


Subduction Dynamics



From Mantle Flow to Mega Disasters



Gabriele Morra, David A. Yuen, Scott D. King,
Sang Mook Lee and Seth Stein
Editors

Geophysical Monograph Series

- 164 **Archean Geodynamics and Environments** *Keith Benn, Jean-Claude Mareschal, and Kent C. Condie (Eds.)*
- 165 **Solar Eruptions and Energetic Particles** *Natchimuthukonar Gopalswamy, Richard Mewaldt, and Jarmo Torsti (Eds.)*
- 166 **Back-Arc Spreading Systems: Geological, Biological, Chemical, and Physical Interactions** *David M. Christie, Charles Fisher, Sang-Mook Lee, and Sharon Givens (Eds.)*
- 167 **Recurrent Magnetic Storms: Corotating Solar Wind Streams** *Bruce Tsurutani, Robert McPherron, Walter Gonzalez, Gang Lu, José H. A. Sobral, and Natchimuthukonar Gopalswamy (Eds.)*
- 168 **Earth's Deep Water Cycle** *Steven D. Jacobsen and Suzan van der Lee (Eds.)*
- 169 **Magnetospheric ULF Waves: Synthesis and New Directions** *Kazue Takahashi, Peter J. Chi, Richard E. Denton, and Robert L. Lysak (Eds.)*
- 170 **Earthquakes: Radiated Energy and the Physics of Faulting** *Rachel Abercrombie, Art McGarr, Hiroo Kanamori, and Giulio Di Toro (Eds.)*
- 171 **Subsurface Hydrology: Data Integration for Properties and Processes** *David W. Hyndman, Frederick D. Day-Lewis, and Kamini Singha (Eds.)*
- 172 **Volcanism and Subduction: The Kamchatka Region** *John Eichelberger, Evgenii Gordeev, Minoru Kasahara, Pavel Izbekov, and Johnathan Lees (Eds.)*
- 173 **Ocean Circulation: Mechanisms and Impacts—Past and Future Changes of Meridional Overturning** *Andreas Schmittner, John C. H. Chiang, and Sidney R. Hemming (Eds.)*
- 174 **Post-Perovskite: The Last Mantle Phase Transition** *Kei Hirose, John Brodholt, Thorne Lay, and David Yuen (Eds.)*
- 175 **A Continental Plate Boundary: Tectonics at South Island, New Zealand** *David Okaya, Tim Stern, and Fred Davey (Eds.)*
- 176 **Exploring Venus as a Terrestrial Planet** *Larry W. Esposito, Ellen R. Stofan, and Thomas E. Cravens (Eds.)*
- 177 **Ocean Modeling in an Eddy Regime** *Matthew Hecht and Hiroyasu Hasumi (Eds.)*
- 178 **Magma to Microbe: Modeling Hydrothermal Processes at Oceanic Spreading Centers** *Robert P. Lowell, Jeffrey S. Seewald, Anna Metaxas, and Michael R. Perfit (Eds.)*
- 179 **Active Tectonics and Seismic Potential of Alaska** *Jeffrey T. Freymueller, Peter J. Haeussler, Robert L. Wesson, and Göran Ekström (Eds.)*
- 180 **Arctic Sea Ice Decline: Observations, Projections, Mechanisms, and Implications** *Eric T. DeWeaver, Cecilia M. Bitz, and L.-Bruno Tremblay (Eds.)*
- 181 **Midlatitude Ionospheric Dynamics and Disturbances** *Paul M. Kintner, Jr., Anthea J. Coster, Tim Fuller-Rowell, Anthony J. Mannucci, Michael Mendillo, and Roderick Heelis (Eds.)*
- 182 **The Stromboli Volcano: An Integrated Study of the 2002–2003 Eruption** *Sonia Calvari, Salvatore Inguaggiato, Giuseppe Puglisi, Maurizio Ripepe, and Mauro Rosi (Eds.)*
- 183 **Carbon Sequestration and Its Role in the Global Carbon Cycle** *Brian J. McPherson and Eric T. Sundquist (Eds.)*
- 184 **Carbon Cycling in Northern Peatlands** *Andrew J. Baird, Lisa R. Belyea, Xavier Comas, A. S. Reeve, and Lee D. Slater (Eds.)*
- 185 **Indian Ocean Biogeochemical Processes and Ecological Variability** *Jerry D. Wiggert, Raleigh R. Hood, S. Wajih A. Naqvi, Kenneth H. Brink, and Sharon L. Smith (Eds.)*
- 186 **Amazonia and Global Change** *Michael Keller, Mercedes Bustamante, John Gash, and Pedro Silva Dias (Eds.)*
- 187 **Surface Ocean–Lower Atmosphere Processes** *Corinne Le Quèrè and Eric S. Saltzman (Eds.)*
- 188 **Diversity of Hydrothermal Systems on Slow Spreading Ocean Ridges** *Peter A. Rona, Colin W. Devey, Jérôme Dymont, and Bramley J. Murton (Eds.)*
- 189 **Climate Dynamics: Why Does Climate Vary?** *De-Zheng Sun and Frank Bryan (Eds.)*
- 190 **The Stratosphere: Dynamics, Transport, and Chemistry** *L. M. Polvani, A. H. Sobel, and D. W. Waugh (Eds.)*
- 191 **Rainfall: State of the Science** *Firat Y. Testik and Mekonnen Gebremichael (Eds.)*
- 192 **Antarctic Subglacial Aquatic Environments** *Martin J. Siebert, Mahlon C. Kennicut II, and Robert A. Bindschadler*
- 193 **Abrupt Climate Change: Mechanisms, Patterns, and Impacts** *Harunur Rashid, Leonid Polyak, and Ellen Mosley-Thompson (Eds.)*
- 194 **Stream Restoration in Dynamic Fluvial Systems: Scientific Approaches, Analyses, and Tools** *Andrew Simon, Sean J. Bennett, and Janine M. Castro (Eds.)*
- 195 **Monitoring and Modeling the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill: A Record-Breaking Enterprise** *Yonggang Liu, Amy MacFadyen, Zhen-Gang Ji, and Robert H. Weisberg (Eds.)*
- 196 **Extreme Events and Natural Hazards: The Complexity Perspective** *A. Surjalal Sharma, Armin Bunde, Vijay P. Dimri, and Daniel N. Baker (Eds.)*
- 197 **Auroral Phenomenology and Magnetospheric Processes: Earth and Other Planets** *Andreas Keiling, Eric Donovan, Fran Bagenal, and Tomas Karlsson (Eds.)*
- 198 **Climates, Landscapes, and Civilizations** *Liviu Giosan, Dorian Q. Fuller, Kathleen Nicoll, Rowan K. Flad, and Peter D. Clift (Eds.)*
- 199 **Dynamics of the Earth's Radiation Belts and Inner Magnetosphere** *Danny Summers, Ian R. Mann, Daniel N. Baker, Michael Schulz (Eds.)*
- 200 **Lagrangian Modeling of the Atmosphere** *John Lin (Ed.)*
- 201 **Modeling the Ionosphere-Thermosphere** *Jospeh D. Huba, Robert W. Schunk, and George V. Khazanov (Eds.)*
- 202 **The Mediterranean Sea: Temporal Variability and Spatial Patterns** *Gian Luca Eusebi Borzelli, Miroslav Gačić, Piero Lionello, Paola Malanotte-Rizzoli (Eds.)*
- 203 **Future Earth - Advancing Civic Understanding of the Anthropocene** *Diana Dalbotten, Gillian Roehrig, Patrick Hamilton (Eds.)*
- 204 **The Galápagos: A Natural Laboratory for the Earth Sciences** *Karen S. Harpp, Eric Mittelstaedt, Noémi d'Ozouville, David W. Graham (Eds.)*
- 205 **Modeling Atmospheric and Oceanic Flows: Insights from Laboratory Experiments and Numerical Simulations** *Thomas von Larcher, Paul D. Williams (Eds.)*
- 206 **Remote Sensing of the Terrestrial Water Cycle** *Venkat Lakshmi (Eds.)*
- 207 **Magnetotails in the Solar System** *Andreas Keiling, Cairtriona Jackman, Peter Delamere (Eds.)*
- 208 **Hawaiian Volcanoes: From Source to Surface** *Rebecca Carey, Valerie Cayol, Michael Poland, Dominique Weis (Eds.)*
- 209 **Sea Ice: Physics, Mechanics, and Remote Sensing** *Mohammed Shokr, Nirmal Sinha (Eds.)*
- 210 **Fluid Dynamics in Complex Fractured-Porous Systems** *Boris Faybishenko, Sally M. Benson, John E. Gale (Eds.)*

