


IEEE Press Series on Electromagnetic Wave Theory

Andreas Cangellaris, Series Editor

Multiforms, Dyadics, and Electromagnetic Media

Ismo V. Lindell



Multiforms, Dyadics, and Electromagnetic Media

IEEE Press
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854

IEEE Press Editorial Board
Tariq Samad, *Editor in Chief*

George W. Arnold	Vladimir Lumelsky	Linda Shafer
Dmitry Goldgof	Pui-In Mak	Zidong Wang
Ekram Hossain	Jeffrey Nanzer	MengChu Zhou
Mary Lanzerotti	Ray Perez	George Zobrist

Kenneth Moore, *Director of IEEE Book and Information Services (BIS)*

Multiforms, Dyadics, and Electromagnetic Media

Ismo V. Lindell



IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, *Sponsor*

The IEEE Press Series on Electromagnetic Wave Theory
Andreas C. Cangellaris, *Series Editor*



WILEY

Copyright © 2015 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. All rights reserved.
Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permission>.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

Lindell, Ismo V.

Multiforms, dyadics, and electromagnetic media / Ismo V. Lindell.

pages cm

ISBN 978-1-118-98933-3 (cloth)

1. Electromagnetism—Mathematics. 2. Electromagnetism—Mathematical models.

I. Title.

QC760.4.M37L57 2015

537.01'515—dc23

2014039321

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Preface	xi
1 Multivectors and Multiforms	1
1.1 Vectors and One-Forms,	1
1.1.1 Bar Product $ $	1
1.1.2 Basis Expansions	2
1.2 Bivectors and Two-Forms,	3
1.2.1 Wedge Product $\wedge$	3
1.2.2 Basis Expansions	4
1.2.3 Bar Product	5
1.2.4 Contraction Products $\rfloor$ and $\lrcorner$	6
1.2.5 Decomposition of Vectors and One-Forms	8
1.3 Multivectors and Multiforms,	8
1.3.1 Basis of Multivectors	9
1.3.2 Bar Product of Multivectors and Multiforms	10
1.3.3 Contraction of Trivectors and Three-Forms	11
1.3.4 Contraction of Quadrivectors and Four-Forms	12
1.3.5 Construction of Reciprocal Basis	13
1.3.6 Contraction of Quintivector	14
1.3.7 Generalized Bac-Cab Rules	14
1.4 Some Properties of Bivectors and Two-Forms,	16
1.4.1 Bivector Invariant	16
1.4.2 Natural Dot Product	17
1.4.3 Bivector as Mapping	17
Problems,	18
2 Dyadics	21
2.1 Mapping Vectors and One-Forms,	21
2.1.1 Dyadics	21
2.1.2 Double-Bar Product $ $	23
2.1.3 Metric Dyadics	24

- 2.2 Mapping Multivectors and Multiforms, 25
 - 2.2.1 Bidyadics 25
 - 2.2.2 Double-Wedge Product $\wedge$ 25
 - 2.2.3 Double-Wedge Powers 28
 - 2.2.4 Double Contractions $[\]$ and $[\]$ 30
 - 2.2.5 Natural Dot Product for Bidyadics 31
- 2.3 Dyadic Identities, 32
 - 2.3.1 Contraction Identities 32
 - 2.3.2 Special Cases 33
 - 2.3.3 More General Rules 35
 - 2.3.4 Cayley–Hamilton Equation 36
 - 2.3.5 Inverse Dyadics 36
- 2.4 Rank of Dyadics, 39
- 2.5 Eigenproblems, 41
 - 2.5.1 Eigenvectors and Eigen One-Forms 41
 - 2.5.2 Reduced Cayley–Hamilton Equations 42
 - 2.5.3 Construction of Eigenvectors 43
- 2.6 Metric Dyadics, 45
 - 2.6.1 Symmetric Dyadics 46
 - 2.6.2 Antisymmetric Dyadics 47
 - 2.6.3 Inverse Rules for Metric Dyadics 48
- Problems, 49

3 Bidyadics

53

- 3.1 Cayley–Hamilton Equation, 54
 - 3.1.1 Coefficient Functions 55
 - 3.1.2 Determinant of a Bidyadic 57
 - 3.1.3 Antisymmetric Bidyadic 57
- 3.2 Bidyadic Eigenproblem, 58
 - 3.2.1 Eigenbidyadic $\overline{\overline{C}}_-$ 60
 - 3.2.2 Eigenbidyadic $\overline{\overline{C}}_+$ 60
- 3.3 Hehl–Obukhov Decomposition, 61
- 3.4 Example: Simple Antisymmetric Bidyadic, 64
- 3.5 Inverse Rules for Bidyadics, 66
 - 3.5.1 Skewon Bidyadic 67
 - 3.5.2 Extended Bidyadics 70
 - 3.5.3 3D Expansions 73
- Problems, 74

4 Special Dyadics and Bidyadics **79**

- 4.1 Orthogonality Conditions, 79
 - 4.1.1 Orthogonality of Dyadics 79
 - 4.1.2 Orthogonality of Bidyadics 81
- 4.2 Nilpotent Dyadics and Bidyadics, 81
- 4.3 Projection Dyadics and Bidyadics, 83
- 4.4 Unipotent Dyadics and Bidyadics, 85
- 4.5 Almost-Complex Dyadics, 87
 - 4.5.1 Two-Dimensional AC Dyadics 89
 - 4.5.2 Four-Dimensional AC Dyadics 89
- 4.6 Almost-Complex Bidyadics, 91
- 4.7 Modified Closure Relation, 93
 - 4.7.1 Equivalent Conditions 94
 - 4.7.2 Solutions 94
 - 4.7.3 Testing the Two Solutions 96
- Problems, 98

