyldisulphide (Szabó 2003). ONOO^- can also oxidize tryptophan yielding *N*-formylkynurenine, oxindole, hydroxytryptophan and nitrotryptophan (Alvarez and Radi 2003). The physiological role of this modification, if any, is unclear (Vandelle and Delledonne 2011). The proteomic analysis of inflamed neurons has shown that several nitrotryptophan-containing proteins contain functional tryptophan residues that interact with other molecules. These proteins appear to be involved in energy metabolism, protein synthesis and stress responses, and it has been suggested that tryptophan nitration may modulate specific interactions between these proteins and their targets (Ikeda et al. 2007; Vandelle and Delledonne 2011). In plants, Galetskiy et al. identified 138 tyrosine and tryptophan nitration sites, mainly in PSI, PSII, cytochrome b6/f and ATP-synthase complex indicating that protein nitration belongs to one of the prominent posttranslational protein modifications in photosynthetic apparatus (Galetskiy et al. 2011a).

In animal cells, ONOO^- modifies histidine through a radical mechanism, forming a histidinyl radical, a mechanism involved in the inactivation of Cu, Zn-SOD

by ONOO^- (Alvarez et al. 2004). In plant cells, Gonzalez-Perez et al. (2008) revealed that the specific inhibition site of ONOO^- in PSII is in the plastoquinone Q_AFe^{2+} niche of the PSII acceptor side. ONOO^- interacts with the non-haeme Fe^{2+} ; however, the type of the redox reaction between peroxyxynitrite and the non-haeme Fe^{2+} is not known. They suggested that the products of the one- or two-electron oxidation are able to oxidize or to nitrate the Fe^{2+} -coordinated histidine residues or to induce Fe^{2+} release and as a consequence to destroy the magnetic coupling between Q_A and the non-haeme Fe^{2+} (Gonzalez-Perez et al. 2008).

(b) Tyrosine nitration

Protein tyrosine nitration is a covalent protein modification resulting from the addition of a nitro ($-\text{NO}_2$) group adjacent to the hydroxyl group on the aromatic ring of tyrosine residues (Gow et al. 2004). A stable product 3-nitrotyrosine is formed by the addition of $-\text{NO}_2$ to the ortho position of tyrosine (Dixit et al. 2009). This biochemical event induces change of the tyrosine molecule into a negatively charged hydrophilic nitrotyrosine moiety and causes a marked shift of the local pKa of the hydroxyl group from 10.07 in tyrosine to 7.50 in nitrotyrosine impinging on the protein function (Turko and Murad 2002). Tyrosine nitration is considered to be a selective process, and proteins have usually approximately 3–4 mol% of tyrosine, but only one or two of these tyrosines may become preferentially nitrated, this depending on several factors, such as protein structure, nitration mechanism and environment, where the protein is located (Bartesaghi et al. 2007; Corpas et al. 2009a). Bayden et al. (2011) suggested that despite the moderately hydrophilic nature of tyrosine, its relatively high degree of surface exposure (only 15 % of tyrosine residues are buried) and the fact that most proteins contain tyrosine (natural abundance 3.2 %), only a limited number of proteins are nitration targets and this does not depend on their abundance.

Tyrosine nitration has been shown to be capable of changing the function of a protein in several ways: (1) gain of function as well as no effect on function; and (2) inhibition of function, which is much more common result of protein tyrosine nitration (Radi 2004; Corpas et al. 2009a). For example, Alvarez et al. (2011) reported the inhibition of *Arabidopsis* O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase A1 by tyrosine nitration. It has been also demonstrated that nitration of tyrosine residue may either prevent further phosphorylation or stimulate phosphorylation (Shi et al. 2007; Rayala et al. 2007). In animal cells, nitrotyrosine has been used as a biomarker of nitrosative stress. In plants, nitrotyrosine is often used as a marker of nitrosative stress during abiotic stress in the same way as lipid peroxidation or protein carbonylation, and like this, it was used, e.g., for salinity stress (Valderrama et al. 2007) and during sunflower–mildew interaction (Chaki et al. 2009). Also under high light, which is a major stress factor often leading to over-excitation of the photosynthetic apparatus and production of ROS, tyrosine nitration was demonstrated (Galetskiy et al. 2011a).

