


# LASER IGNITION OF ENERGETIC MATERIALS

S. RAFI AHMAD  
MICHAEL CARTWRIGHT



WILEY



# **Laser Ignition of Energetic Materials**



# **Laser Ignition of Energetic Materials**

S Rafi Ahmad  
Michael Cartwright

**WILEY**

This edition first published 2015  
© 2015 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

*Registered office:* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester,  
West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

*Editorial offices:* 9600 Garsington Road, Oxford, OX4 2DQ, UK  
The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK  
111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030-5774, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, for customer services and for information about how to apply for permission to reuse the copyright material in this book please see our website at [www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell](http://www.wiley.com/wiley-blackwell).

The right of the author to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. All brand names and product names used in this book are trade names, service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners. The publisher is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

**Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty:** While the publisher and author(s) have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. It is sold on the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services and neither the publisher nor the author shall be liable for damages arising herefrom. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

***Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data***

Ahmad, Rafi, 1944–

Laser ignition of energetic materials / Dr S Rafi Ahmad and Dr Michael Cartwright.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN (cloth : alk. paper) 1. Explosives. 2. Detonators. 3. Lasers—Industrial applications. 4. Heat—Transmission.

I. Cartwright, Michael, 1940– II. Title.

TP293.A37 2014

662'.2—dc23

2014012438

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN: 9780470975985 (Cloth)

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic books.

Cover images courtesy of iStockPhoto

Cover design by Andrew Ward

Set in 10/12pt Times by Aptara Inc., New Delhi, India.

1 2015

Dedicated to our spouses Angela & Estelle for their support, patience  
and encouragement during the preparation of the book.





# Contents

<i>About the Authors</i>	<b>xiii</b>
<i>Preface</i>	<b>xv</b>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Historical Background</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Gunpowder Era	2
1.3 Cannons, Muskets and Rockets	2
1.3.1 Musketry	7
1.3.2 Rocketry	9
1.4 Explosive Warheads	9
1.5 Explosives Science	11
Bibliography	14
<b>2 Review of Laser Initiation</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Initiation Processes	19
2.3 Initiation by Direct Laser Irradiation	21
2.3.1 Laser Power	21
2.3.2 Laser Pulse Duration	22
2.3.3 Absorbing Centres	22
2.3.4 Pressed Density	23
2.3.5 Strength of Confining Container	24
2.3.6 Material Ageing	25
2.3.7 Laser-Induced Electrical Response	25
2.4 Laser-Driven Flyer Plate Initiations	25
2.5 Summary and Research Rationale	27
2.5.1 Rationale for Research	28
Bibliography	29
References	29
<b>3 Lasers and Their Characteristics</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 Definition of Laser	35
3.2 Concept of Light	36
3.3 Parameters Characterizing Light Sources	39
3.4 Basic Principle of Lasers	45

3.5	Basic Technology of Lasers	47
3.6	Comparison between Laser and Thermal Sources	48
3.7	Suitable Laser Sources for Ignition Applications	49
3.7.1	Nd:YAG Laser	50
3.7.2	Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs)	50
3.7.3	Diode Lasers	52
3.8	Beam Delivery Methods for Laser Ignition	53
3.8.1	Free Space Delivery	53
3.8.2	Fibre Optics Beam Delivery	54
3.9	Laser Safety	57
3.9.1	Laser Interaction with Biological Tissues	57
3.9.2	Precaution against Ocular Hazards	57
	Bibliography	59
<b>4</b>	<b>General Characteristics of Energetic Materials</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	The Nature of Explosions	61
4.3	Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Explosives	63
4.4	Fuel and Oxidizer Concept	64
4.4.1	Explosive Mixtures	66
4.4.2	Pyrotechnics	69
4.4.3	Rocket Propellants	73
4.5	Explosive Compounds	74
4.5.1	Chemical Classification	74
4.6	Thermodynamics of Explosions	80
4.6.1	Oxygen Balance	82
	Appendix 4.A	83
A.1	Data for Some Explosives	83
A.1.1	TNT (Trinitrotoluene)	83
A.1.2	HNS(Hexanitrostilbene)	83
A.1.3	DATB (1,3,Diamino,2,4,6,trinitrobenzene)	84
A.1.4	TATB (1,3,5,-Triamino-2,4,6-Trinitrobenzene)	84
A.1.5	Picric Acid (2,4,6,trinito- hydroxy benzene)	84
A.1.6	Styphnic Acid (2,4,6,trinito-1,3, dihydroxy benzene)	84
A.1.7	Tetryl or CE (Composition Exploding)	85
A.1.8	PICRITE (Niroguanidine)	85
A.1.9	RDX (Research Department eXplosive)	85
A.1.10	HMX (High Molecular-weight eXplosive)	85
A.1.11	EGDN (Nitroglycol)	86
A.1.12	NG (Nitroglycerine)	86
A.1.13	NC (Nitro-Cellulose)	86
A.1.14	PETN (Pentaerythritol Tetranitrate)	87
A.1.15	Metal Salts	87
A.2	Unusual Explosives	88
A.2.1	Tetrazene	88
	Bibliography	89

