

Wiley Series in Survey Methodology

COGNITIVE INTERVIEWING METHODOLOGY

Edited by

Kristen Miller • Stephanie Willson • Valerie Chepp • José Luis Padilla



WILEY

**COGNITIVE
INTERVIEWING
METHODOLOGY**

WILEY SERIES IN SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Established in Part by WALTER A. SHEWHART AND SAMUEL S. WILKS

Editors: *Mick P. Couper, Graham Kalton, J. N. K. Rao, Norbert Schwarz,
Christopher Skinner*

Editor Emeritus: *Robert M. Groves*

A complete list of the titles in this series appears at the end of this volume.

COGNITIVE INTERVIEWING METHODOLOGY

Edited by

Kristen Miller

National Center for Health Statistics

Stephanie Willson

National Center for Health Statistics

Valerie Chepp

Hamline University

José-Luis Padilla

University of Granada, Spain

WILEY

Copyright © 2014 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.

Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise, except as permitted under Section 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400, fax (978) 750-4470, or on the web at www.copyright.com. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, (201) 748-6011, fax (201) 748-6008, or online at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the publisher and author have used their best efforts in preparing this book, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this book and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives or written sales materials. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor author shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

For general information on our other products and services or for technical support, please contact our Customer Care Department within the United States at (800) 762-2974, outside the United States at (317) 572-3993 or fax (317) 572-4002.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats. Some content that appears in print may not be available in electronic formats. For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.wiley.com.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data:

Cognitive interviewing methodology / edited by Kristen Miller, National Center for Health Statistics, Stephanie Willson, National Center for Health Statistics, Valerie Chepp, National Center for Health Statistics, Jose-Luis Padilla, University of Granada, Spain.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-118-38354-4 (paperback)

1. Interviewing. 2. Cognition. 3. Questionnaires—Methodology. 4. Social surveys—Methodology. 5. Social sciences—Research—Methodology. 6. Psychology—Research—Methodology.

I. Miller, Kristen.

H61.28.C64 2014

001.4'33—dc23

2014011436

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

*Dedicated to Janet Harkness,
friend and pioneer of cross-cultural survey methods*

CONTENTS

Foreword	xiii
<i>Gordon Willis</i>	
Acknowledgments	xv
Contributors	xvii
1 Introduction	1
<i>Kristen Miller</i>	
1.1 Cognitive Interviewing Methodology, 2	
2 Foundations and New Directions	7
<i>Valerie Chepp and Caroline Gray</i>	
2.1 Introduction, 7	
2.2 Sociology and the Interpretivist Tradition, 8	
2.3 New Directions: Interpretation and Cognition, 9	
2.4 Methodological Implications for Cognitive Interviewing, 11	
2.5 Conclusion, 14	
3 Data Collection	15
<i>Stephanie Willson and Kristen Miller</i>	
3.1 Introduction, 15	
3.2 Cognitive Interviewing Study Sample, 15	
3.2.1 Considerations of Sample Design, 16	
3.3 The Cognitive Interview, 20	
3.3.1 Differing Approaches to Cognitive Interviewing, 20	
3.3.2 Different Kinds of Data: Respondent as Evaluator versus Respondent as Story Teller, 22	
3.4 The Role of Interviewer, 28	
3.4.1 Interviewer as Data Collector, 29	
3.4.2 Interviewer as Researcher, 30	
3.5 Conclusion, 33	

4	Analysis	35
	<i>Kristen Miller, Stephanie Willson, Valerie Chepp, and J. Michael Ryan</i>	
4.1	Introduction, 35	
4.2	Analysis of Cognitive Interviews: Overview, 36	
4.3	Analytic Steps for Cognitive Interviews, 38	
4.3.1	Step 1: Conducting the Interview, 39	
4.3.2	Step Two: Producing Interview Summaries, 40	
4.3.3	Step Three: Developing a Thematic Schema, 42	
4.3.4	Step Four: Developing an Advanced Schema, 44	
4.3.5	Step Five: Making Conclusions, 47	
4.4	The Benefits of a Complete Analysis, 49	
4.5	Conclusion, 50	
5	Assessing Translated Questions via Cognitive Interviewing	51
	<i>Alisú Schoua-Glusberg and Ana Villar</i>	
5.1	Introduction, 51	
5.2	Why Use Cognitive Testing in Multilingual Survey Research, 51	
5.2.1	Multilingual Research Settings, 52	
5.2.2	Instrument Production in Multilingual Settings, 53	
5.3	Translation and Translation Assessment Procedures, 54	
5.3.1	Team Translation Approaches, 54	
5.3.2	Translation Assessment Procedures, 54	
5.3.3	Pretesting as Part of Translation Assessment, 55	
5.4	Cognitively Testing Translations of Survey Questions, 57	
5.4.1	Cognitive Interviewers, 58	
5.4.2	Respondent Selection, 58	
5.4.3	Introduction, Protocol, and Implementation, 59	
5.4.4	Analysis, 59	
5.5	Problems Uncovered by Cognitive Testing of Translations, 60	
5.5.1	Uncovering Translation Problems, 61	
5.5.2	Uncovering Problems with the Source Question, 64	
5.5.3	Uncovering Problems Related to Cultural Differences – Need for Adaptation, 65	
5.6	Conclusion, 66	
6	Conveying Results	69
	<i>Valerie Chepp and Paul Scanlon</i>	
6.1	Introduction, 69	
6.2	Contents of a Cognitive Interviewing Report, 70	
6.2.1	Introduction, 70	
6.2.2	Summary of Findings, 71	

