

Javier Bajo Perez · Juan M. Corchado Rodríguez
Philippe Mathieu · Andrew Campbell · Alfonso Ortega
Emmanuel Adam · Elena M. Navarro · Sebastian Ahrndt
María Moreno · Vicente Julián *Editors*

Trends in Practical Applications of Heterogeneous Multi-agent Systems. The PAAMS Collection

Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing

Volume 293

Series editor

Janusz Kacprzyk, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
e-mail: kacprzyk@ibspan.waw.pl

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/11156>

About this Series

The series “Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing” contains publications on theory, applications, and design methods of Intelligent Systems and Intelligent Computing. Virtually all disciplines such as engineering, natural sciences, computer and information science, ICT, economics, business, e-commerce, environment, healthcare, life science are covered. The list of topics spans all the areas of modern intelligent systems and computing.

The publications within “Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing” are primarily textbooks and proceedings of important conferences, symposia and congresses. They cover significant recent developments in the field, both of a foundational and applicable character. An important characteristic feature of the series is the short publication time and world-wide distribution. This permits a rapid and broad dissemination of research results.

Advisory Board

Chairman

Nikhil R. Pal, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India
e-mail: nikhil@isical.ac.in

Members

Rafael Bello, Universidad Central “Marta Abreu” de Las Villas, Santa Clara, Cuba
e-mail: rbellop@uclv.edu.cu

Emilio S. Corchado, University of Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain
e-mail: escorchado@usal.es

Hani Hagras, University of Essex, Colchester, UK
e-mail: hani@essex.ac.uk

László T. Kóczy, Széchenyi István University, Győr, Hungary
e-mail: koczy@sze.hu

Vladik Kreinovich, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, USA
e-mail: vladik@utep.edu

Chin-Teng Lin, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
e-mail: ctltn@mail.nctu.edu.tw

Jie Lu, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia
e-mail: Jie.Lu@uts.edu.au

Patricia Melin, Tijuana Institute of Technology, Tijuana, Mexico
e-mail: epmelin@hafsamx.org

Nadia Nedjah, State University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
e-mail: nadia@eng.uerj.br

Ngoc Thanh Nguyen, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wroclaw, Poland
e-mail: Ngoc-Thanh.Nguyen@pwr.edu.pl

Jun Wang, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong
e-mail: jwang@mae.cuhk.edu.hk

Javier Bajo Perez · Juan M. Corchado Rodríguez
Philippe Mathieu · Andrew Campbell
Alfonso Ortega · Emmanuel Adam
Elena M. Navarro · Sebastian Ahrndt
María N. Moreno · Vicente Julián
Editors

Trends in Practical Applications of Heterogeneous Multi-agent Systems. The PAAMS Collection

Editors

Javier Bajo Perez
Department of Artificial Intelligence
Technical University of Madrid
Salamanca, Spain

Juan M. Corchado Rodríguez
Faculty of Science
Dept. of Computing Science and Control
University of Salamanca
Salamanca, Spain

Philippe Mathieu
Lille University of Science and Technology
Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

Andrew Campbell
Dartmouth College
Hanover, New Hampshire, USA

Alfonso Ortega
Autonomous University of Madrid
Madrid, Spain

Emmanuel Adam
University of Valenciennes
Valenciennes, France

Elena M. Navarro
University of Castilla-La Mancha
Albacete, Spain

Sebastian Ahrndt
Distributed Artificial Intelligence Lab
Fakultät IV Electrical Engineering and
Computer Science
Technical University of Berlin
Berlin, Germany

María N. Moreno
Universidad de Salamanca
Salamanca, Spain

Vicente Julián
Polytechnic University of Valencia
Valencia, Spain

ISSN 2194-5357

ISBN 978-3-319-07475-7

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-07476-4

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

ISSN 2194-5365 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-07476-4 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014939944

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

PAAMS'14 Special Sessions are a very useful tool in order to complement the regular program with new or emerging topics of particular interest to the participating community. Special Sessions that emphasized on multi-disciplinary and transversal aspects, as well as cutting-edge topics were especially encouraged and welcome.