<b>5</b>	<b>Recent Developments in Explosives</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Improvements in Explosive Performance	91
5.2.1	Heat of Explosion $\Delta H_c$ (Q)	91
5.2.2	Density of Explosives	92
5.3	Areas under Development	92
5.3.1	New Requirements for Explosive Compositions	93
5.4	Plastic-Bonded High Explosives	95
5.4.1	Plastic-Bonded Compositions	95
5.4.2	Thermoplastics	96
5.4.3	Thermosetting Materials	96
5.5	Choice of High Explosive for Plastic Bonded Compositions	97
5.6	High-Energy Plastic Matrices	97
5.7	Reduced Sensitivity Explosives	99
5.8	High Positive Enthalpies of Formation Explosives	101
5.8.1	High Nitrogen-Containing Molecules	102
5.8.2	Pure Nitrogen Compounds	102
5.8.3	Other High-Nitrogen Compounds	104
5.8.4	Nitrogen Heterocycles	105
	Glossary of Chemical Names for High-Melting-Point Explosives	113
	Bibliography	113
	References	113
<b>6</b>	<b>Explosion Processes</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1	Introduction	117
6.2	Burning	117
6.3	Detonation	123
6.4	Mechanism of Deflagration to Detonation Transition	124
6.5	Shock-to-Detonation	127
6.6	The Propagation of Detonation	128
6.7	Velocity of Detonation	129
6.7.1	Effect of Density of Loading	131
6.7.2	Effect of Diameter of Charge	131
6.7.3	Degree of Confinement	131
6.7.4	Effect of Strength of Detonator	132
6.8	The Measurement of Detonation Velocity	133
6.9	Classifications of Explosives and Pyrotechnics by Functions and Sensitivity	133
6.10	The Effects of High Explosives	135
6.10.1	Energy Distribution in Explosions	135
6.11	Explosive Power	137
6.12	Calculation of Q and V from Thermochemistry of Explosives	138
6.12.1	General Considerations	138
6.12.2	Energy of Decomposition	138
6.12.3	Products of the Explosion Process	139
6.13	Kistiakowsky - Wilson Rules	140

6.14	Additional Equilibria	141
6.15	Energy Released on Detonation	142
6.16	Volume of Gases Produced during Explosion	144
6.17	Explosive Power	145
6.17.1	Improving Explosives Power	146
6.18	Shockwave Effects	147
6.19	Appendices: Measurement of Velocity of Detonation	149
	Appendix 6.A: Dautriche Method	149
	Appendix 6.B: The Rotating Mirror Streak Camera Method	151
	Appendix 6.C: The Continuous Wire Method	152
	Appendix 6.D: The Event Circuit	152
	Bibliography	153
	References	153
<b>7</b>	<b>Decomposition Processes and Initiation of Energetic Materials</b>	<b>155</b>
7.1	Effect of Heat on Explosives	155
7.2	Decomposition Mechanisms	162
7.2.1	Thermal Decomposition Mechanism of TNT	163
7.2.2	Non-Aromatic Nitro Compounds	164
7.2.3	Nitro Ester Thermal Decomposition	167
7.2.4	Nitramine Thermal Decomposition	168
7.2.5	Photon-Induced Decomposition Mechanisms	169
7.3	Practical Initiation Techniques	172
7.3.1	Methods of Initiation	173
7.3.2	Direct Heating	174
7.3.3	Mechanical Methods	175
7.3.4	Electrical Systems	177
7.3.5	Chemical Reaction	177
7.3.6	Initiation by Shockwave	178
7.4	Classification of Explosives by Ease of Initiation	178
7.5	Initiatory Explosives	179
7.5.1	Primary Explosive Compounds	179
7.5.2	Primer Usage	181
7.6	Igniters and Detonators	182
7.7	Explosive Trains	183
7.7.1	Explosive Trains in Commercial Blasting	187
	Bibliography	190
	References	190
<b>8</b>	<b>Developments in Alternative Primary Explosives</b>	<b>193</b>
8.1	Safe Handling of Novel Primers	193
8.2	Introduction	193
8.3	Totally Organic	194
8.4	Simple Salts of Organics	199
8.5	Transition Metal Complexes and Salts	202