Geophysical Monograph 211

Subduction Dynamics
From Mantle Flow to Mega Disasters

Gabriele Morra
David A. Yuen
Scott D. King
Sang-Mook Lee
Seth Stein
Editors

This Work is a co-publication between the American Geophysical Union and John Wiley and Sons, Inc.



WILEY

This Work is a co-publication between the American Geophysical Union and John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Published under the aegis of the AGU Publications Committee

Brooks Hanson, Director of Publications

© 2016 by the American Geophysical Union, 2000 Florida Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009
For details about the American Geophysical Union, see www.agu.org.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey
Published simultaneously in Canada

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available.

ISBN: 978-1-118-88885-8

Cover images: Part of the famous map of Marie Tharp oceanic floor (global map), showing continental features, underwater ranges, trenches and other plate tectonic subduction zone features; Models of subduction zone topped by four atmospheric layers, ionosphere 1, 2, 3 and 4 placed one above the other, as they represent the atmosphere response at different heights.

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

CONTENTS

Contributors.....	vii
Introduction: The Impact of Subduction Dynamics on Mantle Flow, Continental Tectonics, and Seismic Hazard <i>Gabriele Morra, David A. Yuen, Scott D. King, Sang-Mook Lee, and Seth Stein.....</i>	1
1 Evidence from Caustic Waveform Modeling for Long Slab Thickening above the 660-km Discontinuity under Northeast Asia: Dynamic Implications <i>Juan Li, Nicola Tosi, Petra Maierová, and David A. Yuen</i>	5
2 The Continental Collision Process Deduced from the Metamorphic Pattern in the Dabie-Hongseong and Himalayan Collision Belts <i>Chang Whan Oh.....</i>	19
3 A New Tectonic Model for the Genesis of Adakitic Arc Magmatism in Cretaceous East Asia <i>Changyeol Lee and In-Chang Ryu.....</i>	69
4 Incoming Plate Variations along the Northern Manila Trench: Implications for Seafloor Morphology and Seismicity <i>Chuanxu Chen, Shiguo Wu, Jin Qian, Changlei Zhao, and Lingmin Cao.....</i>	81
5 Source of the Cenozoic Volcanism in Central Asia <i>Gabriele Morra, David A. Yuen, Sang-Mook Lee, and Siqi Zhang</i>	97
6 Influence of Variable Thermal Expansivity and Conductivity on Deep Subduction <i>Nicola Tosi, Petra Maierová, and David A. Yuen</i>	115
7 Slab-driven Mantle Weakening and Rapid Mantle Flow <i>M. A. Jadamec.....</i>	135
8 Influence on Earthquake Distributions in Slabs from Bimaterial Shear Heating <i>Byung-Dal So and David A. Yuen</i>	157
9 The Seismology of the Planet Mongo: The 2015 Ionospheric Seismology Review <i>Giovanni Occhipinti.....</i>	169
10 Why We Need a New Paradigm of Earthquake Occurrence <i>Robert J. Geller, Francesco Mulargia, and Philip B. Stark.....</i>	183
Index.....	193

