



# Statistics for Industry and Technology

## *Series Editor*

*N. Balakrishnan*  
McMaster University  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
1280 Main Street West  
Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1  
Canada

## *Editorial Advisory Board*

*Max Engelhardt*  
EG&G Idaho, Inc.  
Idaho Falls, ID 83415

*Harry F. Martz*  
Group A-1 MS F600  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, NM 87545

*Gary C. McDonald*  
NAO Research & Development Center  
30500 Mound Road  
Box 9055  
Warren, MI 48090-9055

*Peter R. Nelson*  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Clemson University  
Martin Hall  
Box 341907  
Clemson, SC 29634-1907

*Kazuyuki Suzuki*  
Communication & Systems Engineering Department  
University of Electro Communications  
1-5-1 Chofugaoka  
Chofu-shi  
Tokyo 182  
Japan

For additional information on this series, go to  
[www.springer.com/series/4982](http://www.springer.com/series/4982)

# Mathematical and Statistical Models and Methods in Reliability

Applications to Medicine, Finance,  
and Quality Control

V.V. Rykov  
N. Balakrishnan  
M.S. Nikulin  
*Editors*

*Editors*

V.V. Rykov  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Gubkin Russian State University of Oil and Gas  
Leninskii Prospekt 65  
117917 Moskva  
Russia  
vladimir\_rykov@mail.ru

N. Balakrishnan  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
McMaster University  
1280 Main Street West  
Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1  
Canada  
bala@univmail.cis.mcmaster.ca

M.S. Nikulin  
I'Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, IMB  
Université Victor Segalen Bordeaux 2  
33076 Bordeaux Cedex  
France  
nikou@sm.u-bordeaux2.fr

ISBN 978-0-8176-4970-8 e-ISBN 978-0-8176-4971-5  
DOI 10.1007/978-0-8176-4971-5  
Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2010938856

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60K10, 62Mxx, 90B25

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2010

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks, and similar terms, even if they are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed on acid-free paper

[www.birkhauser-science.com](http://www.birkhauser-science.com)

---

# Contents

List of Tables .....	XXI
List of Figures .....	XXIII

---

## Part I Reliability Models, Methods, and Optimization

---

### 1 Reliability of Semi-Markov Systems with Asymptotic Merging Phase Space

<i>V.S. Koroliuk and N. Limnios</i> .....	3
1.1 Introduction .....	3
1.2 Reliability of the Renewal System .....	4
1.3 Absorbing Time of Semi-Markov Process .....	7
1.4 Reward Functional in the Semi-Markov Environment .....	11
1.5 Dynamic Reward Functional .....	12
1.6 Fluctuations of the Reward Functional .....	13
1.7 Heuristic Phase Merging .....	13
1.8 Stationary Phase Merging Scheme .....	14
References .....	17

### 2 Nonlinearly Perturbed Stochastic Processes and Systems

<i>Dmitrii S. Silvestrov</i> .....	19
2.1 Introduction .....	19
2.2 Nonlinearly Perturbed Renewal Equation .....	21
2.3 Nonlinearly Perturbed Regenerative Processes .....	27
2.4 Nonlinearly Perturbed Semi-Markov Processes .....	30
2.5 Nonlinearly Perturbed Stochastic Systems .....	31
2.6 Nonlinearly Perturbed Risk Processes .....	32
2.7 Conclusion .....	33
References .....	34

### 3 On a Copula for Failure Times of System Elements

<i>Alexander Andronov</i> .....	39
3.1 Introduction .....	39
3.2 Multidimensional Distribution .....	41

3.3	Different Properties .....	43
3.3.1	Marginal Bivariate Distribution .....	43
3.3.2	Covariance .....	44
3.3.3	Maximum and Minimum Distributions .....	45
3.4	Copula .....	46
3.5	Example .....	47
3.6	Conclusion .....	48
3.7	Appendix .....	49
	References .....	50
<b>4 On One Method of Reliability Coefficients Calculation for Objects in Non-Homogeneous Event Flows</b>		
	<i>Alexander Antonov, Kristina Belova, and Valeriy Chepurko</i> .....	51
4.1	Introduction .....	51
4.2	General Concepts .....	52
4.3	Review of Models Taking into Account Non-Homogeneity .....	52
4.4	Normalizing Flow Function Model .....	53
4.5	Leading Flow Function and Failure Flow Parameter .....	55
4.6	Getting of Distribution Law for the Operability Cycle .....	56
4.7	Getting of Resource Reliability Characteristics .....	58
4.8	Availability Function Calculation .....	60
4.9	Numerical Computation of Availability Function .....	64
	References .....	65
	Appendix .....	66
<b>5 A New Approach to Maintenance Optimization by Modeling Intensity Control</b>		
	<i>Reza Ahmadi and Martin Newby</i> .....	69
5.1	Introduction .....	70
5.2	Model .....	71
5.2.1	Damage and Inspection Process Modelling .....	71
5.2.2	Partial Information Based Estimation of the Underlying Process $X_t$ .....	73
5.2.3	Failure Modelling .....	75
5.3	Modelling Intensity Control Given Partial Information .....	76
5.4	Numerical Example .....	80
5.4.1	Perspectives .....	83
	References .....	84
<b>6 Longitudinal Latent Markov Processes Observable Through an Invariant Rasch Model</b>		
	<i>Moussedek Bousseboua and Mounir Mesbah</i> .....	87
6.1	Introduction .....	87
6.2	Description of the Latent Process .....	88
6.2.1	The Rasch Model .....	89
6.2.2	Probability Law of the Observations .....	90
6.3	Application to Markov Latent Processes .....	91
6.3.1	First Order Markov Latent Process .....	91
6.3.2	Rasch First Order Markov Latent Process .....	92

6.3.3	$\mathcal{AR}(1)$ Latent Process	93
6.3.4	Rasch $\mathcal{AR}(1)$ Latent Process	94
6.4	Estimation	95
6.4.1	The Marginal Likelihood	95
6.4.2	The EM Algorithm	96
6.5	Estimation of Rasch Latent Markov Processes	97
6.5.1	Rasch Latent $\mathcal{AR}(1)$ Processes	98
	References	99

**7 Dynamics of Dependence Properties for Lifetimes Influenced by Unobservable Environmental Factors**

	<i>Rachele Foschi and Fabio Spizzichino</i>	101
7.1	Introduction	101
7.2	Some Basic Facts	104
7.3	Monotonicity Properties of Survival Copulas	106
7.4	Concluding Remarks	110
	References	111
	Appendix	111

**8 On Alternative of Choice for a Prophylaxis Problem**

	<i>B.P. Harlamov</i>	113
8.1	Renewal System	113
8.2	Optimization Problem	115
8.2.1	Local Optimality Equation	115
8.2.2	Localizing Markov Times	115
8.3	Alternative of Choice for Twice Regenerative System	116
8.3.1	Decision Under the Alternative	121
	References	122

**9 Optimal Incomplete Maintenance for Systems with Discrete Time-to-Failure Distribution**

	<i>Waltraud Kahle</i>	123
9.1	Introduction	123
9.2	Discrete Lifetime Distributions and Their Failure Rate	123
9.2.1	The Poisson Distribution	124
9.2.2	The Discrete Weibull Distribution	124
9.3	Kijima Type Repairs	125
9.4	Optimal Maintenance as Time Scale Transformation	126
9.5	Simulation of Failure–Repair Processes	128
9.5.1	Simulation of Weibull Processes	128
9.5.2	Transformation by Maintenance Actions	129
9.6	Cost Optimal Maintenance	130
9.6.1	Costs Proportional to the Removed Hazard	130
9.6.2	Costs Proportional to the Degree of Repair	130
9.6.3	Costs Proportional to the Impact of Repair	131
9.7	Conclusion	132
	References	132