8.6	Enhancement of Laser Sensitivity	206
	References	207
	Appendix 8.A: Properties of Novel Primer Explosives	211
	Appendix 8.B: Molecular Structures of Some New Primer Compounds Purely Organic Primers	213
<b>9</b>	<b>Optical and Thermal Properties of Energetic Materials</b>	<b>221</b>
9.1	Optical Properties	221
9.1.1	Introduction	221
9.1.2	Theoretical Considerations	222
9.1.3	Practical Considerations	225
9.1.4	Examples of Absorption Spectra	226
9.2	Thermal Properties	231
9.2.1	Introduction	231
9.2.2	Heat Capacity	232
9.2.3	Thermal Conductivity	232
9.2.4	Thermal Diffusivity	233
	References	234
<b>10</b>	<b>Theoretical Aspects of Laser Interaction with Energetic Materials</b>	<b>235</b>
10.1	Introduction	235
10.2	Parameters Relevant to Laser Interaction	236
10.2.1	Laser Parameters	236
10.2.2	Material Parameters	236
10.3	Mathematical Formalism	237
10.3.1	Basic Concept	237
10.3.2	Optical Absorption	238
10.3.3	Optical Reflection	240
10.4	Heat Transfer Theory	240
	References	245
<b>11</b>	<b>Laser Ignition – Practical Considerations</b>	<b>247</b>
11.1	Introduction	247
11.1.1	Laser Source	248
11.1.2	Beam Delivery System	249
11.2	Laser Driven Flyer Plate	249
11.3	Direct Laser Ignition	250
11.3.1	Explosives	251
11.3.2	Propellants	259
11.3.3	LI of Pyrotechnic Materials	263
	References	267
<b>12</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Prospect</b>	<b>269</b>
12.1	Introduction	269
12.2	Theoretical Considerations	269

*xii Contents*

12.3 Lasers	270
12.4 Optical and Thermal Properties of Energetic Materials	271
12.5 State of the Art: Laser Ignition	271
12.6 Future Prospect	272
References	274
<b><i>Index</i></b>	<b>275</b>

# About the Authors



**Dr S Rafi Ahmad**

Dr Ahmad founded the Centre of Applied Laser Spectroscopy within Cranfield University (CU) in 1988 and led it until he retired in 2012. He received the degree of D.Phil. from the University of Oxford (UK) in 1972 on his thesis on 'Laser Interaction with Solid Materials'. During his career as a scientist within the Ministry of Defence (UK), and later as an academic at CU, the scope of his research areas expanded to include, among many diverse topics, laser ignition of energetic materials and laser-induced processing of natural and synthetic polymers for biomedical applications. His research was funded by many national and international bodies and he was the principal investigator and the coordinator of a number of EU funded projects involving many partners from EU countries in research topics including Jute modification (INCO-DC) and plastic identification (BE-7148). He also served as the UK representative in the management committee of the EU's EULASENET network and one of the co-coordinators of the COST-G7 action on artwork preservation using lasers. Dr Ahmad retired from Cranfield University in 2012. He has supervised 11 PhD students and published 62 peer reviewed papers and other books.



**Dr Michael Cartwright**

After graduating in chemistry from London in 1963, Michael Cartwright gained industrial experience in the nuclear industry at Windscale and pharmaceutical research in London, before moving to Bath University as a researcher in nuclear chemistry. He was awarded a D.Phil by London University in 1974 for a thesis on 'Radiation Damage in Solids', based on research work performed at Bath University. He then proceeded to do research in inorganic thermo-chemistry and organo-metallic coordination complex chemistry. His interest expanded towards structural chemistry and X-ray diffraction which resulted in later years in his inauguration of the University's first single crystal four circle structure determination facility.

He moved to Cranfield University, at RMCS Shrivenham, in 1986, to lecture and perform research in energetic materials. His major interest was the relationship between molecular structure and explosive sensitivity, which developed into a series of lectures for the M.Sc in Explosives Ordnance Engineering. He was co-founder of the M.Sc. Forensic Engineering and Science course and he helped to develop the university's interests in the environmental impact of explosives, particularly in waste waters from manufacturing plants and land contamination at munitions disposal sites. He helped to design the inter-site master's courses on environmental diagnostics and waste water chemistry. Energetic materials research was funded by the MOD, government agencies, research organisation and private companies. He represented CU on the SCC of the MOD, devising test methods for assessment of accidental initiation risks for energetic materials. He also represented CU on several NATO organisations, examining various aspects of energetic material science. He retired from Cranfield in 2009.