5 Electromagnetic Fields **101**

- 5.1 Field Equations, 101
 - 5.1.1 Differentiation Operator 101
 - 5.1.2 Maxwell Equations 103
 - 5.1.3 Potential One-Form 105
- 5.2 Medium Equations, 106
 - 5.2.1 Medium Bidyadics 106
 - 5.2.2 Potential Equation 107
 - 5.2.3 Expansions of Medium Bidyadics 107
 - 5.2.4 Gibbsian Representation 109
- 5.3 Basic Classes of Media, 110
 - 5.3.1 Hehl–Obukhov Decomposition 110
 - 5.3.2 3D Expansions 112
 - 5.3.3 Simple Principal Medium 114
- 5.4 Interfaces and Boundaries, 117
 - 5.4.1 Interface Conditions 117
 - 5.4.2 Boundary Conditions 119
- 5.5 Power and Energy, 123
 - 5.5.1 Bilinear Invariants 123
 - 5.5.2 The Stress–Energy Dyadic 125
 - 5.5.3 Differentiation Rule 127

- 5.6 Plane Waves, 128
 - 5.6.1 Basic Equations 128
 - 5.6.2 Dispersion Equation 130
 - 5.6.3 Special Cases 132
 - 5.6.4 Plane-Wave Fields 132
 - 5.6.5 Simple Principal Medium 134
 - 5.6.6 Handedness of Plane Wave 135
- Problems, 136

6 Transformation of Fields and Media 141

- 6.1 Affine Transformation, 141
 - 6.1.1 Transformation of Fields 141
 - 6.1.2 Transformation of Media 142
 - 6.1.3 Dispersion Equation 144
 - 6.1.4 Simple Principal Medium 145
- 6.2 Duality Transformation, 145
 - 6.2.1 Transformation of Fields 146
 - 6.2.2 Involutionary Duality Transformation 147
 - 6.2.3 Transformation of Media 149
- 6.3 Transformation of Boundary Conditions, 150
 - 6.3.1 Simple Principal Medium 152
 - 6.3.2 Plane Wave 152
- 6.4 Reciprocity Transformation, 153
 - 6.4.1 Medium Transformation 153
 - 6.4.2 Reciprocity Conditions 155
 - 6.4.3 Field Relations 157
 - 6.4.4 Time-Harmonic Fields 158
- 6.5 Conformal Transformation, 159
 - 6.5.1 Properties of the Conformal Transformation 160
 - 6.5.2 Field Transformation 164
 - 6.5.3 Medium Transformation 165
- Problems, 166

7 Basic Classes of Electromagnetic Media 169

- 7.1 Gibbsian Isotropy, 169
 - 7.1.1 Gibbsian Isotropic Medium 169
 - 7.1.2 Gibbsian Bi-isotropic Medium 170
 - 7.1.3 Decomposition of GBI Medium 171
 - 7.1.4 Affine Transformation 173

7.1.5	Eigenfields in GBI Medium	174
7.1.6	Plane Wave in GBI Medium	176
7.2	The Axion Medium,	178
7.2.1	Perfect Electromagnetic Conductor	179
7.2.2	PEMC as Limiting Case of GBI Medium	180
7.2.3	PEMC Boundary Problems	181
7.3	Skewon–Axion Media,	182
7.3.1	Plane Wave in Skewon–Axion Medium	184
7.3.2	Gibbsian Representation	185
7.3.3	Boundary Conditions	187
7.4	Extended Skewon–Axion Media,	192
	Problems,	194

8 Quadratic Media **197**

8.1	P Media and Q Media,	197
8.2	Transformations,	200
8.3	Spatial Expansions,	201
8.3.1	Spatial Expansion of Q Media	201
8.3.2	Spatial Expansion of P Media	203
8.3.3	Relation Between P Media and Q Media	204
8.4	Plane Waves,	205
8.4.1	Plane Waves in Q Media	205
8.4.2	Plane Waves in P Media	207
8.4.3	P Medium as Boundary Material	208
8.5	P-Axion and Q-Axion Media,	209
8.6	Extended Q Media,	211
8.6.1	Gibbsian Representation	211
8.6.2	Field Decomposition	214
8.6.3	Transformations	215
8.6.4	Plane Waves in Extended Q Media	215
8.7	Extended P Media,	218
8.7.1	Medium Conditions	218
8.7.2	Plane Waves in Extended P Media	219
8.7.3	Field Conditions	220
	Problems,	221

9 Media Defined by Bidyadic Equations **225**

9.1	Quadratic Equation,	226
9.1.1	SD Media	227

9.1.2	Eigenexpansions	228
9.1.3	Duality Transformation	229
9.1.4	3D Representations	231
9.1.5	SDN Media	234
9.2	Cubic Equation,	235
9.2.1	CU Media	235
9.2.2	Eigenexpansions	236
9.2.3	Examples of CU Media	238
9.3	Bi-Quadratic Equation,	240
9.3.1	BQ Media	241
9.3.2	Eigenexpansions	242
9.3.3	3D Representation	244
9.3.4	Special Case	245
	Problems,	246
10	Media Defined by Plane-Wave Properties	249
10.1	Media with No Dispersion Equation (NDE Media),	249
10.1.1	Two Cases of Solutions	250
10.1.2	Plane-Wave Fields in NDE Media	255
10.1.3	Other Possible NDE Media	257
10.2	Decomposable Media,	259
10.2.1	Special Cases	259
10.2.2	DC-Medium Subclasses	263
10.2.3	Plane-Wave Properties	267
	Problems,	269
	Appendix A Solutions to Problems	273
	Appendix B Transformation to Gibbsian Formalism	369
	Appendix C Multivector and Dyadic Identities	375
	References	389
	Index	395

Preface

This book is a continuation of a previous one by the same author (*Differential Forms in Electromagnetics*, [1]) on the application of multivectors, multiforms, and dyadics to electromagnetic problems. Main attention is focused on applying the formalism to the analysis of electromagnetic media, as inspired by the ongoing engineering interest in constructing novel metamaterials and metaboundaries. In this respect the present exposition can also be seen as an enlargement of a chapter in a recent book on metamaterials [2] by including substance from more recent studies by this author and collaborators. The present four-dimensional (4D) formalism has proved of advantage in simplifying expressions in the analysis of general media in comparison to the classical three-dimensional (3D) Gibbsian formalism. However, the step from electromagnetic media, defined by medium parameters, to actual metamaterials and metaboundaries, defined by physical structures, is beyond the scope of this book.