**10 A Gini-Type Index for Aging/Rejuvenating Objects**

<i>M. P. Kaminskiy and V. V. Krivtsov</i> .....	133
10.1 Introduction .....	133
10.2 GT Coefficient for Repairable Systems .....	135
10.2.1 Basic Definitions .....	135
10.2.2 GT Coefficient .....	136
10.3 GT Coefficient for Non-repairable Systems (Components) .....	137
10.3.1 GT Coefficient for the Weibull Distribution .....	138
10.3.2 GT Coefficient for the Gamma Distribution .....	139
10.4 Conclusions .....	139
References .....	140

**11 Redundancy Analysis for Multi-state System: Reliability and Financial Assessment**

<i>Anatoly Lisnianski and Yi Ding</i> .....	141
11.1 Introduction .....	141
11.2 Problem Formulation .....	143
11.3 Model Descriptions .....	145
11.3.1 Main Definitions .....	145
11.3.2 Model for Multi-State Component .....	146
11.3.3 Model for Main Multi-State System and Its Demand .....	147
11.3.4 Model for Reserve Multi-State System and Its Demand .....	149
11.3.5 Model for Reserve System Obligation and Connecting System .....	151
11.3.6 Model for the Entire MSS .....	152
11.4 Algorithm of UGF Computation for Entire MSS .....	153
11.5 Reliability Measures Computation for Entire MSS .....	154
11.6 Illustrative Example .....	154
11.7 Conclusions .....	156
References .....	156

**12 On the Reliability Modeling of Hierarchical Systems**

<i>Vladimir Rykov and Dmitry Kozyrev</i> .....	159
12.1 Introduction and Motivation .....	159
12.2 General Model .....	160
12.3 Investigation of the Reliability of a General Model .....	161
12.4 The Subsystems Behavior Investigation .....	162
12.4.1 General Case .....	162
12.4.2 Exponential Case .....	170
12.5 An Example .....	171
12.6 Further Problems .....	172
References .....	173

---

**Part II Statistical Methods in Reliability**

---

**13 Parametric Estimation of Redundant System Reliability From Censored Data**

<i>Vilijandas Bagdonavičius, Inga Masiulaitytė, and Mikhail Nikulin</i> .....	177
13.1 Introduction .....	177
13.2 Parametric Point Estimators of the c.d.f. $K_j(t)$ .....	178

13.3	Asymptotic Confidence Intervals for $K_j(t)$ .....	179
13.3.1	Exponential Distribution .....	180
13.3.2	Weibull Distribution .....	182
13.3.3	Loglogistic Distribution .....	187
	References .....	191
<b>14</b>	<b>Assessing Accuracy of Statistical Inferences by Resamplings</b>	
	<i>Yuri K. Belyaev</i> .....	193
14.1	Introduction .....	193
14.2	Linear Heteroscedastic Regression Model .....	195
14.3	Resampling from Estimates of Residuals .....	197
14.4	OLS-Estimators of Regression Coefficients and Their Accuracies .....	199
14.5	Conclusion .....	201
	References .....	203
	Appendix .....	204
<b>15</b>	<b>Change Point Estimation in Regression Models with Fixed Design</b>	
	<i>Maik Döring and Uwe Jensen</i> .....	207
15.1	Introduction .....	207
15.2	The Jump Case .....	210
15.3	The Continuous Case .....	216
	References .....	219
	Appendix .....	219
<b>16</b>	<b>A Model for Field Failure Prediction Using Dynamic Environmental Data</b>	
	<i>Yili Hong and William Q. Meeker</i> .....	223
16.1	Introduction .....	223
16.1.1	Background .....	223
16.1.2	Applications in Prediction .....	224
16.1.3	Related Literature .....	225
16.2	Data and Model .....	225
16.2.1	Notation .....	225
16.2.2	Cumulative Exposure Model .....	226
16.2.3	Modeling the Time Scale Acceleration Rate .....	226
16.2.4	Modeling the Baseline Distribution .....	227
16.2.5	Modeling the Covariate Process .....	227
16.3	Parameter Estimation .....	228
16.3.1	ML Estimate for Parameters of the Failure-Time Distribution .....	228
16.3.2	ML Estimate for Parameters of the Covariate Process .....	228
16.4	Predictions .....	229
16.4.1	Distribution of Remaining Life .....	229
16.4.2	Prediction for the Population .....	229
16.4.3	Prediction for Individuals .....	230
16.5	Calibration of Prediction Intervals .....	230
16.5.1	Bootstrapping the Distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ .....	230
16.5.2	Calibration for Prediction Intervals .....	231
16.6	Conclusions and Areas for Future Research .....	231
	References .....	232

