

Kimberly A. Davis
Stanley H. Rosenbaum
Editors

Surgical Metabolism

The Metabolic Care
of the Surgical Patient

 Springer

Surgical Metabolism

Kimberly A. Davis • Stanley H. Rosenbaum
Editors

Surgical Metabolism

The Metabolic Care
of the Surgical Patient

 Springer

Editors

Kimberly A. Davis, MD, MBA
FACS, FCCM
Department of Surgery
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, CT, USA

Stanley H. Rosenbaum, MA, MD
Department of Anesthesiology
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, CT, USA

ISBN 978-1-4939-1120-2 ISBN 978-1-4939-1121-9 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-1121-9
Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014943503

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

This work is dedicated to the memory of

Warren B. Davis

and

Paula E. Hyman

Preface

The modern specialist in the treatment of surgical disease must be an expert in more than the traditional skills of cutting and sewing. Our patients are highly complex biochemical systems, with many active metabolic pathways, each with diverse requirements and varied methods of support. In preparing this work, we stepped back from an approach based on explicit diseases or anatomical approaches, and considered the metabolic needs of the patient as primary. We hope to have achieved our goal of creating a textbook that supplements the more traditional approaches and provides the basis in metabolism for an access to surgical knowledge and education.

We must acknowledge the classic text, with a title similar to ours by Dr. Francis Moore, now long out of print. Though we had no direct publishing connection to Dr. Moore's work, it was the inspiration for this book, as well as, of course, the inspiration for much of the modern thinking on the many metabolic aspects of surgical disease. Since that era, surgical science has advanced tremendously, as clinicians have learned about nutrition, then specific metabolic pathways, and mostly recently genetics-based science.

There have been many great contributors to our subject in the two generations since Dr. Moore's era. We would not attempt to be complete in our recognition of all the masters who have made important advances. But we would like to mention our former colleagues and mentors, Dr. Stanley Dudrick and Dr. John Kinney, who both advanced the field and had many intellectual progeny who also contributed.

This volume could not have been produced without the extensive efforts by our many contributors. We would also like to thank our academic chairs at Yale University School of Medicine, Dr. Robert Udelsman of the Department of Surgery and Dr. Roberta Hines of the Department of Anesthesiology. This work could not have been done without the fine efforts of Ms. Elise Paxson from the Springer publishing team.

New Haven, CT, USA

Kimberly A. Davis
Stanley H. Rosenbaum

Contents

1 Introduction to Metabolism	1
Christy M. Lawson, Chandler A. Long, Reagan Bollig, and Brian J. Daley	
2 Fluid and Electrolytes	23
Bishwajit Bhattacharya and Linda L. Maerz	
3 Acid–Base Physiology	41
Suresh Agarwal and Amy E. Liepert	
4 Starvation	71
Charles Weissman and Rawhi Hashem	
5 Metabolism in the Trauma Patient	97
Stephanie Gordy and Rosemary A. Kozar	
6 Burns	111
Michael J. Mosier and Richard L. Gamelli	
7 Obesity	127
Roland N. Dickerson	
8 Malignancy	147
Laleh G. Melstrom, Vadim Koshenkov, and David A. August	
9 Sepsis and Nutrition	167
Laura J. Moore and Frederick A. Moore	
10 Intestinal Failure	183
Douglas L. Nguyen, Philbert Y. Van, Stephen A. McClave, and Robert G. Martindale	
11 Enteral Nutrition	199
Eric H. Bradburn and Bryan Collier	
12 Parenteral Nutrition	213
Vivian M. Zhao and Thomas R. Ziegler	
13 Considerations in Organ Failure	225
Carlos Ortiz-Leyba	

14 Endocrine Perturbations in Critical Illness	247
Grace S. Lee, Silvio E. Inzucchi, and Elizabeth H. Holt	
15 Geriatric Considerations	273
Ryan A. Lawless and Michael D. Pasquale	
Index	285

Contributors

Suresh Agarwal, MD, FACS, FCCM, FCCP Department of Surgery, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA

David A. August, MD Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

Bishwajit Bhattacharya, MD Department of Surgery, Section of Trauma, Surgical Critical Care and Surgical Emergencies, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Reagan Bollig, MD Department of General Surgery, University of Tennessee Medical Center Knoxville, Knoxville, TN, USA

Eric H. Bradburn, MS, DO, FACS Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine, Carilion Roanoke Memorial Hospital, Roanoke, VA, USA

Bryan Collier, DO, FACS, CNSC Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine, Carilion Roanoke Memorial Hospital, Roanoke, VA, USA

Brian J. Daley, MD, MBA, FACS Division of Trauma and Critical Care, Department of Surgery, University of Tennessee Medical Center, Knoxville, TN, USA

Roland N. Dickerson, PharmD, FCCP, FASHP, FCCM University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA

Richard L. Gamelli, MD, FACS The Robert J. Freeark Professor of Surgery, Burn and Shock Trauma Institute, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

Stritch School of Medicine, Maywood, IL, USA

Stephanie Gordy, MD, FACS Michael E. DeBakey Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX, USA

Rawhi Hashem, MD Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Hadassah–Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel

Elizabeth H. Holt, MD, PhD Department of Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Silvio E. Inzucchi, MD Section of Endocrinology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Vadim Koshenkov, MD Division of Surgical Oncology, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA
Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

Rosemary A. Kozar, MD, PhD Department of Surgery, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA

Ryan A. Lawless, MD University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA

Christy M. Lawson, MD Division of Trauma and Critical Care, Department of Surgery, University of Tennessee Medical Center, Knoxville, TN, USA

Grace S. Lee, MD Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Endocrinology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

Amy E. Liepert, MD Trauma, Acute Care Surgery, Burn and Surgical Critical Care, Department of Surgery, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI, USA

Chandler A. Long, MD University of Tennessee Medical Center, Knoxville, TN, USA

Linda L. Maerz, MD, FACS Department of Surgery, Section of Trauma, Surgical Critical Care and Surgical Emergencies, Yale School of Medicine, Yale-New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT, USA

Robert G. Martindale, MD, PhD Division of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Stephen A. McClave, MD Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University of Louisville Hospital, Louisville, KY, USA

Laleh G. Melstrom, MD, MS Division of Surgical Oncology, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA
Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

Frederick A. Moore, MD, FACS, MCCM Division of Surgical Critical Care and Acute Care Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Laura J. Moore, MD, FACS Department of Surgery, Division of Acute Care Surgery, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX, USA
Shock Trauma Intensive Care Unit, Memorial Hermann Hospital, Houston, TX, USA

Michael J. Mosier, MD, FACS Loyola Burn Center, Loyola University Chicago, and Stritch School of Medicine, Maywood, IL, USA

Douglas L. Nguyen, MD University of California-Irvine, Orange, CA, USA

Carlos Ortiz-Leyba, MD Head of the Department of Internal Medicine and Critical Care Unit, Hospital Quirón Sagrado Corazón, Sevilla, Spain

Michael D. Pasquale, MD Department of Surgery, University of South Florida College of Medicine, Tampa, FL, USA

Department of Surgery, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Allentown, PA, USA

Philbert Y. Van, MD Division of Trauma, Critical Care and Acute Care Surgery, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Charles Weissman, MD Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Hadassah–Hebrew University Medical Center, Kiryat Hadassah, Jerusalem, Israel

Vivian M. Zhao, PharmD Department of Pharmaceutical Services, Nutrition and Metabolic Support Service, Emory University School of Medicine, Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, GA, USA

Thomas R. Ziegler, MD Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Emory University Hospital Research Unit, Atlanta Clinical and Translational Science Institute (ACTSI), ACTSI Clinical Research Network, Atlanta, GA, USA

Christy M. Lawson, Chandler A. Long,
Reagan Bollig, and Brian J. Daley

Fasting is a great remedie of fever.