Research on Agents and Multi-Agent Systems has matured during the last decade and many effective applications of this technology are now deployed. An international forum to present and discuss the latest scientific developments and their effective applications, to assess the impact of the approach, and to facilitate technology transfer, has become a necessity.

PAAMS, the International Conference on Practical Applications of Agents and Multi-Agent Systems is an evolution of the International Workshop on Practical Applications of Agents and Multi-Agent Systems. PAAMS is an international yearly tribune to present, to discuss, and to disseminate the latest developments and the most important outcomes related to real-world applications. It provides a unique opportunity to bring multi-disciplinary experts, academics and practitioners together to exchange their experience in the development of Agents and Multi-Agent Systems.

This volume presents the papers that have been accepted for the 2014 edition in the special sessions: Agents Behaviours and Artificial Markets (ABAM), Agents and Mobile Devices (AM), Bio-Inspired and Multi-Agents Systems: Applications to Languages (BioMAS), Multi-Agent Systems and Ambient Intelligence (MASMAI), Self-Explaining Agents (SEA), Web Mining and Recommender systems (WebMiRes) and Intelligent Educational Systems (SSIES).

We would like to thank all the contributing authors, as well as the members of the Program Committees of the Special Sessions and the Organizing Committee for their hard and highly valuable work. Their work has helped to contribute to the success of the PAAMS'14 event. Thanks for your help, PAAMS'14 wouldn't exist without your contribution.

We thank the sponsors (IBM, JCyL, IEEE Systems Man and Cybernetics Society Spain, AEPIA Asociación Española para la Inteligencia Artificial, AFIA French Association for Artificial Intelligence, CNRS Centre national de la recherche scientifique, AIXIA Associazione Italiana per l'Intelligenza Artificiale), the Local Organization members and the Program Committee members for their hard work, which was essential for the success of PAAMS' 14.

Juan Manuel Corchado
Javier Bajo Perez
PAAMS' 14 Organizing Co-chairs

Organization

Special Sessions

Agents Behaviours and Artificial Markets

Agents and Mobile Devices

Bio-Inspired and Multi-Agents Systems: Applications to Languages

Multi-Agent Systems and Ambient Intelligence

Self-Explaining Agents

Web Mining and Recommender systems

Intelligent Educational Systems

Special Session on Agents Behaviours and Artificial Markets

Philippe Mathieu (Chair)

University of Lille, France

Scientific Committee

Bruno Beaufls

University of Lille1, France

Olivier Brandouy

University of Paris 1, France

Florian Hauser

Austria, Austria

Philippe Mathieu

University of Lille1, France

Adolfo López Paredes

University of Valladolid, Spain

Javier Arroyo

University Complutense Madrid, Spain

Marco Raberto

University of Genoa, Italy

Roger Waldeck

Telecom Bretagne, France

Murat Yildizoglu

University of Bordeaux IV, France

Special Session on Agents and Mobile Devices

Andrew Campbell

Darhmouth College, USA

Javier Bajo

Polytechnic University of Madrid, Spain

Scientific Committee

Antonio Juan Sánchez	University of Salamanca, Spain
Juan Francisco De Paz	University of Salamanca, Spain
Gabriel Villarrubia	University of Salamanca, Spain
Cristian Pinzón	Technical University of Panama, Panama
Montserrat Mateos	Pontifical University of Salamanca, Spain
Luis Fernando Castillo	University of Caldas, Colombia
Miguel Ángel Sánchez	Indra, Spain
Roberto Berjón	Pontifical University of Salamanca, Spain
Encarnación Beato	Pontifical University of Salamanca, Spain
Fernando De la Prieta	University of Salamanca, Spain

Special Session on Bio-Inspired and Multi-Agents Systems:

Applications to Languages

María Dolores Jiménez López	Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Spain
José Luis Montaña Arnaiz	Universidad de Cantabria, Spain
Cesar Luis Alonso	Universidad de Oviedo, Spain
Alfonso Ortega de la Puente	Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain
Marina De la Cruz Echeandía	Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain

Special Session on Multi-Agent Systems and Ambient Intelligence

Antonio Fernández-Caballero (Co-Chair)	University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain
Elena María Navarro (Co-Chair)	University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Scientific Committee

Javier Jaén-Martínez	Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain
M ^a Teresa López-Bona	University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain
Diego López-de-Ipiña	University of Deusto, Spain
Rafael Martínez-Tomás	National Distance Education University, Spain
Paulo Novais	Universidade do Minho, Spain
Juan Pavón	University Complutense Madrid, Spain

Special Session on Self-Explaining Agents

Sebastian Ahrndt (Co-Chair)	Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Johannes Fähndrich (Co-Chair)	Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Benjamin Hirsch (Co-Chair)	Khalifa University, United Arab Emirates

Program Committee

Sebastian Ahrndt	DAI-Labor, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Johannes Fährndrich	DAI-Labor, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Benjamin Hirsch	EBTIC, Khalifa University, United Arab Emirates
Marco Lützenberger	DAI-Labor, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Arkady Zaslavsky	CSIRO, Australian National University, Australia
Michael Kaisers	Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica, Netherlands

Special Session on Web Mining and Recommender systems

María Moreno (Chair)	University of Salamanca, Spain
-------------------------	--------------------------------

Scientific Committee

Ana María Almeida	Institute of Engineering of Porto, Portugal
Yolanda Blanco Fernández	University of Vigo, Spain
Rafael Corchuelo	University of Sevilla, Spain
Chris Cornelis	Ghent University, Belgium
María José del Jesús Díaz	University of Jaén, Spain
Anne Laurent	University of Montpellier 2, France
Vivian López Batista	University of Salamanca, Spain
Joel Pinho Lucas	Mobjoy, Brazil
Constantino Martins	Institute of Engineering of Porto, Portugal
Yong Zheng	DePaul University, USA

Special Session on Intelligent Educational Systems

Juan Miguel Alberola (Co-Chair)	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Elena Del Val (Co-Chair)	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Vicente Julian (Co-Chair)	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Vicente Botti (Co-Chair)	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain

Scientific Committee

Juan Miguel Alberola	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Elena Del Val	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Vicente Julian	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Vicente Botti	Universitat Politecnica de Valencia, Spain
Ricardo Azambuja Silveira	Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil
José Cascalho	Universidade dos Açores, Portugal
César Alberto Collazos Ordóñez	Universidad del Cauca, Colombia
Helder Manuel Ferreira Coelho	Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
Francisco José García Peñalvo	Universidad de Salamanca, Spain
Carina Soledad González	Universidad de la Laguna, Spain
Blair Lehman	University of Memphis, USA
Tingting Li	University of Bath, United Kingdom
Antonija Mitrovic	Comp. Science and Software Engineering, New Zealand
Paulo Novais	Universidade do Minho, Portugal
Andrea Omicini	Alma Mater Bologna, Italy
Carlos Vaz de Carvalho	Instituto politécnico do Porto, Portugal
Laurent Vercouter	INSA de Rouen, France

Contents

Normal Distributions and Multi-issue Negotiation for Service Composition	1
<i>Silvia Rossi, Dario Di Nocera, Claudia Di Napoli</i>	
Strategic Bidding for Electricity Markets Negotiation Using Support Vector Machines	9
<i>Rafael Pereira, Tiago M. Sousa, Tiago Pinto, Isabel Praça, Zita Vale, Hugo Morais</i>	
A Mobile Robot Agent for Gas Leak Source Detection	19
<i>Dani Martínez, Tomàs Pallejá, Javier Moreno, Marcel Tresanchez, Mercè Teixidó, Davinia Font, Antonio Pardo, Santiago Marco, Jordi Palacín</i>	
Integration of Different ERP Systems on Mobile Devices	27
<i>Álvaro Lozano, Ana Belen Gil, Tiancheng Li</i>	
Prediction System of Pollen Allergies in Mobile Devices	37
<i>Daniel Hernández, Ana de Luis, Sigeru Omatu</i>	
A Web-Based Agent-Oriented Approach to Address Heterogeneity in Cooperative Embedded Systems	45
<i>Jean-Paul Jamont, Lionel Médini, Michael Mrissa</i>	
Multi-agent System for Occupational Therapy	53
<i>Amparo Casado, Amparo Jiménez, Javier Bajo, Sigeru Omatu</i>	
A Gaussian Particle Swarm Optimization for Training a Feed Forward Neural Network	61
<i>Haydee Melo, Junzo Watada</i>	