The first four chapters are devoted to the algebra of multiforms and dyadics in order to introduce the formalism and useful analytic tools. Similar material presented in [1] has been extended. Chapter 5 summarizes basic electromagnetic concepts in the light of the present formalism. Chapter 6 discusses transformations useful for simplifying problems. In the final Chapters 7–10 different classes of electromagnetic media are defined on the basis of their various properties. Because the most general linear electromagnetic medium requires 36 parameters for its definition, it is not easy to understand the effect of all these parameters. This is why it becomes necessary to define medium classes with reduced numbers of parameters. In Chapter 7 the classes are defined in terms of a natural decomposition of the medium bidyadic in three components, independent of any basis representation. Chapter 8 considers media whose medium bidyadic can be expressed in terms of quadratic functions of dyadics defined by 16 parameters. In Chapter 9 medium classes are defined by the degree of the algebraic equation satisfied by

the medium bidyadic. Finally, in Chapter 10 media are defined by certain restrictions imposed on plane waves propagating in the media.

Main emphasis lies on the application of the present formalism in the definition and analysis of media. It turns out that certain concepts cannot be easily defined through the 3D Gibbsian vector and dyadic representation. For example, the perfect electromagnetic conductor (PEMC) medium generalizing both perfect electric conductor (PEC) and perfect magnetic conductor (PMC) media appears as the simplest possible medium in the present formalism while in terms of conventional engineering representation with Gibbsian medium dyadics it requires parameters of infinite magnitude. As another example, decomposable bi-anisotropic media, defined to generalize uniaxially anisotropic media in which fields can be decomposed in transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) components, can be represented in a compact 4D form while the original analysis applying 3D Gibbsian formulation produced extensive expressions. In addition to the economy in expression, the present analysis is able to reveal novel additional solutions. A number of details in the analysis has been skipped in the text and left as problems for the reader. Solutions to the problems can be found at the end of the book, which allows the book to be used for self-study.

Because of the background of the author, the book is mainly directed to electrical engineers, although physicists and applied mathematicians may find the contents of interest as well. It has been attempted to make the transition from 3D Gibbsian vector and dyadic formalism, familiar to most electrical engineers, to the 4D exterior calculus involving multivectors, multiforms, and dyadics, as small as possible by showing connections to the corresponding Gibbsian quantities in an appendix. The main idea for adopting the 4D formalism is not to emphasize time-domain analysis of electromagnetic fields but to obtain compactness in expression and analysis. In fact, harmonic time dependence $\exp(j\omega t)$ is often tacitly assumed by allowing complex magnitudes for the medium parameters.

Compared to the previous book [1], the present approach shows some changes in the terminology followed by an effort to make the presentation more accessible. For example, to emphasize the most important dyadics defining electromagnetic media, they have been called bidyadics because they represent mappings between two-forms and/or bivectors.

The author thanks students of the postgraduate courses based on the material of this book for their comments and responses. Special thanks are due to professors Ari Sihvola and Friedrich Hehl and for doctors Alberto Favaro and Luzi Bergamin for their long-lasting interest and help in treating questions during the years behind this book.

ISMO V. LINDELL

Multivectors and Multiforms

1.1 VECTORS AND ONE-FORMS

Let us consider two four-dimensional (4D) linear spaces, that of vectors, $\mathbb{E}_1$ and that of one-forms $\mathbb{F}_1$. The elements of $\mathbb{E}_1$ are most generally denoted by boldface lowercase Latin letters,

$$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots \in \mathbb{E}_1, \quad (1.1)$$

while the elements of $\mathbb{F}_1$ are most generally denoted by boldface lowercase Greek letters

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \dots \in \mathbb{F}_1. \quad (1.2)$$

The space of scalars is denoted by $\mathbb{E}_0$ or $\mathbb{F}_0$ and its elements are in general represented by nonboldface Latin or Greek letters $a, b, c, \dots$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$.

Exceptions are made for quantities with established conventional notation. For example, the electric and magnetic fields are one-forms which are respectively denoted by the boldface uppercase Latin letters **E** and **H**.

1.1.1 Bar Product |

The product of a vector **a** and a one-form $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ yielding a scalar is denoted by the “bar” sign | as $\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{E}_0$. The product is assumed symmetric,

$$\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mathbf{a}. \quad (1.3)$$

Because of the sign, it will be called as the bar product. In the past it has also been known as the duality product or the inner product. The bar product should not be confused with the dot product. The dot product can be defined for two vectors as $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ or two one-forms as $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}$ and it depends on a particular metric dyadic as will be discussed later.

1.1.2 Basis Expansions

A set of four vectors, $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4$, is called a basis if any vector $\mathbf{a}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a} = a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{e}_4, \quad (1.4)$$

in terms of some scalars a_i . Similarly, any one-form can be expanded in a basis of one-forms, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \alpha_1 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 + \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 + \alpha_3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 + \alpha_4 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4. \quad (1.5)$$

The expansion of the bar product yields

$$\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^4 a_i \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_i |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j. \quad (1.6)$$

The vector and one-form bases are called reciprocal to one another if they satisfy

$$\mathbf{e}_i |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad (1.7)$$

with

$$\delta_{i,i} = 1, \quad \delta_{i,j} = 0 \quad i \neq j. \quad (1.8)$$

In this case the scalar coefficients in (1.4) and (1.5) satisfy

$$a_i = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i |\mathbf{a}, \quad \alpha_i = \mathbf{e}_i |\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad (1.9)$$

and the bar product can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \alpha_i = a_1 \alpha_1 + a_2 \alpha_2 + a_3 \alpha_3 + a_4 \alpha_4. \quad (1.10)$$

From here onwards we always assume that when the two bases are denoted by $\mathbf{e}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j$, they are reciprocal.