John Withals in “A shorte dictionarie most profitable for yong beginners the second tyme corrected, and augmented, with diuerse phrasys, and other things necessarie therevnto added: by Lewys Euans.”

Published 1574 by [In Paules churchyarde, at the signe of the Lucrece, by Thomas Purfoote] in Imprinted at London. Ref: www.openlibrary.org

Introduction

Metabolism is defined as “1. The chemical processes occurring within a living cell or organism that are necessary for the maintenance of life. 2. The processing of a specific substance within the living body” [1]. This definition simplifies a process that occurs at the cellular level in every living being and is the driving process of our

existence. The consumption of energy is the basis of life; an innate and evolutionarily honed drive to maintain homeostasis and fulfill the needs for energy and cellular function.

Derangements in metabolism are present with every disease process and may even be the cause. The quest to understand the exchange of energy at the cellular level and to develop novel techniques to manipulate, restore, or control this exchange is as old as medicine itself. The goal of this chapter is to review the history of our understanding of metabolic processes, to discuss normal cellular metabolism in a healthy subject, and to identify ways in which metabolism is altered in injury and illness.

History of Metabolism

For centuries, man has struggled to understand the basis of life, often coming up with partially correct or wildly fanciful ways to explain the disease in front of them. Many of the basic explanations the ancients propagated about this concept of metabolism and disease came down to foods that cure or harm. Even today, our fascination with food as a way to manipulate the basis processes of life continues—a simple internet search produces countless reports of foods curing disease, reducing impotence, adding muscle, or losing weight. Yet, to end this never-ending search for the “magic” food source or the fountain of youth, the precisely orchestrated chemical and genetically determined metabolic processes

C.M. Lawson, MD (✉)
B.J. Daley, MD, MBA, FACS
Division of Trauma and Critical Care, Department
of Surgery, University of Tennessee Medical Center,
Knoxville, 1924 Alcoa Highway, Box U-11,
Knoxville, TN 37920, USA
e-mail: cmlawson@utmck.edu

C.A. Long, MD
University of Tennessee Medical Center,
Knoxville, TN, USA

R. Bollig, MD
Department of General Surgery, University of
Tennessee Medical Center Knoxville,
Knoxville, TN, USA

at the cellular level must be fully elucidated. Only then can we find specific nutrients or foods that could “cure.”

The historical foundation of surgical metabolism over the centuries has constructed our current understanding. Dating back to the beginning of the Common Era, both the Ayurvedic and Greek “Aristotelian” philosophy of health and disease was based on the states of earth, water, fire and air or some combination of such, and was intimately tied to emotions/senses. This so-called humor physiology was pervasive for millenia [2]. Extensive catalogues of medicinal herbs and behaviors were employed to alter the balance between these humors to promote health and abate disease. Avicenna, a Persian philosopher and physician, applied the concept of humors across the spectrum of health and disease, treating excesses of one humor with removal or reduction of its counterpart. Galen is credited with the letting of blood to release the humors, a practice that lasted through the eighteenth century. In 1628, a new philosophy arose. William Harvey published *Exercitatio Anatomica de Motu Cordis et Sanguinis in Animalibus* (An Anatomical Study of the Motion of the Heart and of the Blood in Animals), where he first described the cardiovascular system as a unit with the heart at the center pumping blood to the remainder of the body. This significant break with the long held practice of humoralism championed by the likes of Hippocrates and Galen was coupled with Harvey’s discovery of circulation and Santorio Sanctorius’ framework of metabolic balance. Sanctorius’ meticulous experiments over years illustrate the difference in weight of ones’ total intake compared to the reduced weight of what one excreted. This dissipation of quantity and mass he attributed to a theory called “insensible perspiration” [3]. These concepts were key in creating the understanding of physiologic conservation of energy and the body’s transformation of nutrients to functional energy through the bloodstream.

Crucial to the understanding of basic metabolism is the concept of oxygen consumption and cellular respiration. Joseph Priestley is credited with the discovery of oxygen gas. In his paper “*Observations on Respiration and the*

Use of the Blood,” Priestley was the first to suggest a relationship between blood and air. Lavoisier, widely regarded as the “father of modern chemistry,” extrapolated on these findings of combustion and respiration in experiments done in collaboration with Pierre-Simon Laplace [4]. The pair not only devised an ice calorimeter to measure the amount of heat created during combustion or respiration, but calculated the carbon dioxide produced by the guinea pig and compared it to the generative process to create carbon dioxide. This characterized respiration as combustion, and this concept was later published in “*On Heat.*” The process of deranged metabolism in response to disease was also a concept that was delineated slowly over time. Louis Pasteur and Fredrich Henle first espoused the germ theory and Lister followed through on the notion of infectious organisms leading to suppuration and sepsis. This basic understanding of the pathogenesis of disease would later become crucial to the understanding of the metabolic response to illness. It would not be until the early twentieth century, however, before metabolism was brought first to the cellular level.

In the twentieth century, the concepts of metabolism and energy exchange in response to stress states were introduced. Sir David Cuthbertson published notable work on the metabolic and nutritional demands of critically ill patients, which still serves as the foundation for current nutritional therapy for the burn, septic, and other critically ill/injured patients [5]. Based on the findings of Lavoisier, indirect calorimetry is a commonly utilized method to estimate energy metabolism through respiratory gas exchange measurements. The carbon dioxide and nitrogen waste from individual’s consumption of oxygen is correlated back to the heat energy generation [6]. This technique is noninvasive, and can be applied to investigate numerous aspects of nutrition, thermogenesis, exercise, and the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases.

James Harris and Francis Benedict published *A Biometric Study of Basal Metabolism in Man* in 1919, which provided a method to estimate an individual’s basal metabolic rate and daily caloric

requirements without quantitative calorimetric measurements. A regression equation was laboriously constructed. Even today, the Harris-Benedict equation allows healthcare professionals to better estimate and provide the metabolic needs of a patient in a practical and cost effective manner.

Though a significant tragedy, the 1942 Coconut Grove night club fire in Boston germinated a host of medical advances in the treatment of post-burn resuscitation, but more importantly illuminated the connection between fluid resuscitation and metabolic demands. The contributions of this body of literature have helped to recognize the stress response to injury, the importance of restoring hemodynamic stability to cellular metabolism, and began illustrating some of the sequela of resuscitation [7].