6.2.3	Methods, 73	
6.2.4	Question-by-Question Review, 77	
6.2.5	Appendix, 80	
6.3	Characteristics of a Cognitive Interviewing Report, 80	
6.3.1	Transparency, 81	
6.3.2	Reflexivity, 82	
6.4	Conclusion, 84	
7	Case Study: Evaluation of a Sexual Identity Question	85
	<i>Kristen Miller and J. Michael Ryan</i>	
7.1	Introduction, 85	
7.2	Background, 86	
7.2.1	Intended Construct for the National Health Interview Survey Sexual Identity Question, 86	
7.2.2	Review of Data Quality Problems, 87	
7.2.3	Development of an Improved Sexual Identity Question, 89	
7.3	Case Study: Cognitive Interviewing Evaluation of the National Health Interview Survey Revised Sexual Identity Question, 93	
7.3.1	Recruitment and Respondent Demographics, 93	
7.3.2	Interviewing Procedures, 93	
7.3.3	Data Analysis, 95	
7.4	Case Study Findings, 96	
7.4.1	Summary of Question Performance, 96	
7.4.2	Basis of Respondents' Answers, 97	
7.4.3	Cases of Response Problems, 99	
7.4.4	Interpretation of Categories, 100	
7.4.5	Study Conclusions, 105	
7.5	Conclusion, 106	
8	Analysis Software for Cognitive Interviewing Studies: Q-Notes and Q-Bank	107
	<i>Justin Mezetin and Meredith Massey</i>	
8.1	Introduction, 107	
8.2	Q-Notes Analysis Features, 108	
8.2.1	Level 1: Conducting Interviews, 108	
8.2.2	Level 2: Summarizing Interview Notes, 110	
8.2.3	Level 3: Comparing Across Respondents, 112	
8.2.4	Level 4: Comparing Across Groups, 115	
8.2.5	Level 5: Drawing Conclusions about Question Performance, 118	

- 8.3 Project Management Features, 118
 - 8.3.1 Streamlined Communication, 119
 - 8.3.2 Interview Data Collection, 119
 - 8.3.3 Respondent Descriptors, 121
 - 8.3.4 Controlled Access by Project, 121
 - 8.3.5 Adding Questions, 122
 - 8.3.6 Question Translations, 124
 - 8.3.7 Coding Schemes, 124
- 8.4 Q-Bank: Making Cognitive Interview Findings Publicly Accessible, 125
- 8.5 Q-Bank Features, 126
 - 8.5.1 Searching for Questions, 126
 - 8.5.2 Advanced Search, 127
 - 8.5.3 Question Details, 128
 - 8.5.4 Value of Q-Bank, 129
- 8.6 Q-Bank: Challenges for the Past and Future, 130
- 8.7 Conclusion, 130

9 Cognitive Interviewing in Mixed Research 133

Isabel Benitez Baena and José-Luis Padilla

- 9.1 Introduction, 133
- 9.2 The Mixed Research Paradigm: Characteristics and Design, 134
 - 9.2.1 Cognitive Interviewing Studies and Research Design, 135
- 9.3 Mixed Method Research and Survey Question Evaluation, 136
 - 9.3.1 Case 1: Cognitive Interviewing and Survey Field Testing, 137
 - 9.3.2 Case 2: Cognitive Interviewing and Differential Item Functioning (DIF), 145
 - 9.3.3 Case 3: Cognitive Interviewing and Psychometric Scales, 148
- 9.4 Conclusion, 152