**17 Efficient Regression Estimation Under General Censoring and Truncation**

*Catherine Huber* ..... 235

17.1 Framework ..... 235

17.2 Data Description ..... 236

    17.2.1 Censorship ..... 236

    17.2.2 Truncation by Interval  $[z_1, z_2]$ . ..... 236

    17.2.3 The Data ..... 237

17.3 The Semi-Parametric Model ..... 237

    17.3.1 Model for  $X$  ..... 237

    17.3.2 Resulting Model for the Observations  $Q$  ..... 237

    17.3.3 Least Favorable Parametric Sub-Model (LFPS) ..... 239

17.4 Inference About  $\beta$  ..... 240

    17.4.1 Main Result ..... 240

17.5 Perspectives and References ..... 241

References ..... 241

**18 On Generalized Tests of Fit for Multinomial Populations**

*Alex Karagrigoriou, Kyriacos Mattheou, and Panayiotis Panayiotou* ..... 243

18.1 Introduction ..... 243

18.2 The  $\Phi$ -Family of Test Statistics ..... 246

18.3 Empirical Distribution and Power Simulations ..... 247

18.4 Conclusions ..... 252

References ..... 253

**19 Modeling and Scaling of Categorical Data**

*Henning Lauter and Ayad M. Ramadan* ..... 255

19.1 Introduction ..... 255

19.2 Basic Model ..... 256

    19.2.1 Modeling of Categorical Data ..... 257

    19.2.2 Determination of Observations ..... 258

    19.2.3 Choice of Distributions ..... 258

19.3 Criteria for Scaling ..... 260

19.4 Calculation of Most Separating Scales ..... 262

    19.4.1 One-Dimensional Normal Distributions ..... 262

    19.4.2 Higher-Dimensional Case ..... 263

References ..... 264

**20 Nonparametric Estimation and Testing the Effect of Covariates in Accelerated Life Time Models Under Censoring**

*Hannelore Liero* ..... 265

20.1 Introduction ..... 265

20.2 Nonparametric Estimation of the Regression Function Under Censoring ..... 267

20.3 Properties of the Nonparametric Regression Estimator ..... 269

20.4 Formulation of the Test Procedure ..... 274

    20.4.1 A Proposal for a Monte Carlo Procedure ..... 275

References ..... 276

**21 Nonparametric Estimation of Time Trend for Repairable Systems**

**Data**

*Bo Henry Lindqvist* ..... 277

21.1 Introduction ..... 277

21.2 Definitions and Preliminaries ..... 278

21.2.1 Models for Repairable Systems ..... 278

21.2.2 The Likelihood Function for the TRP Model ..... 279

21.3 A Motivating Example ..... 280

21.4 Nonparametric Estimation of a Monotone  $\lambda(\cdot)$  ..... 281

21.5 Kernel-Estimators for  $\lambda(t)$  in the General Case ..... 282

21.5.1 The Naive Kernel Smoothing Algorithm ..... 283

21.5.2 Maximum Likelihood Weighted Kernel Estimation ..... 284

21.5.3 Specializing to a Weibull Renewal Distribution ..... 285

21.6 Concluding Remarks ..... 286

References ..... 288

**22 Confidence Region for Distribution Function from Censored Data**

*Mikhail S. Nikulin and Valentin N. Solev* ..... 289

22.1 Introduction ..... 289

22.1.1 Proofs ..... 292

22.2 Testing of the Homogeneity Hypothesis ..... 294

References ..... 295

**23 Empirical Estimate with Uniformly Minimal  $d$ -Risk for Bernoulli Trials Success Probability**

*E. D. Sherman and I. N. Volodin* ..... 297

23.1 Introduction ..... 297

23.2 An Estimate with Uniformly Minimal  $d$ -Risk ..... 298

23.3 Empirical Estimate Based on the Modification of the Historical Data ..... 300

23.4 Empirical Estimate Based on the Invariance of EUMD for the Scalar Parameter of a Discrete Exponential Family ..... 303

23.5 Accuracy Properties Investigation for the Estimates Based on the Statistical Modeling ..... 305

References ..... 306

**24 Estimation of Archival Lifetime Distribution for Writable Optical Disks from Accelerated Testings**

*Watalu Yamamoto, Chiharu Kumazaki, and Kazuyuki Suzuki* ..... 307

24.1 Introduction ..... 307

24.2 Models ..... 307

24.2.1 Lognormal Distribution ..... 308

24.2.2 Accelerated Lifetime Model ..... 308

24.2.3 Eyring Model ..... 308

24.3 Estimation of Parameters and Percentiles ..... 309

24.4 An Example ..... 311

24.5 Concluding Remarks ..... 312

References ..... 312

---

**Part III Applications**


---

**25 Ages in Reliability and Bio Systems, Interpretations, Control, and Applications**

<i>Boyan Dimitrov</i> .....	317
25.1 Introduction .....	317
25.2 Main Definitions and Equivalent Representations .....	318
25.3 Empirical Distribution Functions and Empirically Equivalent Ages ...	321
25.4 Equivalent Ages Have Equivalent/Equal Accumulated Stress: Comparing Ages of Processes .....	322
25.4.1 About Assessment of Individual Life: Age of Bio-Systems .....	323
25.4.2 Process Ages Comparison .....	326
25.5 Multidimensional Life Time Equivalence .....	329
25.6 Conclusions .....	333
References .....	333

**26 Shocks in Mixed Populations**

<i>Maxim Finkelstein and Ji Hwan Cha</i> .....	335
26.1 Introduction .....	335
26.2 Two Ordered Subpopulations .....	336
26.3 Continuous Mixtures .....	339
26.4 Concluding Remarks .....	343
References .....	343

**27 Bayesian Estimation of Degradation Model Defined by a Wiener Process**

<i>Fabrice Guérin, Mihaela Barreau, Amel Demri, Sylvain Cloupet, Julien Hersant, and Ridha Hambli</i> .....	345
27.1 Introduction .....	345
27.2 Reliability Testing .....	346
27.3 Bayesian Estimation of Wiener Process Parameters .....	348
27.3.1 Bayesian Principle .....	348
27.3.2 Bayesian Estimators .....	349
27.3.3 Determination of the Prior Distribution from Available Information .....	351
27.4 Estimation of Prior Mean of Wiener Process by FEM Method: Application to Brake Disc Wear .....	352
27.4.1 Wear Modeling .....	353
27.4.2 Wear Analysis of a Brake Disc .....	354
27.5 Results .....	354
27.5.1 Bayesian Analysis for Brake Disc Wear .....	355
27.6 Conclusion .....	356
References .....	357

**28 Benefits of Threshold Regression: A Case-Study Comparison with Cox Proportional Hazards Regression**

<i>Mei-Ling Ting Lee, G. A. Whitmore, and Bernard Rosner</i> .....	359
28.1 Introduction .....	359

28.2	First-Hitting Time (FHT) and Threshold Regression (TR) Model.....	360
28.2.1	The Nurses Health Study .....	360
28.2.2	Regression Link Functions and Sample Log-Likelihood Function .....	361
28.3	Threshold Regression (TR) Investigations of Lung Cancer .....	362
28.4	Comparisons of Results Obtained from the Cox PH Model.....	365
28.4.1	Checking Model Fit .....	366
28.4.2	Benefits of Threshold Regression Over Cox PH Regression ...	367
	References .....	369
<b>29 Optimal Stopping and Reselling of European Options</b>		
	<i>Robin Lundgren and Dmitrii S. Silvestrov</i> .....	371
29.1	Introduction .....	371
29.2	Formulation of the Reselling Problem .....	372
29.3	Convergence of Rewards for Multivariate Markov Price Processes .....	374
29.4	Binomial–Trinomial Approximations for Reselling Model .....	378
29.5	Numerical Examples .....	385
	References .....	387
<b>30 Bayesian Modeling of Health State Preferences</b>		
	<i>R. Muzaffer Musal and Refik Soyer</i> .....	391
30.1	Introduction .....	391
30.2	Bayesian Modeling of Attribute Utilities .....	393
30.2.1	Bayesian Analysis of Utilities .....	394
30.3	Modeling Attribute Weights .....	395
30.4	Bayesian Evaluation of Health States .....	397
30.5	Concluding Remarks .....	398
	References .....	398
<b>31 Information Measures in Biostatistics and Reliability Engineering</b>		
	<i>Filia Vonta and Alex Karagrigoriou</i> .....	401
31.1	Introduction .....	401
31.2	Modelling in Biomedicine and Reliability .....	402
31.2.1	Modelling Tools: Divergence Measures .....	402
31.2.2	Modelling Tools: Tests of Fit.....	405
31.2.3	Optimal Modelling .....	407
31.3	Applications .....	409
	References .....	411

---

## Part IV Reliability Computer Tools

---

### 32 Software System for Simulation and Research of Probabilistic Regularities and Statistical Data Analysis in Reliability and Quality Control

	<i>Ekaterina V. Chimitova, Boris Yu. Lemesko, Stanislav B. Lemesko, Sergey N. Postovalov, and Andrey P. Rogozhnikov</i> .....	417
32.1	Introduction .....	417
32.2	The Investigation of Parameter Estimates Properties.....	418

32.3 The Investigation of Nonparametric Goodness-of-Fit Test Statistic Distributions ..... 418

32.4 The Investigation of Statistic Distributions and the Power of  $\chi^2$  Tests ..... 420

32.5 The Comparative Analysis of the Power of Goodness-of-Fit Tests ..... 423

32.6 The Investigation of Statistic Distributions and the Power of Normality Tests ..... 424

32.7 The Investigation of Homogeneity Test Statistic Distributions ..... 424

32.8 The Investigation of Statistic Distributions and the Power of Tests for Homogeneity of Means ..... 425

32.9 The Investigation of Statistic Distributions and the Power of Tests for Homogeneity of Variances ..... 426

32.10 Conclusion ..... 428

References ..... 429

**33 Inverse Gaussian Model and Its Applications in Reliability and Survival Analysis**

*Boris Yu. Lemeshko, Stanislav B. Lemeshko, Kseniya A. Akushkina, Mikhail S. Nikulin, and Nouredine Saaidia* ..... 433