However, evidence accumulates that this modification also has a signalling function in plant cells. For example, Cecconi et al. (2009) reported that tyrosine nitration, as a key process of redox signalling, could be involved in Rubisco




Fig. 1.1 Summary of some reactions of peroxynitrite

large subunit and Rubisco activase modulation during hypersensitive response. Similarly, Gaupels et al. (2011) proposed that $ONOO^-$ transduces the NO signal by modifying protein function via tyrosine nitration during the hypersensitive defence response. In addition, the conformation change in the stromal loop between membrane α -helices IV and V due to nitration might provide a signal for the degradation of D1 protein (Galetskiy et al. 2011b). The reactions of peroxynitrite with amino acids are described in Fig. 1.1.

1.3.4 Reactions of $ONOO^-$ with Lipids

A major aspect of peroxynitrite-dependent cytotoxicity relies on its ability to trigger lipid peroxidation in membranes (Radi et al. 1991). Exposure of low-density lipoprotein to $ONOO^-$ results in the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids. The mechanism of initiation is unclear but may involve either abstraction of a bis-allylic hydrogen by the ‘the hydroxyl radical-like’ activity $ONOO^-$ or induced homolysis of $ONOO^-$ by the unsaturated fatty acid (Hogg et al. 1992).

$ONOO^-$ can also rapidly oxidize tocopherols: α -tocopherol is oxidized by two electrons to α -tocopheryl quinone, a form that is not easily repaired by cellular reductants (Hogg et al. 1993). Desel et al. (2007) found that *Brassica napus*, *Nicotiana tabacum* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*, with high levels of endogenous γ -tocopherol, produced 5-nitro- γ -tocopherol during seed germination. It has

been speculated that nitration of γ -tocopherol in plant tissues may be an important mechanism for in vivo modulation of NO_x levels (Arasimowicz-Jelonek and Floryszak-Wieczorek 2011). However, research in nitrolipids is in an early stage of investigation, and there is virtually no information available regarding these two nitration processes in plant systems, this being a new area of reactive nitrogen species metabolism that needs to be explored (Corpas et al. 2009a).

1.3.5 Reactions of ONOO⁻ with DNA

ONOO⁻ can also react with DNA (Radi 2004). DNA can be damaged by ONOO⁻ by both introducing oxidative modifications in nucleobases and in sugar-phosphate backbone (Pacher et al. 2007). ONOO⁻ is able to attack the sugar-phosphate backbone by abstracting a hydrogen atom from the deoxyribose moiety, resulting in the opening of the sugar ring and the generation of DNA strand breaks (Burney et al. 1999; Niles et al. 2006; Pacher et al. 2007). Rubio et al. (1996) have also reported that treatment of deoxynucleosides with ONOO⁻ leads to the formation of 2-thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances.

Among the nucleobases, guanine is the most reactive with ONOO⁻ because of its low reduction potential (Yu et al. 2005). The major product of guanine oxidation is 8-oxoguanine, which further reacts with peroxyxynitrite, yielding cyanuric acid and oxazolone (Niles et al. 2006). Following the formation of abasic sites that can be cleaved by endonucleases in vivo, it gives DNA single-strand breaks (Burney et al. 1999). Unfortunately, similarly as in the case of nitrolipids, research in 8-nitroguanine is in an early stage of investigation in plant systems (Corpas et al. 2009a).

However, increasing studies conducted mainly under in vitro conditions have reported that ONOO⁻ cannot only be considered as a cytotoxic agent (Altug et al. 1999) but might also act as a potent modulator of the redox regulation in various cell signal transduction pathways (Liaudet et al. 2009; Arasimowicz-Jelonek and Floryszak-Wieczorek 2011).