10 Conclusion 153

Kristen Miller, Stephanie Willson, Valerie Chepp, and José-Luis Padilla

- 10.1 Introduction, 153
- 10.2 Summary of Practices, 154
 - 10.2.1 Data Collection, 154
 - 10.2.2 Analysis, 156
 - 10.2.3 Documenting Study Findings, 157
- 10.3 New Directions, 159
 - 10.3.1 Topics for Examination, 159
 - 10.3.2 Mixed Method Research, 160
 - 10.3.3 Accepted Standards of Cognitive Interviewing Studies, 161

Key Concepts	163
Question Evaluation Resources	167
References	171
Index	181

FOREWORD

As an early practitioner of cognitive interviewing, I can remember presenting many talks on this new science throughout the 1990s. Occasionally, an audience member would ask a pointed question: Although its proponents spoke of the cognitive interview as an application of psychology, were we perhaps missing something by not taking into account other disciplines as well—like linguistics, sociology, anthropology, and so on? I thought this to be a good point, despite my strong focus on cognitive psychology as an anchoring point. In fact, over the ensuing years, there have been a number of contributions that have emphasized a wider disciplinary perspective—including the argument that responses to survey questions involve more than just the individual mind of the respondent, especially as they incorporate social and cultural phenomena in a social context.

In the current volume, Kristen Miller and her colleagues provide what I believe to be the clearest statement of this truth, and the furthest point in the evolution of cognitive interviewing as a mature expression of qualitative research that provides a rich multidisciplinary perspective. The arguments, illustrations, and examples within this book challenge practitioners of cognitive interviewing—and more broadly, anyone having an interest in the subtleties of questionnaire design—to think in new ways about how survey questions are developed by designers, answered by respondents, and consumed by data users. In particular, as what I believe to be the main contribution of the volume, they expand our fundamental notion of why we choose to conduct a cognitive interview. Rather than viewing this endeavor only as an attempt to “patch up” deficiencies by identifying and remediating flawed survey questions, the authors conceptualize the cognitive testing enterprise as an opportunity to obtain a more comprehensive view of the items under our microscope. This *interpretivist* viewpoint allows us to alter our underlying research question—so that rather than asking “What’s wrong with the survey question?”—we can conversely ask “What’s right with it?” More to the point, we can hone that question by asking “How does the question function, and what does this imply about the contexts in which it can profitably be employed?” This expansive viewpoint is clearly of interest across a wide range of applications involving the use of self-report data collection instruments.

Although I use the term “microscopic” above, Miller et al. also further the field of cognitive interviewing by incorporating a vital macroscopic view in leading us to step back and consider the wider context of how survey items function across a range of cultures, languages, countries, and other contexts that are increasingly relevant to survey methodology. The book is the first to tackle the challenges of *comparative* cognitive interviewing, and takes a head-on approach to providing practical assistance

to those who face the myriad challenges of question development and evaluation when faced with requirements of instrument translation, interviewing teams that speak different primary languages, and questionnaires that simply do not apply well due to cultural and structural variation. Having collaborated with Dr. Miller in particular over the recent years in which cross-cultural cognitive interviewing has taken root and grown, I can well appreciate the way she has been able to make use of battle-tested experience to save others from having to learn the same hard lessons over again.

A third unique contribution of this volume relates to *analysis*—well-recognized as the Achilles Heel of the cognitive interviewing process. In a word, the authors preach transparency: We need to put our cards on the table in demonstrating exactly what we mean when we say we have conducted cognitive interviews, what our data consist of, and most importantly, how we came to the conclusions we present within a cognitive testing report. Following an increasingly salient thread within the qualitative research tradition, the book provides clear examples, and conceptual direction, concerning how the results of cognitive interviews should be systematically and openly processed, so that a *complete analysis* is conducted. By paying significantly more attention to our analytic processes, we end up with a product that is coherent, defensible, and that sets the stage for replication and further advancement of the field as a whole.

Finally, Miller and colleagues look beyond the cognitive interview to also consider the associated pretesting approaches that exist within our ready toolbox of questionnaire development, evaluation, and testing methods. Although the notion that we can look to alternatives, such as behavior coding, psychometric, and field-based experimental studies, has deep roots in the survey methods field, the current volume advocates tying these roots together, through the use of mixed-method studies that leverage the unique strengths of each approach. In particular, the use of quantitative methods reveals how much, or how often, a phenomenon exists; whereas the overlaying of intensive qualitative methods like the cognitive interview reveals “why this happens” due to the richness of the information the qualitative perspective provides. In summary, the current book provides a clear pathway to new thinking, new methods, and new directions for questionnaire designers, survey managers, and data users.

GORDON WILLIS

National Cancer Institute

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book has taken us somewhat longer to write than we initially anticipated. The additional time, however, brought additional critique, debate, and refinement of our ideas.