Vectors can be visualized as yardsticks in the 4D spacetime, and they can be used for measuring one-forms. For example, measuring

the electric field one-form $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{F}_1$ by a vector $\mathbf{a}$ yields the voltage U between the endpoints of the vector

$$\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{E} = U, \quad (1.11)$$

provided $\mathbf{E}$ is constant in space or $\mathbf{a}$ is small in terms of wavelength.

The bar product $\mathbf{a}|\alpha$ is a bilinear function of $\mathbf{a}$ and α . Thus, $\mathbf{a}|\alpha$ can be conceived as a linear scalar-valued function of α for a given vector $\mathbf{a}$. Conversely, any linear scalar-valued function $f(\alpha)$ can be expressed as a bar product $\mathbf{a}|\alpha$ in terms of some vector $\mathbf{a}$. To prove this, we express α in a basis $\{\epsilon_i\}$ and apply linearity, whence we have

$$\mathbf{a}|\alpha = f(\alpha) = f\left(\sum \alpha_i \epsilon_i\right) = \sum \alpha_i f(\epsilon_i) = \sum f(\epsilon_i) \mathbf{e}_i |\alpha, \quad (1.12)$$

in terms of the reciprocal vector basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$. Thus, the vector $\mathbf{a}$ can be defined as

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum f(\epsilon_i) \mathbf{e}_i. \quad (1.13)$$

1.2 BIVECTORS AND TWO-FORMS

1.2.1 Wedge Product $\wedge$

The antisymmetric wedge product $\wedge$ between two vectors $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ yields a bivector, an element of the space $\mathbb{E}_2$ of bivectors,

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a}. \quad (1.14)$$

This implies

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{a} = 0, \quad (1.15)$$

for any vector $\mathbf{a}$. In general, bivectors are denoted by boldface uppercase Latin letters,

$$\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \dots \in \mathbb{E}_2, \quad (1.16)$$

and they can be represented by a sum of wedge products of vectors,

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d} + \dots. \quad (1.17)$$

Similarly, the wedge product of two one-forms α and β produces a two-form

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = -\beta \wedge \alpha. \quad (1.18)$$

Two-forms are denoted by boldface uppercase Greek letters whenever it appears possible,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}, \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{\Psi}, \dots \in \mathbb{F}_2, \quad (1.19)$$

and they are linear combinations of wedge products of one-forms,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \alpha \wedge \beta + \gamma \wedge \delta + \dots. \quad (1.20)$$

A bivector which can be expressed as a wedge product of two vectors, in the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}, \quad (1.21)$$

is called a simple bivector. Similarly, two-forms of the special form

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \alpha \wedge \beta, \quad (1.22)$$

are called simple two-forms.

For the 4D vector space as considered here, the bivectors form a space of six dimensions as will be seen below. It is not possible to express the general bivector in the form of a simple bivector.

1.2.2 Basis Expansions

Expanding vectors in a vector basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ induces a basis expansion of bivectors where the basis bivectors can be denoted by $\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j$. Because $\mathbf{e}_{ii} = 0$ and six of the remaining twelve bivectors are linearly dependent of the other six,

$$\mathbf{e}_{12} = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_{21}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{23} = \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 = -\mathbf{e}_{32}, \quad \text{etc.}, \quad (1.23)$$

the space of bivectors is six dimensional. Actually, the bivector basis need not be based on any vector basis. Any set of six linearly independent bivectors could do.

A bivector can be expanded in the bivector basis as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \sum_J A_J \mathbf{e}_J \\ &= A_{12} \mathbf{e}_{12} + A_{23} \mathbf{e}_{23} + A_{31} \mathbf{e}_{31} + A_{14} \mathbf{e}_{14} + A_{24} \mathbf{e}_{24} + A_{34} \mathbf{e}_{34}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

Here, $J = ij$ is a bi-index containing two indices i, j taken in a suitable order. In the following we will apply the order

$$J = 12, 23, 31, 14, 24, 34. \quad (1.25)$$

Similarly, a basis of two-forms can be built upon the basis of one-forms as $\epsilon_J = \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_i \wedge \epsilon_j$.

It helps in memorizing if we assume that the index 4 corresponds to the temporal basis element and 1, 2, 3 to the three spatial elements. In this case the spatial indices appear in cyclical order $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ in J while the index 4 occupies the last position.

It is useful to define an operation $K(J)$ yielding the complementary bi-index of a given bi-index J as

$$K(12) = 34, \quad K(23) = 14, \quad K(31) = 24, \quad (1.26)$$

$$K(14) = 23, \quad K(24) = 31, \quad K(34) = 12. \quad (1.27)$$

Obviously, the complementary index operation satisfies

$$K(K(J)) = J. \quad (1.28)$$

The basis expansion (1.24) can be used to show that any bivector can be expressed as a sum of two simple bivectors, in the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}. \quad (1.29)$$

Such a representation is not unique. As an example, assuming $A_{23} \neq 0$ in (1.24), we can write

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{A_{23}}(A_{31}\mathbf{e}_1 - A_{23}\mathbf{e}_2) \wedge (A_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 - A_{23}\mathbf{e}_3) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 A_{i4}\mathbf{e}_i \right) \wedge \mathbf{e}_4. \quad (1.30)$$

Thus, any bivector can be expressed in the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4, \quad (1.31)$$

where the vectors $\mathbf{a}_i$ are spatial, that is, they satisfy $\mathbf{a}_i|\epsilon_4 = 0$. $\mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2$ is called the spatial part of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{a}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4$ its temporal part. Similar rules are valid for two-forms. In particular, any two-form can be expanded in terms of spatial and temporal one-forms as

$$\Gamma = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \wedge \epsilon_4, \quad \epsilon_4|\alpha_i = 0. \quad (1.32)$$

