

Managing Protected Areas in Central and Eastern Europe Under Climate Change



Managing Protected Areas in Central and Eastern Europe Under Climate Change

ADVANCES IN GLOBAL CHANGE RESEARCH

VOLUME 58

Editor-in-Chief

Martin Beniston, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Editorial Advisory Board

- B. Allen-Diaz, Department ESPM-Ecosystem Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA, U.S.A.
- R.S. Bradley, Department of Geosciences, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, U.S.A.
- W. Cramer, Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Aix-en-Provence cedex 04, France.
- H.F. Diaz, Climate Diagnostics Center, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, NOAA, Boulder, CO, U.S.A.
- S. Erkman, Institute for communication and Analysis of Science and Technology–ICAST, Geneva, Switzerland
- R. Garcia Herrera, Faculated de Fisicas, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain
- M. Lal, Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, India.
- U. Luterbacher, The Graduate Institute of International Studies, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.
- I. Noble, CRC for Greenhouse Accounting and Research School of Biological Science, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.
- L. Tessier, Institut Mediterranéen d'Ecologie et Paléoécologie, Marseille, France.
- F. Toth, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis Laxenburg, Austria.
- M.M. Verstraete, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ec Joint Research Centre, Ispra (VA), Italy

For further volumes: http://www.springer.com/series/5588

Sven Rannow • Marco Neubert Editors

Managing Protected Areas in Central and Eastern Europe Under Climate Change



Editors
Sven Rannow
Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban
and Regional Development
Dresden, Sachsen
Germany

Marco Neubert Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development Dresden, Sachsen Germany

ISSN 1574-0919 ISBN 978-94-007-7959-4 ISBN 978-94-007-7960-0 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-7960-0 Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013958318

Open Access This book is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

All commercial rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for commercial use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for commercial use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Photographer: Marco Neubert, 2009

Caption: Dried clay illustrating climate change impacts

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

The idea to investigate the impacts of climate change on nature protection sites supported by a remote sensing-based monitoring tool – inspired by Lovejoy and Hannah's book Climate Change and Biodiversity – was the starting point of the project "Adaptive Management of Climate-Induced Changes of Habitat Diversity in Protected Areas" (HABIT-CHANGE). This first idea was further developed and extended during several meetings with a growing number of interested partners. After two years of preparation, the project proposal was submitted to the European transnational funding programme INTERREG IV B Central Europe and later on approved for a three-year runtime. We chose this funding opportunity since climate change does not stop at national borders and the programme supports sciencepractice-policy cooperation and implementation, which is especially needed for this topic. Since the Central European area is expected to be especially affected by climate change impacts, it is an appropriate investigation region. Furthermore, by choosing European investigation areas it was possible to evaluate the concept and regulations of the EU Habitats Directive - the most important pillar of European wildlife and nature conservation that forms a network of protected sites across the European Union called Natura 2000.

In March 2010, a consortium of 17 great and well-respected partners from nature protection site administrations, scientific institutions, and nature conservation authorities started researching. However, several of the institutions interested in joining the partnership were unable due to financial or administrative reasons. Thus, we additionally had a large number of highly interested associated institutions.

During the project runtime, a lot of public recognition was gained: The HABIT-CHANGE project was selected as:

- One of 28 good practice examples worldwide for the UNESCO-MAB Conference "For life, for the future. Biosphere reserves and climate change" in 2011
- A project of strategic importance of the INTERREG Central Europe funding programme combined with additional funding for capitalisation activities

vi Preface

• A so-called lighthouse project of the German INTERREG/transnational cooperation office by the Federal Institute for Research on Building, Urban Affairs and Spatial Development

The results achieved by the project are part of the book content. Extended and more detailed technical reports are available on the project's website.

Dresden, June 2013

Marco Neubert and Sven Rannow

Acknowledgements

This book was compiled within the project "Adaptive Management of Climate-induced Changes of Habitat Diversity in Protected Areas" (HABIT-CHANGE). We thank the European transnational funding programme INTERREG IV B Central Europe for co-funding this project (reference number 2CE168P3).

A project would not work without the support of a functioning partnership. Thus, we thank all our project partners and their respective teams, including their administrations, the whole lead partner team, all the cooperating associated partners as well as our advisory board members with special thanks to Jochen Schumacher.

We thank all participants of the numerous project events as well as the "International Conference on Managing Protected Areas under Climate Change" (IMPACT) for their fruitful discussions and various inputs to the project. Together with various interested and cooperating experts they contributed to the project's success and helped to gain a high level of attention.