In the 1960s, Stanley Dudrick and Douglas Wilmore began investigations on the metabolic effects of intravenous nutrition supplementation and its effects on cellular metabolism, developing what they termed total parental nutrition (TPN). Initially, parenteral nutrition (PN) was designed to provide 100 % of an individual's daily caloric needs, and was designed for those who suffered from short bowel syndrome [8]. The utilization of PN has expanded far past the treatment of short bowel syndrome, and it now has specific indications in any individual unable to tolerate enteral feeds. However, over time it was found that the metabolic derangements found particularly in the liver and gut were often detrimental to the patient, and the indications of PN usage have been narrowed appropriately. There were two major seminal papers in the early 1990s regarding PN. Kudsk's work investigated the importance of the route of nutrition and its relationship with septic complications after trauma. His work demonstrated a lower incidence of septic morbidity in patients fed enterally as compared to with PN, with most of the significant complications occurring in the more severely injured patients [9]. The VA cooperative trial looked at the use of perioperative PN and its relationship with serious complications after major abdominal or thoracic surgical procedures in malnourished patients. That trial concluded that preoperative PN should

be limited to only patients that are severely malnourished unless there are other specific indications for its' need [10].

Since the 1970s, significant progress has been made toward the understanding of caloric deficit and protein malnutrition. Malnutrition and metabolic derangements from inadequate caloric provision were identified as common problems within hospitalized patients. The emphasis on caloric deficit gave way to an emphasis on protein energy malnutrition. In 1974, Bistrian and Blackburn published their groundbreaking work entitled *Skeletons in the Closet*. They identified a 50 % incidence of protein energy malnutrition in a modern Boston hospital. From that point forward, we began to better understand malnutrition as a reflection of metabolic derangements associated with stress [11]. Pierre Singer published a body of literature throughout the 1990s and early 2000s on protein catabolism and the turnover of lean body mass in stressed states and the impact this has on wound healing, infection rates, and mortality. Influencing metabolism by provision of goal directed nutritional therapy became the emphasis of many institutional protocols throughout the world.

Throughout the past decade, great strides have been made in the understanding of critical illness and the contributions that adequate, early, and goal directed nutrition can make toward the reduction of morbidity and mortality. In addition to adequate caloric provision, much was published on early goal directed therapy aimed to rapidly resuscitate patients with injury and illness in order to prevent and attenuate metabolic derangements. In 2001, the landmark paper from Rivers et al. entitled *Early Goal Directed Therapy in the Treatment of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock* was published in the New England Journal of Medicine. Emphasis was placed on adequate fluid resuscitation, vasopressor support, maintenance of central venous pressure, and provision of stress dosed steroids in an attempt to support end organ perfusion and minimize the metabolic impact of critical illness [12]. In addition, recognition of the deleterious effects of stress induced hyperglycemia also was heightened. Through work such as Van den Berghe's

Intensive Insulin Therapy in Critically Ill Patients, published in the *New England Journal* in 2001, it was demonstrated that elevation of serum blood glucose levels, as well as profound hypoglycemia, were independent predictors of mortality and infectious complications [13]. The maintenance of serum glucose homeostasis helps to prevent this, demonstrating the importance of attenuating the metabolic response to stress.

The basic understanding of cellular metabolism and its effects on the patient is constantly evolving over time. It has far reaching clinical implications for the practitioner; the understanding of the stress response, of nutritional support, of the resuscitation of illness and injury and the manipulation of the gastrointestinal tract to improve disease outcomes all have their basis in cellular metabolism. As our understanding of the complex mechanisms behind these processes has broadened, we have bettered our ability to care for our patients.

Cellular Metabolism

Mitochondrial Metabolism

In order to maintain functional homeostasis in the body as a whole, metabolism must be regulated at the cellular level. Mitochondria are the main source of energy for eukaryotic cells. They divide by fission, which supports the theory that they originated as symbiotic bacteria. They are rod-shaped organelles approximately 0.2–0.5 μm in diameter, with an outer and inner membrane, each with unique characteristics. The outer membrane contains protein channels, or porins, which allow passage of molecules up to 10 kilodaltons (kDa). The inner membrane is composed almost entirely of proteins. Oxidative phosphorylation takes place here, which generates the electrochemical gradient necessary for proton transport and synthesis of adenosine triphosphate (ATP).

Critical metabolic pathways take place in the mitochondria. Oxidative phosphorylation, which generates the electrochemical gradient necessary for proton transport and synthesis of ATP, occurs

within the mitochondrial membrane. This process is the culmination of the tricarboxylic acid cycle. This is a highly regulated process with numerous components, and it has been found that mitochondrial dysfunction plays a role as an early cellular event in critical illness. Targeted therapies during these times of metabolic stress have demonstrated improvement in mitochondrial function with regards to the electron transport system, oxidative phosphorylation, ATP production, and reduction of oxidative stress [14]. The clinical impact of these findings is only at the point of basic investigation.

Enzyme Function

Enzymes are the workhorse of metabolic pathways. They are necessary to catalyze the innumerable biochemical processes that are vital for the living cell and organism as a whole. Their proper function is dependent on multiple elements including temperature, pH, availability of substrates, and presence of cofactors. The physiologically stressed patient has derangements in virtually all of these variables that can lead to enzyme dysfunction, such as fever, acidosis or severe malnutrition. Additionally, malabsorption secondary to gastrointestinal (GI) tract dysfunction or disease states amplifies the issue for already nutritionally deficient individual by further decreasing substrates and cofactor availability. Further considerations must be made for the patient with enzyme deficiencies, such as those who are lactose intolerant. This can lead to added substrate and cofactor perturbations as well as enzyme dysfunction.

Metabolism of the Body as a Whole

In the stressed state, the body undergoes various physiologic and metabolic changes. A hypermetabolic state ensues after a stress response, such as surgery, trauma, or sepsis, which leads to insulin resistance and hyperglycemia. There is increased oxidative stress at the cellular level and systemic activation of various inflammatory processes that

lead to complex immunologic changes. There is a poor adaptation to starvation, and accelerated turnover of energy stores [15].

Basics of Whole Body Metabolism

The building blocks of the human body are carbohydrates, proteins and fats. These components are used in various ways and with various levels of efficiency by all aerobic cells. Glucose, the preferred fuel of cellular metabolism, is utilized in the well-fed state. Fat is stored for later oxidation when readily available stores of glucose are depleted. Protein, viewed largely structural in nature rather than used for energy purposes, is used as an endogenous energy source during stressed states and periods of altered metabolism. Glucose is the driving force behind energy production, and maintenance of a readily available source of glucose is of primary importance. Glycogen, the immediately available storage form of glucose, is found primarily in the liver and is available for approximately 12–24 h of fasting. After these stores are depleted, the body will then turn to protein and fat stores to produce glucose through gluconeogenesis. If starvation persists, other metabolic changes occur to provide alternate fuels to sustain cellular metabolism. In the stressed state, energy generation is deranged even further, in conjunction with greatly increased metabolic demand.

Energy Expenditure

Total energy expenditure (TEE) is divided into three components in healthy subjects: resting energy expenditure or metabolic rate (REE), energy required for digestion, and energy expenditure associated with activity. Various other things contribute to TEE, including the ambient temperature, vasoconstriction, shivering or stressed states [16]. To measure energy expenditure, one must have a basic knowledge of what each of these three components contribute to the total.

REE, or the basic metabolic rate in the fasting state at rest, represents anywhere from 60 % to 75 % of TEE. REE can be measured using various methods. In general, this measurement is determined by body size and body composition. Gender, age, and race also affect the basal metabolic rate to varying degrees [17].

Digestion is a thermogenic process that contributes around 5 % to 10 % of the TEE. The magnitude of this contribution is determined by a number of factors, including the size of the meal, the components of the meal, the time of day it is eaten, age, and the amount of caffeine or spice within the meal [18].