1.4 Nitrosothiols

The formation of nitrosothiols is still debated. The direct reaction of thiol groups with NO is too slow to occur in vivo; instead, it is assumed that N₂O₃ is the main nitrosylating species in aerobic conditions although the formation of dinitrogen trioxide is controversially discussed (Folkes and Wardman 2004; Ridnour et al. 2004). Other reactive nitrogen species described to mediate S-nitrosothiol formation are nitrosonium and nitroxyl ions (Ridnour et al. 2004). Nitroso groups can also be transferred between thiols in a process termed as transnitrosylation. Transnitrosylation occurs between proteins and between proteins and low molecular weight nitrosothiols (e.g.

S-nitrosylated glutathione GSNO) in animals; however, in plants, evidence for this mechanism is lacking (Hogg 2002; Nakamura and Lipton 2013).

S-nitrosothiols are generally more stable in solution compared to NO; therefore, they can participate in the transport, storage and delivery of NO and consequently contribute to posttranslational modifications involved in cell signalling and in stress processes (Foster et al. 2003; Benhar et al. 2006; Leterrier et al. 2011).

One of the families of the most abundant low molecular mass *S*-nitrosothiols is GSNO. GSNO results from the reaction between NO and reduced glutathione (GSH) in a process called *S*-nitrosylation or by a process of transnitrosation from other *S*-nitrosothiols with GSH. *S*-nitrosylation appears to take place through either the formation of N₂O₃ or the addition of NO to a glutathionyl radical formed during this reaction (Broniowska et al. 2013). *S*-nitrosylation of proteins is rapidly reversible, making it an attractive candidate for involvement in signal transduction (Grennan 2007). Increasing evidence suggests that *S*-nitrosylation plays a regulatory role in plant physiology. For example, it can play a negative regulatory role in ethylene biosynthesis via *S*-nitrosylation of *S*-adenosylmethionine, which is a precursor for ethylene biosynthesis (Lindermayr et al. 2006). In *Arabidopsis* leaves exposed to NO gas, 52 *S*-nitrosylated proteins, including stress and redox-related, metabolic, signalling and cytoskeletal proteins, were identified (Lindermayr et al. 2005). In addition, it was reported that the activity of peroxiredoxin IIE, which detoxifies peroxynitrite and hydrogen peroxide, was inhibited by *S*-nitrosylation (Romero-Puertas et al. 2007). Similarly, isoforms of glyceraldehyde dehydrogenase were inhibited after treatment with GSNO in *Arabidopsis* (Holtgreffe et al. 2008). In the same way, the Rubisco activity was inhibited in *Kalanchoe pinnata* (Abat et al. 2008).

GSNO may function both as an intracellular NO reservoir and as a transporter for NO throughout the cell (Singh et al. 1996) and therefore can affect the process of transnitrosation equilibrium between GSNO and *S*-nitrosylated proteins. In this sense, it has been proposed a mechanism of GSNO formation mediated by cytochrome *c* (Basu et al. 2010; Leterrier et al. 2011).

GSNO seems to be an important molecule during plant responses to various abiotic and biotic stresses. For example, under treatment of heavy metals, GSNO content decreased in pea and *Arabidopsis* (Barroso et al. 2006; Leterrier et al. 2012). On the other hand, its content increased under high temperature in sunflower (Chaki et al. 2011a) and after mechanical wounding in sunflower and *Arabidopsis* (Chaki et al. 2011b; Espunya et al. 2012).

The key enzyme regulating GSNO pools is nitrosogluthathione reductase (GSNOR). GSNOR reduces GSNO to ultimately produce glutathione disulphide and ammonia (Mur et al. 2012). Because of the ubiquitous nature of GSNOR, it has been suggested that this enzyme serves more to protect against nitrosative stress than as cell signalling factor (Lindermayr and Durner 2009).

