

Yiqing Lin · Waleed H. Abdulla

Audio Watermark

A Comprehensive Foundation Using
MATLAB



 Springer

The Springer logo features a white chess knight piece on a pedestal, positioned to the left of the word "Springer" which is written in a white serif font.

Audio Watermark

Yiqing Lin • Waleed H. Abdulla

Audio Watermark

A Comprehensive Foundation
Using MATLAB

 Springer

Yiqing Lin
The University of Auckland
Auckland, New Zealand

Waleed H. Abdulla
The University of Auckland
Auckland, New Zealand

Additional material to this book can be downloaded from <http://extras.springer.com>

ISBN 978-3-319-07973-8 ISBN 978-3-319-07974-5 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-07974-5
Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014945572

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

Audio watermarking is a technique providing a promising solution to copyrights protection for digital audio and multimedia products. Using this technique, hidden information called *watermark* containing copyrights information is imperceptibly embedded into the audio track of a host media. This watermark may be extracted later on from a suspected media to verify the authenticity. To function as an effective tool to enforce ownership rights, the audio watermarking scheme must satisfy the imperceptibility, robustness, security, data payload, and computational complexity requirements. Throughout this book we will be illustrating in a practical way the commonly used and novel approaches of audio watermarking for copyrights protection. We will also introduce our recently developed methods for objectively predicting the perceptual quality of the watermarked audio signals.

This book is directed towards students, researchers, engineers, multimedia practitioners, and academics who are interested in multimedia authentication and audio pirating control. The theoretical descriptions of the watermarking techniques are augmented by MATLAB implementations to ease understanding of the watermarking principles. A GUI demonstration program for watermarking embedding and extraction under different attacks is also provided to quickly surf through the different aspects of the watermarking attributes.

Book Motivations and Objectives

Motivated by the booming of the digital media applications, plenty of research has been conducted to investigate the methods of audio watermarking for copyrights protection. However, clear and easy to follow information about the audio watermarking subject are still not widely available and scattered among many publications. Currently, it is hard to find an easy pathway to develop research in this field. One main reason to this difficulty is that most of the works are bounded by IP or patent constraints. On the implementation side it is still hard to find or write the implementation programs for the known audio watermarking techniques

to see how the algorithms work. This book is introduced to establish a shortcut to get into this interesting field with minimal efforts. The commonly known techniques are well explained and supplemented with MATLAB codes to get a clear idea about how each technique performs. In addition, the reader can reproduce the functional figures of the book with provided MATLAB scripts written specifically for this purpose.

From the robustness and security perspectives, the commonly used audio watermarking techniques have limitations on the resistance to various attacks (especially desynchronization attacks) and/or security against unauthorized detection. Thus, in this book we develop new robust and secure audio watermark algorithm; it is well explained and implemented in MATLAB environment. This algorithm can embed unperceivable, robust, blind, and secure watermarks into digital audio files for the purpose of copyrights protection. In the developed algorithm, additional requirements such as data payload and computational complexity are also taken into account and detailed.

Apart from the improvement of audio watermarking algorithms, another landmark of this book is the exploration of benchmarking approaches to evaluate different algorithms in a fair and objective manner. For the application in copyrights protection, audio watermarking schemes are mainly evaluated in terms of imperceptibility, robustness, and security. In particular, the extent of imperceptibility is graded by perceptual quality assessment, which mostly involves a laborious process of subjective judgment. To facilitate the implementation of automatic perceptual measurement, we explore a new method for reliably predicting the perceptual quality of the watermarked audio signals. A comprehensive evaluation technique is illustrated to let the readers know how to pinpoint the strengths and weaknesses of each technique. The evaluation techniques are supported with tested MATLAB codes.

Furthermore to what we have just stated that this book extensively illustrates several commonly used audio watermarking algorithms for copyrights protection along with the improvement of benchmarking approaches, we may pinpoint the following new contributions of the current book:

- We introduce a spread spectrum based audio watermarking algorithm for copyrights protection, which involves Psychoacoustic Model 1, multiple scrambling, adaptive synchronization, frequency alignment, and coded-image watermark. In comparison with other existing audio watermarking schemes [1–10], the proposed scheme achieves a better compromise between imperceptibility, robustness, and data payload.
- We design a performance evaluation which consists of perceptual quality assessment, robustness test, security analysis, estimations of data payload, and computational complexity. The presented performance evaluation can serve as one comprehensive benchmarking of audio watermarking algorithms.
- We portray objective quality measures adopted in speech processing for perceptual quality evaluation of audio watermarking. Compared to traditional perception modelling, objective quality measures provide a faster and more

efficient method of evaluating the watermarked audio signals relative to host audio signals.