1.2.3 Bar Product

We can extend the definition of the bar product of a vector and a one-form to that of a bivector and a two-form, $\mathbf{A}|\Phi = \Phi|\mathbf{A}$. Starting from a simple bivector $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ and a simple one-form $\alpha \wedge \beta$ the bar product is a

quadrilinear scalar function of the two vectors and two one-forms and it can be expressed in terms of the four possible bar products of vectors and one-forms as

$$(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})|(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \wedge \boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha})(\mathbf{b}|\boldsymbol{\beta}) - (\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mathbf{b}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} & \mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \mathbf{b}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} & \mathbf{b}|\boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.33)$$

Such an expansion follows directly from the antisymmetry of the wedge product and assuming orthogonality of the basis bivectors and two-forms as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{k\ell} = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}. \quad (1.34)$$

by assuming ordered indices. Equation (1.33) can be memorized from the corresponding rule for three-dimensional (3D) Gibbsian vectors denoted by $\mathbf{a}_g, \mathbf{b}_g, \mathbf{c}_g, \mathbf{d}_g \in \mathbb{E}_1$,

$$(\mathbf{a}_g \times \mathbf{b}_g) \cdot (\mathbf{c}_g \times \mathbf{d}_g) = (\mathbf{a}_g \cdot \mathbf{c}_g)(\mathbf{b}_g \cdot \mathbf{d}_g) - (\mathbf{a}_g \cdot \mathbf{d}_g)(\mathbf{b}_g \cdot \mathbf{c}_g). \quad (1.35)$$

Relations of multivectors and multiforms to Gibbsian vectors are summarized in Appendix B.

As examples of spatial two-forms we may consider the electric and magnetic flux densities, for which we use the established symbols $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. Bivectors can be visualized as surface regions with orientation (sense of rotation). They can be used to measure the flux of a two-form through the surface region. For example, the magnetic flux Φ (a scalar) of the magnetic spatial two-form $\mathbf{B}$ through the bivector $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ is obtained as

$$\Phi = (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})|\mathbf{B}. \quad (1.36)$$

For more details on geometric interpretation of multiforms see, for example, [3, 4].

1.2.4 Contraction Products $\rfloor$ and $\lrcorner$

Considering a bivector $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ and a two-form Φ , the bar product $(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})|\Phi$ can be conceived as a linear scalar-valued function of the vector $\mathbf{a}$. Thus, there must exist a one-form $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in terms of which we can express

$$\mathbf{a}|\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b})|\Phi = \Phi|(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) = -\Phi|(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}|\mathbf{a}. \quad (1.37)$$

Obviously, the one-form α is a linear function of both $\mathbf{b}$ and Φ so that we can express it as a product of the vector $\mathbf{b}$ and the two-form Φ and denote it either

$$\alpha = \mathbf{b} \rfloor \Phi, \quad (1.38)$$

or

$$\alpha = -\Phi \llbracket \mathbf{b}. \quad (1.39)$$

The operation denoted by the multiplication sign $\rfloor$ or $\llbracket$ will be called contraction, because the two-form Φ is contracted (“shortened”) by the vector $\mathbf{b}$ from the left or from the right to yield a one-form. Thus, the contraction product obeys the simple rules

$$\mathbf{a} \rfloor (\mathbf{b} \rfloor \Phi) = (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) \rfloor \Phi, \quad (1.40)$$

$$(\Phi \llbracket \mathbf{b}) \llbracket \mathbf{a} = \Phi \llbracket (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a}). \quad (1.41)$$

Contraction of a bivector $\mathbf{A}$ by a one-form α can be defined similarly. Applied to (1.33), with slightly changed symbols, yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d} \wedge \mathbf{a}) \rfloor (\beta \wedge \gamma) - ((\mathbf{d} \rfloor \beta)(\mathbf{a} \rfloor \gamma) - (\mathbf{d} \rfloor \gamma)(\mathbf{a} \rfloor \beta)) = \\ \mathbf{d} \rfloor [\mathbf{a} \rfloor (\beta \wedge \gamma)] - ((\beta \rfloor \mathbf{a}) \rfloor \gamma - \gamma \rfloor (\beta \rfloor \mathbf{a})) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.42)$$

which is valid for any vector $\mathbf{d}$. Choosing $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, all components of the one-form expression in square brackets vanish. Thus, we immediately obtain the “bac-cab rule” valid for any vector $\mathbf{a}$ and one-forms β, γ ,

$$\mathbf{a} \rfloor (\beta \wedge \gamma) = \beta(\mathbf{a} \rfloor \gamma) - \gamma(\mathbf{a} \rfloor \beta) = (\gamma \wedge \beta) \llbracket \mathbf{a}. \quad (1.43)$$

Equation (1.43) corresponds to the well-known bac-cab rule of 3D Gibbsian vectors, Appendix B,

$$\mathbf{a}_g \times (\mathbf{b}_g \times \mathbf{c}_g) = \mathbf{b}_g(\mathbf{a}_g \cdot \mathbf{c}_g) - \mathbf{c}_g(\mathbf{a}_g \cdot \mathbf{b}_g) = (\mathbf{c}_g \times \mathbf{b}_g) \times \mathbf{a}_g, \quad (1.44)$$

which helps in memorizing the 4D rule (1.43).

Useful contraction rules for basis vectors and one-forms can be obtained as special cases of (1.43) as

$$\mathbf{e}_j \rfloor (\epsilon_i \wedge \epsilon_j) = \mathbf{e}_j \rfloor \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_i, \quad (1.45)$$

$$(\epsilon_i \wedge \epsilon_j) \llbracket \epsilon_i = \epsilon_{ij} \llbracket \epsilon_i = \epsilon_j. \quad (1.46)$$

They can be easily memorized as a way of canceling basis vectors and one-forms with the same index from the contraction operation.