Cluster Analysis of Patients Suffering from Addictions	69
<i>Catherine Combes</i>	
Womb Grammars as a Bio-inspired Model for Grammar Induction	79
<i>Leonor Becerra-Bonache, Verónica Dahl, M. Dolores Jiménez-López</i>	
A Multiagent Approach Using Model-Based Predictive Control for an Irrigation Canal	87
<i>Van Thang Pham, Clément Raïevsky, Jean-Paul Jamont</i>	
Human-Aware Planning: A Survey Related to Joint Human-Agent Activities	95
<i>Sebastian Ahrndt, Johannes Fährdrich, Sahin Albayrak</i>	
Autonomous Agents in Dynamic Environment: A Necessary Volatility of the Knowledge	103
<i>Emmanuel Adam, Emmanuelle Grislin, René Mandiau</i>	
Indoor Location System for Security Guards in Subway Stations	111
<i>Juan Francisco De Paz, Gabriel Villarrubia, Javier Bajo, Gabriel Sirvent, Tiancheng Li</i>	
Mobile-Based Recommendation System in Conferences	121
<i>Daniel Hernández, María Moreno, Sigeru Omatu</i>	
A Multi-agent System for Infrared and Color Video Fusion	131
<i>José Manuel Gascueña, Juan Serrano-Cuerda, José Carlos Castillo, Antonio Fernández-Caballero, María T. López</i>	
The Impact of Recommendation Agents' Type of Voice on Perceived Social Presence, Trust and Users Intentions on an Insurance Website	139
<i>Emna Cherif, Jean-François Lemoine</i>	
Webifying the Computerized Execution of Clinical Practice Guidelines	149
<i>Tiago Oliveira, Pedro Leão, Paulo Novais, José Neves</i>	
The New Competencies Development Level Expertise Method within Intelligent Automated Educational Systems	157
<i>Viktor A. Uglev, Valery A. Ustinov</i>	
Cooperative Robots Used for the Learning Process in the Cooperative Work	165
<i>Yoshua Haim Ovadiah, Gabriel Muñoz Samboni, John Páez Rodríguez</i>	
A Team Formation Tool for Educational Environments	173
<i>Elena del Val, Juan Miguel Alberola, Victor Sanchez-Anguix, Alberto Palomares, M^a Dolores Teruel</i>	
Author Index	183

Normal Distributions and Multi-issue Negotiation for Service Composition^{*}

Silvia Rossi¹, Dario Di Nocera^{2,**}, and Claudia Di Napoli³

¹ Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e Tecnologie dell'Informazione
University of Naples "Federico II", Napoli, Italy
`silvia.rossi@unina.it`

² Dipartimento di Matematica
University of Naples "Federico II", Napoli, Italy
`dario.dinocera@unina.it`

³ Istituto di Calcolo e Reti ad Alte Prestazioni
C.N.R., Napoli, Italy
`claudia.dinapoli@cnr.it`

Abstract. Software negotiation is gaining an increased popularity as a viable approach to establish agreements between service providers and consumers of QoS-aware Service-Based Applications (SBA) composed of services provided by different agents. In most cases, QoS preferences are expressed as end-to-end quality requirements on the whole application, and different service agents have to provide services with QoS values that, once aggregated, have to meet them. In the present work we analyze the properties of a hybrid iterative negotiation mechanism occurring among a composer agent and service provider agents on the QoS attributes of the required SBA. The proposed negotiation relies on normal probability distributions to model service provider agents, and it allows to model single-issue and multi-issue negotiation within the same negotiation framework in terms of adopted concession strategy, utility and protocol.