CONTRIBUTORS

Lingmin Cao

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Guangzhou, China

Chuanxu Chen

Sanya Institute of Deep-sea Science and Engineering
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Sanya, China

Robert J. Geller

Department of Earth and Planetary Science
Graduate School of Science
University of Tokyo
Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

M. A. Jadamec

Department of Earth, Environmental, and
Planetary Sciences
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island, USA
and
Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences
University of Houston
Houston, Texas, USA

Scott D. King

Department of Geosciences
Virginia Tech University
Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

Changyeol Lee

Faculty of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Chonnam National University
Gwangju, Republic of Korea

Sang-Mook Lee

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Seoul National University
Gwanak-Gu, South Korea

Juan Li

Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics
Institute of Geology and Geophysics
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing, China

Petra Maierová

Center for Lithospheric Research
Czech Geological Survey
Prague, Czech Republic

Gabriele Morra

Department of Physics and
School of Geosciences
University of Louisiana at Lafayette
Lafayette, Louisiana, USA

Francesco Mulargia

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Bologna
Bologna, Italy

Giovanni Occhipinti

Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris
Sorbonne Paris Cité
Université Paris Diderot, France

Chang Whan Oh

Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences
and Earth and Environmental Science System
Research Center
Chonbuk National University
Jeonju, South Korea

Jin Qian

Key Laboratory of Marine Geology and Environment
Institute of Oceanology
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Qingdao, China

In-Chang Ryu

Department of Geology
Kyungpook National University
Daegu, Republic of Korea

Byung-Dal So

Research Institute of Natural Sciences
Chungnam National University
Daejeon, South Korea

Philip B. Stark

Department of Statistics
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, California, USA

Seth Stein

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
Northwestern University
Evanston, Illinois, USA

Nicola Tosi

Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics
Technische Universität Berlin
Berlin, Germany
and

Department of Planetary Physics
German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Berlin, Germany

Shiguo Wu

Sanya Institute of Deep-Sea Science and Engineering,
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Sanya, China

David A. Yuen

School of Environment Studies,
China University of Geosciences
Wuhan, China
and

Minnesota Supercomputing Institute
and Department of Earth Sciences
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

Changlei Zhao

Research Institute of Petroleum Explorations
and Development
China National Petroleum Corporation
Hangzhou, China

Siqi Zhang

Geophysics and Geodynamics Group
Macquarie University
Sydney, Australia

INTRODUCTION

The Impact of Subduction Dynamics on Mantle Flow, Continental Tectonics, and Seismic Hazard

Gabriele Morra¹, David A. Yuen², Scott D. King³, Sang-Mook Lee⁴, and Seth Stein⁵

Subduction of tectonic plates provides the main driving force for mantle convection, but also causes the greatest natural hazards such as megathrust earthquakes, often with accompanying tsunami waves. This book aims at expanding the traditional view in which subduction dynamics is usually presented, showing the wide range of natural phenomena related to the subduction process. Chapters in this book treat diverse topics ranging from the response of the ionosphere to earthquake and tsunamis, to the origin of midcontinental volcanism thousands kilometers distant from the subduction zone; from the puzzling deep earthquakes triggered in the interior of the descending slabs, to the detailed pattern of accretionary wedges in convergent zones; from the induced mantle flow in the deep mantle, to the nature of the paradigms of earthquake occurrence, showing how they relate to the subduction process (Figure I.1).

Megathrust earthquakes are the greatest destructive earthquakes and are caused by the sudden 10–20 meter slip of hundreds of kilometers along convergent plate boundaries. Until the present, the seismic hazard relating convergent margins, where plates subduct, has been based mostly on the limited historical data derived from earthquake

catalogues [Stein *et al.*, 2012]. Unfortunately, as shown by the unexpected enormous damages caused by Sumatra and Tohoku earthquakes, catalogues have proved to be too limited in scope to predict the maximum magnitude of an earthquake, or to predict the size of the attendant tsunami (see Chapter 10 by Robert Geller and colleagues). While past attempts to relate the size of the largest earthquakes to the dynamics of the slab (dip, convergence, age, presence of heterogeneities) have failed due to their excessive simplicity, the combination of new data and improved numerical models based on thermal-mechanical principles [e.g., van Dinther, 2013] promises a new generation of time-dependent hazard maps that have more physics builtin.

