Dagmar Kutsar Marjo Kuronen *Editors* 

# Local Welfare Policy Making in European Cities



### **Social Indicators Research Series**

### Volume 59

### **Series Editor**

Alex C. Michalos, Faculty of Arts Office, Brandon University, Brandon, Manitoba, Canada

### **Editors**

Ed Diener, University of Illinois, Champaign, USA Wolfgang Glatzer, J.W. Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany Torbjorn Moum, University of Oslo, Norway Mirjam A.G. Sprangers, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands Joachim Vogel, Central Bureau of Statistics, Stockholm, Sweden Ruut Veenhoven, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands This new series aims to provide a public forum for single treatises and collections of papers on social indicators research that are too long to be published in our journal *Social Indicators Research*. Like the journal, the book series deals with statistical assessments of the quality of life from a broad perspective. It welcomes the research on a wide variety of substantive areas, including health, crime, housing, education, family life, leisure activities, transportation, mobility, economics, work, religion and environmental issues. These areas of research will focus on the impact of key issues such as health on the overall quality of life and vice versa. An international review board, consisting of Ruut Veenhoven, Joachim Vogel, Ed Diener, Torbjorn Moum, Mirjam A.G. Sprangers and Wolfgang Glatzer, will ensure the high quality of the series as a whole.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/6548

Dagmar Kutsar • Marjo Kuronen Editors

# Local Welfare Policy Making in European Cities



Editors
Dagmar Kutsar
Institute of Social Studies
University of Tartu
Tartu, Estonia

Marjo Kuronen
Department of Social Sciences
and Philosophy
University of Jyvaskyla
Jyvaskyla, Finland

With the support of the 7th Framework Programme of the European Union (grant: SSH-CT-2010-266806) and the Estonian Research Council (grant: PUT499)

Neither the European Commission Office nor the Estonian Research Council nor any person acting on their behalf are responsible for the use which might be made of the information contained in the present publication. The supporting agencies are not responsible for the external websites referred to in the present publication.

ISSN 1387-6570 ISSN 2215-0099 (electronic) Social Indicators Research Series ISBN 978-3-319-16162-4 ISBN 978-3-319-16163-1 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16163-1

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015938230

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

### **Preface**

EU welfare policy relates to the promotion of employment, lifelong learning and gender equality. This is the case especially in the field of childcare where the target to improve formal childcare provision in the EU member states is connected with the political agenda to increase the involvement of women in the labour market. Care for older people is also of particular importance as ageing populations are an issue across the world. Ageing populations create new challenges internationally, nationally and locally, not only in terms of how to organise care, but also how to keep both older men and women healthier and working for longer.

The European Union 7th Framework Programme funded research project, "Impact of local welfare systems on female labour force participation and social cohesion" (FLOWS, EU grant SSH-CT-2010-266806 for the years 2011–2014, coordinated by Professor Per H. Jensen from the University of Aalborg, Denmark), aimed to analyse (1) how local welfare systems support women's labour market participation and (2) the extent to which (and under which conditions) female labour market integration has contributed to strengthening social cohesion in 11 European cities. More information about the FLOWS project, including additional publications, can be found at <a href="https://www.flows-eu.eu">www.flows-eu.eu</a>.

This book presents findings from the FLOWS project and focuses particularly on local welfare policy making and its relation to women's labour market integration. It includes theoretical discussions, empirical findings and comparisons between 11 European cities – Aalborg in Denmark, Bologna in Italy, Brno in the Czech Republic, Dublin in Ireland, Hamburg in Germany, Jyväskylä in Finland, Leeds in England, Nantes in France, Szekesfehervar in Hungary, Tartu in Estonia and Terrassa in Spain. Local welfare policy making in the different cities is compared and also considered in relation to the respective national welfare policies. In comparative welfare research, the focus has been on national welfare systems and policies. Our aim is to fill the gap in understanding local welfare policy making that is shaped by sociocultural settings, historical traditions and governance patterns. Our objective is to demonstrate the local nature of policy choices and thus extend the existing cross-country explanations.

vi Preface

We are very grateful to the FLOWS Consortium members from the University of Aalborg in Denmark, the University of Hamburg in Germany, the University of Jyväskylä in Finland, Trinity College in Ireland, the University of Masaryk in the Czech Republic, the Central European University in Hungary, Politecnico di Milano in Italy, the University of Tartu in Estonia, the University of Leeds in England, Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Ange-Guépin in France, Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona in Spain and the Danish Red Cross for their commitment and contribution to the project. Thank you to all the authors who contributed to this book, sharing our joint academic journey. We wish to thank Derettens OÜ (www.derettens.com) who offered expertise in language editing. Last but not least, we thank the Estonian Research Council (PUT499) for supporting the preparation of this book after the FLOWS project formally ended on April 30, 2014.