The family of low molecular mass *S*-nitrosothiols includes other molecules such as *S*-nitrosocysteine and *S*-nitrosocysteinylglycine.

Most proteins possess cysteine residues, but the affinity of this amino acid residue to NO can be very different (Stamler et al. 1997). The vicinity of acid

and base catalysts may help in *S*-nitrosylation formation, although this does not account for all the *S*-nitrosylation sites already founded. Inspection of known *S*-nitrosylated proteins revealed that the presence of a hydrophobic environment, which enables the formation of *S*-nitrosylating species via the reaction between oxygen and NO, also promotes *S*-nitrosylation (Stamler et al. 2001).

Linking nitrosothiol on cysteine residues mediates NO signalling functions of a broad spectrum of mammalian proteins, including caspases, the main effectors of apoptosis. Plant metacaspases can be kept inactive through *S*-nitrosylation of a critical cysteine residue but are insensitive to *S*-nitrosylation when matured (Belenghi et al. 2007). This discrepancy could be related to differences in NO housekeeping between plants and mammals. Whereas mammals control internal NO levels very strictly by fine regulation of activities of the various NO synthase isoforms, plants must deal with atmospheric NO as well as with internal leakage of NO that accumulates under physiological growth conditions due to its production from nitrite (Belenghi et al. 2007).

In addition, there is another group of SNOs called high molecular mass SNOs which are produced by NO binding to sulfhydryl (–SH) groups present in specific cysteine residues of proteins (Corpas et al. 2013).

1.5 Conclusion

Research in the field of NO in plant systems is a challenge; however, it is evident that these researches lag behind the research in the animal system. For example, there is a considerable lack of knowledge regarding, e.g., transnitrosylation, signalling functions of various RNS and explanation of a lesser ONOO[–] toxicity comparing to animal cells. Hence, additional research is necessary to explain all these doubts.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by Grant Agency of the Czech Republic Grant No. P501/11/1239.

References

- Abat JK, Mattoo AK, Deswal R (2008) *S*-nitrosylated proteins of a medicinal CAM plant *Calanchoe pinnata*-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activity targeted for inhibition. *FEBS J* 275:2862–2872
- Altug S, Demiryurek AT, Cakici I, Kanzik I (1999) The beneficial effects of peroxynitrite on ischaemia–reperfusion arrhythmias in rat isolated hearts. *Eur J Pharmacol* 384:157–162
- Alvarez B, Radi R (2003) Peroxynitrite reactivity with amino acids and proteins. *Amino Acids* 25:295–311
- Alvarez B, Demicheli V, Duran R et al (2004) Inactivation of human Cu, Zn SOD by peroxynitrite and formation of histidinyl radical. *Free Radical Biol Med* 37:813–822
- Alvarez C, Lozano-Juste J, Romero LC et al (2011) Inhibition of *Arabidopsis* O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase A1 by tyrosine nitration. *J Biol Chem* 286:578–586