1 Introduction

The increased popularity of Service Oriented Computing [1] is enhancing the development of Service Based Applications (SBAs), i.e. distributed applications composed of services provided by independent and autonomous providers, in a loosely coupled manner that collectively fulfill a requested task. Usually, users requesting an SBA specify also non-functional requirements, referring to Quality

^{*} The research leading to these results has received funding from the EU FP7-ICT-2012-8 under the MIDAS Project (Model and Inference Driven - Automated testing of Services architectures), Grant Agreement no. 318786, and the Italian Ministry of University and Research and EU under the PON OR.C.HE.S.T.R.A. project (Organization of Cultural HERitage for Smart Tourism and Real-time Accessibility).

^{**} Ph.D. scholarship funded by Media Motive S.r.l., Napoli - Italy, POR Campania FSE 2007-2013.

of Service (QoS) attributes of the application, that need to be fulfilled by the providers of the component services. Typical examples of QoS attributes are price, response time, reliability, reputation, and so on. Users may not need to be part of the composition process as long as the functional and non-functional requirements they specify are satisfied [2]. Negotiation mechanisms were shown to be a suitable approach to deal with QoS-aware SBAs [2,3,4], allowing to create composition of services that meet the users QoS requirements. Negotiation occurs on the QoS attributes of the services composing the application that represent the issues of negotiation.

However, practical negotiation mechanisms for SBA applications must be computationally efficient [4], and negotiation strategies should be developed based on the assumption of bounded rather than perfect rationality [5]. Moreover, negotiation protocols for these applications have to be more complex than traditional bilateral negotiation. In most cases, when dealing with QoS-aware SBAs, there are multiple QoS attributes representing non-functional characteristics of the SBA component services, so negotiation has to be modeled as a multi-issue one. While one-issue negotiation is widely studied in literature, negotiation on multiple-issue is less mature [6]. Moreover, the tractability requirement is fundamental in order to apply negotiation mechanisms when services are made available on the market to end users with QoS values depending on market trends. In such settings, service applications providers and consumers have to engage in interactions easy to model and that quickly converge to an agreement.

In this paper we show that negotiation in SBAs is inherently multi-issue even in the case of a single issue negotiation. In fact, also in the case of one QoS attribute for each service composing the application, the QoS value of the complete application, that have to meet the end-to-end constraint required by the user, is obtained by composing the single QoS values provided by the different component services. In particular, we show that when dealing with composition of services, the same utility functions and strategies used to model a negotiation on r issues, representing the QoS attributes of a single service, can be used for the case of one-issue negotiation for r services composing the application. This means that the complexity of the negotiation for SBAs depends on the number of issues and on the number of services composing the SBA in an uniform way. Finally, we show that non linear utility functions, as well as concession strategies, can be modeled through the use of normal distributions with an uniform strategy approach. Normal distributions convolution properties when scaling up in dimensions, allow to deal with computational tractability requirements necessary in real market of services. These properties allow to use the same negotiation mechanism in terms of protocols, strategies and utilities for both single issue and multi-issue negotiation, when dealing with composition of services. Furthermore, the use of normal distributions allows to simulate the stochastic behaviour of service providers with zero-intelligence that can be used to approximate the trends of a volatile and open market of services [7]. Stochastic behavior can be often observed in practical multi-agent negotiation applications [8].

2 One-to-Many-to-Many Asymmetric Negotiation

In many real market situations, provider agents may adopt negotiation strategies to formulate offers, while composer may only have range of acceptance (in some case flexible) on the complete set of offers for the SBA QoS attributes they require. They are not able to provide single counteroffers for each service, but they can only evaluate acceptable and unacceptable offers for the complete package. So, symmetric protocols requiring a strong symmetry between composers and providers [9] are not appropriate.

In [3], we proposed a *one-to-many-to-many* negotiation mechanism allowing only the provider agents to formulate new offers for the issue to be negotiated upon, and only the composer agent (acting on behalf of the user) to evaluate them both individually and globally. The rationale of this choice is that offers for a single functionality cannot be evaluated independently from the ones received for the other functionalities when the value of the issue to be negotiated upon results from the composition of the values provided by the component services. In fact, in such a case, when the value of the issue provided by one services changes, also the values of the same issue provided by the other component services have to change accordingly in order to meet the user's constraint. The protocol of the negotiation is based on an Iterative Contract Net Protocol. It allows a composer agent to negotiate separately with all the agents available for each service, and it may be iterated for a variable number of times (*rounds*) until a *deadline* is reached or the negotiation is successful. A successful negotiation occurs if a complete set of offers, one for each service composing the requested SBA, is accepted.