Tartu, Estonia Jyvaskyla, Finland 15th November 2014 Dagmar Kutsar Marjo Kuronen

# **Contents**

L	Marjo Kuronen and Dagmar Kutsar	j
Par	rt I Women's Local Labour Markets	
2	Measuring Women's Labour Market Integration: The Issue of Adequate Indicators  Birgit Pfau-Effinger and Nicola Schwindt	17
3	Urban Economic Specialization and Women's Integration into the Labour Market in 11 European Cities	35
1	Gendering Social Vulnerability. The Role of Labour Market De-standardisation and Local Welfare Lara Maestripieri	51
Par	rt II Local Welfare Policy Making	
5	Vertical Governance, National Regulation and Autonomy of Local Policy Making	71
5	Local Policy Making: The Playground of Ideas, Mindsets, Strategies and Roles  Dagmar Kutsar, Kairi Kasearu, and Avo Trumm	87
7	Local Welfare Policy in a Centralized Governance System: Childcare and Eldercare Services in a Period of Rapid Change in Leeds  Erika Kispéter and Sue Yeandle	101

viii Contents

Par	t III Local Childcare Policy Making and Service Provision	
8	The Relationships Between Local and National Childcare Policies – A Comparison of Nordic and Southern European Cities  Marjo Kuronen, Teppo Kröger, Fernando Antón-Alonso, Roberta Cucca, Anna Escobedo, Per H. Jensen, and Stefania Sabatinelli	119
9	Childcare Systems in Post State-Socialist Countries: Comparative Cases from Brno, Szekesfehervar and Tartu Martina Kampichler, Erika Kispéter, and Dagmar Kutsar	135
10	The Incomplete Revolution: Development of Childcare Policies in Ireland Evelyn Mahon and Jemimah Bailey	149
Par	t IV Local Care Policies and Systems for Older People	
11	$ \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Centralization and Decentralization of Eldercare Policies in Europe} \\ \textbf{Ralf Och} \end{tabular} $	163
12	Female Labour Market Integration in the City of Nantes and Care Policies for Older People: The French Puzzle  Monique Bigoteau, Béatrice Chaudet, Annie Dussuet, and Carine Péribois	179
13	The Impact of the Policy Framework on the Integration of Informal Carers into the Labour Market in Tartu, Estonia Häli Tarum and Dagmar Kutsar	195
14	A Comparative Perspective of Voluntary Organizations and Their Role in Care for Older People: The Case of the Red Cross	200

### **Contributors**

**Fernando Antón-Alonso** is researcher in economic and social city management at the Universitat Oberta de Catalunya and in applied social research and data analysis at the Spanish Centre for Sociological Research. He is a member and assistant researcher of the Iphigenia research group of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain.

**Jemimah Bailey** is Adjunct Assistant Professor in the Department of Sociology at Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. Her doctoral research (2011) explored the experiences of first-time fathers in contemporary Ireland through a qualitative longitudinal approach. Her article "Understanding contemporary fatherhood: masculine care and the patriarchal deficit" was recently published in the journal *Families, Relationships and Society*. Her research interests include gender roles, learning experiences and family practices, and she is currently researching sex education in Irish primary schools and lectures in social theory, research methods and the sociology of gender, families and education.

**Monique Bigoteau** is a researcher in social geography and a member of the laboratory "Spaces and Societies" – ESO-Nantes-CNRS 6590, at the University of Nantes, France. Her research interests include space and gender, female labour force participation and the involvement of women in the French local political system.

**Pascal Caillaud** is a researcher in social and labour law and a member of the Laboratory "Law and Social Change" (UMR-CNRS 6297) at the University of Nantes, France. He is the Director of the associated Centre for Research on Qualifications of Nantes, in the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Ange Guépin. His research focuses on the legal dimensions of quality of work, lifelong learning and qualifications.