33.1 Introduction ..... 433

33.2 The Family of the Inverse Gaussian Distributions ..... 434

33.3 Goodness-of-Fit Tests for the Family of IGD ..... 437

33.3.1 The RRN Statistic ..... 437

33.3.2 The Kolmogorov, Cramér–Mises–Smirnov and Anderson–Darling Statistics ..... 438

33.4 About Testing Hypotheses for the Inverse Gaussian Distribution ..... 439

33.5 Chi-Squared Goodness-of-fit Test for the Family of IGD in Case of Censored Data ..... 445

33.6 Models with Covariates Based on the Family of IGD ..... 447

33.6.1 Cox Model ..... 448

33.6.2 AFT Model ..... 448

33.6.3 Inverse Gaussian Family and Analysis of Redundant System ..... 449

References ..... 452

**Index** ..... 455

---

## Preface

The contributions in this volume were all presented as invited papers at the Sixth International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Reliability: Theory, Methods, Applications (MMR 2009), which was held at Gubkin Russian State University of Oil and Gas (Gubkin University, Moscow, Russia) during June 22–26, 2009. The International Organizing Committee of this conference included organizers of the previous conferences, namely, Professors Nikolaos Limnios (France), Mikhail Nikulin (France, Russia), Bo Lindqvist (Norway), Sally McNulty (USA), Tim Bedford (UK), and Vladimir Rykov (Russia). In addition to Gubkin University, the Peoples Friendship University of Russia (PFUR), and the University of Bordeaux-2 (France) participated in the meeting’s organization.

Reliability theory is a multidisciplinary science aiming to provide complex technical, computer, and informational systems and processes that are resistant to failure. Catastrophic events of the recent past, such as the explosion of the 4th block of Chernobyl’s nuclear power station in April 1986, the failure of the blocking system that switched out 21 United States electrical stations in August 2003, and the 2009 breakdown of a turbine in the Sayano-Shushenskaya electrical station, show the necessity for the scientific community to pay more serious attention to reliability problems. Although human error played an important role in most of these events, mathematical modeling and careful investigation into causes of failure are nevertheless very important.

During the early stages of research on reliability theory, the primary focus was on developing mathematical terminology and formalism. These rudiments were established in works such as B. V. Gnedenko, Yu. K. Belyaev, A. D. Solov’ev’s *Mathematical Methods in Reliability Theory* and R. E. Barlow F. Proschan’s *Mathematical Theory of Reliability*. More modern developments and practical problems began to receive attention at the end of the last century, when the First International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Reliability Theory (MMR 1997) was organized in Bucharest in 1997. Since then, six more conferences have been undertaken as part of the MMR series:

- the second, in Bordeaux (France, 2000);
- the third, in Trondheim (Norway, 2002);
- the fourth, in Santa Fe (New Mexico, USA, 2004);
- the fifth, in Glasgow (Scotland, UK, 2007);

the sixth, in Moscow (Russia, 2009, based on which this volume is being prepared);  
and  
the seventh, in Beijing (China, planned for 2011).

More than 200 people from 35 countries participated in the sixth conference and presented a total of 167 talks. Ten plenary talks (1 hour) were also presented; most appear in this volume. All the talks given at the conference were broadly classified according to the following topics: “Mathematical models and methods in reliability theory” (22 sessions), “Statistical methods in reliability theory” (10 sessions), “Computer tools and support of reliability problems solution” (3 sessions), “Applications of reliability theory in industry, medicine, power stations, transport and other spheres” (9 sessions). Accordingly, these topics are well represented in the present collection.

It is worth noting that the conference also included a “round table discussion” devoted to the memory of one of the field’s greatest pioneers, B. V. Gnedenko. Professors V. Korolyuk, Yu. Belyaev, I. Ushakov, I. Kovalenko, and D. B. Gnedenko (B.V.’s son) all discussed their memories and experiences with this remarkable scientist and man. Further information on this and other conference events can be found at <http://mmr.gubkin.ru>.

We would like to thank the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Investigation and the open joint-stock company “Gasprom. Promgas” for their financial support of the conference. Our final thanks go to Mr. Tom Grasso (Editor, Birkhäuser, Boston) for his support and encouragement in producing this book, and to Mrs. Debbie Iscoe for her fine work on the entire manuscript.

Moscow, Russia  
Hamilton, Canada  
Bordeaux Cedex, France  
June 2010

*V.V. Rykov*  
*N. Balakrishnan*  
*M.S. Nikulin*

---

## List of Contributors

**Ahmadi Reza**

City University, London, UK  
[ahmadi\\_stat@yahoo.com](mailto:ahmadi_stat@yahoo.com)

**Akushkina Kseniya A.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[ksushco@ngs.ru](mailto:ksushco@ngs.ru)

**Andronov Alexander**

Riga Technical University Riga Latvia, 1  
Kalku Str., LV-1658  
[Aleksandrs.Andronovs@rtu.lv](mailto:Aleksandrs.Andronovs@rtu.lv)

**Antonov Alexander**

Obninsk state technical university for  
nuclear power engineering  
(INPE), Obninsk, Russia  
[antonov@iate.obninsk.ru](mailto:antonov@iate.obninsk.ru)

**Bagdonavičius Vilijandas**

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania  
[Vilijandas.Bagdonavicius@mif.vu.lt](mailto:Vilijandas.Bagdonavicius@mif.vu.lt)

**Barreau Mihaela**

LASQUO, University of Angers, Angers,  
France

**Belova Kristina**

INPE, Obninsk, Russia  
[kiivanova@gmail.com](mailto:kiivanova@gmail.com)

**Belyaev Yuri K.**

Dept. of Mathematics and Mathematical  
Statistics,  
Umeå University, Umeå;  
and  
Dept. of Forest Economics,  
Swedish University of Agricultural  
Sciences, Umeå,  
Sweden  
[yuri.belyaev@math.umu.se](mailto:yuri.belyaev@math.umu.se);  
[yuri.belyaev@sekon.se](mailto:yuri.belyaev@sekon.se)

**Bousseboua Moussedek**

University Mentouri, Constantine.  
[moussedek1@yahoo.fr](mailto:moussedek1@yahoo.fr)

**Cha Ji Hwan**

Department of Statistics, Ewha  
Womans University, Seoul, 120-750,  
Korea  
[jhcha@ewha.ac.kr](mailto:jhcha@ewha.ac.kr)

**Chepurko Valeriy**

INPE, Obninsk, Russia  
[chepurko@iate.obninsk.ru](mailto:chepurko@iate.obninsk.ru)

**Chimitova Ekaterina V.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[chim@mail.ru](mailto:chim@mail.ru)

**Cloupet Sylvain**

LASQUO, University of Angers, Angers,  
France

**Demri Amel**

LASQUO, University of Angers, Angers,  
France

**Dimitrov Boyan**

Kettering University, Flint, Michigan,  
USA  
[bdimitro@kettering.edu](mailto:bdimitro@kettering.edu)

**Yi Ding**

School of EEE Nanyang Technological  
University Singapore  
[dingyi@ntu.edu.sg](mailto:dingyi@ntu.edu.sg)

**Döring Maik**

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik und  
Statistik,  
Universität Hohenheim, 70599 Stuttgart,  
Germany,  
[maik.doering@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:maik.doering@uni-hohenheim.de)