This book is the result of a meeting that was held August 19 to 22, 2012, on Jeju Island, South Korea, with about 50 researchers from East Asia, North America, and Europe [Morra *et al.*, 2013]. On the first day of the meeting, most presentations focused on the causes of the Sumatra (2004) and Tohoku (2011) earthquakes. The opening talk was a review of the ionospheric response to subduction-induced thrust earthquakes and the associated tsunamis, which has been extended into Chapter 9 by Giovanni Occhipinti. From the presentations that followed, it emerged that the detailed analysis of the tsunami height along the coast of Tohoku is incompatible with the simple model based on the rebound of an overriding plate. Alternative possibilities were proposed from which two hypotheses emerged, one involving the release of gravitational potential energy at the accretionary wedge [McKenzie and Jackson, 2012], and the other the slip of a spline fault in crustal wedge, providing boost in elastic energy in driving the tsunami. While the discussion continues within the scientific community [e.g., Tappin *et al.*, 2014], this controversy shows how the seismic hazard estimation at subduction zones

¹Department of Physics and School of Geosciences, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Louisiana, USA

²Minnesota Supercomputing Institute and Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA and School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China

³Department of Geosciences, Virginia Tech University, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

⁴School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Gwanak-Gu, South Korea

⁵Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA




Figure 1.1 Sketch of some of the dynamics investigated in this book. The history of the effects of the subduction process are largely observed in the tectonic structures of the overriding plate, as shown by the chapters focusing on the Eurasian continent (see Figure 1.2). The most dramatic events caused by Subducting plates are the large thrust earthquakes (see Chapter 10 by *Geller et al.*). Megathrusts and tsunamis also cause waves in the ionosphere, as illustrated in the panel on the top right. Rayleigh waves propagate due to both the quake and to the tsunami (see Chapter 9 by Occhipinti). The down-going slab induces a complex mantle flow, as shown by M. A. Jadamec in Chapter 7. Inside the slab, earthquakes are detected down to the transition between upper and lower mantle, but their mechanism is still debated (see So and Yuen in Chapter 8, e.g. about the hypocenter distribution within the Tonga slab on the bottom right panel). Thermal expansivity and conductivity gradients estimates are shown in the bottom right panels. Their role in mantle dynamics is analyzed in *Tosi et al.*, Chapter 6.

should be rethought to take into account the bimodal dynamics of the crustal wedge.

In order to improve our ability to estimate the seismic hazard due to the motion of the solid Earth, we must understand the details of subduction dynamics. The present paradigms emerge mainly from reconstructing and numerically modeling plate tectonics in the past. Speed of computing increased from gigaflops in 1990 to petaflops today, a factor of a million. Thus, investigation of the origin of plate tectonics in the next 20 years is expected to rely heavily on new numerical algorithms and the ability to run numerical models on the fastest and largest supercomputers.

The pull of the slab in the upper mantle is the main driver of the motion of the plates on the surface [*King, 2001*]. The detection of plate kinematics through GPS data and their reconstruction based on the magnetic isochrones in the seafloor constrain to 10–20 Myrs the time necessary for a plate to sink through the upper mantle. At longer timescales, the dynamics behind Earth evolution are less understood [*Torsvik et al., 2014*]. From hundreds of Myrs to Gyrs, the Wilson cycle of the formation and breakup of supercontinents, global reorganization of plate motion [*King et al., 2002*], and cycles controlling plate size and morphology [*Morra et al., 2013*]




Figure I.2 Summary of the Asian regions covered in this volume overlaying an overview of the Asian tectonics. The map is modified after [Yin, 2010]. Chapter 2 from Chang Wahn Oh and Chapter 9 by Giovanni Occhipinti cover two separate regions.

are only some of the global geodynamic processes that control the global Earth evolution [Funicello *et al.*, 2008]. Five chapters of this volume focus on this long-term tectonic evolution, all of them related to the subduction process at the eastern and southern Eurasian margins. (Detailed map in Figure I.2.)

In Chapter 4, Chuanxu Chen and coworkers analyze detailed data on accretionary wedges essential to understanding the development of thrust earthquakes. Focusing on the Manila trench, they find that the segmentation of the incoming plate correlates well with the distribution of seismicity. They suggest that the seafloor morphology might be carved by the heterogeneities created during the rifting process and control seismicity at the precollision zone.

An investigation of the causes of the very deep earthquakes within the subducting slab is presented in Chapter 8 by Byung-Dal So and David A. Yuen. Here the authors look for a relationship between the deviatoric stress regime and the distribution of deep earthquakes in the subducted lithosphere. The authors suggest that a new mechanism based on shear heating around the 410-km phase transition and consequent reduction in elastic modulus might account for part of this seismicity.

Many talks at the Jeju meeting focused on Asian tectonics and this is reflected in this volume. In Chapter 2, Chang Wahn Oh reviews the geological evidence that the Dabie-Hongseong collision belt in eastern Asia might have been caused by a westward-migration slab break-off migration from 245 Ma to 240 Ma. Using the same

approach, he relates the Himalayan collision to an eastward migrating slab break-off from ~50 Ma to ~25 Ma. In Chapter 3, In-Chang Ryu and Changyeol Lee approach another mystery of Asian tectonics: the origin of the adakitic arc magmatism, which extends from South China to eastern Japan, and appeared during the Cretaceous, 140–60 Ma. They notice that the traditional hypothesis that links the volcanism to a migration of the Pacific-Izanagi ridge is incompatible with most recent plate reconstructions, and propose instead the opposite migration of an intracontinental mantle plume.

Focusing on the evolution of Asia during the Cenozoic, Chapter 5 by Gabriele Morra and coworkers investigates the causes of the volcanism in central and eastern Asia, proposing that it emerges from a volatile-rich layer at the top of the transition zone, fed by the underlying stagnating Pacific slab. They propose that the volatiles slowly rise from the subducted crust and form fluid-rich microregions at the top of the transition zone from which they rise as clusters of diapirs.

Juan Li and coworkers, in Chapter 1, constrain the thickness of the Pacific stagnating slab beneath northeast Asia. Through the method of caustic waveform, they model both P and S waves and estimate an extra thickening of 50–60 km, supporting the hypothesis that the subducted slab may be piling up under northeast Asia, therefore partially explaining the missing slab from Pacific subduction.