**Béatrice Chaudet** is a lecturer at the Institute of Geography and Regional Planning at the University of Nantes, France, and a member of the laboratory "Spaces and Societies" – ESO-Nantes-CNRS 6590. Her research focuses on planning of

x Contributors

spaces for older people and people with disabilities and the process of accessibility in France and Quebec. She is interested in daily mobilities, conditions of social participation, and social and spatial inclusion of vulnerable people.

Roberta Cucca is a Research Associate at the University of Milan-Bicocca, Italy. Her research interests include economic competitiveness and social inequalities in contemporary cities, deliberative and participatory democracy, environmental crisis and social vulnerability. Her recent international publications include "Social mix and housing policy: local effects of a misleading rhetoric. The case of Milan" (coauthored with Bricocoli, M.) in *Urban Studies* (forthcoming 2015) and "The Hidden Unwanted. Patterns of immigrants' marginality in Copenhagen (Denmark) and Milan (Italy)" (Yeakey C. C. (ed.)) in *Living on the Boundaries: Urban Marginality in National and International Contexts* (2012), Emerald Inc.

Annie Dussuet is a lecturer in sociology at the University of Nantes, France, habilitated to direct researches, and a member of the Nantes Sociology Center (CENS – EA 3260). Her research interests include work and gender, articulation of public and private spaces, domestic work, homecare services, non-profit organisations, and health and ageing at work. Her publications include *Logiques domestiques: Essai sur les représentations du travail domestique chez les femmes actives de milieu populaire* (L'Harmattan 1997); *Travaux de femmes – Enquêtes sur les services à domicile* (L'Harmattan 2005); *L'économie sociale entre informel et formel. Paradoxes et innovations* (co-edited with J-M. Lauzanas, PU Rennes, 2007); and "Santé au travail et prévention des risques professionnels dans les associations d'aide à domicile" in *Revue de l'IRES*, n°78 (2013).

**Anna Escobedo** is Associate Professor in the Department of Sociology and Organisational Analysis at the University of Barcelona and a member of the Iphigenia research group at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain. She is a regular contributor to the Annual Reviews of the International Network on Leave Policies and Research. Her research focuses on comparative social policy, family and social change, with emphasis on the work-life balance, the relationship between formal and informal work, care work and care services.

**Per H. Jensen** is Professor of Social Policy at the Centre for Comparative Welfare Studies at the University of Aalborg, Denmark. He has coordinated, directed or participated in numerous national and international research projects and networks. He has published widely in the fields of comparative welfare state analysis, formal and informal work, elder care, comparative labour market analysis, early exit/retirement, and the sociology of family and gender relations.

**Martina Kampichler** is a researcher and external lecturer for the gender studies programme at the Masaryk University, Czech Republic. Her research interest and publications centre on the (re)production of power relations moulding knowledge

Contributors xi

production related to post-state socialist contexts in the fields of feminist theory and women's/gender studies, the EU gender equality policies as well as Czech family and work-care policies.

**Kairi Kasearu** is a researcher at the Institute of Social Studies at the University of Tartu, Estonia. She has participated in various international research projects (IPROSEC, PROFIT, EQUALSOC, FLOWS, VOC-IR) and policy-driven research projects financed by the Estonian Ministry of Social Affairs. Her research interests are in the fields of family studies, changing intergenerational relations and solidarity.

**Erika Kispéter** is a postdoctoral research fellow at the Centre for International Research on Care, Labour and Equalities (CIRCLE) at the School of Sociology and Social Policy, University of Leeds, UK. Her research focuses on how gender differences are renegotiated and reproduced at the intersection of paid work and family life and how this process is shaped by welfare state policies in different national and local contexts.

**Teppo Kröger** is Professor of Social and Public Policy in the Department of Social Sciences and Philosophy, University of Jyväskylä, Finland. His research focuses on local, national and global care policies and their outcomes for older people, disabled people, carers, families with children and care workers. His publications include comparative research on social care: *Overstretched: European Families Up Against the Demands of Work and Care* (Blackwell 2005, edited with J. Sipilä), *Social Work and Child Welfare Politics: Through Nordic Lenses* (Policy Press 2010, edited with H. Forsberg) and *Combining Paid Work and Family Care: Policies and Experiences in International Perspective* (Policy Press 2013, edited with S. Yeandle).