**Finkelstein Maxim**

Department of Mathematical Statistics,  
University of the Free State, 339  
Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa/Max  
Planck  
Institute for Demographic Research,  
Germany  
[FinkelM@ufs.ac.za](mailto:FinkelM@ufs.ac.za)

**Foschi Rachele**

Università degli Studi di Roma  
“La Sapienza”, Roma, Italy

**Guérin Fabrice**

LASQUO, University of Angers, Angers,  
France  
[fabrice.guerin@univ-angers.fr](mailto:fabrice.guerin@univ-angers.fr)

**Hambli Ridha**

Polytech Orléans, Orléans, France  
[ridha.hambli@univ-orleans.fr](mailto:ridha.hambli@univ-orleans.fr)

**Harlamov B.P.**

Institute of Problems of Mechanical  
Engineering  
Russian Academy of Science.  
St. Petersburg  
[b.p.harlamov@gmail.com](mailto:b.p.harlamov@gmail.com)

**Hersant Julien**

LASQUO, University of Angers, Angers,  
France

**Hong Yili**

Department of Statistics,  
Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24060  
[yilihong@vt.edu](mailto:yilihong@vt.edu)

**Huber Catherine**

Université Paris Descartes, 45 rue des  
Saints-Pères, 75 270 Paris Cedex 06, and  
U1018 INSERM, 94 800, Villejuif.  
[catherine.huber@parisdescartes.fr](mailto:catherine.huber@parisdescartes.fr),  
[http://www.biomedicale.univ-paris5.fr/  
catherine.huber/](http://www.biomedicale.univ-paris5.fr/catherine.huber/)

**Jensen Uwe**

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik und  
Statistik,  
Universität Hohenheim, 70599 Stuttgart,  
Germany,  
[jensen@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:jensen@uni-hohenheim.de)

**Kahle Waltraud**

Institute of Mathematical Stochastics,  
Otto-von-Guericke-University, D-39016  
Magdeburg, Germany,  
[waltraud.kahle@ovgu.de](mailto:waltraud.kahle@ovgu.de)

**Kaminskiy M.P.**

Center of Technology and Systems  
Management, University of Maryland,  
College Park, USA  
[mkaminsk@umd.edu](mailto:mkaminsk@umd.edu)

**Karagrigoriou Alex**

Department of Mathematics and  
Statistics,  
University of Cyprus, Cyprus  
[alex@ucy.ac.cy](mailto:alex@ucy.ac.cy)

**Koroliuk V. S.**

Institute of Mathematics,  
Ukrainian National Academy of Science,  
Kiev, Ukraine  
[korol@imath.kiev.ua](mailto:korol@imath.kiev.ua)

**Kozyrev Dmitry**

Dept. of Probability Theory  
and Mathematical Statistics,  
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,  
Ordzhonikidze st., 3, Moscow 117198,  
Russia  
[kozyrevdv@gmail.com](mailto:kozyrevdv@gmail.com)

**Krivtsov V.V.**

Office of Technical Fellow in Quality Engineering  
Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, MI  
USA  
[vkrivtso@ford.com](mailto:vkrivtso@ford.com)

**Kumazaki Chiharu**

Department of Systems Engineering,  
University of Electro-Communications,  
Tokyo, Japan

**Läuter Henning**

University of Potsdam,  
Institute of Mathematics  
[laeuter@uni-potsdam.de](mailto:laeuter@uni-potsdam.de)

**Lee Mei-Ling Ting**

University of Maryland, College Park,  
USA  
[mltle@umd.edu](mailto:mltle@umd.edu)

**Lemeshko Boris Yu.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[Lemeshko@fpm.ami.nstu.ru](mailto:Lemeshko@fpm.ami.nstu.ru)

**Lemeshko Stanislav B.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[skyer@mail.ru](mailto:skyer@mail.ru)

**Liero Hannelore**

University of Potsdam,  
Institute of Mathematics  
[liero@uni-potsdam.de](mailto:liero@uni-potsdam.de)

**Limnios N.**

Laboratoire de Mathématiques  
Appliquées,  
Université de Technologie de Compiègne,  
France  
[nikolaos.limnios@utc.fr](mailto:nikolaos.limnios@utc.fr)

**Lindqvist Bo Henry**

Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Norwegian University of Science and  
Technology,  
N-7491 Trondheim, Norway  
[bo@math.ntnu.no](mailto:bo@math.ntnu.no)

**Anatoly Lisnianski**

Israel Electric Corporation Ltd, Israel  
[anatoly-1@iec.co.il](mailto:anatoly-1@iec.co.il)

**Lundgren Robin**

Mälardalen University, Västerås, Sweden  
[robin.lundgren@mdh.se](mailto:robin.lundgren@mdh.se)

**Masiulaitytė Inga**

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania  
[imasiulaityte@gmail.com](mailto:imasiulaityte@gmail.com)

**Mattheou Kyriacos**

Department of Mathematics and  
Statistics,  
University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Meeker William Q.**

Department of Statistics, Iowa State  
University, Ames, IA, 50011  
[wqmeeker@iastate.edu](mailto:wqmeeker@iastate.edu)

**Mesbah Mounir**

University Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 6  
[mounir.mesbah@upmc.fr](mailto:mounir.mesbah@upmc.fr)

**Musal R. Muzaffer**

Texas State University, San Marcos,  
TX 78666, USA  
[rm84@txstate.edu](mailto:rm84@txstate.edu)

**Newby Martin**

City University, London, UK  
[n.j.newby@city.ac.uk](mailto:n.j.newby@city.ac.uk)

**Nikulin Mikhail**

IMB, Victor Segalen University,  
Bordeaux, France  
[mikhail.nikouline@u-bordeaux2.fr](mailto:mikhail.nikouline@u-bordeaux2.fr)

**Panayiotou Panayiotis**

Department of Mathematics and  
Statistics,  
University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Postovalov Sergey N.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[Postovalov@ngs.ru](mailto:Postovalov@ngs.ru)

**Ramadan Ayad**

University of Potsdam,  
Institute of Mathematics [ayad\\_math@yahoo.com](mailto:ayad_math@yahoo.com)

**Rogozhnikov Andrey P.**

Novosibirsk State Technical University,  
Novosibirsk, Russia  
[rogozhnikov.andrey@gmail.com](mailto:rogozhnikov.andrey@gmail.com)

**Rosner Bernard**

Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA,  
USA  
[Stbar@channing.harvard.edu](mailto:Stbar@channing.harvard.edu)

**Rykov Vladimir**

Dept. of Probability Theory  
and Mathematical Statistics,  
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia,  
Ordzhonikidze st., 3, Moscow 117198,  
Russia  
[vladimir\\_rykov@mail.ru](mailto:vladimir_rykov@mail.ru)

**Saaidia Noureddine**

Université Victor Segalen  
Bordeaux 2, France, et  
Université Badji Mokhtar, Annaba,  
Algérie  
[saaidianoureddine@yahoo.fr](mailto:saaidianoureddine@yahoo.fr)

**Sherman E.D.**

Kazan State University, 420008,  
Kremlevskaya-st., 18, Kazan, Russia  
[Evgenyi.Sherman@ksu.ru](mailto:Evgenyi.Sherman@ksu.ru)

**Silvestrov Dmitrii S.**

Stockholm University, Stockholm,  
Sweden  
[silvestrov@math.su.se](mailto:silvestrov@math.su.se)

**Solev Valentin N.**

St.Petersburg Department of V.A.Steklov  
Institute of Mathematics of the Russian  
Academy of Sciences, Russia  
[solev@pdmi.ras.ru](mailto:solev@pdmi.ras.ru)