# Preface

The practical laser was invented by T. H. Maiman at the Hughes Research Laboratories in the USA, way back in 1960. It was then hailed as ‘the tool looking for applications’. In no time, the tool found applications in almost all fields of science and technology. The headline defence application was in a ‘Star Wars’ anti-missile system but, not surprisingly, within a couple of years, research and development on its applications in the defence industry, particularly for high explosives ignition/initiation, got under way. Due to the ‘Cold War’ prevailing at the time, most of this research was shrouded in secrecy. However, for a variety of reasons, there has been a long pause in tangible developments in this field until recently. Current emphasis on the safety of energetic materials during manufacture, storage, use and transportation, has prompted a spate of research activities throughout the industrial world on the synthesis and ignition initiation of high-performance munitions. These must have whole-life cost-effectiveness, through-life safety and end-of-life environmentally friendly disposal options. These aspects are the objectives of research and development in this field, and the book aims to elucidate the background and the current state of the art in the field of laser initiation.

The book starts with a brief chronological resume of the invention, development and the use of materials generally termed ‘explosives’. This is intended as a purely historical background introduction and is compiled from various sources. An extensive review of the research and development in the application of lasers for ignition/initiation in energetic materials, identifying some of the critical parameters involved, is provided in Chapter 2. This includes a number of references, in addition to a bibliography of recent relevant publications.

Since the book topic encompasses two very different fields of science and technology, these are, for completeness and convenience of the readers, elaborated in Chapters 3 and 4. Chapter 3 provides the basic science behind the technologies, manufacture and general properties of lasers, while Chapter 4 provides a background to the general properties and synthesis of energetic materials. This includes the essential components, both as mixtures of fuels and oxidiser and single energetic molecules, with a chemical classification of these latter materials. The contents are considered to be adequate background for researchers in this field. There are also references and bibliography for the inquisitive reader. Note that further information on these topics is readily available in a number of open literature sources.

Chapter 5 examines the limitations of the current materials and methods of improving safety, for example, with plastic bonded explosives, PBXs and so on. Consideration is also given to the synthesis of new explosives, an active field of research and development. Some

of these newer materials are less environmentally toxic. It was therefore considered prudent to include a chapter reviewing these aspects and, in particular, high nitrogen materials, since some of these materials may find future applications in laser ignition.

Fundamental processes associated with the decomposition of energetic materials, ranging from simple burning through deflagration to detonation, are discussed in Chapter 6, along with the effects of explosives in terms of shock pressure and explosive power. Additional methods of improving explosive power are discussed. A brief appendix details some of the methods used for measuring velocity of the shock wave. Chapter 7 examines the energetic changes associated with the initiation process and the currently used techniques for the initiation of energetic materials, with only brief reference to the use of optical or laser systems. Classification of explosives by ease of initiation and the use of explosives trains to minimise hazards are considered, along with the basic properties of current initiatory primers. For both general safety and for safe ignition using lasers, a synopsis of the development of alternative primary explosives is presented in Chapter 8. Some of the materials discussed show particular sensitivity to laser radiation and have high explosive performance, sometimes in excess of existing high explosives.

The theoretical basis of laser interaction with energetic materials involves both optical and thermal properties of materials and both these aspects are covered in Chapters 9 and 10. Chapter 11 provides a synopsis of practical research conducted in this field, mainly citing examples of work carried out at the authors' laboratories. Finally, a general conclusion of the work conducted so far in this field, and the future prospects and direction of research, is included in Chapter 12.

# Acknowledgements

One of the authors wishes to acknowledge the assistance of Mr Edwin Billiet and Dr X Fang for assistance with laboratory work for most of the data presented in the book and also for drawing some of the figures. The authors also acknowledge Cranfield University (Defence Academy) for providing the environment and opportunity to conduct tests and evaluations which are now in the public domain.



# 1

## Historical Background

### 1.1 Introduction

Historically, mankind has tried to dominate both fellow human beings and other animals for as long as humans have been around. Some of this domination was achieved by killing other species. This had two aspects; survival and providing food.

Survival was dictated by the fact that many animals regarded humans as excellent sources of food and were quite capable of killing humans. Humans could have two approaches; avoid areas known to contain threatening species or produce devices – weapons – which would enable humans to kill the threatening animals. Humans then developed a taste for the flesh of some of the animals they had killed, thus increasing the sources of food available. As the human population increased, conflict between humans for food and territory increased, and so humans started to fight amongst themselves. By using weapons, humans could overcome physical disadvantages, and the optimum situation was to be able to kill your opponent before they could kill you.