**Marjo Kuronen** is Professor of Social work in the Department of Social Sciences and Philosophy at the University of Jyväskylä, Finland. She has recently been involved in two EC FP7-funded projects, FAMILYPLATFORM (2009–2010) and FLOWS (2010–2014), and the Finnish Academy project on Children's Emotional Security in Multiple Family Relations (2011–2014). Her research interests combine family research, feminist research, social work research and cross-cultural comparisons. She has studied the relationships between women, family and the welfare state, motherhood and gender division in parenting, and local welfare policies.

**Dagmar Kutsar** is Associate Professor of Social Policy at the University of Tartu, Estonia. Her research interests are related to family, childhood, and welfare research and policies. She has been partner in several international research projects, e.g. EU 6FP PROFIT, IPROSEC, NoE EQUALSOC and EU FP7 FLOWS. She has been a member of a Standing Committee of Social Sciences of the European Science Foundation, member of the Executive Committee and Chair of the National Associations Council of the European Sociological Association, and Expert of the

xii Contributors

EU FP7 Youth Social Inclusion Cluster at the European Commission. She has coedited a book *Internationalisation of Social Sciences in Central and Eastern Europe. The 'Catching Up' – A Myth or a Strategy*? (Routledge 2010).

**Lara Maestripieri** is adjunct lecturer at the Polytechnic of Milan, Italy. Her research interests include narrative and biographical methods in social research, post-industrial societies, gender and social vulnerability, youth and precariousness, and professional identity of knowledge workers. Her most recent publication is *Consulenti di Management. Il professionalismo organizzativo nel lavoro di conoscenza* (L'Harmattan Italia 2013).

**Evelyn Mahon** is Associate Professor of Sociology and Social Policy and fellow at Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. Her empirical research in sociology has included women and abortion, assisted reproduction and divorce. Her research on social policy focuses on gender and equal opportunities at work and comparative welfare systems. She was co-editor of *Women, Work and the Family in Europe* (co-edited with E. Drew and R. Emerek, Routledge, 1998).

**Ralf Och** is a doctoral candidate at the University of Hamburg, Germany, and research fellow at the Centre for Globalisation and Governance at the University of Hamburg for the research projects INDIV and FLOWS. He has also done research in a German Research Council-financed project and the EC 6FP RECWOWE. His main fields of research and publications include the governance of welfare systems, elder care policies, civil society and individualisation in social security systems.

Carine Péribois is project manager at the Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Ange-Guépin in Nantes. Her doctoral thesis focused on the uses of geographic information in participatory management of territory. Her current research interests include the effects of projects on European citizens' participation in local life, including older people and women.

**Birgit Pfau-Effinger** is Professor of Sociology, Research Director of the Centre for Globalisation and Governance at the University of Hamburg, Germany, and Professor in the Department of Political Science and Public Administration at the University of Southern Denmark. Her research interests include comparative analysis of women's employment and care, the interaction of cultural change and welfare state change, and the history of gender culture in Europe. She has published numerous articles in high-ranking academic journals and several books with leading English language publishers. The German Research Council has included her in the Online List of Outstanding Female Scientists, AcademiaNet, since 2010. Her article in *Work, Employment and Society* was elected in 2012 as "Favorite WES Article of the Last 25 Years" by the British Sociological Association.

Contributors xiii

**Stefania Sabatinelli** is Assistant Professor in the Department of Architecture and Urban Studies at the Polytechnic of Milan, Italy. Her research deals with welfare analysis, particularly of activation and care policies, with a comparative approach and an attention to inter-scalar relations. She has participated in several comparative research projects and is currently involved in the COST Action IS1102 "Social Services, Welfare State and Places. The restructuring of social services in Europe". Her publications include *Social Vulnerability in European Cities. The Role of Local Welfare in Times of Crisis* (co-edited with C. Ranci and T. Brandsen, Palgrave 2014) and "Nothing on the move or just going private? Understanding the freeze on care policies in Italy" in *Social Politics* (co-authored with B. Da Roit, 2013).