Writing and publishing this book took a lot of effort and could not have been done without the following people. We would like to express our gratitude to:

- All authors including the contributing external experts
- The internal and external reviewers, especially Stefan Lang, Jochen Schumacher and Rene Griesbach, as well as
- The editor team of the Springer series "Advances in Global Change Research"

Dresden, June 2013

Marco Neubert and Sven Rannow

Contents

Par	t 1 Introduction	
1	Natural Heritage at Risk by Climate Change	3
Par	rt II Climate Change and Potential Impacts in Central and Eastern Europe	
2	Climate Change in Central and Eastern Europe	17
3	Effects of Climate Change on the Hydrological Cycle in Central and Eastern Europe	31
4	Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Protected Habitats Anca Sârbu, Georg Janauer, Ingolf Profft, Mitja Kaligarič, and Mihai Doroftei	45
Par	rt III Tools and Concepts for Climate Change Adapted Management	
5	Climate Change Impact Modelling Cascade – Benefits and Limitations for Conservation Management	63
6	Indicators for Monitoring Climate Change-Induced Effects on Habitats – A Wetlands Perspective	77

x Contents

7	Climate-Induced Impacts on Habitats	95
8	Assessment of Climate-Induced Impacts on Habitats Iris Wagner-Lücker, Michael Förster, and Georg Janauer	115
9	Legal Aspects of Climate Change Adaptation	135
10	A Methodical Framework for Climate Change-Adapted Management in Protected Areas	159
Par	t IV Approaches to Adapt Management to Impacts of Climate Change in Selected Areas	
11	Monitoring Concept of Climate-Induced Impacts on Peat Bog Vegetation in Pokljuka Plateau in Triglav National Park, Slovenia	175
12	Concept for the Monitoring of Climate Induced Impacts on Rock Ptarmigan (<i>Lagopus muta</i>) in Triglav National Park, Slovenia	185
13	Suggested Management Measures for Natura 2000 Habitats in Körös-Maros National Park, Hungary	197
14	Climate-Induced Challenges for Wetlands: Revealing the Background for the Adaptive Ecosystem Management in the Biebrza Valley, Poland	209
15	Habitat Changes Caused by Sea Level Rise, Driven by Climate Change in the Northern Adriatic Coastal Wetlands, Slovenia Mitja Kaligarič and Danijel Ivajnšič	233
16	Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Forest Habitats in the Biosphere Reserve Vessertal-Thuringian Forest in Germany Nico Frischbier, Ingolf Profft, and Ulrike Hagemann	243
17	Potential Impact of Climate Change on Alpine Habitats from Bucegi Natural Park, Romania	259

Contents xi

18	Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Habitats and Their Effects on Invasive Plant Species in Danube Delta Biosphere	
	Reserve, Romania	267
19	Reproduction Biology of an Alien Invasive Plant: A Case of Drought-Tolerant Aster squamatus on the Northern Adriatic Seacoast, Slovenia	279
Par	rt V Conclusion and Recommendations	
20	Conclusions and Recommendations for Adapting Conservation Management in the Face of Climate Change	291
Ind	ex	305

Contributors

Szilvia Ádám Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary

Juliane Albrecht Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development, Dresden, Germany

Paulina Anastasiu Department of Botany and Microbiology, University of Bucharest, Bucureşti, Romania

Ivonne Anders Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics, Vienna, Austria

Ingeborg Auer Central Institute for Meteorology and Geodynamics, Vienna, Austria

Urszula Biereżnoj-Bazille Institute of Biology, University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland

Biebrza National Park, Goniadz, Poland

Mihai Doroftei Department of Biodiversity Conservation, Danube Delta National Institute for Research and Development, Tulcea, Romania

Eszter Falusi Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary

Michael Förster Geoinformation in Environmental Planning Lab, Department of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning, Technical University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Nico Frischbier Service and Competence Centre of ThüringenForst, Gotha, Germany

Moritz Gies Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development, Dresden, Germany

xiv Contributors

Mateusz Grygoruk Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Biebrza National Park, Goniadz, Poland

Ulrike Hagemann Leibniz-Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research e.V., Müncheberg, Germany

Fred F. Hattermann Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam, Germany

Shaochun Huang Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam, Germany

Danijel Ivajnšič Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia

Georg Janauer Department of Limnology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Mitja Kaligarič Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia

Hagen Koch Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Telegrafenberg, Potsdam, Germany

Ákos Malatinszky Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary

Elisabeth Mayr Department of Geography, Faculty of Geosciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany

Michał Mazgajski Division of the Measurement and Observation Service in Warsaw, Institute of Meteorology and Water Management, Warsaw, Poland