**Soyer Refik**

George Washington University,  
Washington, DC 20052, USA  
[soyer@gwu.edu](mailto:soyer@gwu.edu)

**Fabio Spizzichino**

Università degli Studi di Roma  
“La Sapienza”, Roma, Italy  
[Fabio.Spizzichino@uniroma1.it](mailto:Fabio.Spizzichino@uniroma1.it)

**Suzuki Kazuyuki**

Department of Systems Engineering,  
University of Electro-Communications,  
Tokyo, Japan  
[suzuki@se.uec.ac.jp](mailto:suzuki@se.uec.ac.jp)

**Volodin I.N.**

Kazan State University, 420008,  
Kremlevskaya-st., 18, Kazan, Russia  
[Igor.Volodin@ksu.ru](mailto:Igor.Volodin@ksu.ru)

**Vonta Folia**

Department of Mathematics, National  
Technical University of Athens, Greece,  
[vonta@math.ntua.gr](mailto:vonta@math.ntua.gr)

**Whitmore G. A.**

McGill University, Montreal, Canada  
[George.Whitmore@mcgill.ca](mailto:George.Whitmore@mcgill.ca)

**Yamamoto Watalu**

Department of Systems Engineering,  
University of Electro-Communications,  
Tokyo, Japan  
[watalu@se.uec.ac.jp](mailto:watalu@se.uec.ac.jp)

---

## List of Tables

3.1	Values of the joint distribution functions	48
10.1	GT coefficients of some PP over time interval $[0, 2]$ . Weibull with scale parameter $\alpha = 1$ is used as the underlying distribution	137
10.2	GT coefficient for Weibull Distribution as Function of Shape Parameter $\beta$	138
10.3	GT Coefficient for Gamma Distribution with $\lambda = 1$ and $T = 1$	139
13.1	Confidence level for finite samples ( $n_1 = n_2 = 100$ )	182
13.2	Confidence level for finite samples ( $n_1 = n_2 = 100$ )	182
13.3	Confidence level for finite samples ( $n_1 = n_2 = 100$ )	187
13.4	Confidence level for finite samples ( $n_1 = n_2 = 100$ )	191
18.1	5% Upper critical values for tests of fit	249
18.2	Powers (%) for $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ tests based on the asymptotic distribution ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )	250
18.3	Powers (%) for $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ tests based on the empirical distribution ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )	250
18.4	Asymptotic (Asy) and empirical (Emp) size (%) for the $\Phi_1$ -test with $a = 0.01$ and $0.05$ and competing tests for the inverse Gaussian distribution	252
18.5	Asymptotic (Asy) and empirical (Emp) size (%) for the $\Phi_1$ -test with $a = 0.01$ and $0.05$ and competing tests for the Gamma distribution	252
21.1	Weighted kernel estimation of U.S.S. Halfbeak data. Estimates of the shape parameter $b$ and the maximum value of the log likelihood $\ell''$ in (21.10)	286
23.1	Arithmetic averages for $\theta, \hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$	305
24.1	The test conditions specified in ISO/IEC 10995:2008 [1]	310
24.2	Maximum likelihood estimates	311
24.3	Point estimates and lower confidence limits of percentile lives under the regular usage condition	312
27.1	Simulation parameters	355
27.2	Estimation results	356
28.1	Summary statistics for the failure variable and covariates used in the fitted threshold regression model. Covariate $pkysrs\_sq = (pkysrs0 - 28)^2$ . All variables have 115,768 readings	361

28.2 Threshold regression output for a model in which parameter  $\ln(x_0)$  depends on baseline age  $age0$  and baseline cumulative smoking  $pkysr0$ . Parameter  $\mu$  depends on the same covariates as well as an affine quadratic term for cumulative smoking  $pkysr-sq$  and a covariate  $dpkysr$  which represents the average annual smoking rate of the subject between baseline and the endpoint..... 363

28.3 Cox proportional hazards regression results for the study using covariates: baseline age  $age0$ , baseline cumulative smoking  $pkysr0$ , an affine quadratic term for cumulative smoking  $pkysr-sq$ , and the average annual smoking rate of the subject between baseline and the endpoint  $dpkysr$  ..... 366

31.1 Roots ( $a_1 < a_2$ ) for  $f_{\phi_{1,a}}^1 = 0$  ..... 409

32.1 Random variable distribution laws ..... 421

33.1 Pseudorandom values ..... 439

33.2 The results of testing a simple hypothesis about belonging of the sample to the IGD with parameters  $\mu = 2$  and  $\lambda = 2$  ..... 441

33.3 The results of testing composite hypothesis of goodness-of-fit to the IGD with the parameters  $\mu = 1.9848$  and  $\lambda = 2.1119$  estimated by the maximum likelihood method (use ungrouped observations) ..... 443

33.4 The results of testing composite hypothesis of goodness-of-fit to the LND with maximum likelihood estimates (by ungrouped observations)  $\mu = 0.3636$  and  $\sigma = 0.8558$  ..... 443

33.5 The results of testing composite hypothesis of goodness-of-fit to the GWD with maximum likelihood estimates (by ungrouped observations)  $\theta_0 = 3.1955$ ,  $\theta_1 = 5.6772$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.5423$  ..... 444

33.6 The power of the test in testing composite hypothesis concerning the IGD ( $\mu = 1.9848$ ,  $\lambda = 2.1119$ ) against the LND ( $\mu = 0.3636$ ,  $\sigma = 0.8558$ ) as a competing hypothesis ..... 444

33.7 The power of the test in testing composite hypothesis concerning the IGD ( $\mu = 1.9848$ ,  $\lambda = 2.1119$ ) against the GWD ( $\theta_0 = 3.1955$ ,  $\theta_1 = 5.6772$  and  $\theta_2 = 0.5423$ ) as a competing hypothesis ..... 444

---

## List of Figures

1.1	A merged system with $N = 2$ : (a) initial system and (b) merged system .	8
1.2	Double renewal system . . . . .	14
4.1	Failure and repair flow . . . . .	53
4.2	Conversion homogeneous event flow into “arbitrary” event flow . . . . .	54
4.3	Distribution density change for operability cycles . . . . .	57
4.4	Resource reliability characteristics . . . . .	58
4.5	Average straight and back residual times . . . . .	60
4.6	Joint failure–repair flow . . . . .	61
4.7	The availability function for variants 1–4 . . . . .	65
5.1	An evolution of the mean residual waiting times in the state one given $q_1^u(t) = u.t$ and control values $u = 0.1, 0.5, 1$ . . . . .	77
5.2	An evolution of the probability of the state one of the process given $q_1^u(t) = u.t, \lambda_{21} = 1$ and the control values $u=0.1,0.5,1$ . . . . .	77
5.3	An evolution of the optimal expected revenue $V(t, n), t \in [0, 15]$ , $(0 \leq n \leq 12)$ . . . . .	80
5.4	An evolution of the inspection intensity $\hat{\gamma}(n, t, u^*)$ given the optimal control process $u_t^*$ . . . . .	82
5.5	An evolution of the mean time between inspections (MTBI) given the optimal control process $u_t^*$ . . . . .	82
5.6	An evolution of conditional survival function given the optimal control process $u_t^*$ . . . . .	83
5.7	An evolution of conditional mean time to failure (CMTTF) given the optimal control process $u_t^*$ . . . . .	83
5.8	An evolution of failure intensity $\hat{\lambda}(n, t, u^*)$ given the optimal control process $u_t^*$ . . . . .	84
8.1	Proof of the alternative . . . . .	120
8.2	Regions of different prophylaxis policies . . . . .	122
9.1	Operating time between failures: CDF and exponential Q–Q plot . . . . .	126
9.2	A discrete time transformation . . . . .	127
9.3	Weibull process without and with preventive maintenance actions . . . . .	128
9.4	Contour lines of the cost function . . . . .	131
10.1	Graphical interpretation of GT coefficient for a point process with an increasing ROCOF . . . . .	136
10.2	Graphical interpretation of the GT coefficient for an IFR distribution . . .	138