- Anbar M, Taube H (1954) Interaction of nitrous acid with hydrogen peroxide and with water. *J Am Chem Soc* 76:6243–6247
- Anderson L, Mansfield TA (1979) The effects of nitric oxide pollution on the growth of tomato. *Environ Pollut* 20:113–121
- Arasimowicz M, Floryszak-Wieczorek J (2007) Nitric oxide as a bioactive signalling molecule in plant stress responses. *Plant Sci* 172:876–887
- Arasimowicz-Jelonek M, Floryszak-Wieczorek J (2011) Understanding the fate of peroxynitrite in plant cells—from physiology to pathophysiology. *Phytochemistry* 72:681–688
- Astier J, Kulik A, Koen E et al (2012) Protein S-nitrosylation: what's going on in plants? *Free Radic Biol Med* 53:1101–1110
- Barroso JB, Corpas FJ, Carreras A et al (2006) Localization of S-nitrosoglutathione and expression of S-nitrosoglutathione reductase in pea plants under cadmium stress. *J Exp Bot* 57:1785–1793
- Bartesaghi S, Ferrer-Sueta G, Peluffo G et al (2007) Protein tyrosine nitration in hydrophilic and hydrophobic environments. *Amino Acids* 32:501–515
- Basu S, Kesziar A, Azarova NA et al (2010) A novel role for cytochrome c: efficient catalysis of S-nitrosothiol formation. *Free Radic Biol Med* 48:255–263
- Baudouin E, Pieuchot L, Engler G et al (2006) Nitric oxide is formed in *Medicago truncatula*-*Sinorhizobium meliloti* functional nodules. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 19:970–975
- Bayden AS, Yakovlev VA, Graves PR et al (2011) Factors influencing protein tyrosine nitration-structure-based predictive models. *Free Radical Biol Med* 50:749–762
- Beckman JS (1990) Ischaemic injury mediator. *Nature* 345:27–28
- Beckman JS, Beckman TW, Chen J et al (1990) Apparent hydroxyl radical production by peroxynitrite: implications for endothelial injury from nitric oxide and superoxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87:1620–1624
- Belenghi B, Romero-Puertas MC, Vercammen D et al (2007) Metacaspase activity of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is regulated by S-nitrosylation of a critical cysteine residue. *J Biol Chem* 282:1352–1358
- Beligni MV, Lamattina L (2000) Nitric oxide stimulates seed germination and de-etiolation, and inhibits hypocotyl elongation, three light-inducible responses in plants. *Planta* 210:215–221
- Benhar M, Forrester MT, Stamler JS (2006) Nitrosative stress in the ER: a new role for S-nitrosylation in neurodegenerative diseases. *ACS Chem Biol* 1:355–358
- Bethke PC, Badger MR, Jones RL (2004) Apoplastic synthesis of nitric oxide by plant tissues. *Plant Cell* 16:332–341
- Blokhina O, Fagerstedt KV (2010) Reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in plant mitochondria: origin and redundant regulatory systems. *Physiol Plant* 138:447–462
- Bright J, Desikan R, Hancock JT et al (2006) ABA-induced NO generation and stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis* are dependent on H₂O₂ synthesis. *Plant J* 45:113–122
- Broniowska KA, Diers AR, Hogg N (2013) S-nitrosoglutathione. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1830:3173–3181
- Burney S, Caulfield JL, Niles JC et al (1999) The chemistry of DNA damage from nitric oxide and peroxynitrite. *Mutat Res* 424:37–49
- Cecconi D, Orzetti S, Vandelle E et al (2009) Protein nitration during defense response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Electrophoresis* 30:2460–2468
- Chaki M, Fernández-Ocaña AM, Valderrama R et al (2009) Involvement of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species (RNS and ROS) in sunflower-mildew interaction. *Plant Cell Physiol* 50:265–279
- Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM et al (2011a) High temperature triggers the metabolism of S-nitrosothiols in sunflower mediating a process of nitrosative stress which provokes the inhibition of ferredoxin-NADP reductase by tyrosine nitration. *Plant Cell Environ* 34:1803–1818
- Chaki M, Valderrama R, Fernández-Ocaña AM et al (2011b) Mechanical wounding induces a nitrosative stress by down-regulation of GSNO reductase and an increase in S-nitrosothiols in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) seedlings. *J Exp Bot* 62:1803–1813