Physical activity is the most variable component of TEE and is therefore the most difficult to predict. In hospitalized patients, it is generally considered to compose around 5 % to 10 % of TEE. However, this is incredibly variable and can be affected by the activity of the patient, shivering, “thrashing” behavior, or fever [19].

There are various ways to measure energy expenditure. Because the components of TEE are so variable and unpredictable, predicting this reliably and for an extended period of time remains rather difficult in actuality. There are over 200 predictive equations to estimate energy expenditure, and they are often inaccurate or incomplete, resulting in over or underestimation of energy expenditure, especially in stressed states. Measuring energy expenditure frequently is simply not practical or possible.

Estimating Energy Expenditure

Direct Calorimetry

Direct calorimetry measures the exchange and release of heat from a patient. Heat is the basic byproduct of energy metabolism, and is therefore considered a direct measurement of energy expenditure. Direct calorimeters are complicated and sophisticated machines that measure the change in temperature of air or water that is circulated through the walls of a chamber housing the patient. Although most accurate, this technique is not practical in a clinical setting.

Indirect Calorimetry

Indirect calorimetry (IC) is considered by many to be the clinical “gold standard” of the measurement of energy expenditure. IC is certainly the most accurate for determining resting energy expenditure in the critically ill patient. “Metabolic carts” are available in most institutions, and today are often a component of modern computer driven ventilators. IC is most useful in specific disease states in which predictive equations are demonstrated to be unreliable or inaccurate, such as chronic respiratory distress syndrome, acute respiratory distress syndrome, or obesity. IC is also useful to help understand why patients are failing nutritional therapy when fed by standard predictive equations, as in the case of poor wound healing or delayed ventilator weaning, as it provides directed information on whether appropriate energy is being provided. IC is an effective tool in evaluating whether a patient is being over or underfed by measuring respiratory quotients.

Indirect calorimetry calculates REE and the respiratory quotient (RQ) by measuring whole body oxygen and carbon dioxide gas exchange. The accuracy of IC is dependent on many variables, and these must be manipulated to achieve a steady state (see Table 1.1) [20]. Once obtained, the REE gives a good approximation of the patients energy needs at that time.

The RQ helps direct the amount of energy provided, as well as the component composition of carbohydrate, protein, and lipid that is being provided. An RQ of less than 0.82 implies lipid catabolism, usually from underfeeding, and an increase in caloric delivery is warranted. A RQ of greater than 1 indicates pure carbohydrate oxidation and the potential for lipogenesis, usually from overfeeding. This increases the respiratory demand, and the total caloric and carbohydrate delivery should be reduced. A RQ of 0.85 indicates appropriately mixed substrate delivery, which is a sign of appropriate caloric and component provision. Although useful in clinical settings, care must be exercised when interpreting the RQ, as conditions such as diabetes, ketoacidosis, or hypermetabolism from inflammatory states can alter the value significantly [21, 22].

Table 1.1 Factors decreasing accuracy of indirect calorimetry

• Mechanical ventilation changes during the testing session
• FiO ₂ of >60
• Positive end expiratory pressure of >12 cm H ₂ O
• Leaks in the sampling system
• General anesthesia administered within 6–8 h of the study
• Shivering, thrashing, or excessive skeletal muscle contractions
• Acute pain
• Routine health care activities or nursing care given during testing
• Initiation or slowing of administered enteral nutrition during testing
• Acute changes of ventilatory patterns
• Inability to collect all of expiratory flow
• Supplemental oxygen administered to spontaneously breathing patients
• Hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, or continuous renal replacement therapy
• Errors in calibration
• Inadequate length of time for study to be implemented

Adapted from [20]

Predictive Equations

There are many other ways to measure or estimate resting energy expenditure. Body composition measurements can help to estimate this value via water displacement and whole body air displacement plethysmography (ADP) in commercially available machines. These methods are reserved and utilized for outpatient, healthy individuals wishing to gain a better understanding of their metabolic footprint, and has extremely limited usefulness in the inpatient clinical setting.

Numerous equations exist to estimate energy expenditure, and understanding the data needed and the reliability of each formula is important to calculating a sound therapeutic goal. The reverse Fick equation estimates oxygen consumption from the content of oxygen within arterial and mixed venous blood multiplied by cardiac output measurements. However, this requires the placement of a pulmonary artery catheter to obtain data points, and is biased toward underestimation, both contributing to its limited usefulness in the clinical setting [23]. The Harris-Benedict equation predicts

the basal metabolic rate, factoring in variables such as sex and age. This equation is used very commonly; however is limited because it does not take into consideration factors such as body mass index or age and racial diversity of current patient populations. The formulas are as follows [24]:

$$\text{Men Energy expenditure} = 66 + 13.75 (\text{wt in kg}) + 5 (\text{ht in cm}) - 6.8 (\text{age})$$

$$\text{Women Energy expenditure} = 655 + 9.6 (\text{wt in kg}) + 1.8 (\text{ht in cm}) - 4.7 (\text{age})$$

It is common practice to use “adjusted body weight” parameters within the Harris-Benedict equation:

$$\left[(\text{actual body weight} - \text{ideal body weight}) \times 0.25 + (\text{ideal body weight}) \right]$$

This practice will underestimate energy expenditure in most obese patients.

To combat this underestimation of the Harris-Benedict equation in the obese, as well as to take into consideration the changes in metabolism that occur in critical illness, many other equations have been put forth. The most commonly used of these are the Penn State and the Ireton-Jones equations. These equations use modifiers for illness severity, inflammatory states, respiratory demands, body weight and height, and include fluid factors like body temperature and minute ventilation. This improves reliability in critical ill patients.

Ireton Jones for spontaneously breathing patients [25]

$$\text{Energy expenditure} = 629 - 11 (\text{age}) + 25 (\text{actual body weight}) - 609 (\text{BMI} > 27 \text{ 1} = \text{present}, 0 = \text{absent})$$

Ireton Jones for ventilated patients

$$\text{Energy expenditure} = 1784 - 11 (\text{age}) + 5 (\text{actual body weight}) + 244 (\text{sex, male} = 1, \text{female} = 0) + 239 (\text{Diagnosis of trauma } 1 = \text{present}, 0 = \text{absent}) + 804 (\text{BMI} > 27 \text{ 1} = \text{present}, 0 = \text{absent})$$

Penn State [26]

$$\text{REE (kcal/day)} = \text{Harris-Benedict equation} (0.85) + \text{minute ventilation} (33) + \text{Maximum body temperature in 24 h} (175) - 6433$$

To make estimation of energy needs less cumbersome for the bedside practitioner, the

American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition have devised a simple calculation using kcal/kg based on the findings of the various estimation methods. Generally, a range of 20–35 kcal/kg/day is recommended for adults [27]. These requirements can be under or overestimated in patients who are severely critically ill, obese, or failing to respond to energy provided, but are quick and easy to use at the bedside.

Provision of Energy Requirements

Once an accurate estimation of the total energy requirements a patient needs, the next step is to determine what components to provide. A healthy subject generally meets their REE with a carbohydrate: lipid: protein ratio of approximately 50 %: 30 %: 20 %. This ratio changes based on the stress the individual is under and what illnesses or comorbidities they are experiencing [28]. There is also a robust philosophy that protein calories are included in meeting TEE and the limitations on provision of lipids is held at 30 % of TEE and carbohydrates to less than 400 g/day.

Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates are generally the most efficient and readily available form of glucose. The minimal requirement for carbohydrate intake is determined by the brain’s need for glucose. A minimum of 50 g of carbohydrates/day is necessary to avoid ketogenesis. The liver produces approximately 50 % of serum glucose via glycogenolysis and 50 % of serum glucose from gluconeogenesis [29, 30]. The main function of glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis is to maintain plasma glucose homeostasis, usually in the range of 80–120 mg/dL. This level is necessary to supply a constant state of substrate provision to the tissues and organs. The liver plays an integral role in the maintenance of glucose homeostasis during fasting and fed states via production of lipoproteins and glycogen for storage, as well as the breakdown of these storage products to release glucose. Normal blood glucose levels are hormonally regulated through the interplay of cortisol, insulin, and various catecholamines. In illness or injury, peripheral glucose oxidation is slowed. This, coupled with increased circulating levels of stress hor-

mones, causes a stress induced hyperglycemia, contributing to increased protein breakdown from muscles and blunting immune responses [31, 32].

Carbohydrate provision should usually make up no more than 50 % of caloric provision in patients, though this percentage should be altered in specific disease states. Dextrose is the most common carbohydrate form provided in enteral nutrition therapy.

Proteins

While all macronutrients are affected by the metabolic changes associated with the hypermetabolic state, protein catabolism is disproportionately increased [33].

A negative energy balance in critical illness correlates to morbidity [34] and most acutely with loss of lean body mass [33]. Heightened rates of protein catabolism in the stressed state lead to loss of lean body mass. Increased turnover of protein remains unabated after a stress response despite nutritional support that would be considered adequate for a non-stressed individual [33].

There are many factors leading to this increased loss of protein, including mobilization of peripheral stores for gluconeogenesis, production of acute phase reactants, promotion of wound healing via production of cells like fibroblasts, and restoration of acid base balance in the kidney [33]. Support of the immune system via maintenance of gut mucosal integrity is another important source of protein turnover and utilization [35]. Protein turnover is also increased by states such as starvation and prolonged immobilization, both of which are common during critical illness. This heightened and exaggerated catabolism of protein is disproportionate to the overall increase in metabolism as well; we know that provision of nonprotein calories in amounts sufficient to meet energy demands does little to preserve lean body mass and improve nitrogen sparing. In fact, protein requirements can increase to 15–20 % of total calories during times of physiologic stress [36].

Another concept to consider when thinking about protein requirements is the idea of “conditionally essential” amino acids, as mentioned earlier. Certain amino acids which are not considered essential in the

non-stressed state become essential during critical illness because of increased requirements for that particular amino acid. For example, high amounts of tryptophan, phenylalanine, and tyrosine are seen in acute phase proteins, and the increased synthesis of these proteins requires proportionately higher need for these amino acids [37]. Similarly, glutamine, which is normally 50 % of the body’s intracellular free amino acid pool, serves as fuel for rapidly dividing cells and as a nitrogen shuttle between visceral organs and muscle tissue, rapidly decreases in concentration in certain states and exceeds the body’s ability to synthesize it [38, 39]. This alteration in substrate utilization can lead to breakdown of muscle tissue in order to supply these “conditionally essential” amino acids, further enhancing the loss of lean body mass.

Loss of lean body mass and negative nitrogen balance is seen most dramatically in the first 9–12 days following an insult, but even continues to exceed the loss that would be expected based on the increase in resting energy expenditure through the first 21 days [40]. In fact, 16 % of total body protein is lost in that first 21-day period, 67 % of which comes from muscle stores [40]. Loss of lean body mass in critical illness is linked to increased rates of pneumonia, impaired wound healing and prolonged rehabilitation, pressure ulcers, and even mortality [41].

Minimizing the loss of lean body mass and preservation of a positive nitrogen balance is obviously important to reduce the negative impacts of stress catabolism. The question that remains is how do we best achieve this goal? Every patient is different, and different conditions affect this catabolic state differently. For example, minor trauma or surgery has less of an effect on protein turnover than severe sepsis or burns. There are many calculations and methods to assess protein metabolism and nitrogen balance, including assessing nitrogen balance, measuring amino acids directly by sampling directly across tissue beds, measurement of tracers to assess protein degradation, and turnover of individual components, such as urea.

Of these methods, nitrogen balance is the most widely used [33].

Nitrogen balance is best assessed through the quantification of urine urea nitrogen.

$$\text{Nitrogen balance} = \text{Nitrogen intake} - \text{Nitrogen output}$$

Nitrogen output (g/day) = urinary urea nitrogen (mg/100 mL) × urinary volume (L/day) / 100 + 20% of urinary urea losses + 2 g [42]

Calculating this equation requires a 24-h urine collection. In addition, this equation must be adjusted to account for losses from renal dysfunction, stool, ostomy, and fistula losses [15]. These losses, plus incomplete urine collections can make this equation somewhat erratic and cumbersome to use at the bedside. However, in general it is a reliable tool to assess the adequacy of protein delivery.

But, there is a paucity of data to suggest there are clinical benefits related to reduction of net N losses through the provision of protein-rich diets. Additionally, since there is some data implying high amounts of protein may in fact be harmful, there is a significant amount of uncertainty about the optimum amount of protein to provide. Current guidelines from the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN), the European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN), and the Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) recommend 1.5–2.0 g protein/kg/day to patients with moderate to severe stress as a result of trauma, sepsis, or surgery [43–45]. Patients with severe sepsis or burns may require up to 2.5–3 g protein/kg/day [46]. Ongoing assessments of adequate protein delivery, such as urine urea nitrogen and documentation of wound healing, should be performed.

While there is much discussion about the potential benefits of protein provision in the stressed state, there is also the question of whether or not protein intake should be limited in certain disease states. There is concern about the amount of protein to provide patients with chronic liver disease. The concern for hepatic encephalopathy in this patient subset prompts many to restrict dietary protein. This practice can worsen protein calorie malnutrition that is already present in many of these patients and, in turn, worsen outcomes [47]. Most of these patients can tolerate protein without exacerbation of hepatic encephalopathy, and while telologically sensible, branched chain amino acid diets have not significantly impacted management [47].

Protein provision in renal failure is misunderstood. The restriction of protein in these patients

has been the topic of debate for many years. The results of clinical trials are varied, some claiming benefit and others claiming none. The most recent data seems to suggest that a protein-restricted diet could delay the need for hemodialysis and has no harmful effects on nutritional status [48]. Once hemodialysis is started, there is no role for protein restriction.

Fats

Lipids are essential molecules that serve important functions throughout the human body, and are a major form of caloric support, comprising 15–30 % of recommended total energy intake. Depending on the chemical configuration, lipids provide a dense form of calories, at 8.3 kcal/g for medium chain and 9 kcal/g for long chain fatty acids. Similarly, the chemical composition of fatty acids has different effects on inflammation and immune response [49–55]. During times of critical illness or stress, provision of certain formulas can curb the immune response, lower infection, and improve aspects of patient outcomes [56].

Long chain fatty acids (LCFA) are typically composed of 14 or more carbon atoms and are described as saturated or unsaturated based on the degree of hydrogenation and double bonding of the carbon molecules in the chain. Monounsaturated fats have one double bond, where polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have more than one. As the number of double bonds increase and the number of carbon molecules decrease, the more liquid the fat is at room temperature. Thus, animal fats, which are longer, and tend to be less saturated, are solids at room temperature (i.e., lard) [57]. Location of the double bonds also has a major role in the metabolic end-products putative effects.