The sword and lance effectively extended the human arm and kept your opponent at bay but, as lances became longer and longer, they became more unwieldy. A remote killing weapon was required. Simple javelins, which could be thrown at the opposition, extended the distance between opponents but required considerable physical stature and skill to achieve the correct flight trajectory for the javelin. Therefore, in order to overcome human physical limitations, mechanical advantage devices were used. The earliest weapons for remote killing were simple slings. These could carry a stone and were capable of accelerating it to high velocity by spinning the sling in a circle. When one of the supporting thongs was released, the stone would travel in an almost straight line from the point of release. Impact of the stone with an animal or human was capable of killing or injuring the animal.

With the development of wood manufacturing skills, bows and arrows became individual weapons or, when grouped together became a lethal hail of arrows which did not depend on the individual accuracy of the archer. The longbow was the ultimate in these weapons. Improved performance came when mankind developed stored energy devices, such as



**Figure 1.1** *Small-scale basic ballista. Reproduced with permission from Cranfield University © 2014.*

the ballista and crossbows, both of which stored mechanical energy in wooden elements but required winding up before loading the stone or arrow projectile. These overcame the limitations of physical stature required to effectively use the longbow. The ballista, Figure 1.1, was also used to fire barrels of burning oil at the enemy when they had formed shield walls against arrows. The oil container burst on impact and was one of the first deployments of pyrotechnics weapons.

## **1.2 The Gunpowder Era**

Meanwhile, the Chinese were developing the first chemical explosive gunpowder. The earliest record of this was around 800 AD. Initially, the mixture was for use as a medicine but, as with all good inventions, serendipity intervened and a batch of the medicine fell on to the fire over which it was been cooked; it very rapidly burnt with a flash, smoke and rushing sound. The potential for this was recognized, and the Chinese started to use the mixture as a propellant for their lances/javelins. When attached to the normal throwing spear, these early rockets could extend the useful range of the javelin by as much as a factor of two.

It took about 400 years for the technology to appear in Europe, when a cleric Roger Bacon was credited with discovering the properties of gunpowder. He was so afraid of its properties that he hid the details of the composition in code in religious manuscripts. The recognition of its propellant properties resulted in the manufacture of muzzle-loaded cannons.

An idea of the chronology of the development of the science is given in Table 1.1 on page 3.

## **1.3 Cannons, Muskets and Rockets**

The barrels of the first cannon systems were simple wooden devices made from hollowed-out tree trunks, which were wrapped with wet ropes for added strength. The development of bronze and cast iron technology led to the production of iron-barrelled guns, such as


**Table 1.1** Some significant discoveries in the history of explosives.

Explosive	Credited to	Nationality	Date
Gunpowder	{Anon {R. Bacon	(Probably Chinese) English	Before 1000 c.1246
First battlefield cannon		Italian	c 1326
Crecy bombard		English	1346
Hand cannon		Italian	c 1364
Leonardo's mortar	Leonardo da Vinci	Italian	c 1483
Mercury fulminate	Kunckel	German	c.1690
Picric acid <sup>1</sup>	Woulff	German	1771
Mercury fulminate percussion cap	Forsyth	Scottish	1825
Nitrocellulose <sup>2</sup>	{Pelouze {Schonbein	French German	1838 1845
Nitroglycerine	Sobrero	Italian	1846
TNT	Wilbrand	German	1863
The fulminate detonator	Nobel	Swedish	1865
Dynamite	Nobel	Swedish	1867
Ammonium nitrate mixtures	Ohlsson & Norrbin	Swedish	1867
Tetryl	Mertens	German	1877
N.C. propellants <sup>3</sup>	{Schultze {Vieille	German French	1864 1884
Ballistite	Nobel	Swedish	1883
Cordite	Abel & Dewar	British	1889
Lead azide	Curtius	German	1890
PETN	Rheinisch-Westfaelische Sprengstoff A.G	German	1894
RDX	Henning (patented by Herz)	German German	1899 1920
NTO	von Manhot and Noll	German	1905
Tetrazene	Hoffman & Roth	German	1910
HMX			
Slurry explosives	Cook	USA	1957
Emulsion explosives			
PBX			

<sup>1</sup> Explosive properties of Picric acid were not investigated for a further 100 years.<sup>2</sup> Pelouze produced NC but did not understand the chemistry whereas Schonbein correctly identified the chemistry and made some propellant uses.<sup>3</sup> Schultze produced the first successful powdered NC propellants and Vielle was credited with the first NC propellants for rifled barrel guns.

the Bombard, used at the battle of Crecy in 1346 (shown in Figure 1.2). This weapon used solid projectiles in the form of either suitable stone or cast metal (e.g. iron) spheres. The development of these weapons resulted in the foundation of the Board of Ordnance in 1414. The operators of these weapons were known as Bombardiers – a term still used for an artilleryman with the rank of corporal in the British Army.