**Nicola Schwindt** is a doctoral candidate of sociology and research fellow in research projects INDIV and FLOWS in the Department of Social Sciences at the University of Hamburg, Germany.

**Häli Tarum** is a doctoral candidate of sociology at the University of Tartu, Estonia. Her research interests are in local level policy making related to care services development for older people and labour market integration opportunities for female informal carers.

**Avo Trumm** is a researcher at the Institute of Social Studies at the University of Tartu, Estonia. He is the leading expert in Estonia in social exclusion/inclusion and welfare studies. He has contributed to several international research projects (IPROSEC, PROFIT, EQUALSOC, FLOWS). He has been an independent expert of the World Bank on social protection systems and UNDP on poverty reduction programmes. His research interests are in the social, economic and political integration of young people and in local policy making.

**Sue Yeandle** is Professor of Sociology and Director of the Centre for International Research on Care, Labour and Equalities (CIRCLE), School of Sociology and Social Policy, University of Leeds, UK. Her research interests include the relationship between work and family life, employment and social policies relevant to work-care reconciliation, and the social organisation of paid and unpaid work. Her published work includes *Combining Paid Work and Family Care* (The Policy Press 2013, edited with T. Kröger) and *Policy for a Change: Local Labour Market and Gender Equality* (The Policy Press 2009).

# **List of Figures**

F1g. 1.1	The 11 European cities studied in the FLOWS project	8
Fig. 2.1	Labour force participation rates (%) for women (aged 15–64 years) in 11 European cities 2009/2010	22
Fig. 2.2	Employment rates (%) for women (aged 15–64 years) in 11 European cities 2009/2010	23
Fig. 3.1	Trends in women's employment rates (aged 15–64) in 11 European cities – Nomenclature of Territorial Units	2.0
Fig. 3.2	for Statistics [NUTS2] (%) 1999–2012	38 46
Fig. 4.1	Structure of female labour market participation, 2011–2012 – Urban/NUTS3	56

## **List of Tables**

Table 2.1	Different dimensions of labour market integration of women in the local labour markets of 11 European cities (women aged 15–64), 2009/2010	26
Table 2.2	Four types of women's labour markets on the basis of their capacity to include women	30
Table 3.1	Women's employment rates for the age group 15–64 in cities in 11 European countries 2007/2008 – Country, Urban/NUTS3	40
Table 3.2	Education levels of women and men aged 25–39 in 11 European cities, 2010 (% of the age group)	41
Table 4.1	Female employment rates for part-time and temporary employment (aged 15–64 years) – Country,	5.0
Table 4.2 Table 4.3	Urban/NUTS3 – 2011/2012	56 59 62
Table 5.1	Classification of the vertical governance systems in 11 European countries	73
Table 7.1	Childcare places in Leeds, 2007 and 2010	108
Table 8.1	Local and national use of formal childcare, 2010 (% of the age group)	121
Table 11.1	Decentralization of eldercare policies in Europe  Systems of decentralization of eldercare policies	173 174

# Chapter 1 Introduction

Marjo Kuronen and Dagmar Kutsar

### 1.1 Local Welfare Policy Making

This book focuses on local welfare policy making and service provision in 11 European cities with special emphasis on care policies for children and older people in relation to women's labour market integration. We ask whether local welfare policies might either support or discourage women to enter, re-enter or remain in the labour market, the extent to which local policy actors recognise women's labour market integration and their care responsibilities as important policy issues, and what their actual possibilities to influence these issues are within the national policy framework. Local welfare systems are further studied in conjunction with local production systems and women's labour market opportunities in their specific socio-cultural contexts.

Welfare policies, as well as research in this field, have traditionally been state-centred and focused on the redistributive features of income security systems. How welfare is produced at the local level has, though, played a secondary role in social policy analysis (Burau and Kröger 2004). However, nation states are facing new 'glocal' (local in the global) steering and legitimation challenges and pressures that they cannot handle with the conventional modes of legitimate domination (Beck et al. 1994; Webster 2002). Therefore, the states must increasingly rely on the effectiveness of their policies and the steering capacities of the governing institutions 'above' and 'below' them (Heffen et al. 2000). Thus, in welfare policy