- We analyze methods for implementing psychoacoustic models in the MPEG standard, with the goal of achieving inaudible watermarks at a lower computational cost. With the same level of minimum masking threshold, Psychoacoustic Model 1 requires less computation time than Psychoacoustic Model 2.
- We identify the imperceptibility, robustness, and security characteristics of audio watermarking algorithms and further use them as attacks in the process of multiple watermarking.
- We propose the use of variable frame length to make the investigated cepstrum domain watermarking, wavelet domain watermarking, and echo hiding robust against time-scale modification.

Organization of the Book

The chapters in this book are organized as follows.

Chapter 1 provides an overview of digital watermarking technology and then opens a discussion on audio watermarking for copyrights protection.

Chapter 2 describes the principles of psychoacoustics, including the anatomy of the auditory system, perception of sound, and the phenomenon of auditory masking. Then two psychoacoustic models in the MPEG-1 standard, i.e., Psychoacoustic Model 1 and 2, are investigated. Through comparisons of the masking effect and the computational cost, the minimum masking threshold from Psychoacoustic Model 1 is chosen to be used for amplitude shaping of the watermark signal in Chap. 4.

Chapter 3 begins with the implementation specifications for perceptual quality assessment and the basic robustness test used in this chapter. Then it describes and evaluates several algorithms for audio watermarking, such as least significant bit modification, phase coding, spread spectrum watermarking, cepstrum domain watermarking, wavelet domain watermarking, echo hiding, and histogram-based watermarking. In the meantime, possible enhancements are exploited to improve the capabilities of some algorithms.

Chapter 4 presents a spread spectrum based audio watermarking algorithm for copyrights protection, which uses Psychoacoustic Model 1, multiple scrambling, adaptive synchronization, frequency alignment, and coded-image watermark. The basic idea is to embed the watermark by amplitude modulation on the time–frequency domain of the host audio signal and then detect the watermark by normalized correlation between the watermarked signal and corresponding secret keys.

In Chap. 5, the performance of the proposed audio watermarking algorithm is evaluated in terms of imperceptibility, robustness, security, data payload, and computational complexity. The evaluation starts with perceptual quality assessment, which consists of the subjective listening test (including the MUSHRA test and SDG rating) and the objective evaluation test (including the ODG by PEAQ and

the SNR value). Then, the basic robustness test and the advanced robustness test (including a test with StirMark for Audio, a test under collusion, and a test under multiple watermarking) are carried out. In addition, a security analysis is followed by estimations of data payload and computational complexity. At the end of this chapter, a comparison between the proposed scheme and other reported systems is also presented.

Chapter 6 presents an investigation of objective quality measures for perceptual quality evaluation in the context of different audio watermarking techniques. The definitions of selected objective quality measures are described. In the experiments, two types of Pearson correlation analysis are conducted to evaluate the performance of these measures for predicting the perceptual quality of the watermarked audio signals.

Auckland, New Zealand
Auckland, New Zealand

Yiqing Lin
Waleed H. Abdulla

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Information Hiding: Steganography and Watermarking	1
1.2	Overview of Digital Watermarking	3
1.2.1	Framework of the Digital Watermarking System	4
1.2.2	Classifications of Digital Watermarking	5
1.2.3	Applications of Digital Watermarking	7
1.2.3.1	Copyrights Protection	7
1.2.3.2	Content Authentication	7
1.2.3.3	Broadcast Monitoring	7
1.2.3.4	Copy Control	8
1.3	Audio Watermarking for Copyrights Protection	8
1.3.1	Requirements for the Audio Watermarking System	8
1.3.1.1	Imperceptibility	9
1.3.1.2	Robustness	9
1.3.1.3	Security	9
1.3.1.4	Data Payload	9
1.3.1.5	Computational Complexity	10
1.3.2	Benchmarking on Audio Watermarking Techniques	10
1.3.2.1	Perceptual Quality Assessment	11
1.3.2.2	Robustness Test	12
1.3.2.3	Security Analysis	13
2	Principles of Psychoacoustics	15
2.1	Physiology of the Auditory System	15
2.1.1	The Outer Ear	16
2.1.2	The Middle Ear	17
2.1.3	The Inner Ear	19
2.2	Sound Perception Concepts	22
2.2.1	Sound Pressure Level and Loudness	22
2.2.2	Hearing Range and Threshold in Quiet	23
2.2.3	Critical Bandwidth	24