3 Single and Multi-issue Strategy for the Provider

In order to prepare an offer, an agent uses a set of tactics to generate new values for each negotiated issue [10]. In fact, agents must be provided with strategies to formulate offers and they must be equipped with algorithms to evaluate their utilities for the offers. This is done by evaluating an offer in terms of agent utility with respect to the offer. For each provider, a negotiation strategy on a single parameter (q_1) can be modeled by a Gaussian function [3], as shown in Figure 1a. Such distribution is used both to map values of the single QoS into an utility value for the provider, but also as a strategy to select concession values of the utility. In particular, the Gaussian function represents the probability distribution of the offers in terms of the provider's utility as follows: $U_x(q_1) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q_1-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2\right)$.

As shown in Figure 1a, the mean value of the Gaussian μ represents the best offer the provider agent may propose in terms of its own utility ($U(\mu) = 1$), but, at the same time, the QoS value with the highest probability to be selected. The standard deviation σ represents the attitude of the provider to concede during negotiation (i.e. greater deviation corresponds to higher concession rate), and the




Fig. 1. Utilities functions and Gaussian distributions

reservation value (*ResValue*) is set equal to $\mu - \sigma$. In this way the reservation value for a provider is related to its concession strategy, and it is also a value with a known probability to be selected according to its probability distribution. The negotiation set (i.e. the negotiation space for the provider) to be considered is only $[\mu - \sigma; \mu]$ (or $[\mu; \mu + \sigma]$), so only the white (or grey) section of Figure 1a is considered. Values of the utility function are in the domain $[0,1]$, i.e. it is normalized so the values of the QoS attributes are evaluated according to the same scale in order to avoid inaccurate evaluation due to different measurement metrics used for different QoS attributes.

At each negotiation round, a provider generates, following its probability distribution, a new utility value corresponding to a new offer. In order to follow a monotonic concession protocols, if the utility value of this new offer is lower than the one offered in the previous round and within the negotiation set, then the provider proposes the new value. If this value is greater than the one offered in the previous round, or it is outside the negotiation set, the provider proposes the same value offered in the previous round. This strategy allows to simulate different and plausible behaviours of providers that prefers not having a constant loss in utility, even though by increasing the number of negotiation rounds the probability for the provider to move towards its reservation value increases.

The chosen function was shown to be both time and resource dependent. In fact, it takes into account both the *computational load* of an agent, driving its attitude to concede, and the *computational cost* of the provided service corresponding to its best utility value. The computational load of the provider accounts for its workload in terms of the amount of resources it has to provide the service implementations it committed to deliver; while the time dependency is intrinsic in the use of a probabilistic function.

In this work, we show that the negotiation strategy for one-issue negotiation with multiple providers of different services, can be easily extended to multi-issues cases, and that such strategy has relevant properties in the negotiation




Fig. 2. Negotiation spaces

process. In the multi-issue case, instead of the mono-dimensional Gaussian function used for one-issue negotiation, a multi-dimensional one is adopted. It models both provider’s utility, and its attitude to concede by providing offers varying on the Gaussian function. The provider’s utility is modeled as follows:

$$U_x(q_1, \dots, q_r) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 \right) \right]$$

where, for each issue q_i , σ_i models the concession attitude when all the other $r - 1$ issues are kept fixed, and μ_i s are the values for the issues corresponding to the best utility ($U(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r) = 1$) for the providers. Values of the utility function are still in the domain $[0,1]$, that is one dimensional (see Figure 1b).