Trace Elements/Minerals

Most commercially available formulas provide Daily Recommended Intake (DRI) for vitamins and trace elements (see Table 1.2). Patients with severe malnutrition, high losses as with enteric fistulae, bypass procedures, and/or malabsorption may require additional supplementation. Many vitamins act as cofactors for various metabolic processes, including a vital role in the

Table 1.2 Recommended daily allowance of vitamins and trace minerals

Fat-soluble vitamins	M/F	Males	Females		
			Typical	Pregnant	Lactating
A (mcg)		900	700	770	1,300
D (mcg)	15–20				
E (mg)	15				19
K (mcg)		120	90		
Water-soluble vitamins					
B ₁ (mg)		1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4
B ₂ (mg)		1.3	1.1	1.4	1.6
B ₅ (mg)	5			6	7
B ₆ (mg)		1.3–1.7	1.5	1.9	2
B ₁₂ (mcg)	2.4			2.6	2.8
C (mg)		90	75	85	120
Folate (mcg)	400			600	500
Biotin (mcg)	30				35
Other nutrients					
Choline (mg)		550	425	450	550
Trace elements					
Copper (mcg)	900			1,000	1,300
Chromium (mcg)		30–35	20–25	30	45
Fluoride (mg)		4	3		
Iodine (mcg)	150			220	290
Iron (mcg)		8	18	27	9
Manganese (mg)		2.3	1.8	2	2.6
Molybdenum (mcg)	45			50	50
Selenium (mcg)	55			60	70
Zinc (mg)		11	8	11	12

Adapted from [58]

production of ATP. Deficiencies lead to detrimental physiologic conditions.

Vitamin B6 deficiency is associated with hyperhomocysteinemia and hyperglycemic states in surgical intensive care unit patients [59, 60]. High dose supplementation increased immune response of critically ill patients [61]. While signs and symptoms are nonspecific, vitamin B1 (thiamine) deficiency can go unrecognized in the intensive care unit. Early and appropriate supplementation can prevent negative consequences [62]. Vitamin B2 (riboflavin) is a key factor for flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and flavin mononucleotide (FMN). A large proportion of critically ill individuals have suboptimal vitamin B2 status, which can be significantly improved with supplementation. However, this improvement is transient, and deteriorates with discontinuation of the intake [63, 64].

Outcome specific data is lacking in regard to certain vitamin deficiencies, however it should be the goal of the physician to provide adequate substrate and cofactors necessary to support the metabolic demands of the stressed patient.

Specific Nutrients and Their Effects on Metabolism

Omega 3 Oils

Omega 3 oils are long chain n-3 PUFA most commonly found in fish and canola oils. They are considered essential fatty acids, as humans cannot synthesize de novo. Two biologically active examples include eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (Fig. 1.1), a 20-carbon fatty acid, and docosahexaenoic acid




Fig. 1.1 EPA




Fig. 1.2 DHA

(DHA) (Fig. 1.2), a 22-carbon molecule. Although found in much smaller amounts, docosapentaenoic acid (DPA) (Fig. 1.3), a 22-carbon fatty acid, also has metabolic importance. These fatty acids (FA) are absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, transported to the liver, and released into the circulation in lipoproteins and plasma phospholipids. They are incorporated into cell membranes throughout the body. Following initial ingestion of fish oils, there is a nonlinear increase in the concentration of EPA and DHA in membranes within days, and with continued consumption, greatest inclusion around 2 weeks. Upon removal from the diet, elimination from plasma lipids occurs over a few days, while cellular membrane concentrations can remain elevated for up to 2 months [49].

When incorporated into cellular membranes, n-3 PUFAs will increase in proportion to n-6 FAs (such as arachidonic acid). This has clinical importance during stress as metabolism of n-6 FAs leads to proinflammatory and pro-thrombotic eicosanoid derivatives which impair T-cell function, cytokine secretion, leukocyte migration, and reticuloendothelial system function [50]. Conversely, n-3 phospholipid metabolism leads to less active and even anti-inflammatory molecules [51]. Omega-6 fatty acids are predominantly immune-suppressing by means of their products of metabolism, namely through the elongation to arachidonic acid. In large doses, they tend to inhibit the response of the reticuloendothelial

system. They alter the function of neutrophils and macrophages [65]. They also affect signal transduction and immune mediation. The entities responsible for these actions are prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and thromboxanes—all eicosanoid mediators of inflammation that are derived from arachidonic acid metabolism [56]. High intake of omega-6 fatty acids has been associated with a prothrombotic and proaggregatory state that can be manifested as increased blood viscosity, decreased bleeding time, vasospasm, and vasoconstriction [66, 67].

Patients both at risk for and those with the diagnosis of acute lung injury are found to have decreased concentrations of n-3 PUFAs of 25 % and as low as 6 % of normal, respectively [51]. While some studies have found a beneficial effect of supplementation with n-3 enriched formulas [52–54], others have failed to show any benefit even with twice day supplementation [55].

In contrast to other omega-6 fatty acids, gamma-linolenic acid acts favorably on the immune system by modifying the cellular lipid composition and altering eicosanoid biosynthesis. The end products of its metabolism are anti-inflammatory and can affect the breakdown of arachidonic acid into its proinflammatory constituents [68, 69]. It has been added to enteral formulas for decades and is found naturally in borage oil [56].

The use of omega-3 fatty acids in inflammatory states has been supported in multiple prospective, randomized studies [68]. Found in oils such as flaxseed, safflower, canola as well as in fatty, cold-water fish (salmon, swordfish), omega-3 fats have been studied for decades secondary to their beneficial effects in critical illness. They have anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective properties from stimulating resolvins, protectins and maresins (lipid mediators), docosatrienes, and neuroprotectins, which are potent




Fig. 1.3 DPA

effectors of the resolution of inflammation [56]. Resolvins function by regulating polymorphonuclear neutrophil transmigration. Neuroprotectin decreases neutrophil infiltration, proinflammatory gene signaling, and nuclear factor- κ B binding. Furthermore, EPA and DHA alter cellular level metabolism and response by changing cell membrane phospholipid ratio, affecting gene expression and endothelial expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), E-selectin and other endothelial receptors that regulate vascular integrity [69, 70]. EPA has also been found to help prevent the loss of diaphragm function in sepsis [71]. It may also enhance resistance to gram-negative pathogens, such as *Pseudomonas*, although this has thus far only been demonstrated in mice [72].

Beginning in 1999, the use of omega-3 fatty acids in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and Acute Lung Injury (ALI) showed good results and outcomes [73]. Using EPA, DHA, gamma-linolenic acid (GLA), and antioxidants in ARDS improved ventilation, oxygenation, and intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay. Although with some mixed results, subsequent studies have confirmed the trend toward the beneficial use of these dietary lipids in ARDS and ALI, with modest morbidity and mortality improvement [54, 55, 74]. In many critical care practices it is common to use anti-inflammatory lipid formulas as pharmacologic agents to attenuate inflammatory states, both in passive modulation of the inflammatory response, and the active resolution of inflammation [56].

