

SPRINGER BRIEFS IN CRIMINOLOGY  
TRANSLATIONAL CRIMINOLOGY

Lorraine Mazerolle · Elise Sargeant  
Adrian Cherney · Sarah Bennett  
Kristina Murphy · Emma Antrobus  
Peter Martin

# Procedural Justice and Legitimacy in Policing

 Springer

# SpringerBriefs in Criminology

## *Series Editors*

Cynthia Lum

Christopher Koper

George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

## *Editorial Board*

John Laub, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

Laurie O. Robinson, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

David Weisburd, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA

The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/10159>

Lorraine Mazerolle • Elise Sargeant  
Adrian Cherney • Sarah Bennett  
Kristina Murphy • Emma Antrobus • Peter Martin

# Procedural Justice and Legitimacy in Policing

 Springer

Lorraine Mazerolle  
Institute for Social Science Research  
University of Queensland  
St Lucia  
Queensland  
Australia

Kristina Murphy  
School of Criminology and Criminal Justice  
Griffith University  
Mt Gravatt  
Queensland  
Australia

Elise Sargeant  
Institute for Social Science Research  
University of Queensland  
St Lucia  
Queensland  
Australia

Emma Antrobus  
Institute for Social Science Research  
University of Queensland  
St Lucia  
Queensland  
Australia

Adrian Cherney  
School of Social Science  
University of Queensland  
St Lucia  
Queensland  
Australia

Peter Martin  
Operations Support Command  
Queensland Police Service  
Brisbane  
Queensland  
Australia

Sarah Bennett  
Institute for Social Science Research  
University of Queensland  
St Lucia  
Queensland  
Australia

ISSN 2192-8533

ISSN 2192-8541 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-04542-9

ISBN 978-3-319-04543-6 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-04543-6

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014931689

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the funding and in-kind support from the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence in Policing and Security (CEPS), the Institute for Social Science Research (ISSR) at the University of Queensland, the Queensland Police Service (QPS), the UK National Policing Improvement Agency (NPIA), George Mason University (USA) and the Campbell Collaboration. We note that the NPIA and George Mason University provided funding, respectively, for the systematic search and systematic review on legitimacy in policing. CEPS provided funding for the Queensland Community Engagement Trial (QCET), with Queensland Police providing enormous in-kind support and goodwill to implement the trial. Support and review guidance from the Campbell Collaboration (especially Professor David Wilson, Professor David Weisburd and Dr Charlotte Gill) is acknowledged, along with the extensive comments provided by the Campbell Collaboration reviewers for the title, protocol and final report. This monograph draws heavily from the authors' collective experiences of both the research processes and findings of the systematic search, the systematic review and QCET.

In addition to the in-kind support provided by the QPS, we acknowledge and thank specific members of the QPS for their leadership throughout QCET, in particular Assistant Commissioners Peter Martin and Ann Lewis, Kim Adams, Deputy Commissioner Brett Pointing, Commissioner Ian Stewart, Superintendents Tonya Carew, Ron Cooper and Tony Rand, Inspectors Pete Hosking and Shaun Dinon, and Senior Sergeants Stephen Peck and Neale Stonely. We thank these members for all of their support for QCET above and beyond the call-of-duty both during the development and then implementation of QCET. We also appreciate the efforts of the Metropolitan South Region traffic officers who took part in the trial. We note that the views expressed in this material are those of the authors and are not those of the Queensland Police Service. Responsibility for any errors of omission or commission remains with the authors. The Queensland Police Service expressly disclaims any liability for any damage resulting from the use of the material contained in this publication and will not be responsible for any loss, howsoever arising, from use or reliance on this material.

The production of this monograph as well as the work that went into QCET, the systematic search and the systematic review would not have been possible without a small army of dedicated research staff. The authors thank (in alphabetical order) Sarah-Ann Burger, Jacqueline Davis, Elizabeth Eggins, Patricia Ferguson, Dr Angela Higginson, Linzie Jones, Dr Matthew Manning, Professor Kerrie Mengersen, Dr Silke Meyer, Jen Owens, Jegar Pitchforth, Phyllis Schultze, Adele Somerville, Dr Gentry White, Harley Williamson and Renee Zahnow. Finally, we thank Professor Cynthia Lum for providing the opportunity for us to put together this monograph.

# Contents

<b>1 Why Police Should Care About Procedural Justice and Legitimacy</b> .....	1
Introduction .....	1
Defining PJ and Police Legitimacy .....	2
Ten Reasons Why PJ and Legitimacy Matter to Police .....	3
Summary .....	10
What Is to Follow .....	11
<b>2 Getting a Little More PJ in Your Day</b> .....	13
Introduction .....	13
Gathering the Studies .....	13
Community Policing .....	14
Problem-Oriented Policing .....	22
Hot-Spots Policing .....	24
Standard Enforcement Model of Policing .....	25
Summary Comments .....	26
<b>3 Outcomes of PJ Policing</b> .....	27
Introduction .....	27
Outcomes of PJ in Community Policing .....	27
Outcomes of PJ in RJ Conferencing .....	29
Outcomes of PJ in School-Based Policing .....	30
Outcomes of PJ in Problem-Oriented Policing .....	30
Summary Comments .....	32
<b>4 PJ Dialog for Frontline Police: Introducing QCET</b> .....	33
Introduction .....	33
The Starting Point of QCET .....	34
What is Dialog? .....	35
Development of QCET Script .....	36
QCET Roll Out .....	39

Key Findings from QCET ..... 40

Future Directions ..... 41

**5 Trials and Tribulations of Doing PJ: The Black Box of QCET ..... 43**

Introduction ..... 43

Implementation Challenges of Doing PJ ..... 44

Securing Police Support for the Trial ..... 45

Achieving Ownership and Winning Support Among the Rank and File ... 46

Integrating PJ into Existing Practice ..... 46

Resistance, Legal Challenges, and the Impact on Officer Behavior ..... 48

Maintaining the Integrity of the PJ Encounter ..... 50

Public and Media Reactions ..... 51

Summary Comments ..... 52

**6 Barriers to Implementing PJ ..... 55**

Introduction ..... 55

External and Political Barriers ..... 56

Organizational Barriers ..... 57

Individual Barriers ..... 59

Community Barriers ..... 60

Summary Comments ..... 63

**7 Scaling Up and Expanding Out: Future Directions for PJ in Policing ..... 65**

Introduction ..... 65

Organizational Support and Compatibility ..... 65

Training ..... 67

Replications of QCET ..... 69

Concluding Comments ..... 70

**References ..... 71**



# List of Figures

<b>Fig. 4.1</b> Percentages of respondents who were satisfied and complied during RBT encounters .....	41
<b>Fig. 5.1</b> Officer survey (N=175): Thinking about today's RBT, do you think you were approachable and friendly? .....	49
<b>Fig. 5.2</b> Officer survey (N=167): Thinking about today's RBT, do you think you were fair? .....	50