1.2.5 Decomposition of Vectors and One-Forms

Two vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \neq 0$ are called parallel if they satisfy the relation

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = 0. \quad (1.47)$$

Applying the bac-cab rule (1.43) for parallel vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$,

$$\alpha \rfloor (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}(\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b}(\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{a}) = 0, \quad (1.48)$$

implies that parallel vectors are linearly dependent, that is, one is a multiple of the other one. Assuming $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \neq 0$ and $\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{a} \neq 0$, we can write the following decomposition for a given vector $\mathbf{b}$:

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{b}_{\perp}, \quad (1.49)$$

$$\mathbf{b}_{\parallel} = \frac{\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{b}}{\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{a}} \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = \frac{\alpha \rfloor (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})}{\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{a}}. \quad (1.50)$$

Here, $\mathbf{b}_{\parallel}$ can be interpreted as the component parallel to a given vector $\mathbf{a}$, while $\mathbf{b}_{\perp}$ can be called as the component perpendicular to a given one-form α , because it satisfies

$$\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = \alpha \rfloor (\alpha \rfloor (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a})) = (\alpha \wedge \alpha) \rfloor (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{a}) = 0. \quad (1.51)$$

Similarly, we can decompose a one-form β as

$$\beta = \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_{\perp}, \quad (1.52)$$

$$\beta_{\parallel} = \frac{\mathbf{a} \rfloor \beta}{\mathbf{a} \rfloor \alpha} \alpha, \quad \beta_{\perp} = \frac{\mathbf{a} \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta)}{\mathbf{a} \rfloor \alpha}, \quad (1.53)$$

in terms of a given one-form α and a given vector $\mathbf{a}$ satisfying $\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{a} \neq 0$.

1.3 MULTIVECTORS AND MULTIFORMS

Higher-order multivectors and multiforms are produced through wedge multiplication. The wedge product is associative so that we have

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) \wedge \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}, \quad (1.54)$$

and the brackets can be omitted. Thus, trivectors and three-forms are obtained as

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2 \wedge \mathbf{a}_3 + \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \mathbf{b}_3 + \cdots \in \mathbb{E}_3, \quad (1.55)$$

$$\pi = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 + \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_3. \quad (1.56)$$

They will be denoted by lowercase Latin and Greek characters taken, if possible, from the end of the alphabets. Quadrivectors and four-forms can be constructed as

$$\mathbf{q}_N = \mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2 \wedge \mathbf{a}_3 \wedge \mathbf{a}_4 + \mathbf{b}_1 \wedge \mathbf{b}_2 \wedge \mathbf{b}_3 \wedge \mathbf{b}_4 + \cdots \in \mathbb{E}_4, \quad (1.57)$$

$$\kappa_N = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 + \beta_1 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge \beta_3 \wedge \beta_4 + \cdots \in \mathbb{F}_4. \quad (1.58)$$

The subscript N denoting the quadri-index $N = 1234$ is used to mark a quadrivector or a four-form.

Because the space of vectors is 4D, there are no multivectors of higher order than four. In fact, because any vector $\mathbf{a}_5$ can be expressed as a linear combination of a basis $\mathbf{a}_1 \dots \mathbf{a}_4$ satisfying $\mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2 \wedge \mathbf{a}_3 \wedge \mathbf{a}_4 \neq 0$, as will be shown below, we have $\mathbf{a}_1 \wedge \mathbf{a}_2 \wedge \mathbf{a}_3 \wedge \mathbf{a}_4 \wedge \mathbf{a}_5 = 0$. The spaces of trivectors and three-forms are 4D and, those of quadrivectors and four-forms, one dimensional.

1.3.1 Basis of Multivectors

The vector basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ induces the trivector basis

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{123} &= \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3, & \mathbf{e}_{234} &= \mathbf{e}_{23} \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 = \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4, \\ \mathbf{e}_{314} &= \mathbf{e}_{31} \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4, & \mathbf{e}_{124} &= \mathbf{e}_{12} \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4, \end{aligned} \quad (1.59)$$

whence the space of trivectors is 4D. There is only a single basis quadrivector denoted by

$$\mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{e}_{1234} = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4, \quad (1.60)$$

based upon the vector basis. Similar definitions apply for the basis three-forms $\epsilon_{ijk} = \epsilon_i \wedge \epsilon_j \wedge \epsilon_k$ and the basis four-form $\epsilon_N = \epsilon_{1234} = \epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2 \wedge \epsilon_3 \wedge \epsilon_4$.

Recalling the definition of the complementary bi-index (1.26), (1.27), and applying the antisymmetry of the wedge product we obtain

$$\mathbf{e}_{23} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{K(23)} = \mathbf{e}_{23} \wedge \mathbf{e}_{14} = \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \mathbf{e}_3 \wedge \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_4 = \mathbf{e}_{1234} = \mathbf{e}_N. \quad (1.61)$$

More generally, we can write

$$\mathbf{e}_J \wedge \mathbf{e}_{K(J)} = \mathbf{e}_{K(J)} \wedge \mathbf{e}_J = \mathbf{e}_N. \quad (1.62)$$

Defining the bi-index Kronecker delta by

$$\delta_{I,J} = 0, \quad I \neq J, \quad \delta_{I,J} = 1, \quad I = J, \quad (1.63)$$

we can write even more generally,

$$\mathbf{e}_I \wedge \mathbf{e}_J = \delta_{I,K(J)} \mathbf{e}_N. \quad (1.64)$$

This means that, unless I equals $K(J)$, that is, J equals $K(I)$, the wedge product yields zero.