In the fifteenth century, cannon were also deployed at sea on warships and these enabled the opposition to be destroyed at distance without needing to engage in hand to hand combat. A number of cannons were deployed along each side of the ship, and a broadside



**Figure 1.2** Crecy bombard 1346. Reproduced with permission from Cranfield University © 2014.

could be loosed at the opposition. Typical cannons are shown in Figure 1.3, which displays a typical army cannon in the foreground and a naval cannon in the background.

The naval cannon was mounted on a four-wheeled trolley rather than the two wheels of the army. This provided better stability onboard a ship in heavy seas. The iron guns were made of a number of staves, or bars, of iron which were formed into a cylinder around a mandrel. Collars and hoops of wrought iron were heated and slipped over the cylinder. As these cooled, they contracted to form a reinforced tube. Surprisingly, breech-loaded cannon (often regarded as a modern invention, introduced when screw cutting technology was developed during the Industrial Revolution) were available in the early fifteenth century. The early systems used a simple hollow steel tube mounted on a wooden trough, with a space between the end of the metal tube and the end of the wooden support. A closed metal cup containing the propellant charge was then inserted into the gap and rammed into the rear open end of the barrel. The system was then sealed by inserting a wooden plug behind the charge-bearing cup to ensure the cup remained in place when the gun was fired. The components are displayed in Figure 1.4.



**Figure 1.3** Showing a field gun in the foreground and a naval gun in the background. Reproduced with permission from The Mary Rose Trust. © The Mary Rose Trust, 2014.






**Figure 1.4** Showing active region of a breech-loaded cannon as used on the Mary Rose. Reproduced with permission from The Mary Rose Trust. © The Mary Rose Trust, 2014.

Although the breech was by no means gas-tight, these guns were powerful enough to inflict heavy damage on another ship at close range. This has been demonstrated in field trials using a replica iron gun. Later breech-loaded cannons were cast metal closed tubes, but with a slot in the side into which the charge could be inserted and sealed in position again with a wooden plug. These were more durable than the wooden supported systems. The beauty of the system was that each gun had a number of charge cups which could be filled with gunpowder prior to engagement, so that a higher rate of fire could be produced. Also, swabbing out of the gun between shots was not so crucial, since the next charge did not have to be loaded down a barrel which could contain hot residues, liable to ignite the charge before the gun was ready. This, again, increased the rate of fire. Notice that the ignition of the charge was by transmission of an externally generated flame through a touch hole. This hole allowed some of the burning gases to be vented, thus reducing the gas propulsion of the projectile and also blowing hot embers back towards the gun crew.

As well as the standard stone or iron shot, these guns could fire a vicious Tudor version of canister shot consisting of chopped flint stones. These were particularly useful when the opposing forces had a number of soldiers exposed on an open deck.

Gunpowder was the propellant in cannon for the next 400 years, but it was the longbow that was responsible for English superiority at the battle of Agincourt 1425. Cannons were mainly used as siege weapons, and they required skilled operators to achieve success with the minimum number of shots. Several options were proposed by Leonardo Da Vinci to alleviate some of the requirement for skilled operators, and he proposed a multi-barrelled system, as shown in Figure 1.5, which provides sketches from his work book.


One of the most fearsome siege weapons was the Dardanelles Gun. This was a cast bronze weapon weighing over 18 tonnes, and it was five metres long when assembled from its two parts, which screwed together. ‘Superguns’ were not a modern invention, but only became practical after the Industrial Revolution. The solid cannonball weighed about 400 kg could penetrate over one metre thick walls with an effective range of almost a



**Figure 1.5** Leonardo Da Vinci's sketches for multi-barrelled cannon systems.

kilometre. Leonardo also proposed mortar-type guns for siege work on the principle that, if it was not possible to penetrate the wall, fire over it. Two mortars from his original designs are depicted in Figure 1.6. They could both fire single or multiple flint stone solid shot. Note on one of the mortars the sophistication of the range/angular adjustment and also the rudiments of sighting instruments

This attention to cannons resulted in the creation of the first body of specialist artillerymen, The Guild of St George (1537), which became Honourable Artillery Company in 1668. The gunpowder was of variable performance because of three factors. Solids had to be ground to the correct size and then correctly mixed in the correct proportions, which was, according to the early Chinese formulation, equal parts of brimstone, (sulfur), charcoal (carbon) and saltpetre (potassium nitrate). Small particle size materials, which produced



**Figure 1.6** Leonardo Da Vinci-designed siege mortars.

the optimum gun performance, were very dusty, and hence the millers worked outdoors whenever possible. Note that the ingredients were ground separately, since it was discovered early in the use of gunpowder that grinding all the ingredients together resulted in ignitions, with serious consequences. It was only with the development of the ‘Corning process’ that the dust problem was reduced. In corning, the finely divided powders are mixed with water to a paste, which is spread out and dried to a cake. The cake is then broken into smaller fragments ( $\approx 1\text{--}2$  mm diameter), which can easily be filled into bags to load into cannons.