M. Kuronen (⊠)

Department of Social Sciences and Philosophy, University of Jyvaskyla, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 Jyvaskyla, Finland

1

e-mail: marjo.kuronen@jyu.fi

D. Kutsar

Institute of Social Studies, University of Tartu, Lossi 36, 51003 Tartu, Estonia e-mail: dagmar.kutsar@ut.ee

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 D. Kutsar, M. Kuronen (eds.), *Local Welfare Policy Making in European Cities*, Social Indicators Research Series 59, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16163-1\_1 research increasing attention is being paid to local welfare policies, governance systems and the roles of and relations between different territorial levels (Kazepov 2010; Vabo and Burau 2011; Andreotti et al. 2012; Burau and Vabo 2011; Ranci et al. 2014). The relationship between the national and the local level in welfare policies and service provisions has been studied particularly in the Nordic countries, where a crucial question concerns the principle of universalism of the welfare state in relation to local self-government, decision-making and organisation of welfare service provisions (for example, Kröger 1997, 2011b; Burau and Kröger 2004; Trydegård and Thorslund 2010; Burau and Vabo 2011; Vabo and Burau 2011). However, the relationships between national and local policy making are varied, as will be shown in this book, and the relationships require further attention throughout Europe.

Local welfare systems, as identified by Andreotti et al. (2012), are dynamic arrangements that are developed as a consequence of bottom-up and top-down transformative pressures in specific local socioeconomic and cultural conditions with the participation of different political actors. Local welfare policy making and service systems are combinations of several economic, social, political, organisational and cultural circumstances related to different policy processes. Thus, how local welfare policies are formed and implemented, and how they influence the integration of women into the labour market, is a multifaceted issue. First of all, local policy making combines vertically and horizontally governed policy processes. On the one hand, it is under the pressure of international and national guidelines, laws and policies, and on the other, policy effectiveness and the steering capacities at the local level. Second, local policy making is impacted by its subjective factors – the mindsets - ideas, stereotypes, and attitudes - and power, roles and strategies of local policy actors (see, for example, Weible and Sabatier 2005; Braun 2012; Baekgaard et al. 2014, and especially Chaps. 6 and 9 in this book). The mindsets and power of local policy making is not merely a product of interactions between political, economic and cultural factors. It also integrates messages from everyday social practices, such as the interplay between the work and care incentives of women and the economic and labour market structures and situations (Chaps. 2, 3, and 4), for example, whether women outside the labour market with informal care responsibilities are seen as a labour force reserve by the policy actors (Chap. 13). Within the international and national policy framework the local policy actors are the closest and, thus, have the potential to recognise the needs and problems that women have concerning local labour markets and welfare service provisions.

The international pressure on local and national policymaking comes from the EU policy to promote employment and gender equality. In the field of social care, formal childcare provision is particularly encouraged in the frame of women's labour market participation (Lisbon employment targets called for a 60 % employment rate for women by 2010) and reconciliation of work and family life (Mahon 2002; León 2009). It forms the most general policy frame for local policy making by sending signals to the local policy actors about how society should be organised. However, it can be considered and integrated into local policies to a differing extent, depending on the national policy frameworks and local policy preferences

1 Introduction 3

(see, for example, Chap. 10). Thus, women's activity patterns in the labour market allow the assessment of the local actors' abilities to provide women with job opportunities and create services that make the employment of women with family care responsibilities possible (Chaps. 11 and 12).

### 1.2 Women as Carers and Workers in Local Welfare Policies

The position of women in the labour market and their obligations as informal care-givers in families is shaped by societal contexts. One can distinguish between structural, institutional and cultural factors (Albertini et al. 2007) determining or directing their choices and possibilities. Structural factors include the demographic aspects of households and families, the labour force structure and income and wealth distribution between generations. Institutional factors refer, for example, to social welfare systems and employment policies. Cultural factors, as general family and gender values, religiosity and religious traditions, age and generation values, form the basis for women's involvement in care-giving and in employment (for example, Pfau-Effinger 2004). In this respect European countries are diverse and several researchers have identified and divided them into different welfare or care regimes (e.g. Anttonen and Sipilä 1996; Bettio and Plantenga 2004; see also Chap. 14 in this book). Thus, the opportunities for women with care responsibilities vary from country to country, and, as shown in this book, within countries as well (especially Chaps. 8, 11, and 12).