- Coddington JW, Hurst JK, Lymar SV (1999) Hydroxyl radical formation during peroxyxynitrous acid decomposition. *J Am Chem Soc* 121:2438–2443
- Corpas FJ, Barroso JB, del Río LA (2001) Peroxisomes as a source of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide signal molecules in plant cells. *Trends Plant Sci* 6:145–150
- Corpas FJ, Chaki M, Leterrier M, Barroso JB (2009a) Protein tyrosine nitration. A new challenge in plants. *Plant Signal Behav* 4:920–923
- Corpas FJ, Hayash M, Mano S et al (2009b) Peroxisomes are required for in vivo nitric oxide accumulation in the cytosol following salinity stress of *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant Physiol* 151:2083–2094
- Corpas FJ, Palma JM, Leterrier M et al (2010) Nitric oxide and abiotic stress in higher plants. In: Hayat S, Mori M, Pichtel J, Ahmad A (eds) *Nitric oxide in plant physiology*. Wiley, Weinheim, pp 51–63
- Corpas FJ, Alché JD, Barroso JB (2013) Current overview of *S*-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) in higher plants. *Front Plant Physiol* 4:1–3
- Cvetkovska M, Vanlerberghe GC (2012) Alternative oxidase modulates leaf mitochondrial concentrations of superoxide and nitric oxide. *New Phytol* 195:32–39
- del Río LA, Sandalio LM, Corpas FJ et al (2006) Reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species in peroxisomes. Production, scavenging, and role in cell signaling. *Plant Physiol* 141:330–335
- Delledonne M, Xia Y, Dixon RA, Lamb C (1998) Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant disease resistance. *Nature* 394:585–588
- Delledonne M, Zeier J, Marocco A, Lamb C (2001) Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:13454–13459
- Desel C, Hubbermann EM, Schwarz K, Krupinska K (2007) Nitration of γ -tocopherol in plant tissues. *Planta* 226:1311–1322
- Desikan R, Cheung MK, Bright J et al (2004) ABA, hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide signaling in stomatal guard cells. *J Exp Bot* 55:205–212
- Dixit K, Khan MA, Sharma ID et al (2009) Physicochemical studies on peroxyxynitrite-modified H3 histone. *Int J Biol Macromol* 46:20–26
- Durner J, Klessig D (1999) Nitric oxide as a signal in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2:369–374
- Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF (1998) Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:10328–10333
- Espunya MC, De Michele R, Gómez-Cadenas A, Martínez MC (2012) *S*-nitrosoglutathione is a component of wound- and salicylic acid-induced systemic responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Exp Bot* 63:3219–3227
- Fernández-Marcos M, Sanz L, Lorenzo O (2012) Nitric oxide. An emerging regulator of cell elongation during primary root growth. *Plant Signal Behav* 7:196–200
- Folkes LK, Wardman P (2004) Kinetics of the reaction between nitric oxide and glutathione: implications for thiol depletion in cells. *Free Radic Biol Med* 37:549–556
- Foster MW, McMahon TJ, Stamler JS (2003) *S*-nitrosylation in health and disease. *Trends Mol Med* 9:160–168
- Galetskiy D, Lohscheider JN, Kononikhin AS et al (2011a) Mass spectrometric characterization of photooxidative protein modifications in *Arabidopsis thaliana* thylakoid membranes. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 25:184–190
- Galetskiy D, Lohscheider JN, Kononikhin AS et al (2011b) Phosphorylation and nitration levels of photosynthetic proteins are conversely regulated by light stress. *Plant Mol Biol* 77:461–473
- García-Mata C, Lamattina L (2001) Nitric oxide induces stomatal closure and enhances the adaptive plant responses against drought stress. *Plant Physiol* 126:1196–1204
- García-Mata C, Lamattina L (2002) Nitric oxide and abscisic acid cross talk in guard cells. *Plant Physiol* 128:790–792
- Gaupels F, Spiazzi-Vandelle E, Yang D, Delledonne M (2011) Detection of peroxyxynitrite accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* during the hypersensitive defense response. *Nitric Oxide* 25:222–228