2.3	Auditory Masking	27
2.3.1	Simultaneous Masking	27
2.3.1.1	Narrowband Noise Masking Tone	28
2.3.1.2	Tone Masking Tone	30
2.3.1.3	Narrowband Noise or Tone Masking Narrowband Noise	31
2.3.2	Nonsimultaneous Masking	32
2.3.2.1	Pre-masking	32
2.3.2.2	Post-masking	33
2.4	Psychoacoustic Model	33
2.4.1	Modelling the Effect of Simultaneous Masking	33
2.4.1.1	Models for the Spreading of Masking	33
2.4.1.2	Implementation of Psychoacoustic Model 1	35
2.4.1.3	Comparison Between Psychoacoustic Model 1 and Model 2	44
2.4.2	Modelling the Effect of Nonsimultaneous Masking	47
2.5	Summary	48
3	Audio Watermarking Techniques	51
3.1	Specifications on Performance Evaluation	51
3.1.1	Audio Test Signals Used for Evaluation	52
3.1.2	Implementation of Perceptual Quality Assessment	53
3.1.3	Implementation of Robustness Test	53
3.1.3.1	Basic Robustness Test	53
3.1.3.2	Advanced Robustness Test	55
3.2	Audio Watermarking Algorithms	56
3.2.1	Least Significant Bit Modification	57
3.2.1.1	Algorithm	57
3.2.1.2	Performance Evaluation	58
3.2.2	Phase Coding	59
3.2.2.1	Algorithm	59
3.2.2.2	Performance Evaluation	60
3.2.3	Spread Spectrum Watermarking	63
3.2.3.1	Algorithm	63
3.2.3.2	Performance Evaluation	65
3.2.4	Cepstrum Domain Watermarking	65
3.2.4.1	Algorithm	68
3.2.4.2	Strategies for Improvement	68
3.2.4.3	Performance Evaluation	74
3.2.5	Wavelet Domain Watermarking	75
3.2.5.1	Algorithm	76
3.2.5.2	Performance Evaluation	77
3.2.6	Echo Hiding	78
3.2.6.1	Algorithm	81

- 3.2.6.2 Performance Evaluation 84
 - 3.2.7 Histogram-Based Watermarking 88
 - 3.2.7.1 Algorithm 88
 - 3.2.7.2 Performance Evaluation 89
 - 3.3 Summary 93
- 4 Proposed Audio Watermarking Scheme** 95
 - 4.1 Preliminaries 95
 - 4.1.1 Selection of Watermarking Regions 96
 - 4.1.2 Structure of the Watermarking Domain 97
 - 4.1.3 Gammatone Auditory Filterbank 100
 - 4.2 Watermark Embedding 101
 - 4.2.1 Embedding Algorithm 101
 - 4.2.2 Multiple Scrambling 103
 - 4.3 Watermark Detection 104
 - 4.3.1 Basic Detection 107
 - 4.3.2 Adaptive Synchronization 111
 - 4.3.3 Frequency Alignment Towards Excessive PITSM
and TPPSM 113
 - 4.3.3.1 Frequency Alignment Against TSM and PSM 113
 - 4.3.3.2 Implementation of Frequency Alignment 114
 - 4.3.3.3 Error Analysis Associated with T_{BER} 116
 - 4.4 Coded-Image Watermark 118
 - 4.5 Summary 120
- 5 Performance Evaluation of Audio Watermarking** 123
 - 5.1 Experimental Setup 123
 - 5.2 Perceptual Quality Assessment 127
 - 5.2.1 Subjective Listening Test 127
 - 5.2.2 Objective Evaluation Test 131
 - 5.3 Robustness Test 132
 - 5.3.1 Error Probability 132
 - 5.3.2 Basic Robustness Test 133
 - 5.3.3 Advanced Robustness Test 139
 - 5.3.3.1 Test with StirMark for Audio 139
 - 5.3.3.2 Test Under Collusion 142
 - 5.3.3.3 Test Under Multiple Watermarking 144
 - 5.4 Security Analysis 151
 - 5.5 Data Payload and Computational Complexity 151
 - 5.5.1 Estimation of Data Payload 151
 - 5.5.2 Estimation of Computational Complexity 153
 - 5.6 Performance Comparison 154
 - 5.7 Summary 157