Finally two chapters investigate subduction-induced mantle flow. The role of thickening of the subducted slab is addressed in Chapter 6 by Nicola Tosi and coworkers who show that the variations of thermal expansivity and conductivity with temperature and pressure induce a severe change of the strength in the downgoing slab. In particular, they find that the decrease of expansivity with depth enhances buckling and slab thickening in the lower mantle.

The response of the upper-mantle flow to subduction is addressed by M. A. Jadamec who shows in Chapter 7 how a rheological formulation closer to laboratory data, implementing a fully nonlinear mantle rheology, impacts significantly on the mantle response. She shows that the dynamical support of the mantle to the downgoing slab is

reduced by the nonlinearity of the mantle-rocks creep, impacting the slab dip, the stress distribution in the slabs, and the stress state of the surface plates.

REFERENCES

- Funiciello, F., C. Faccenna, A. Heuret, S. Lallemand, E. Di Giuseppe, and T. W. Becker (2008), Trench migration, net rotation and slab-mantle coupling, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 271(1–4), 233–240.
- King, S. D. (2001), Subduction zones: Observations and geodynamic models, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 127(1–4), 9–24.
- King, S. D., J. P. Lowman, and C. W. Gable (2002), Episodic tectonic plate reorganizations driven by mantle convection, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 203, 83–91.
- McKenzie, D., and J. Jackson (2012), Tsunami earthquake generation by the release of gravitational potential energy, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 345–348(0), 1–8.
- Morra, G., M. Seton, L. Quevedo, and R. D. Müller (2013a), Organization of the tectonic plates in the last 200 Myr, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 373, 93–101.
- Morra, G., R. J. Geller, S. T. Grilli, S. Karato, S. King, S.-M. Lee, P. Tackley, and D. Yuen (2013b), Growing understanding of subduction dynamics indicates need to rethink seismic hazards, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 94(13), 125–126.
- Stein, S., R. J. Geller, and M. Liu (2012), Why earthquake hazard maps often fail and what to do about it, *Tectonophysics*, 562, 1–25.
- Tappin, D. R., S. T. Grilli, J. C. Harris, R. J. Geller, T. Masterlark, J. T. Kirby, F. Shi, G. Ma, K. K. S. Thingbaijam, and P. M. Mai (2014), Did a submarine landslide contribute to the 2011 Tohoku tsunami? *Marine Geology*, 357, 344–361.
- Torsvik, T. H., R. van der Voo, P. V. Doubrovine, K. Burke, B. Steinberger, L. D. Ashwal, and A. L. Bull (2014), Deep mantle structure as a reference frame for movements in and on the Earth, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(24), 8735–8740.
- Van Dinther, Y., T. V. Gerya, L. A. Dalguer, P. M. Mai, G. Morra, and D. Giardini, (2013), The seismic cycle at subduction thrusts: Insights from seismo-thermo-mechanical models, *J. Geophys. Res.: Sol Ea*, 118, 6183–6202, doi:10.1002/2013JB010380.
- Yin, A. (2010), Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Asia: A preliminary synthesis, *Tectonophysics*, 488, 293–325, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.06.002.

Evidence from Caustic Waveform Modeling for Long Slab Thickening above the 660-km Discontinuity under Northeast Asia: Dynamic Implications

Juan Li¹, Nicola Tosi², Petra Maierová³, and David A. Yuen⁴

ABSTRACT

Knowledge of the thickness and age of slabs is of great importance to further our understanding of the deformation of the subducting lithosphere and, in general, of regional mantle rheology. The technique of seismic tomography has been widely used to identify thermally induced mantle heterogeneities. However, because of the contamination of complicated caustic waveforms, its resolving power is relatively poor when it comes to image slabs in the mantle transition zone. In this study, we aim at constraining the thickness of the slab in the mantle transition zone beneath northeast Asia through the method of caustic waveform modeling for both P and S waves. We detect a high-velocity layer associated with a thickened slab lying in the transition zone and estimate its thickness to be $\sim 140 \pm 20$ km, corresponding to a thickening of 50–60 km at the long-lying segment. Numerical simulations indicate that downgoing material may be piling up under northeast Asia. The part of the Pacific plate subducting at the Japan trench thus can be interpreted to be in a buckling/folding retreating mode. We argue that thickening of the slab due to buckling instabilities could partly explain the enigma of the missing Pacific slab from the Cenozoic era. In addition, the slab might be close to the threshold of instability. Based on numerical simulations, mantle circulation may become temporarily layered. Cold and dense lithospheric material, after accumulating at the 660-km discontinuity, can suddenly sink into the lower mantle, resulting in an avalanche that, perhaps, might occur in the next tens of millions of years. This will be accompanied by a resurgence of volcanic activity, precipitated by upwellings emanating from the lower mantle close to the site of the avalanche.

1.1. INTRODUCTION

Subducted oceanic slabs represent the major source of buoyancy driving mantle flow [e.g., Ricard *et al.*, 1993]. Characterizing the process of subduction is crucial to better understand the thermal and chemical evolution of our planet. In the past decades, seismic-wave tomography, based mainly on travel-time analysis, has proved able to resolve subduction-related thermal anomalies [e.g., van der Hilst, 1991; Fukao *et al.*, 2001; Huang and Zhao, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2008; Fukao and Obayashi, 2013]. However, intrinsic problems of damping-associated inversion techniques used in tomography as well as the poor resolution

¹Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

²Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany; Department of Planetary Physics, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Berlin, Germany

³Center for Lithospheric Research, Czech Geological Survey, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴School of Environment Studies, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China; Minnesota Supercomputing Institute and Department of Earth Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

at depth inhibit the possibility to place robust constraints of the detailed structure of subducting slabs. A recent study devoted to quantifying the uncertainty in travel-time tomography reveals that the root mean square velocity perturbations for many acceptable models compatible with the same travel-time dataset can vary from 0.3% to 1.3% in the upper mantle [de Wit *et al.*, 2012]. Furthermore, in the transition zone, the limited ray coverage of the first arrivals caused by waveform triplication results in an even more ambiguous image.