The interplay between formal care services, on one hand, and family obligations and informal care giving, on the other, has gained much academic attention from different perspectives (for example, Kohli 1999; Kröger and Sipilä 2005; Pfau-Effinger and Geissler 2005; Hantrais 2006; Albertini et al. 2007; Saraceno 2008; Blome et al. 2009). Different types or regimes of welfare states maintain cultural, normative and practical contexts in which the care-giving takes place. The welfare state policies are, to a large degree, not only policies of age and of obligations between social generations, but they are also forms of regulating obligations within family generations (Saraceno 2008), such as legal or moral responsibilities between parents and children or adult children and their elderly parents. Thus, the national and local welfare systems frame the context in which giving and receiving care is embedded.

The policies that regulate family responsibilities influence the choices and possibilities of women between work and care, and lead to defamilizing, familizing or refamilizing effects (for example, Hantrais 2004; Saraceno 2010; also Chaps. 6 and 9 in this book). Familialization refers to the extent to which welfare policies rely on informal care provided in families. It can be either unsupported, when there are no alternatives to family care, or supported, when policies explicitly support families in maintaining their financial and caring responsibilities (Rønsen 1999; Pronzato 2009; Saraceno 2010). In both cases, it affects the gap between female and male labour market outcomes (Ruhm 1998).

4 M. Kuronen and D. Kutsar

Defamilialization, on the other hand, is a process where the state (or the market) has reduced the care obligations of the family and women by creating a system of welfare services causing a shift from informal care to formal care services (Saraceno 2010), and thus supporting both the female carers' integration into the labour market and the dual breadwinner family model. However, even if formal services are available, they are not equally accessible to all. For example, Leitner (2003:357) argues that "... market driven care provision makes defamilialization a class biased issue since only the better-off can afford to be defamilized or the quality of defamilialization varies considerably by income". Consequently, defamilialization is dependent on several factors other than the availability of childcare and eldercare services, for example, on local welfare policies that may direct service provision towards marketization with or without financial support from the local budget. Liberal marketization of services without additional financial support can only potentially create more opportunities for caring women while the locally supported service market uncovers the real defamilializing potential for them. To some extent voluntary organizations, as non-profit service providers, can buffer and fill the gaps in publicly provided services (see Chap. 14).

Refamilialization is the opposite to defamilialization and refers to the changing policies, social situations and ideological trends over time (for example, Mahon 2002; Szelewa and Polakowski 2008; Eydal and Rostgaard 2011; Kröger 2011a). Naldini (2003) and Moreno (2006) relate it to deficiencies (or cuts) in the welfare state during economic recession, which can reinforce the kinship solidarity model and change women's choices towards informal care instead of employment. Refamilialization can also occur locally as an outcome of a worsening situation in the labour market (as occurred in the late 2000s in Europe) accompanied by local budget cuts to services. The shortage of jobs and services also revives deeply seated gender stereotypes in family decision making, giving preference to men to stay in the labour market rather than women. Refamilialization has not only economic but also ideological roots, as a turn towards 'new familialism' (Mahon 2002).

In the countries where care is mainly provided informally, it is an important constraint on women's labour market participation (Bettio and Plantenga 2004). At the other end of the spectrum are the countries where the proportion of women who choose non-activity or part-time work for reasons of care responsibilities is relatively low. However, the authors conclude that due to EU directives and different EU summits, a convergence of care models is taking place. We assume that the local welfare systems can play an increasingly important role in this converging process but, on the other hand, they might also cause increasing intra-national differences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The concept "defamilialization" was initially introduced by Ruth Lister in 1994 as a counter concept to Esping-Andersen's (1990) decommodification, (see Kröger 2011a).

1 Introduction 5

### 1.3 Comparative Analysis of Local Welfare Systems

This book is based on a European comparative research project, the *Impact of* local welfare systems on female labour force participation and social cohesion (FLOWS), where the similarities and differences between cities are our special focus. Research on welfare systems and policies, and also in comparative research, the focus has been on comparisons between welfare states and national social and care policies with different methodological orientations. Mabbett and Bolderson (1999) have divided comparative social policy research methodologically into three categories. First, there are evaluative studies that compare social policies in a specific field, mainly using statistical data. Second, 'grand theorising' develops either common factor analysis or welfare regime theories where welfare states are compared as a whole. The third methodological approach is case studies that examine the specific institutional, historical and political features of each country in more depth. Anttonen (2005) has further modified this classification and divided comparative research in social policy into cross-national statistical comparison, case-oriented comparison, development of regime theory, and crosscultural qualitative comparison. The formulation of welfare regime theory, along with national statistical comparisons, has dominated the field in recent decades.