Despite these encouraging findings, there remain a number of points of concern regarding the widespread use of these agents ubiquitously in the care of the stressed individual. In the early studies, the control formulations used were “proinflammatory” (i.e., *Pulmocare*—a high fat (n-6), low carbohydrate formula). At that time, little was known of the proinflammatory ten-


dency of this feeding. To date, further studies with a more neutral fat composition have not been added as a control to verify the results. It can be interpreted that at least partial benefit was seen secondary to the high omega-6 formula used as control, rather than entirely due to the beneficial effect of the study elements. Additionally, the definition of ARDS and ALI used in some studies was based on prior criteria, rather than contemporary definitions. The benefit seen in these studies may be called into question secondary to selection biases.

Further questions about adding gamma-linolenic acid in ICU setting have been raised. While there are theoretical benefits of supplemental use in the ICU setting [69], isolated benefit has only been shown in animal models [75–77]. Added concerns revolve around the optimal dosage and timing of supplementation, as well as the route of administration. For example, if parenteral nutrition is necessary, formulations with fish oil, olive oils, medium chain triglycerides, or structured lipids are not available in the United States, where soy based preparations are the only option [78]. Finally, many studies showing beneficial in conjunction with omega-3 fatty acids have also been conducted with other favorable agents such as glutamine, arginine, or nucleic acids. It is difficult to delineate the contribution of each agent toward the improved clinical metrics [79].

Valid concerns regarding supplementation with omega 3 FAs also revolve around drug interactions, the bioavailability in diabetics or schizophrenics and fasting hyperglycemia in diabetic patients. Despite a concern for increased risk of bleeding, there are no randomized controlled trials demonstrating this effect. Additional apprehensions center around the “fishy” aroma and the development of gas, bloating, eructation, and diarrhea. Time released preparations can help reduce these effects.

Table 1.3 Support in the literature for the use of immune modulating agents

Author	Journal	Year	Population	N	Outcome
Braga M	Arch Surg	99	GI surgery	206	Decreased infection
Senkal	Arch Surg	99	Surgery	154	Decreased infection
Synderman	Laryng	99	H and N Ca	134	Decreased infection
Riso	Clin Nutr	00	Head and N Ca	44	Decreased infection
Tepaske R	Lancet	01	Cardiac	50	Decreased infection
Gianotti L	Gastro	02	GI surgery(N)	354	Decreased infection
Braga M	Arch Surg	02	GI surgery(M)	196	Decreased infection
Giger	Ann Surg Onc	07	GI cancer	46	Decreased infection
Helminen	Scand J Surg	07	GI surgery	50	No change
Klek S	Ann Surg	08	GI surgery/TPN	103	No change
Fukuda T	Dis Esophagus	08	Esophageal Ca	123	Decreased infection
Ryan A	Ann Surg	09	Esophageal Ca	58	No change lean BM
Okamoto	WJS	09	Gastric Ca	60	Decreased SIRS, infection

**Fig. 1.4** Glutamine

Amino Acids

During times of stress, as in the perioperative period, critical illness or following trauma, specific amino acids and their supplementation deserve consideration. A promising area on the horizon for protein delivery is the idea of specific amino acid delivery and the potential benefits of this practice. Specific amino acids, such as glutamine and arginine are becoming an ever-increasing part of so-called *immunonutrition*. Immunonutrition is the concept that specific therapeutic agents like amino acids, antioxidants, or fish oils can have powerful therapeutic benefits. We know that certain amino acids exert pharmacologic activity when given during critical illness, and that these actions can have wide and varied clinical results.

The amino acids that are the most promising as therapeutic targets are those that are conditionally essential. There is an overwhelming amount of data to support the claim that these immune modulating formulas impact outcomes (see Table 1.3). It is difficult, however, to know which portion of the formula is causing the clinical effect. Specialty formulas designed to enhance the

immune system are costly, and many practitioners express concern that in the absence of hard data to support their use we cannot rationalize the expense. However, ASPEN and SCCM both recommend the use of immune modulating formulas containing arginine, glutamine, nucleic acids, omega-3 fatty acids, and antioxidants are recommended for patients undergoing major elective surgery, trauma, burns, head and neck cancer, and critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation. This is not a panacea however with caution being exercised in those with severe sepsis [45].

Glutamine

Glutamine (Fig. 1.4) is the most abundant free amino acid in the human body, making up more than 50 % of the intracellular free amino acid pool. It has been studied in great detail over the past 15 years, and its effects and uses are wide and varied. Glutamine is an important fuel source for rapidly dividing cells, such as fibroblasts and reticuloendothelial cells, as well as the gut mucosa of the small bowel [33]. In addition, it carries two nitrogen moieties per molecule, and serves as a shuttle for nitrogen between muscle tissue and visceral organs [15]. Glutamine obviously plays an important role in cell homeostasis and organ metabolism. Because of these functions, glutamine stores rapidly deplete and decreased circulating concentrations are seen in exercise, sepsis, and trauma [80]. When the circulating concentration of glutamine exceeds the

body's ability to synthesize de novo glutamine, atrophy of intestinal mucosa, impairment of immune function, and decreased protein synthesis are seen [81]. Decreased levels of plasma glutamine have also correlate with increased mortality [82].

Glutamine exhibits a direct antioxidant effect and reduces oxidative stress on cells by attenuating the iNOS pathway in sepsis. It also acts directly on the tissue by preserving mitochondrial function and acting as a substrate for ATP synthesis. In addition, it attenuates cytokine expression [81]. But perhaps the most important manner in which glutamine exerts its actions on the immune system is by the upregulation of heat shock proteins. Heat shock proteins function to refold misfolded proteins coming off the endoplasmic reticulum, help eliminate irreversibly damaged proteins by marking them for apoptosis, stimulate the innate immune system, and attenuate proinflammatory cytokines [83]. Heat shock proteins are induced by a stress response, and this is enhanced by glutamine administration, heightening the response [84, 85]. The proposed mechanism is attenuation of the inflammatory response by binding and inhibiting nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- κ B), thus limiting interleukin (IL)-6 and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), which occurs as a proinflammatory response to injury/sepsis [86].

Glutamine supplementation in critical illness has been shown to decrease mortality, length of stay, and infectious morbidity. Interestingly, there data that suggest there is no effect from glutamine supplementation. These studies are very heterogeneous with respect to patient population, route of glutamine administration, and amount of glutamine administration [86]. It seems that high doses given via a parenteral route demonstrate greater effect than low doses given by the enteral route. It is recommended to give high dose oral glutamine at levels greater than 0.2–0.3 g/kg/day (or, roughly, 20–40 g/day). There is more data for intravenous (IV) than oral (PO) glutamine; however PO in high doses seems to be efficacious [85].




Fig. 1.5 Arginine

Arginine

Arginine (Fig. 1.5) is considered a nonessential amino acid under normal physiologic conditions. L-Arginine is available to the host from endogenous synthesis via citrulline conversion in kidney, from endogenous protein breakdown, and from dietary protein sources [87]. Arginine plays an active role in cell growth and proliferation, wound healing, immune function and regulation, and waste ammonia disposal through the urea cycle [33]. Arginine also helps regulate vascular endothelial vasodilatation through the nitrogen oxygen synthase (NOS) pathway and the generation of nitric oxide (NO) [88]. NO is also a potent intracellular signaling molecule influencing virtually every mammalian cell type and is involved in the cytotoxicity of activated macrophages [87]. De novo synthesis, as well as dietary supplementation of arginine is diminished in critical illness, making it a conditionally essential amino acid.