Constraining the thickness of subducted slabs is of great importance in understanding problems related to mantle rheology and evolution of the lithosphere-mantle system. Slabs can undergo large deformation: they can bend, stretch, and thicken [Gurnis and Hager, 1988; King, 2001]. In the upper- to midlower mantle, thick blobs of seismically fast anomalies have been identified by different authors in subduction regions beneath Tonga, Marianas, and Kuril [e.g., Creager and Jordan, 1986; van der Hilst, 1995; Fukao and Obayashi, 2013]. Scaling laws derived from the theory of buckling of viscous sheets have been successfully employed to explain the apparent thickening of the subducted lithosphere beneath the transition zone as revealed by a few seismic studies [Ribe *et al.*, 2007]. Fully numerical simulations of viscous flow with composite rheology have been performed to further explore the possible mechanisms leading to the emergence of long-wavelength thickening of slabs in the lower mantle [Běhouňková and Čížková, 2008]. Furthermore, 3D numerical models have shown that a relatively weak slab, with a viscosity not more than 100 times larger than that of the ambient mantle, can achieve a broad variety of shapes [Loiselet *et al.*, 2010]. In spite of this focus on slab behavior in the middle to lower mantle, different styles of slab deformation have been identified in the upper mantle transition zone. The thickness of the subducted lithosphere in the upper part of the Bullen region [Bullen, 1963], which was proposed to be the transition region between the upper and lower mantle, however, is not well resolved. A slab with an initial thickness of ~80–90 km [Zhao *et al.*, 1994] has been imaged beneath the Japan trench, behind which a vast amount of material lies subhorizontally over the 660-km discontinuity [e.g., Huang and Zhao, 2006; Li and van der Hilst, 2010; Fukao and Obayashi, 2013] (hereafter referred to as the 660). The resolved high-velocity layer in the stagnant slab, however, seems to occupy the whole mantle transition zone with an uncertainty of around ± 90 km or even more.

In this paper, we discuss our finding of a thickened slab in the upper mantle transition zone beneath northeast Asia and the possible mechanism of its formation, and we speculate on the dynamic implication associated with its fate. The constraint on the thickness of the slab

is based on P and S wave models of Li *et al.* [2013] obtained from the method of caustic waveform modeling. We first show the sensitivity of the method to the structure around the 660-km discontinuity, and then briefly introduce the data sources and main features of the resolved P and S velocity models. New waveform datasets are added to verify the correctness of the obtained model, and a series of uncertainty tests based on waveform matching are performed, which provide a robust constraint on the thickness of the stagnant segment of the slab. 2D finite-volume numerical simulations were also performed in order to understand the dynamic implications of a thickened stagnant slab in the transition zone. We will argue that thickening of the slab due to buckling instability may partly explain the enigma of the missing Pacific slab. The slab may be close to be unstable, and the continuous piling up of lithospheric material may trigger an event of mantle avalanche beneath northeast Asia.

1.2. CAUSTIC WAVEFORM MODELING AND DATA SOURCES

Caustic waveforms are caused by seismic triplication [Aki and Richards, 2009], occurring in the presence of a first-order discontinuity with positive velocity jump or a sharp increase in velocity gradient (Figure 1.1). Because the pathways of triplicate phases lie very close to each other in the lithosphere and crust, differences in relative time and amplitude between different seismic branches, and especially the location of the caustic points (Figure 1.1b), turn out to be quite sensitive to the velocity structure around the discontinuity. For example, the location of cusp-B is sensitive to the velocity gradient in the lower-mantle transition zone right above the 660; the location of cusp-C is sensitive to the velocity below the 660; and the travel-time difference between AB and CD branches is sensitive to velocity jump across the 660.

The triplication method has been applied to constrain the upper mantle discontinuities and core-mantle boundary (CMB) structure [e.g., Lay and Helmberger, 1983; Grand and Helmberger, 1984; Wang and Yao, 1991; Tajima and Grand, 1995; Tajima and Grand, 1998; Brudzinski and Chen, 2000; Brudzinski and Chen, 2003; Song *et al.*, 2004]. However, due to the sparse distribution of seismic instrumentations, previous studies were largely based on individual or limited seismogram analysis within a large aperture seismological array with which it is difficult to resolve the trade-off between the depth and sharpness of the discontinuity and velocity variation [e.g., Tajima and Grand, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 2006]. To illustrate how a velocity variation around the 660 can influence triplication waveforms, we show synthetic transversal displacements (Figure 1.2a) calculated from three different velocity




Figure 1.1 Diagram of caustic waveforms. (a) Three consecutive phases are expected to arrive at the receiver side. These are the direct AB phase, a wave diving above the discontinuity; the reflected BC phase, a wave reflected from the discontinuity; and the CD phase diving below the discontinuity. (b) Synthetic transversal displacement for an assumed epicentral depth of 520 km. The locations of caustic points are very sensitive to the velocity structure around the discontinuity. (c) Iasp91 model used for the synthetic waveform calculation.