- Gonzalez-Perez S, Quijano C, Romero N et al (2008) Peroxynitrite inhibits electron transport on the acceptor side of higher plant photosystem II. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 473:25–33
- Gonzalez-Zulueta M, Dawson VL, Dawson TM (2000) Neurotoxic actions and mechanisms of nitric oxide. In: Ignarro LJ (ed) *Nitric oxide, biology and pathobiology*. Academic, San Diego, pp 695–710
- Gow AJ, Farkouh CR, Munson DA et al (2004) Biological significance of nitric oxide-mediated protein modifications. *Am J Physiol* 287:262–268
- Grennan AK (2007) Protein S-nitrosylation: potential targets and roles in signal transduction. *Plant Physiol* 144:1237–1239
- Grün S, Lindermayr C, Sell S, Durner J (2006) Nitric oxide and gene regulation in plants. *J Exp Bot* 57:507–516
- Guo FQ, Crawford NM (2005) Arabidopsis nitric oxide synthase1 is targeted to mitochondria and protects against oxidative damage and dark-induced senescence. *Plant Cell* 17:3436–3450
- Guo FQ, Okamoto M, Crawford NM (2003) Identification of a plant nitric oxide synthase gene involved in hormone signalling. *Science* 302:100–103
- Gupta KJ, Shah JK, Brotman Y et al (2012) Inhibition of aconitase by nitric oxide leads to induction of the alternative oxidase and to a shift of metabolism towards biosynthesis of amino acids. *J Exp Bot* 63:1773–1784
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (2007) *Free radicals in biology and medicine*, 4th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- He YK, Tang RH, Yi H et al (2004) Nitric oxide represses the *Arabidopsis* floral transition. *Science* 305:1968–1971
- Henry YA, Ducastel B, Guissani A (1997) Basic chemistry of nitric oxide and related nitrogen oxides. In: Henry YA, Guissani A, Ducastel B (eds) *Nitric oxide research from chemistry to biology*. Landes Company, Olympia, pp 15–46
- Hess DT, Matsumoto A, Kim SO et al (2005) Protein S-nitrosylation: purview and parameters. *Nature Rev Mol Cell Biol* 6:150–166
- Hogg N (2002) The biochemistry and physiology of S-nitrosothiols. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 42:585–600
- Hogg N, Darley-Usmar VM, Wilson MT, Moncada S (1992) Production of hydroxyl radicals from the simultaneous generation of superoxide and nitric oxide. *Biochem J* 281:419–424
- Hogg N, Darley-Usmar VM, Graham A, Moncada S (1993) Peroxynitrite and atherosclerosis. *Biochem Soc Trans* 21:358–362
- Holtgreve S, Gohlke J, Starmann JS et al (2008) Regulation of plant cytosolic glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase isoforms by thiol modifications. *Physiol Plant* 133:211–228
- Hu X, Neill SJ, Tang Z, Cai W (2005) Nitric oxide mediates gravitropic bending in soybean roots. *Plant Physiol* 137:663–670
- Huie RE, Padmaja S (1993) The reaction rate of nitric oxide with superoxide. *Free Rad Res Commun* 18:195–199
- Ikeda K, Yukihiro HB, Iwai H et al (2007) Detection of 6-nitrotryptophan in proteins by Western blot analysis and its application for peroxynitrite-treated PC12 cells. *Nitric Oxide* 6:18–28
- Jasid S, Simontacchi M, Bartoli CS, Puntarulo S (2006) Chloroplasts as a nitric oxide cellular source. Effect of reactive nitrogen species on chloroplastic lipids and proteins. *Plant Physiol* 142:1246–1255
- Jeffrey SR, Snyder SH (1995) Nitric oxide: a neural messenger. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 11:417–440
- Leshem YY, Wills RBH, Ku VVV (1998) Evidence for the function of the free radical gas nitric oxide (NO) as an endogenous maturation and senescence regulating factor in higher plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 36:825–833
- Leterrier M, Chaki M, Airaki M et al (2011) Function of S-nitrosoglutathione reductase (GSNOR) in plant development and under biotic/abiotic stress. *Plant Signal Behav* 6:789–793