This general representation allows on one hand to model an utility function with non linear dependencies among different issues, but at the same time allows to model a “probabilistic” concession strategy that takes into account different concessions attitudes (σ_i) on different issues. Starting from this multidimensional Gaussian function, an utility level corresponds to an indifference curve, that includes a combination of values, one for each issue, having the same utility value for the provider. In Figure 2a we show different negotiation spaces (section of ellipses) generated for different values of U_x . Such spaces correspond to a negotiation domain that is rational and strictly convex (properties widely applied in economics) [6]. Differently from the one-issue case, here the agent can do trade-offs between values with the same utility. Tradeoffs in a continuous space may become intractable. Efficient heuristics to find pareto or quasi-pareto optimal solutions exist, but such approaches rely on the availability of counteroffers from the other agents [6].

Moreover, the agent can concede in utility selecting a new negotiation space. When conceding in utility the agent fixes $r - 1$ issues and makes a concession on a single issue. Convexity of the utility function ensures that the agent preference on each issue is monotone when fixing the others. So, if the value increases (or decreases), the utility always decreases (or increases). In the multi-issue case, as in the one-issue case, the provider agent generates a new value of utility

corresponding to a new offer following its normal distribution. For example, in Figure 2a the rightmost curve represents points for a starting constant value of utility: $U_x(p, t) = \text{const}$, with $p \in [P_m, P_M]$ and $t \in [T_m, T_M]$. Fixing $t = T_M$ (for the *issue*₂ in Figure 2a) the agent selects a new value for p (for the *issue*₁ in Figure 2a) from a single issue normal distribution $p = P_{m'}$. To this new set corresponds a new marginal utility value ($U_x(P_{m'}, T_M)$), where $U_x(P_{m'}, T_M) < U_x(P_m, T_M)$.

4 Single Issue Negotiation for Service Composition

In this section we show that the utility functions and strategies used to model a negotiation on r issues for each service of an SBA, are the same as for the case of a single issue negotiation for r services when the value of the single issue of the required SBA is given by the aggregation of the r component values provided by each service of the r services in the SBA. The aggregation function depends on the considered issue. When the issue is additive, as considered in this paper, and each component value is modeled as a normal distribution, such aggregation function is a convolution of their probability distributions.

As an example, here we consider the case of an SBA composed of 2 services S_1 and S_2 , where the issue under negotiation is the price given by the sum of p_1 and p_2 , that are respectively the QoS price values for S_1 and S_2 , and the end-to-end QoS user's requirement is the global price (*globalPrice*) for the complete application ($p_1 + p_2$). Since the values of the two variables p_1 and p_2 vary according to normal distributions $f_{S_1}(p_1)$, $f_{S_2}(p_2)$, then the distribution of the variable $z(p_1, p_2) = p_1 + p_2$ is still a normal distribution obtained as the convolution of $f_{S_1}(p_1)$ and $f_{S_2}(p_2)$:

$$(f_{S_1} * f_{S_2})(z) = \int f_{S_2}(z - p_1) f_{S_1}(p_1) dp_1 = \exp \left[-\frac{(z - (\mu_{S_1} + \mu_{S_2}))^2}{2(\sigma_{S_1}^2 + \sigma_{S_2}^2)} \right]$$

Hence, this convolution can be used to evaluate the distance of the end-to-end QoS requirements from the aggregated QoS values received at a given round as in the case of a multi-issue negotiation with one single service.

In Figure 2b, the corresponding negotiation space is depicted. The dotted curves represent the projection, in a bi-dimensional space, of the section of the Gaussian resulting from the convolution of the two Gaussian functions $f_{S_1}(p_1)$ and $f_{S_2}(p_2)$ obtained by intersecting the Gaussian with a plane representing a constant utility; the points on the dotted curves represent the aggregated price obtained by a combination of offers received at round t ; the line represents the end-to-end QoS constraint, i.e. $p_1 + p_2 = \text{globalPrice}$.

The compositor agent adopts the same strategy for both one-issue and multi-issue negotiation evaluating an Euclidean distance between the aggregated value of the received offers and the QoS end-to-end constraint. For one-issue negotiation in a composition of r services, such distance is calculated in a r -dimensional space. The same is for a multi-issue negotiation on r issues with one service. In both cases, the compositor accepts the offers when the distance is equal to 0.