Figure 1.2 (a) Synthetic seismograms at 20° epicentral distance (Δ) for transversal component S wave calculated for Iasp91 model and three different models (c). The depth of the event is 520 km. The trade-off between the depth of the interface and velocity variation around the 660-km discontinuity is not well resolved due to the similarity of the waveforms in a single seismogram. (b) Predicted caustic-wave travel-time after aligning seismograms with the epicentral distance. Significant discrepancy appeared in the major features of caustic points, which can be used to discriminate between different models. (c) Different models used for calculation of (a) and (b). Note that the reference model Iasp91 (black line) is overlapped with the other three models.




Figure 1.3 Map showing the location of deep earthquakes and regional seismic stations. The beach-ball symbols mark deep earthquake events used for caustic waveform modeling in our paper and previous study [Li *et al.*, 2013], with the red ball indicating the new event. Only events with both P and SH waveforms clearly identified are shown here. The filled triangles represent Chinese regional seismic network stations used for the new event, and the inverted blank triangles represent stations used in our previous study. Brown lines bounded by two sections of black lines highlight portions of the ray traveling below the 660 for event 20130406. The thick blue line AB marks the cross section in Figure 1.8, with “Hinge” indicating the location where the subducting slab encounters the 660 [Li *et al.*, 2008].

models (Figure 1.2c), which are proposed to characterize deep structure in subduction regions [e.g., Tajima and Grand, 1998; Wang *et al.*, 2006; Wang and Niu, 2010; Ye *et al.*, 2011]. In Model 1, the 660-km discontinuity is depressed to 690 km; in Model 2, the 660-km discontinuity is a layer with a thickness of ~ 50 km instead of a sharp velocity jump; in Model 3, there is a gentle velocity gradient ($dV/dh = 0.0036 \text{ s}^{-1}$) across a layer with a thickness of ~ 60 km located just above the 660. Over a certain distance, the amplitude of AB and CD phases varies between the models. However, the differences arising from a single seismogram are not clear enough for discriminating the right model (Figure 1.2a). On the other hand, the location of cusp-B, cusp-C, and crossover point O varies significantly (Figure 1.2b). For example, the locations of cusp-B and O are shifted by 0.9° in Model 1 compared with the reference iasp91 model; the AB branch terminates at a much longer distance ($\sim 27^\circ$) in Model 3.

With the rapidly increasing installation of broadband seismic stations, the record section of seismograms as a

function of epicentral distance within a limited azimuth range becomes much more useful and reliable for exploration of the vertical deep-mantle structure [e.g., Wang and Niu, 2010; Ye *et al.*, 2011]. In recent years, more than 800 broadband seismic instrumentations have been installed on mainland China, making it feasible to investigate the deep-earth structure in detail through the dense array technique [Zheng *et al.*, 2010; Niu and Li, 2011].

The velocity structure of the mantle transition zone described in the next section is based mainly on our new findings obtained through caustic waveform modeling [Li *et al.*, 2013]. Here we want to emphasize three important points: (1) In contrast to the traditional single or limited seismogram analysis, we take advantage of a well-constructed fan-shaped profile within a narrow azimuth range (Figure 1.3), and use the major features of the whole stack of seismic records, e.g., the location of caustic points, the crossover distance of the AB and CD branches, and the relative time between the AB and CD phases as well, with the goal of obtaining an

Table 1.1 Information of earthquakes used in caustic waveform modeling.

Event ID	Origin time	Location		Magnitude Mw	Depth (km)		
		Lat.(°)	Lon.(°)		PDE	CMT	Used
20080519 ^a	19/05/2008 00:08:36.31	42.50	131.87	5.6	513	522	519
20090716 ^a	16 /07/2009 06:29:04.76	42.37	133.00	5.3	477	485	477
20130406	06/04/2013 00:29:55.00	42.73	130.97	5.8	563	575	570 ^b

^aEvents are from *Li et al.* [2013]. Only events with both P and SH waveform used are illustrated here and in Figure 1.3.

^bDepth determined by array stacking method [*Li et al.*, 2008].

accurate seismic image of the upper mantle transition zone beneath northeast Asia. (2) Only events with both P and SH waveforms clearly recorded (Table 1.1) are plotted in Figure 1.3. We used exactly the same station-receiver geometry for both P and SH waves to ensure a close match between the ray paths traversed by the P and S waves. (3) We considered a new dataset associated with one recent deep earthquake (20130406) that occurred near the border of China, Russia, and the Japan Sea (Table 1.1). This dataset, which is characterized by a relatively high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for both P and SH waves, was added to verify the overall features of aligned records and the fitness between the observation and theoretical seismograms. We also note that, compared to the dataset of the event 20080519 used in our previous study, the waveform of this new event seems to be a little noisy, and only 35 stations are selected. Therefore, we did not update the velocity models by using all those events simultaneously. The modification to the model is small considering the uncertainty estimates that will be addressed in the following section.

1.3. SLAB IMAGE IN THE MANTLE TRANSITION ZONE

The comparison between the observed and synthetic seismograms calculated from our preferred models for the new event is shown in Figure 1.4. A reflectivity synthetic code [*Wang*, 1999] is applied to generate theoretical seismograms, and a Gaussian wavelet is used to represent the source-time function. The preferred P and SH velocity models obtained from caustic waveform modeling are shown in Figure 1.5.

For the transversal component, the observed AB branch extends to as far as 23°, much farther than ~19° as predicted by iasp91 reference model; the CD branch begins to emerge at a distance of ~12.5°, in contrast to ~10.5° predicted by iasp91. We clearly observe a broadened BOD-zone with significantly delayed AB wave after a distance of 16°, consistent with our previous study. In the aligned vertical waveforms, the AB phase appears to be weaker around a distance of 23°; the CD phase begins to emerge around 13°, much farther than

the value of ~11° calculated from the iasp91 model. Due to the limitations imposed by the station coverage, it is difficult to determine exactly the crossover distance of AB and CD phases for both types of waveform. For the detailed description of the caustic waveform modeling and comparison of seismic waveforms of other previous events, we refer the reader to *Li et al.* [2013].