1.3.2 Bar Product of Multivectors and Multiforms

Extending the bar product to multivectors and multiforms, we can define the orthogonality relations for the reciprocal basis multivector and multiforms as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ijk} | \epsilon_{rst} = \delta_{i,r} \delta_{j,s} \delta_{k,t}, \quad (1.65)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{ijk\ell} | \epsilon_{rstu} = \delta_{i,r} \delta_{j,s} \delta_{k,t} \delta_{\ell,u}, \quad (1.66)$$

when the indices are ordered. From the antisymmetry of the wedge product we obtain the expansion rules

$$(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}) | (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} | \alpha & \mathbf{a} | \beta & \mathbf{a} | \gamma \\ \mathbf{b} | \alpha & \mathbf{b} | \beta & \mathbf{b} | \gamma \\ \mathbf{c} | \alpha & \mathbf{c} | \beta & \mathbf{c} | \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.67)$$

$$(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) | (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} | \alpha & \mathbf{a} | \beta & \mathbf{a} | \gamma & \mathbf{a} | \delta \\ \mathbf{b} | \alpha & \mathbf{b} | \beta & \mathbf{b} | \gamma & \mathbf{b} | \delta \\ \mathbf{c} | \alpha & \mathbf{c} | \beta & \mathbf{c} | \gamma & \mathbf{c} | \delta \\ \mathbf{d} | \alpha & \mathbf{d} | \beta & \mathbf{d} | \gamma & \mathbf{d} | \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.68)$$

All quadrivectors are multiples of a given basis quadrivector $\mathbf{e}_N$ and all four-forms are multiples of a given basis four-form ϵ_N :

$$\mathbf{q}_N = q \mathbf{e}_N, \quad \kappa_N = \kappa \epsilon_N, \quad (1.69)$$

with

$$q = \mathbf{q}_N | \epsilon_N, \quad \kappa = \mathbf{e}_N | \kappa_N. \quad (1.70)$$

Applying the expansion rule for the determinant in (1.67), we can expand the bar product

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}) | (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) &= \mathbf{a} | \alpha \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} | \beta & \mathbf{b} | \gamma \\ \mathbf{c} | \beta & \mathbf{c} | \gamma \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{a} | \beta \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} | \alpha & \mathbf{b} | \gamma \\ \mathbf{c} | \alpha & \mathbf{c} | \gamma \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{a} | \gamma \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b} | \alpha & \mathbf{b} | \beta \\ \mathbf{c} | \alpha & \mathbf{c} | \beta \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.71)$$

whence from (1.33) we obtain the rule

$$(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) = (\mathbf{a}|\alpha)(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\beta \wedge \gamma) + (\mathbf{a}|\beta)(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\gamma \wedge \alpha) + (\mathbf{a}|\gamma)(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta). \quad (1.72)$$

1.3.3 Contraction of Trivectors and Three-Forms

Defining the contraction of a three-form by a bivector as arising from

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) &= \mathbf{a}|((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)) \\ &= ((\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)|(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}))\mathbf{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.73)$$

from (1.72) we obtain the expansion rule

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) &= (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)|(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}) \\ &= ((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\beta \wedge \gamma))\alpha + ((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\gamma \wedge \alpha))\beta + ((\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\alpha \wedge \beta))\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (1.74)$$

From this it follows that if the three one-forms satisfy $\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma = 0$, they must be linearly dependent.

Rewriting (1.72) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|(\mathbf{a}|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)) &= ((\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)|\mathbf{a})|(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}) \\ &= (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})|[(\beta \wedge \gamma)\mathbf{a}|\alpha + (\gamma \wedge \alpha)\mathbf{a}|\beta + (\alpha \wedge \beta)\mathbf{a}|\gamma], \end{aligned} \quad (1.75)$$

which remains valid when $\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c}$ is replaced by any bivector $\mathbf{A}$ because of linearity, we obtain another contraction rule for contracting a three-form by a vector,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}|(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) &= (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma)|\mathbf{a} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}|\alpha)(\beta \wedge \gamma) + (\mathbf{a}|\beta)(\gamma \wedge \alpha) + (\mathbf{a}|\gamma)(\alpha \wedge \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (1.76)$$

If $\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma = 0$, the three two-forms $\beta \wedge \gamma$, $\gamma \wedge \alpha$, and $\alpha \wedge \beta$ must be linearly dependent, which also follows from the linear dependence of the three one-forms.

The contraction rules (1.74) and (1.76) are similar to the bac-cab rule (1.43) and they can be easily memorized because of the cyclic symmetry. Other similar forms are obtained by replacing vectors by one-forms and one-forms by vectors in (1.74) and (1.76). Commutation

rules for the contraction product can be summarized as

$$\alpha \rfloor \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} \rfloor \alpha, \quad \Phi \rfloor \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} \rfloor \Phi, \quad (1.77)$$

$$\mathbf{a} \rfloor \pi = \pi \rfloor \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{A} \rfloor \pi = \pi \rfloor \mathbf{A}. \quad (1.78)$$

Here, $\mathbf{a}$ is a vector, $\mathbf{A}$ is a bivector and $\mathbf{k}$ is a trivector while α is a one-form, Φ is a two-form and π is a three-form. Useful rules for the contraction operations involving basis trivectors and three-forms can be formed as

$$\mathbf{e}_{jk} \rfloor \varepsilon_{ijk} = \mathbf{e}_j \rfloor \varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_i, \quad (1.79)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_k \rfloor \varepsilon_{ijk} = \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (1.80)$$

showing how similar indices are canceled in contraction operations.

1.3.4 Contraction of Quadrvectors and Four-Forms

Following the same path of reasoning, starting from (1.68) we can expand the contraction of a four-form by a trivector

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) &= -(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) \rfloor (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \\ &= -(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) \delta + (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) \alpha \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\gamma \wedge \alpha \wedge \delta) \beta + (\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \delta) \gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (1.81)$$

the contraction of a four-form by a bivector,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) &= (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) \rfloor (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \\ &= (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\beta \wedge \gamma) (\alpha \wedge \delta) + (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\beta \wedge \alpha) (\gamma \wedge \delta) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\gamma \wedge \alpha) (\beta \wedge \delta) + (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\gamma \wedge \delta) (\alpha \wedge \beta) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \delta) (\beta \wedge \gamma) + (\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d}) \rfloor (\beta \wedge \delta) (\gamma \wedge \alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (1.82)$$

and the contraction of a four-form by a vector,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d} \rfloor (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) &= -(\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) \rfloor \mathbf{d} \\ &= (\mathbf{d} \rfloor \alpha) (\beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta) + (\mathbf{d} \rfloor \beta) (\gamma \wedge \alpha \wedge \delta) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{d} \rfloor \gamma) (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \delta) - (\mathbf{d} \rfloor \delta) (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (1.83)$$

The above expressions appear invariant to cyclic permutation of the one-forms α, β, γ , which may help in memorizing and checking the formulas.