11.1	General redundancy scheme for the MSS	144
11.2	State-space diagram for repairable multi-state component	147
11.3	Recursive procedure for resulting UGF computation for entire MSS with redundancy	153
11.4	The system expected profit	155
11.5	The system expected interruption cost	155
12.1	A complex multi-level hierarchical system	161
13.1	Graphs of the trajectories of the parametric estimators $\hat{F}_1, \hat{K}_2$ (exponential distribution)	182
13.2	Graphs of the trajectories of the parametric estimators $\hat{F}_1, \hat{K}_2$ (Weibull distribution)	186
13.3	Graphs of the trajectories of the parametric estimators $\hat{F}_1, \hat{K}_2$ (loglogistic distribution)	190
18.1	Empirical and asymptotic null distribution of $\Phi_1$ -test statistic ( $a = 0.01$ ) for trinomial $M(150, \mathbf{p}_0)$	248
18.2	Empirical and asymptotic null distribution of $\Phi_1$ -test statistic ( $a = 0.05$ ) for trinomial $M(150, \mathbf{p}_0)$	248
18.3	Empirical and asymptotic null distribution of $\Phi_2$ -test statistic ( $a = 0.01$ ) for trinomial $M(150, \mathbf{p}_0)$	248
18.4	Empirical and asymptotic null distribution of $\Phi_2$ -test statistic ( $a = 0.05$ ) for trinomial $M(150, \mathbf{p}_0)$	249
21.1	The defining property of the trend-renewal process	279
21.2	Failure number against time in thousands of hours of operation. U.S.S. Halfbeak data	281
21.3	Nonparametric estimate of an increasing trend-function $\lambda(\cdot)$ . U.S.S. Halfbeak data	282
21.4	Weighted kernel estimation of U.S.S. Halfbeak data. Estimates of $\lambda(\cdot)$ for $h = 2$ (solid), $h = 5$ (dotted), $h = 10$ (dot-dash)	286
23.1	Functions $R(d x)$ (on the top) and $x(d)$ (at the bottom)	300
24.1	Lognormal plots of example data	309
24.2	Lognormal probability plots for the example data set from ISO/IEC 10995:2008 [1]	310
27.1	Degradation paths example	346
27.2	Example of data	347
27.3	Uniform uninformative pdf	349
27.4	Disc brake system	353
27.5	Normal pressure and normal force acting on contact elements	353
27.6	Temperature and wear contours of the disc brake	354
27.7	Results of wear random simulation	356
27.8	Reliability functions	356
28.1	The absolute risk (probability) of developing primary lung cancer within the next five years (panel a) and the next ten years (panel b) at different baseline ages $age_0$ for three smoking profiles: (1) a nonsmoker, (2) a smoker who has smoked one pack each day since age 18 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate, and (3) a smoker who has smoked two packs each day since age 18 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate. The probabilities take no account of competing risks of death	364

28.2	Estimated lung cancer survival functions over a 20-year horizon for a 45-year old nurse for four smoking profiles: (1) a nonsmoker, (2) a smoker who has smoked one pack each day since age 20 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate, (3) a smoker who has smoked two packs each day since age 20 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate, and (4) a smoker who has smoked one pack each day since age 20 but quits smoking at age 45. The survival curves take no account of competing risks of death . . . . .	364
28.3	Plots of hazard functions for three smoking profiles: (1) a nonsmoker, (2) a smoker who has smoked one pack each day since age 18 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate, and (3) a smoker who has smoked two packs each day since age 18 and who will continue to smoke at the same rate. The functions in panel (a) span the 30-year period from a baseline age of 40 until age 70. Those in panel (b) span the 10-year period from a baseline age of 60 until age 70 . . . . .	365
28.4	Ratios representing the standardized excess of actual lung cancer cases over predicted lung cancer cases for each baseline year $age_0$ from 40 to 65 for (a) the fitted TR regression model in Table 28.2 and (b) the fitted Cox regression model in Table 28.3 . . . . .	367
28.5	Ratios representing the standardized excess of actual lung cancer cases over predicted lung cancer cases for each baseline cumulative pack years of smoking $pkys_0$ for (a) the fitted TR regression model in Table 28.2 and (b) the fitted Cox regression model in Table 28.3 . . . . .	367
29.1	The optimal expected reselling rewards for the models with parameters $r = 0.04$ ; $S(0) = 10$ , $\mu = 0.02$ , $\sigma = 0.2$ , $0.12 < \alpha < 2.4$ , $0.05 < \nu < 1$ , $\rho = 0.3$ ; and $K = 10$ , $T = 0.5$ . . . . .	386
29.2	The optimal expected reselling rewards for the models with parameters $r = 0.04$ ; $S(0) = 10$ , $-0.5 < \mu < 0.5$ , $0.05 < \sigma < 1$ , $\alpha = 1$ , $\nu = 0.2$ , $\rho = 0.3$ ; and $K = 10$ , $T = 0.5$ . . . . .	387
32.1	The Anderson–Darling statistic distributions for testing composite hypotheses with calculating MLE of two law parameters . . . . .	419
32.2	The Kolmogorov statistic distributions for testing composite hypotheses with calculating MLE of $Su$ -Jonson distribution law parameters . . . . .	420
32.3	Distributions of Pearson’s statistic $X_n^2$ in testing simple hypothesis $H_0$ if the hypothesis $H_1$ is true depending on the grouping method with $k = 9$ and $n = 500$ . . . . .	422
32.4	The dependence of the Pearson $\chi^2$ test power on the number of intervals $k$ for various grouping methods, $n = 200$ , in case of testing $H_0$ : normal distribution against $H_1$ : logistic distribution . . . . .	423
32.5	The Smirnov statistic distributions when the null hypothesis is true depending on the sample sizes $m$ and $n$ . . . . .	425
32.6	The power of tests relative to the competing hypotheses $H_1^1$ and $H_1^2$ depending on the sample size $n$ for $\alpha = 0.1$ in case of the normal law . . . . .	428
33.1	Hazard rate function of IGD . . . . .	435
33.2	Empirical distribution and the IGD with parameters $\mu = 2$ and $\lambda = 2$ . . . . .	440
33.3	Empirical distribution and the IGD with the maximum likelihood estimates $\mu = 1.9848$ and $\lambda = 2.1119$ . . . . .	441

33.4 IGD ( $\mu = 1.9848, \lambda = 2.1119$ ), LND ( $\mu = 0.3663, \sigma = 0.8558$ ) and  
 GWD ( $\theta_0 = 3.1955, \theta_1 = 5.6772, \theta_2 = 0.5423$ ) ..... 442

33.5 Density of the IGD ( $\mu = 1.9848, \lambda = 2.1119$ ), density of the LND  
 ( $\mu = 0.3663, \sigma = 0.8558$ ) and density of the GWD ( $\theta_0 = 3.1955,$   
 $\theta_1 = 5.6772, \theta_2 = 0.5423$ ) ..... 442

33.6 Hazard rate function of the IGD ( $\mu = 1.9848, \lambda = 2.1119$ ), the LND  
 ( $\mu = 0.3663, \sigma = 0.8558$ ) and the GWD ( $\theta_0 = 3.1955, \theta_1 = 5.6772,$   
 $\theta_2 = 0.5423$ ) ..... 443

**Reliability Models, Methods, and Optimization**

# Reliability of Semi-Markov Systems with Asymptotic Merging Phase Space

V.S. Koroliuk<sup>1</sup> and N. Limnios<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian National Academy of Science, Kiev, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, France

**Abstract:** The aim of this chapter is to present, under an unified framework, asymptotic merging of phase state space of complex systems in reliability. Such simplification methods are important in reliability since the most system have very large phase spaces and it is almost impossible to handle them by usual analytical methods. Results presented here are of averaging type and obtained by weak convergence techniques. Nevertheless, a result of diffusion approximation is also given.