We thank Catherine Simile for providing perspective and significant insight, and Mitch Loeb for his helpful review and input. We thank our colleagues from Swan Solutions, Florencia Ramirez and Luis Cortes, for editorial comments and insurmountable help in pulling together the entire manuscript including figures, tables, bibliography, and appendices. Special thanks go to Lee Burch also of Swan Solutions for his many years of inspiration and support, as well as Karen Whitaker—office manager extraordinaire—who continuously reminds us to think about the “big picture” while keeping us on task in the here and now. We are especially grateful for all our colleagues in the Questionnaire Design Research Laboratory at the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) who, collectively, have helped to improve cognitive interviewing methodology.

We also thank the members of the question evaluation community who developed and sharpened the field over the past 20 years. We are particularly grateful for conversation (and sometimes loud debate!) with Gordon Willis, Norman Bradburn, Janet Harkness, Jack Fowler, Paul Beatty, Fred Conrad, Terry DeMaio, Jennifer Rothgeb, Peter Mohler, Rory Fitzgerald, and Debbie Collins—all of whom helped to shape our thinking.

Additionally, we thank our institutions: the National Center for Health Statistics along with the NCHS Office of Research and Methodology which, under the direction of Nat Schenker, promoted and prioritized question evaluation methodology, providing us the resources and time to develop this work. The University of Granada and the Spanish National Statistics Institute, particularly, Miguel Angel Martínez Vidal who pushed the cognitive interviewing projects in Spain.

We are appreciative of Wiley and our editors, Sari Friedman and Steve Quigley, for realizing the value of this project.

A most special thank you to the NCHS Associate Director of Science, Jennifer Madans, who for over a decade pushed us, argued with us, forced us to articulate better (and sometimes drove us crazy!) more than anyone else. Without her mentorship and sincere dedication to question evaluation and the advancement of survey methodology, this book would not exist. For this, we are truly grateful.

CONTRIBUTORS

ISABEL BENITEZ BAENA, University of Granada, Spain

VALERIE CHEPP, Hamline University

CAROLINE GRAY, Research Institute of the Palo Alto Medical Foundation

MEREDITH MASSEY, National Center for Health Statistics

JUSTIN MEZETIN, National Center for Health Statistics

KRISTEN MILLER, National Center for Health Statistics

JOSÉ-LUIS PADILLA, University of Granada, Spain

J. MICHAEL RYAN, The American University in Cairo

PAUL SCANLON, National Center for Health Statistics

ALISÚ SCHOUA-GLUSBERG, Research Support Services

ANA VILLAR, City University London

GORDON WILLIS, National Cancer Institute

STEPHANIE WILLSON, National Center for Health Statistics

1 Introduction

KRISTEN MILLER

National Center for Health Statistics

Although the beginnings of survey research can be traced as far back as the late 1880s, the discussion of question design and the need for standardized questions did not appear for another 50 years (Groves et al. 2009). Since this time, notions about question design have dramatically transformed, particularly in regard to question evaluation. In 1951, Stanley Payne published his book, *The Art of Asking Questions*, and laid out 100 considerations for writing survey questions. Although he maintained that question evaluation studies could be helpful, he argued that the actual writing process should be the higher concern. Today, however, there is a greater emphasis on question evaluation. Also, with the entrance of psychologists, psychometricians, and more recently, anthropologists, qualitative methodologists, and cognitive sociologists, the scientific rigor and scope have increased.

A significant advancement for question evaluation occurred in the 1980s with the entrance of cognitive psychology and the study of the cognitive aspects of survey methodology (CASM). The CASM movement not only brought attention to the issue of measurement error, it also established the idea that individual processes, specifically, respondents' thought processes, must be understood to assess the validity and potential sources of error (Schwarz 2007). The underlying supposition is that, as noted by Willis (2005), "the respondent's cognitive processes drive the survey response, and an understanding of cognition is central to designing questions and to understanding and reducing sources of response error" (p. 23). Thus, with the advent of CASM, the focus of question design shifted from the question writer to the respondent and cognitive processes.

The cognitive processes that make up question response have been represented in a number of theoretical models. A commonly cited question-response model contains four stages: comprehension, retrieval, judgment, and response (Tourangeau 1984; Tourangeau et al. 2000; also see Willis 2005 for a detailed discussion). To provide a response, each respondent proceeds through four specific steps: (1) determining what the question is asking, (2) recalling or retrieving the relevant information, (3) processing the information to formulate an answer, and (4) mapping that answer

Cognitive Interviewing Methodology, First Edition.

Edited by Kristen Miller, Stephanie Willson, Valerie Chepp, and José-Luis Padilla.

© 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Published 2014 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.