- 6 Perceptual Evaluation Using Objective Quality Measures** 159
 - 6.1 Perceptual Quality Evaluation 159
 - 6.2 Objective Quality Measures 161
 - 6.3 Experiments and Discussion 164
 - 6.3.1 Audio Watermarking Techniques Default Settings 164
 - 6.3.2 Subjective Listening Tests 165
 - 6.3.3 Objective Evaluation Tests 166
 - 6.3.4 Performance Evaluation Using Correlation Analysis 169
 - 6.4 Summary 175

- A SDMI Standard** 177

- B STEP 2000** 179

- C StirMark for Audio** 181

- D Critical Bandwidth** 185

- E List of Audio Test Files** 187

- F Basic Robustness Test** 189

- G Nonuniform Subbands** 191

- References** 193

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	A generic digital watermarking system	4
Fig. 2.1	Structure of the peripheral auditory system	16
Fig. 2.2	Average pressure levels at auditory canal entrance versus free-field pressure, at six azimuthal angles of incidence. <i>Notes:</i> (1) The sound pressure was measured with a probe tube located at the left ear of the subject. (2) A point source of sound was moved around a horizontal circle of radius 1 m with the subject's head at the center. At $\theta = 0^\circ$, the subject was facing the source, and at $\theta = 90^\circ$, the source was normally incident at plane of left ear	18
Fig. 2.3	Anatomy of the cochlea (a) Relative location of the cochlea in the inner ear (b) Schematic of the unraveled cochlea (c) Cross-section through one cochlea turn	20
Fig. 2.4	Resonant properties of the basilar membrane (a) Envelopes of vibration patterns on the basilar membrane in response to sound of different frequencies (b) Distribution of resonant frequencies along the basilar membrane	21
Fig. 2.5	Equal-loudness contours	23
Fig. 2.6	Hearing range	24
Fig. 2.7	Approximation for the threshold in quiet (a) Frequency on a linear scale (b) Frequency on a logarithmic scale	25
Fig. 2.8	Threshold in quiet on Bark scale	26

Fig. 2.9 Determination of the critical bandwidth (a) The threshold for a narrowband noise 2 kHz centered between two tones of 50 dB as a function of the frequency separation between two tones (b) The threshold for a tone of 2 kHz centered between two narrowband noises of 50 dB as a function of the frequency separation between the cutoff frequencies of two noises..... 26

Fig. 2.10 Two types of masking: simultaneous and nonsimultaneous masking 27

Fig. 2.11 Simultaneous masking 28

Fig. 2.12 Masking thresholds for a 60 dB narrowband noise masker centered at different frequencies 29

Fig. 2.13 Masking thresholds for a 60 dB narrowband noise masker centered at different frequencies in Bark scale..... 30

Fig. 2.14 Masking thresholds from a 1 kHz narrowband noise masker at different SPLs 30

Fig. 2.15 Masking thresholds from a 1 kHz narrowband noise masker at different SPLs in Bark scale..... 31

Fig. 2.16 Masking thresholds from a 1 kHz tonal masker at different SPLs 32

Fig. 2.17 Spreading function in ISO/IEC Psychoacoustic Model 1 35

Fig. 2.18 Comparison of four spreading functions relative to an 80 dB masker 36

Fig. 2.19 Initial and normalized PSD estimates (a) Frequency on linear scale (b) Frequency on Bark scale..... 38

Fig. 2.20 Tonal and nontonal maskers (a) Frequency on a linear scale (b) Frequency on Bark scale..... 40

Fig. 2.21 Individual masking thresholds (a) Frequency on linear scale (b) Frequency on Bark scale..... 43

Fig. 2.22 Global masking threshold and minimum masking threshold (a) Frequency on linear scale (b) Frequency on Bark scale 45

Fig. 2.23 Mapping between spectral subsamples and subbands 46

Fig. 2.24 Comparison of MMTs from Psychoacoustic Model 1 and 2 47

Fig. 2.25 Modelling the effect of post-masking 48

Fig. 3.1 An example of a two-channel stereo signal 52

Fig. 3.2 Host signal and a watermarked signal by LSB modification. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by using $L = 6$ and modifying the third and fourth decimal places. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 58

Fig. 3.3 Host signal and a watermarked signal by the modified phase-coding method. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N = 2,048$ and $n_e = 128$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 62