In critical illness, the cellular demand for arginine is increased due to the up regulation of arginase-1 in trauma and surgery [89]. In addition, plasma arginine levels diminish rapidly in trauma, critical illness, and sepsis [90]. When arginase pathways are upregulated, there is a resultant decrease in substrate availability for the opposing NOS pathway, thereby downregulating NO synthesis [87]. This inhibition of the NOS pathway is secondary to increased circulating levels of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), which may have a direct inhibitory effect on the NOS pathway [91, 92]. ADMA is then converted to citrulline, which is then use to synthesize arginine [19]. The question is whether this downregulation of the NOS pathway and resultant decrease in the levels of circulating NO is the source of the vasoconstriction and end organ malperfusion seen in sepsis, or if this downregulation

is an adaptive strategy the body undergoes to prevent hypotension after critical illness [93].

This is the source of much controversy in the topic of arginine supplementation. Is the increased activity of arginase-1 involved in the increased levels of ADMA purely a mechanism by which to increase de novo synthesis of arginine in times of need? Does supplementation of arginine fuel the NOS pathway in preference to the arginase-1 pathway? In hemodynamically unstable critically ill patients, there was concern that vasodilatation related to increased nitric oxide could worsen systemic hypotension [94]. As arginine is a common constituent of many immune-enhancing formulas utilized in the critically ill patient, this concept led to growing concern about immunonutrition use in septic patients.

Making generalized statements about amino acid metabolism in critical care is extremely difficult because the “critically ill” population is not a homogeneous group. Making any generalized statements about the toxicity or benefits of any dietary supplement, let alone an amino acid with the metabolic complexity of arginine is not advisable as no study of these individual supplements can effectively be done in a clinical setting. Although theoretical concern is understood, both animal and human data are available to support arguments for and against the use of arginine [95, 96]. In light of this conflicting data, currently ASPEN recommends against the use of arginine containing formulas in the hemodynamically unstable patient regardless of the nature of the insult [45]. Further research as to the safety and efficacy of arginine in sepsis is needed.

In hemodynamically stable patients, the optimal dose of arginine to be delivered has yet to be determined. It seems that the 15–30 g of enteral supplemental arginine is safe and appears to meet the needs of the patient [97]. This is the amount that is commonly received at goal rates with immune modulating formulas.

Amino Acids on the Horizon

There are many other promising amino acid targets that are the fuel for ongoing research. Citrulline (Fig. 1.6) is one such amino acid. Citrulline is utilized in the de novo synthesis of arginine and is produced through conversion of




Fig. 1.6 Citrulline

ADMA, as previously discussed [92]. Studies demonstrate that citrulline availability is closely linked with arginine availability [95, 97]. Provision of citrulline may provide for de novo synthesis of arginine, thereby reducing the amounts of circulating ADMA and its deleterious effects.

Choline is another essential amino acid that is necessary for cell membrane structure. Choline is not a part of many parenteral nutritional formulations, and patients on long-term PN have impaired choline synthesis [33]. There is some evidence to support that this choline deficiency plays a role in the hepatic impairment seen in patients on long-term PN, and enteral repletion of choline to prevent or treat PN-induced hepatic failure is currently under research and shows promise [98].

States of Altered Metabolism

Metabolism in the Stressed State

One of the key ways in which metabolism impacts the surgical patient is catabolism. Surgical stress, trauma, burn injury, and critical illness all impact basic cellular metabolism, essentially creating a metabolic pathway leading to energy consumption and tissue breakdown. The inflammatory response is propagated by the release of inflammatory mediators, such as the numerous interleukins and tumor necrosis factor alpha [99]. The metabolic response to stress may be further altered by the presence of bacterial products, such as endotoxin. Even pain and anxiety can indirectly trigger a catabolic response through activation of the neuroendocrine system [100]. This catabolic response is directly proportional to the degree of stress that the host experiences, and can persist for weeks to months following the injury or illness [101].

The so-called “ebb phase” of the metabolic response to injury occurs immediately following the insult and lasts for several hours after the injury. It is characterized by elevated blood glucose levels with normal glucose production, elevated free fatty acid levels, low insulin levels, high levels of circulating catecholamines and glucagon, elevated serum lactate level, decreased oxygen consumption, and increased cardiac output [102]. The “flow phase” of metabolic response occurs next and can last weeks following injury, and is characterized by glucose intolerance, inflammation, and muscle wasting [102].

One of the most objective ways to measure the degree of response to stress in the host is to measure the alterations in resting energy expenditure (REE), via indirect calorimetry [103]. In minor trauma, such as long bone fracture, REE can increase from 10 % to 30 % over baseline. In sepsis, REE increases from 20 % to 25 % over baseline, while in severely stressed states, such as burn injury, REE can increase from 40 % to 100 % [104]. This increased need for substrates is due to the need for wound healing, synthesis of cellular and humoral immune components and acute phase reactants [33]. Amino acids are the major substrate for cellular metabolism during periods of catabolic stress, and lean body mass turnover is rapidly accelerated [33]. The body turns over muscle mass to provide alanine and glutamine as substrates to meet the increased energy needs [35, 36]. If exhausted or not appropriately supplemented, failure of gluconeogenesis in the liver, severe hypoglycemia, and amino acid deficiencies will result and, if left uncontrolled long enough, will lead to death.

The hypermetabolic state of the stressed host is attenuated by providing energy substrates. After an insult however, a compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome (CARS) has been described following periods of metabolic stress [105]. If CARS persists following injury or illness, the patient is metabolically deranged, immunocompromised, and susceptible to infectious complications. This is a major contributor to late deaths after trauma and injury and puts patients who have survived the acute illness at high risk for other or repeated infections.

Metabolic Response in Obesity

There are divergent outcomes about the effects of obesity in critical illness and injury. There are a number of studies that suggest that obesity confers a survival advantage to patients, or at minimum has no increased risk of mortality. However, there is also a growing body of literature demonstrating obesity is an independent predictor of mortality and significantly increases the risk of morbidity in this patient population. Studies that demonstrate obesity does not increase morbidity or mortality surmise the higher levels of anti-inflammatory adipokines such as IL-10 and leptin positively modulate surgical stress [106]. These studies have demonstrated that there is a decreased incidence of ARDS in the severely obese, a trend toward reduced length of stay, and despite a higher incidence of organ failure, no difference in mortality [107–110].

These results must be considered with the knowledge that extremely obese patients have a higher number of comorbid conditions, and that those conditions contribute to ICU-related mortality and morbidity [111, 112]. Studies dealing directly with the severely obese or those with centripetal obesity (the so-called “metabolic syndrome”), demonstrate increased risk of mortality, pneumonia, organ failure, and other complications [113–116]. These studies argue that the circulating levels of adipokines that are anti-inflammatory, such as IL-10, are actually decreased in the morbidly obese patient compared to their lean counterparts. In addition, circulating levels of proinflammatory adipokines, such as resistin and visfatin, are significantly increased in obesity, contributing to increased complications and death [117]. As the number of patients with obesity continues to grow worldwide, the mechanisms behind these findings are increasingly important to understand.

Metabolism in Malnutrition/Starvation

Starvation has a linear and temporally progressive negative impact on the patient. In early starvation, blood glucose concentrations decrease