Because of the difficulties recruiting skilled artillerymen, alternative siege weapons were developed. The Petard was essentially a barrel of gunpowder fitted with a slow match fuse and was carried into battle by a Petardier. At some suitable stage, he would rush forward and place the Petard against the wooden gates of the fort, light the slow match and retreat as fast as possible. If the slow match was badly made, it would burn rapidly, ignite the charge before the Petardier had retreated and he could be killed by the gunpowder explosion. Hence the expression ‘Hoist by ones own Petard’.


The first explosive charges fired from guns were containers of gunpowder fitted with a slow fuse which was ignited by the burning gunpowder propellant charge. This was again a very hazardous operation, since most of the containers did not survive the ignition of the gunpowder propelling charge, so they were effectively an added propellant system with no useful performance. Thus they were very unpopular with artillerymen.

### 1.3.1 *Musketry*

Over a period of time, the gunpowder system was adapted to personal usage in the form of the musket. Again, this was muzzle-loaded and fired solid shot from a smooth-bore barrel. The gunpowder charge was poured into the barrel from a powder horn, and packed down using a cotton wad to retain the powder and a ramrod to force the charge into a compact charge before inserting the ball shot. The shot would be also rammed in, to prevent it from rolling out if the gun was pointed downwards. The internal charge was set off by igniting a small gunpowder charge contained in a cup on the outside of the barrel, and the burning process was transferred through a touch hole into the interior. This firing hole was a source of reduced performance, since some of the gases produced inside the barrel could vent to the outside, thus reducing the gas pressure driving the ball.

Because the escaping gases could burn the firer, some of the heavier muskets were mounted on a crude stand so that the weapon could be fired at arm’s length, reducing the hazard to the operator. The firing charge was set off by a range of devices. The first initiation device was a glowing length of burning rope, which was applied either manually or by a rotating arm carrying the ‘slow match’ – a ‘matchlock’ device. A series of alternatives were developed, including a flint being struck against a hard surface, causing a spark which ignited the gunpowder in the pan or cup – ‘the flintlock’. The basic flintlock and the adapted wheel lock are shown in Figure 1.7.

If the material in the cup ignited, but failed to set off the main propellant charge, then it gave rise to the term ‘flash in the pan’ for a trivial output of no use to the firer. This situation left the gun in a dangerous condition which required careful re-priming. A later stage development came in 1805 when another clergyman, Alexander Forsyth, produced a metal cap which contained a sensitive composition, mercury fulminate. The cap covered the touch hole, as shown in the lower image of Figure 1.7, and was initiated by a mechanical



**Figure 1.7** Showing ignition systems in musketry. Top left: original flintlock. Top right: wheel lock. Bottom: first percussion cap system. Reproduced with permission from Cranfield University © 2014.

blow delivered by a hammer striking the outside of the cup. The mercury fulminate reliably delivered an explosion to set off the charge at the rear of the barrel. This dramatically reduced the firing time between the operation of the trigger and the ejection of the projectile. The cup provided a partial seal against gas venting through the fire hole, thus increasing the gas pressure driving the musket ball. The cap system also increased the kickback on the weapon, since momentum must be conserved during the firing. If the bullet exited the barrel with greater velocity and momentum, then the rearwards velocity of the gun also increased.

Note that, at this stage, the projectiles were still solid, with no explosive properties, and that the wadding was important because the shot was a poor fit in the barrel, due to manufacturing problems. After the English Civil war in the mid-seventeenth century, which led to the establishment of a permanent army under the control of Parliament, the development of the musket accelerated. Also, in 1671, the government purchased the Woolwich site for their armaments, and this became Royal Arsenal 1805. This site provided fireworks for the celebration which accompanied Handel's Fireworks Music.

One example of the devastating performance achieved with gunpowder muskets was the 'Brown Bess' used by the English army at the battle of Culloden in 1746, when it was trying to subdue the Scottish rebels. The solid,  $\approx 20$  mm diameter, musket ball was lethal to 100 m in the hands of a skilled musketeer, and a volley of shots could decimate an advancing force of soldiers armed with swords and lances. Typical shields of the time, a metal skin on

a wooden backboard, offered little protection and, as recent trials have demonstrated, the same musket ball could pass through the shields and bodies of two soldiers standing one behind the other.