In Figure 1.4, we can see that locations of caustic points and crossover distance of different branches as well as the relative time difference are matched well overall for both vertical and transversal components. Although there is some mismatch between the synthetic and observed waveforms, we argue that the local shallow structure and lateral velocity variations may contribute to this discrepancy. Waveform modeling for a 3D velocity structure should be applied to account for lateral velocity variations and for the interaction between the slab and deep mantle.

One of the major features of both P and SH models is that a high-velocity layer with a thickness of ~140 km lies just above the 660-km discontinuity (Figure 1.5), which could not be well constrained from seismic tomographic images [e.g., *Huang and Zhao*, 2006]. The slab appears to be significantly thickened in the upper mantle transition zone when compared with its initial thickness of ~80–90 km [*Zhao et al.*, 1994] near the Japan trench. To our knowledge, this is the first time the thickness of the stagnant slab in the mantle transition zone is self-consistently resolved from both P and S waveforms. Thickened and broad fast velocity anomalies in the lower mantle beneath subduction zones have been recognized by several authors [e.g., *Ribe et al.*, 2007; *Ren et al.*, 2007; *Běhounková and Čížková*, 2008]. However, seismic investigation of the thickening of slabs at the bottom of the upper mantle as well as the dynamic implications of this process are quite limited. In the following sections, we will mainly pay attention to this point. We will first present an analysis of the uncertainty for our estimate of the slab thickness; we will then discuss results from numerical simulations in order to explore possible mechanisms and implications of slab thickening in the mantle transition zone.




Figure 1.4 Comparison of the observed (black solid line) and synthetic waveforms (blue dashed line) calculated from the preferred P and S velocity models for the new event 20130406. (a) and (b) refer to vertical and transversal waveforms, respectively.




Figure 1.5 Velocity models obtained from caustic waveform modeling: (a) V_p model; (b) V_s model [Li et al., 2013].

1.4. UNCERTAINTY ESTIMATES OF THICKNESS OF SLAB

Because of the great trade-off between depth of discontinuity and velocity variation, it is hard to provide a quantitative and accurate uncertainty estimate of the obtained velocity model. We adopted here a scheme similar to *Brudzinski and Chen* [2000]. We explored the model by perturbing the best fitting models until synthetic seismograms showed large misfits in the locations of cusp-B, cusp-C, and O point, or in relative time between AB and CD phases. We focused on two parameters: the thickness of the higher-velocity layer just above the 660 and the velocity variation above the 660. While perturbing one parameter, we tried to keep the other parts of the structure undisturbed. We rejected perturbations that produced misfits larger than ± 0.5 s in relative time between AB and CD phases, or 0.5° shift in either cusp-B, cusp-C, or O location relative to the preferred models. We choose these values because significant discrepancies of the major features will appear in the aligned waveforms. However, different cut-off of these values will definitely affect the uncertainty estimation of the slab thickness to some degree.

A series of uncertainty tests was made based on waveform matching. Figure 1.6 shows how the locations of caustic points (cusp-C and cusp-B), crossover distance (point O), and relative time between the AB and CD branches change upon perturbing the thickness of the 660. If we take the above-mentioned rules to be the standard for acceptable models, we arrive at an estimated thickness of the slab to be 140 ± 20 km, which yields a much better constraint to the thickness of the stagnant slab trapped in the mantle transition zone (Figure 1.5).

1.5. DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF SLAB THICKENING

We carried out a series of 2D Cartesian numerical simulations of subduction that can help obtain a better physical understanding of the mechanisms and implications of a thickened slab. The finite-volume-based code YACC was used for the simulation [e.g., *Tosi et al.*, 2013]. YACC has been benchmarked for non-Boussinesq thermal convection [*King et al.*, 2010]. In Figure 1.7, we show three snapshots of the temperature field obtained from a simulation in which a significant thickening of the slab upon interaction with the 660-km discontinuity is observed. These results are from the reference model described by *Tosi et al.* in Chapter 6 of this volume, to which we refer for a detailed description.

Here we list the essential features of the model. In the framework of the Extended Boussinesq Approximation [e.g., *Christensen and Yuen*, 1985] with variable thermal expansivity and conductivity [*Tosi et al.*, 2013], we employed a purely thermal convection model in a two-dimensional box with a depth of 2890 km and width of 11,560 km. A 20-km wide weak zone inclined by 30° , with a viscosity of 10^{20} Pa·s is prescribed between an oceanic and an overriding plate. At the trench, the oceanic plate has a thickness of 100 km and a temperature distribution corresponding to that obtained from a half-space cooling model for an age of ~ 120 Ma. The overriding plate, which is assumed to have a uniform thickness of 100 km and an age of 120 Myr, is kept fixed with a no-slip upper-boundary condition throughout the simulation. After initiating the subduction kinematically by prescribing for the subducting plate a surface velocity of 5 cm/a over a time interval of 4 Myr, we changed its boundary condition to free slip, thereby allowing the slab to fall under its




Figure 1.6 Uncertainty estimation of the slab thickness. We explore the model by perturbing the best fitting models until synthetic seismograms show large misfits in the location of cusp-B (B marks), cusp-C (C marks), and O point (O marks) or in the relative time between AB and CD phases (X marks). If the variation of the upper boundary is taken into account, the uncertainty in the thickness of the slab is estimated to be ± 20 km.