In this volume, and in the FLOWS research project, the focus is instead on the comparison of local welfare systems and policy making at the city level. National level comparisons largely miss the fact that welfare policies are not only made at the national but also at the local level, and within-country differences in service provision and in the use of them might be considerable. Also, cultural and economic differences within countries have to be taken into account. Individuals and families live their daily lives in local conditions, which influence the options they have and the decisions they make. Burau and Kröger (2004) highlight the importance of local comparisons for two reasons; they show the often distinctly local nature of the service provision, and they extend existing cross-country explanations. Crossnational comparative research is methodologically challenging (see, for example, Mills et al. 2006; Hantrais 2009; Quilgars et al. 2009; Gomez and Kuronen 2011) and even more so when comparisons are made both within and between countries and the main focus is at the local level.

In this book, the local welfare systems and policy making of different cities are compared with each other and in relation to national welfare policies. Single city case studies further deepen the comparative approach. A case-oriented comparative approach (Mabbett and Bolderson 1999; see also Gomez and Kuronen 2011) has been adopted in this project as the main methodological approach to gain a deeper understanding of the local welfare policy making processes in relation to national policies, local service systems and their connections with women's labour market integration and care responsibilities within specific social, economic and cultural contexts.

Both quantitative and qualitative approaches were adopted and detailed data from individual cities were collected; this included local and national statistical data,

data from official documents, such as administrative reports, development plans and annual reports, data from the websites of local authorities and service providers, and expert interviews with different policy actors. These were complemented with previous research findings. Each sub-theme of the project also required slightly different data and research orientation. The FLOWS project was divided into several "work packages" each led by one or two of the national research teams.<sup>2</sup> Each work package leader formulated a detailed template according to which national research teams collected data from their city in order to make them comparable. The templates were commented on in advance by the other research teams in the project meetings and email discussions and modified accordingly in order to make them relevant for all the local and national contexts. Welfare service systems are particularly varied and complex, and thus many discussions among the research teams took place to find agreement. Definitions and classifications that are used in official databases (for example, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], Eurostat and national statistical offices) were also adopted as far as possible when collecting local level data. After collecting the data required each team wrote a local city report, where methodological problems were also raised. The work package leaders were then responsible for making the comparative analysis and reporting the findings.<sup>3</sup> In this book the findings from several work packages are combined and both individual city reports and comparative reports are used.

We soon found that the statistical data particularly from the local city level were not specific and comparable enough to provide the main basis for the analysis. This was the case when considering the labour market situation of different groups of women, local production systems, and service provisions, and the use of services. Often national and local level data were not comparable. Local data were either missing, came from different years or statistics were classified differently in different cities. Gender specific data were also often missing. Many international organisations, such as the EU and the OECD and their member states, work closely together to provide comparable national statistics and datasets for international comparisons. To some extent, comparable data is also available at the regional level but at the local city level there are still many gaps in the collection and use of local statistical information. To some extent, that was unexpected, as we assumed that local level policy making would be more firmly based on local statistical analyses of the current situation. Thus, we were partly forced to use statistical data from the Nomenclature of Territorial Units of Statistics (NUTS3 or even NUTS2 level) instead of the urban city level, which naturally provided less accurate information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This book is based mainly on the findings of the first four work packages of the FLOWS research project: Degree and structures of women's labour market integration (WP1) led by Birgit Pfau-Effinger, University of Hamburg; Local production system (WP 2) led by Lluís Flaquer, Universitat Autonòma de Barcelona and Costanzo Ranci, Polytechnic of Milan; The local welfare system (WP3) led by Teppo Kröger, University of Jyväskylä; and Local policy formation/local political actors (WP4) led by Dagmar Kutsar, University of Tartu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These reports are available at the project website http://www.flows-eu.eu/home/