If $\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \delta = 0$, from (1.81) it follows that the four one-forms are linearly dependent, from (1.82) it further follows that also the six

two-forms are linearly dependent and from (1.83) it follows that the four three-forms are linearly dependent. The contraction of a four-form κ_N or quadrivector q_N obeys the commutation rules

$$\mathbf{a} \rfloor \kappa_N = -\kappa_N \rfloor \mathbf{a}, \quad \alpha \rfloor q_N = -q_N \rfloor \alpha, \quad (1.84)$$

$$\mathbf{A} \rfloor \kappa_N = \kappa_N \rfloor \mathbf{A}, \quad \Phi \rfloor q_N = q_N \rfloor \Phi, \quad (1.85)$$

$$\mathbf{k} \rfloor \kappa_N = -\kappa_N \rfloor \mathbf{k}, \quad \pi \rfloor q_N = -q_N \rfloor \pi, \quad (1.86)$$

Equations (1.81)–(1.83) imply the following contraction rules for the basis multivectors and multiforms:

$$\mathbf{e}_\ell \rfloor \epsilon_{ijk\ell} = \epsilon_{ijk}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{ijk\ell} \rfloor \epsilon_i = \mathbf{e}_{jk\ell} \quad (1.87)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{k\ell} \rfloor \epsilon_{ijk\ell} = \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{ijk\ell} \rfloor \epsilon_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_{k\ell} \quad (1.88)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{jk\ell} \rfloor \epsilon_{ijk\ell} = \epsilon_i, \quad \mathbf{e}_{ijk\ell} \rfloor \epsilon_{ijk} = \mathbf{e}_\ell, \quad (1.89)$$

which can be applied for canceling indices in expressions involving contraction of basis multivectors and multiforms. From (1.81) to (1.83) we can see that contraction of a four-form can be applied to transform vectors to three-forms, bivectors to two-forms and trivectors to one-forms and conversely. The converse cases can be obtained by applying the rules

$$\mathbf{e}_N \rfloor (\epsilon_N \rfloor \mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{a} \rfloor \epsilon_N) \rfloor \mathbf{e}_N = -\mathbf{a}, \quad (1.90)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_N \rfloor (\epsilon_N \rfloor \mathbf{A}) = (\mathbf{A} \rfloor \epsilon_N) \rfloor \mathbf{e}_N = \mathbf{A}, \quad (1.91)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_N \rfloor (\epsilon_N \rfloor \mathbf{k}) = (\mathbf{k} \rfloor \epsilon_N) \rfloor \mathbf{e}_N = -\mathbf{k}. \quad (1.92)$$

1.3.5 Construction of Reciprocal Basis

Given a set of basis vectors $\mathbf{a}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, and a four-form κ_N , we can form the reciprocal one-form basis as

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{K(i)} \rfloor \kappa_N}{\mathbf{a}_N \rfloor \kappa_N}, \quad (1.93)$$

where the $\mathbf{a}_{K(i)}$ are four three-forms defined by

$$\mathbf{a}_{K(1)} = \mathbf{a}_{234}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{K(2)} = \mathbf{a}_{314}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{K(3)} = \mathbf{a}_{124}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{K(4)} = -\mathbf{a}_{123}. \quad (1.94)$$

satisfying

$$\mathbf{a}_j \wedge \mathbf{a}_{K(i)} = -\mathbf{a}_{K(i)} \wedge \mathbf{a}_j = \mathbf{a}_N \delta_{ij}. \quad (1.95)$$

The rule (1.93) is easily checked:

$$\mathbf{a}_j|\alpha_i = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_j \wedge \mathbf{a}_{K(i)})|\kappa_N}{\mathbf{a}_N|\kappa_N} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (1.96)$$

When the indices are ordered in the above sense, we can define the two-form basis reciprocal to $\{\mathbf{a}_{ij}\}$ as

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{K(ij)}|\kappa_N}{\mathbf{a}_N|\kappa_N}. \quad (1.97)$$

In fact, from (1.64) we have

$$\mathbf{a}_{k\ell}|\alpha_{ij} = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_{k\ell} \wedge \mathbf{a}_{K(ij)})|\kappa_N}{\mathbf{a}_N|\kappa_N} = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}. \quad (1.98)$$

Because all four-forms are equal except for a scalar factor, the definitions are independent of the chosen four-form κ_N .

1.3.6 Contraction of Quintivector

Because any quintivector $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d} \wedge \mathbf{e}$ based on the 4D vector space vanishes, continuing the previous pattern by expanding the contraction $\kappa_N|\mathbf{Q}$ we can obtain the following relation between the five vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d} \wedge \mathbf{e})|\kappa_N - \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d} \wedge \mathbf{e})|\kappa_N + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{d} \wedge \mathbf{e})|\kappa_N \\ & - \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{e})|\kappa_N + \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d})|\kappa_N = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.99)$$

Assuming that the four vectors $\mathbf{a} \cdots \mathbf{d}$ are linearly independent, we have $(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{d})|\kappa_N \neq 0$, whence from (1.99) we obtain a rule how a given vector $\mathbf{e}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the other four vectors.

1.3.7 Generalized Bac-Cab Rules

From the expansions of the previous sections we can derive useful operational rules similar to the bac-cab rule (1.43) involving more general bivectors or two-forms. Let us start from (1.74) which can be written as

$$(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})](\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma) = \beta(\mathbf{b} \wedge \mathbf{c})(\gamma \wedge \alpha) + \alpha(\mathbf{f}|\gamma) - \gamma(\mathbf{f}|\alpha), \quad (1.100)$$