Fig. 3.4 Block diagram of basic SS watermarking scheme. (a) Embedding process. (b) Detection process 63

Fig. 3.5 Host signal and a watermarked signal by SS watermarking. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N = 4,096$, $n_r = 3$ and $\beta = 0.03$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 66

Fig. 3.6 Block diagram of computing the complex cepstrum and the inverse complex cepstrum. (a) Complex cepstrum $\hat{x}(n) = F^{-1} \{\log(F\{x(n)\})\}$. (b) Inverse complex cepstrum $x(n) = F^{-1} \{\exp(F\{\hat{x}(n)\})\}$ 67

Fig. 3.7 Distributions of R_{one} and R_{zero} under different attacks. Note that these data are produced by watermarking with $N = 4,096$, $\alpha_w = 0.001$, and $n_r = 3$ 70

Fig. 3.8 Host signal and a watermarked signal by cepstrum domain watermarking. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N = 2,048$, $\alpha_w = 0.0015$ and $n_r = 3$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 74

Fig. 3.9 A three-level DWT decomposition and reconstruction. (a) Wavelet decomposition. (b) Wavelet reconstruction. 76

Fig. 3.10 Host signal and a watermarked signal by wavelet domain watermarking. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N = 2,048$, $n_r = 3$, and $\alpha_w = 0.01$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 78

Fig. 3.11 Impulse response of echo kernels. (a) “One” kernel. (b) “Zero” kernel 81

Fig. 3.12 Auto-cepstrum for echo detection 83

Fig. 3.13 Host signal and a watermarked signal by echo hiding. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N = 4,096$, $\alpha = 0.2$, and $n_r = 3$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals .. 85

Fig. 3.14 Host signal and a watermarked signal by histogram-based watermarking. Note that the watermarked signal is produced by watermarking with $N_w = 40$, $\lambda = 2.2$, and $E_h = 1.5$. (a) Host audio signal. (b) Watermarked audio signal. (c) Difference between the watermarked and host audio signals..... 90

Fig. 4.1 Selection of watermarking regions (a) $E_T = 0.01$ (b) $E_T = 0.1$.. 97

Fig. 4.2 Diagram of blocks in the watermarking domain..... 97

Fig. 4.3 Configuration of one block 98




Fig. 4.4 Distribution of the watermark bits and synchronization bit. *Note:* Slots, , , and  are used for embedding B_1 , B_2 , and B_s , respectively 99

Fig. 4.5 Frequency response of a 16-channel GTF 100

Fig. 4.6 Block diagram of watermarking one host frame..... 102

Fig. 4.7 Host signal and a watermarked signal by the proposed scheme. (a) Host signal. (b) Watermark signal. (c) Watermarked signal 104

Fig. 4.8 Block diagram of watermark detection. *Notes:* (1) Basic detection works independently. (2) Adaptive synchronization is an improvement technique for block synchronization. (3) Frequency alignment indicated by dashed lines is an additional solution to excess PITSM and TPPSM..... 106

Fig. 4.9 Illustration of random samples cropping 111

Fig. 4.10 Flowchart of adaptive synchronization..... 112

Fig. 4.11 Duality between TSM and PSM..... 114

Fig. 4.12 Illustration of frequency alignment (a) Positive PSM (b) Negative PSM 115

Fig. 4.13 Coded-image denoising by morphological operations 119

Fig. 4.14 Character recognition by the neural network. (a) Letters “C” “O” “P” “Y” “R” “I” “G” “H” “T”. (b) Noisy coded-image watermark. (c) Recovered coded-image watermark 120

Fig. 5.1 Determination of watermark strength. α_w (a) $\alpha_w = 50$ for *Bass.wav*. (b) $\alpha_w = 100$ for *Pop.wav* 126

Fig. 5.2 Screenshot of the MATLAB GUI for the MUSHRA test. The buttons on the GUI have the following functions: “Load,” load the host audio signal to be evaluated. “Start,” start playing the sound from the beginning. “Pause/Stop,” pause or stop the sound that is currently playing. “Resume,” resume the sound from the pause position. “Save,” save the host signal name and the participant name as well as the registered scores into a .txt file. “Reset,” reset the interface for the next trial 128

Fig. 5.3 Results of the MUSHRA-based subjective listening test..... 130

Fig. 6.1 Objective evaluation via perception modelling 161

Fig. 6.2 Evaluation of PEMO-Q, PEAQ, and EAQUAL 167

Fig. 6.3 Overall correlation coefficients over audio test signals A_1 , A_2 , and A_3 174