**Keywords and phrases:** Reliability, Semi-Markov process, Renewal process, Markov process, Merging, Random evolution, Weak convergence, Singular perturbation

---

## 1.1 Introduction

Modeling reliability of real systems is often hard, or impossible to handle by direct analytical-numerical methods, due essentially to the very large number of components. For example, a system of twenty binary components (yet a small system for real problems) gives a phase (state) space of more than one million of states which is almost impossible to handle by the usual analytical-numerical methods.

A common point of methods developed in order to handle such systems is the reduction of the number of states called aggregation or merging of phase space methods. Of course, in such methods the merging system has to keep the essential characteristics of the original system in regards of reliability.

The exact aggregation is a natural candidate method to this end. This method, in the case of a system described by a Markov process,  $x(t), t \geq 0$ , with (a large) phase space,  $E$ , is to consider another Markov process,  $\hat{x}(t), t \geq 0$ , with (a much smaller) state space,  $\hat{E}$ . This means that there exists a (merging) function  $v : E \rightarrow \hat{E}$  such that

$$Q(f \circ v) = (\hat{Q}f) \circ v,$$

for any bounded and measurable function  $f$  on  $\hat{E}$ ; where  $Q$  and  $\hat{Q}$  are the generators of the Markov processes  $x$  and  $\hat{x}$ , respectively.

Unfortunately, the exact aggregation method usually does not work for reliability problems, essentially, due to the fact that failure rate and repair rates values are of different magnitudes. So, there is need to provide approximation methods for aggregation. The method that seems to give interesting results for merging the phase space is the method of (functional) asymptotic merging by means of weak convergence techniques, (see, e.g., [KL05a,b, KT93, KS95, A08, SV79, SK89, SHS02, S04, LS]). The most known are average, diffusion and Poisson approximation methods (see, e.g., [KL05a,b, K90, LO01]).

We are proposed here to present some kind of asymptotic methods in the case where the temporal behavior of the system is described by a semi-Markov process which is the most general process encountered in the literature of reliability modeling. The problem here can be formulated as follows. Given a family of semi-Markov processes in series scheme, that is, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the process  $x^\varepsilon(t), t \geq 0$ , is a semi-Markov process, we have

$$v(x^\varepsilon(t)) \implies \hat{x}(t), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where the limit process  $\hat{x}(t)$  is a Markov process. So, in this way, we not only reduce the initial phase space to a simpler one, but also we get a Markov process instead of the initial semi-Markov one.

The asymptotic considered here is of functional type in series scheme where the considered systems are indexed by a series parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  in a weak convergence framework. Average and diffusion approximations are considered.

This article is a continuation of our work presented in the MMR2000 [KL00, KL04] where we presented asymptotic methods in the particular case of Markov switching stochastic systems. We present here, asymptotic results for semi-Markov systems, under a unified framework for reliability problems.

The particular systems studied here are: the semi-Markov process in merging phase space, the integral functional, the dynamical system, and an heuristic principle for superposed renewal processes.

This chapter is organized as follows. Section 1.2 presents a short review of some asymptotic results. Section 1.3 presents an asymptotic merging result for the supporting semi-Markov process and some additional results especially for the failure time. Section 1.4 presents reward functional asymptotic results. Section 1.5 presents dynamical system asymptotic merging results, and fluctuations of such functionals in Sect. 1.6. Section 1.7 presents a heuristic method for superposition of two renewal processes. Finally, Sect. 1.8 presents results of the stationary phase merging

## 1.2 Reliability of the Renewal System

Let us review here some results on repairable systems with two identical components where they are solved by a renewal process approach and a generalization to semi-Markov process approach (Gnedenko [G64a,b, GS74], Soloviev [SL64, SL71], and Korolyuk [K89]). In both cases, their solution is based on the solution of a singular perturbation problem for reducible-invertible operators.

*1. Renewal duplicated system.* Let us consider a two component cold standby system. The lifetimes of which are iid, with common distribution  $F$ ; and their repair

(or replacement) times are also iid with common distribution function  $G$  and moreover they are independent of the lifetimes. Denote by  $\alpha$  the lifetime and by  $\beta$  the repair time. Thus, we have  $F(t) = \mathbf{P}(\alpha \leq t)$  and  $G(t) = \mathbf{P}(\beta \leq t)$ .

The system fails at time  $\tau$  when the working component fails while the repaired component is still under repair. So, the reliability problem consists to find the distribution function  $\Phi(t) = \mathbf{P}(\tau \leq t)$ . The solution of this problem is given by Gnedenko [G64a] in terms of Laplace transforms as follows

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{\psi(s)}{1 - g(s)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s) &:= \mathbf{E}e^{-s\tau} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\Phi(t), \\ \psi(s) &:= \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \bar{G}(t) dF(t), \\ g(s) &:= \int_0^\infty e^{-st} G(t) dF(t), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\bar{G}(t) := 1 - G(t)$ .

We denote by  $q := \psi(0) = \int_0^\infty \bar{G}(t) dF(t) = \mathbf{P}(\beta > \alpha)$  which is the probability of failure of the system on every working interval. This is also called the terminating probability in Feller [F66] in the case of a terminating renewal process, where  $q$  represents the defect of the distribution in the renewal process.

An obvious view of solution (1.1) gives possibility to get a limit result as  $q \rightarrow 0$  (Soloviev [SL64]), that is

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}(q\tau > t) = e^{-t/a}, \quad a = \mathbf{E}\alpha. \quad (1.2)$$

The proof of the limit result (1.2) is based on the asymptotic representation of functions  $\psi$  and  $g$  in the formula (1.1), as  $q \rightarrow 0$ , namely:

$$\psi(qs) = q + o(q), \quad 1 - g(qs) = q(1 + as) + o(q). \quad (1.3)$$

The problem of reliability of the duplicated system is generalized in the case of different distributions of working times and renewal times (Gnedenko [G64a]).

Now working times  $\alpha_k$  and renewal times  $\beta_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  have different distribution functions:

$$F_k(t) = \mathbf{P}(\alpha_k \leq t), \quad G_k(t) = \mathbf{P}(\beta_k \leq t), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Working times to the first failure of the system  $\tau_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  also depend on the number of initial working components. For the Laplace transform functions of working times up to failure, that is,

$$\varphi_k(s) = \mathbf{E}e^{-s\tau_k} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\Phi_k(t), \quad k = 1, 2$$

may be obtained a system of algebraic equations

$$Q(s)\varphi(s) = \psi(s), \quad (1.4)$$