Prior to 1759, the Board of Ordinance did not make gunpowder but used the East India Company as suppliers, and they often sourced their supplies from the French Arsenal in Paris. Antoine Lavoisier, of combustion theory fame, was the administrator, and he ensured that good quality control was applied at all stages – hence, French gunpowder was the best available in Europe. In 1759, the Board of Ordinance purchased Faversham Kent powder mills. The factory blew up 1781, and the Board then bought the Waltham Abbey Powder mills in 1787 to continue the supply. Around this time, another cleric, Bishop Watson, obtained charcoal from distilling wood in closed vessels. This increased purity charcoal gave  $\approx 70\%$  improvement in performance. The explosion at the Waltham Abbey Powder mills in 1843, which resulted in many fatalities, was one of the driving forces for the development of alternatives to gunpowder and the first efforts with nitrocellulose. Modern gunpowder mills are subject to very stringent safety measures.


### 1.3.2 Rocketry

Some military men used rockets, with cutting blades attached to the front, essentially to increase the range of the weapon over the musket and thus keeping the musketeers out of musket range. However, their flight was very unpredictable because of the uneven burning of the gunpowder, and also steering was purely by having the centre of mass behind the centre of thrust, which state was achieved by attaching the rocket to the front of a long, solid wooden stick. This stick added considerable mass to the projectile, reducing its range. Most of these devices flew along spiral paths. The conflict in India in the eighteenth century saw the Indian forces using batteries of rockets in the battle of Guntar against the advancing English infantry to great effect, both from a lethal viewpoint but also from a psychological viewpoint, since the rockets made screaming sounds as they passed through the air.

After the battle of Seringapatam in 1799, Congreve developed rockets and explosive missiles with ranges of up to 3,500 metres. In 1806, these were used to attack a French flotilla in Boulogne, destroying many of the ships and blocking the harbour. Similar devices were used at the battles of Copenhagen and Walcheren, with similar results. The inaccuracies of the rocket system did not matter; because of the extended target area and the density of the targets, they were bound to hit *something*, even if it was not what was aimed for. At the battle of Leipzig in 1813, rockets were used by the army. Congreve's rockets were used by British Army until 1865, when Boxter invented an improved type. In 1845, Hale invented fin-stabilized rockets, avoiding the useless mass of the guidance stick.

## 1.4 Explosive Warheads

The first steps along the path for explosive warheads came with the development of the grenade. This was a container, usually of wood or metal, which contained a gunpowder charge, with or without some added musket balls and a length of burning fuze, initially a slow match. As the two sides involved in the conflict approached each other, grenadiers would light their fuzes, charge towards their opponents and hurl the grenades into the ranks of the opposition. Sometimes, the thrower would make use of the old sling technology to



**Figure 1.8** Shrapnel's exploding cannon shell  $\approx$  1780. Reproduced with permission from Cranfield University © 2014.

increase the effective range over which the projectile could be hurled. The hazard of this job was again reflected in the recognition of the Grenadiers regiments being the leading soldiers in any parade, standing to the right of the line. There was a small financial reward to grenadiers, but it did not compensate for the high grenadier mortality. Opposition riflemen could easily identify the grenadiers and concentrate their firepower on them.

At this stage, two developments occurred which had a significant effect on the effectiveness of both guns and rockets. Colonel Shrapnel designed a cast iron hollow sphere which was packed with musket balls and gunpowder, with the filling hole being sealed by a pyrotechnic delay device, ignited by the output from the gunpowder propelling charge. The system is shown in Figure 1.8.

The system originally blew out the plug and the musket balls through the plug hole, giving a shotgun effect, but the later system was designed to shatter the case and scatter case and musket balls in all directions. If the delay element was correctly assembled, the gunpowder contained in the shell was initiated by the delay just as the projectile arrived at the opposition, explosively bursting the casing and thus showering the opposition with the musket balls. If the delay operated while the projectile was still in the barrel, then it behaved rather like a large-scale modern-day shotgun. The modern term 'shrapnel' is applied to any fragment from the warhead.

Congreve applied the same strategy to his rocket systems, using a similar warhead, as shown diagrammatically in Figure 1.9. One advantage of this system was that, because the delay was at the end of the rocket motor, the problem of sealing the delay against propellant gas pressure was minimized. Also, the rocket was down range before the warhead exploded if the fuse was badly fitting. The technology was very imprecise and only rarely did they achieve their design operating conditions. Nevertheless, the foundations were laid for further developments in the field of explosives. The development of rifled gun barrels