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Classifications of digital watermarking.....	5
Table 1.2	Subjective difference grade (SDG)	11
Table 3.1	Results of performance evaluation of LSB modification	59
Table 3.2	Results of performance evaluation of phase coding	62
Table 3.3	Results of performance evaluation of SS watermarking.....	67
Table 3.4	Results of performance evaluation of cepstrum domain watermarking	71
Table 3.5	Results of performance evaluation of wavelet domain watermarking	79
Table 3.6	Results of performance evaluation of echo hiding	86
Table 3.7	Results of performance evaluation of histogram-based watermarking	91
Table 5.1	Results of the SDG-based subjective listening test	131
Table 5.2	Results of the objective evaluation test	131
Table 5.3	Results of error probabilities under $T_{BER} = 20\%$	132
Table 5.4	Results of the basic robustness test on the watermarked <i>Bass.wav</i> signal.....	134
Table 5.5	Results of the basic robustness test on the watermarked <i>Gspi.wav</i> signal.....	135
Table 5.6	Results of the basic robustness test on the watermarked <i>Harp.wav</i> signal	136
Table 5.7	Results of the basic robustness test on the watermarked <i>Pop.wav</i> signal.....	137
Table 5.8	Results of combined attacks on the watermarked <i>Bass.wav</i> signal	139
Table 5.9	Results of StirMark for Audio attacks	141
Table 5.10	Results of the averaging collusion attack.....	144
Table 5.11	Results of multiple self-watermarking.....	146
Table 5.12	Results of inter-watermarking Experiment I	149

Table 5.13 Results of inter-watermarking Experiment II on *Bass.wav* signal 150

Table 5.14 Results of the computational complexity estimation 153

Table 5.15 Performance comparison of different audio watermarking schemes 155

Table 6.1 Comparison of the total computation time (s)..... 168

Table 6.2 Pearson correlation coefficients under our proposed scheme 171

Table 6.3 Pearson correlation coefficients under cepstrum domain watermarking 171

Table 6.4 Pearson correlation coefficients under wavelet domain watermarking 172

Table 6.5 Pearson correlation coefficients under echo hiding 172

Table 6.6 Pearson correlation coefficients under histogram-based watermarking 173

Table A.1 Robustness test items in SDMI 178

Table B.1 Robustness test items in STEP 2000 180

Table C.1 Robustness test items in StirMark for Audio 181

Table D.1 Critical bands over the frequency spectrum [11]..... 185

Table E.1 Descriptions of audio test files for performance evaluation 187

Table F.1 Descriptions of basic robustness test 189

Table G.1 Thirty-two nonuniform subbands over the frequency spectrum.. 191

Chapter 1

Introduction

Since the last decade, online distribution of digital multimedia including images, audio, video, and documents has proliferated rapidly. In the open environment, it is easy to get free access to various information resources. Along with the convenience and high fidelity by which digital formatted data can be copied, edited, and transmitted, massive amounts of copyright infringements have arisen from illegal reproduction and unauthorized redistribution, which hinders the digital multimedia industry from progressing steadily [12]. To prevent these violations, the enforcement of ownership management has become an urgent necessity and is claiming more and more attention. As a result, digital watermarking has been proposed to identify the owner or distributor of digital data for the purpose of copyrights protection.

This chapter serves as an overall introduction to the book. First of all, background knowledge on information hiding, focusing on the differences between steganography and watermarking, is presented to ascertain the essence of watermarking. Then an overview of digital watermarking technology, including system framework, classifications, and applications, is introduced. Afterward, we focus on the requirements and benchmarking of audio watermarking for copyrights protection.

1.1 Information Hiding: Steganography and Watermarking

Information hiding is a general concept of hiding data in content. The term “hiding” can be interpreted as either keeping the existence of the information secret or making the information imperceptible [13]. Steganography and watermarking are two important subdisciplines of information hiding. Steganography seeks for ways to make communication invisible by hiding secrets in a cover message, whereas watermarking originates from the need for the copyrights protection of the content [14].

The word *steganography* is derived from the Greek *steganos* & *graphia*, which literally mean “covered writing.” As defined in [13], steganography refers to the practice of undetectably altering a cover to embed a secret message, i.e., conveying hidden information in such a manner that nobody apart from the sender and intended