Marco Neubert Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development, Dresden, Germany

Apolonia Ostrowska Institute of Environmental Protection – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland

Dirk Pavlik Department of Hydrosciences, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Technische Universität Dresden, Tharandt, Germany

Károly Penksza Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary

Ingolf Profft Service and Competence Centre of ThüringenForst, Gotha, Germany

Contributors xv

Sven Rannow Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development, Dresden, Germany

Kathrin Renner Institute for Applied Remote Sensing, EURAC Research, Bolzano, Italy

Tina Petras Triglavski narodni park, Bled, Slovenia

Nina Šajna Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia

Dénes Saláta Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Institute of Environmental and Landscape Management, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary

Anca Sârbu Department of Botany and Microbiology, University of Bucharest, Bucureşti, Romania

Tobias Schmidt Geoinformation in Environmental Planning Lab, Department of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning, Technical University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Jadwiga Sienkiewicz Department of Nature and Landscape Conservation, Institute of Environmental Protection – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland

Daniela Smarandache Department of Botany and Microbiology, University of Bucharest, Bucureşti, Romania

Judith Stagl Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany

Lars Stratmann Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development, Dresden, Germany

Katrin Vohland Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany

Iris Wagner-Lücker Department of Conservation Biology, Vegetation- and Landscape Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Department of Limnology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Christian Wilke Department of Landscape Architecture and Environmental Planning, Landscape Planning and Development, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Marc Zebisch Institute for Applied Remote Sensing, EURAC Research, Bolzano, Italy

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Location of the investigation areas within Central and Eastern Europe	9
Fig. 2.1	Left: Slice of a stalagmite from a cave in Austria; Right: Horizontal cross section of a tree (Larix decidua) in Savoyen grown in 1746, cut in 1999	19
Fig. 2.2	Growing season length (GSL) and number of frost days (FD) in Laa an der Thaya, near the National park Thayatal in Austria for the time period 1952–2009	22
Fig. 2.3	Change of simulated mean temperature in Central and Eastern Europe in winter and summer as the multi-model mean 2036–2065 relative to 1971–2000 for the A1B greenhouse gas emission scenario	25
Fig. 2.4	Change of simulated mean precipitation in Central and Eastern Europe in winter and summer as the multi-model mean 2036–2065 relative to 1971–2000 for the A1B greenhouse gas emission scenario	27
Fig. 3.1 Fig. 3.2	Hydrological components on catchment scale	33
Fig. 3.3	Monthly Pardé-coefficients (PC = Q mean monthly/Q mean annual) simulated by the eco-hydrological model SWIM driven by regional climate simulation from REMO for the A1B greenhouse gas emission scenario for gauge Achleiten at Danube river and gauge Dresden at Elbe river for three different time slices (long-term annual mean values for 1961–1990,	
	2041–2070 and 2071–2100)	37

xviii List of Figures

Fig. 3.4 Fig. 3.5	Natural discharge (model SWIM) and managed streamflow (model WBalMo) at gauge Hrensko/Labe (Czech Republic) for the years 2010–2050 displayed as a 5-year average (e.g. "2010" stands for multi-year average of 2008–2012)	38
e	in Austria for the period 1964/65 to 2009/10	40
Fig. 4.1 Fig. 4.2	Annual vegetation of drift lines viewed from the strictly protected area in the Danube Delta Biosphere reserve	48
Fig. 4.3	urban areas, or infrastructure, with no possibilities to migrate in case of further sea-level rise	49
Fig. 4.4	June 2005	50
Fig. 4.5	Kis-Balaton area, Hungary	50
Fig. 4.6	and fragmentation	51
Fig. 4.7	Mountain hay meadows (Natura 2000 code: 6520) with a significant population of the rare plant <i>Trollius europaeus</i> L.	52
Fig. 4.8	within Bucegi Natural Park	53
Fig. 4.9	schurii within Bucegi Natural Park	54
Fig. 4.10	Assessment of present and future growing potential for Norway Spruce (<i>Picea abies</i> L.) according to a combination of four climate thresholds for Spruce	55 56
Fig. 5.1	The chain/cascade from emission scenarios to regional	<i>(5</i>
Fig. 5.2	Changes of Climatic Water Balance (<i>CWB</i>) as integrated climate change indicator for the area (a) Natural Park Bucegi (Romania) and (b) Vessertal – Thuringian Forest Biosphere	65
	Reserve (Germany).	68

List of Figures xix

Fig. 7.1	Tree species distribution of the Biosphere Reserve Vessertal based on RapidEye satellite images from 2011	98
Fig. 7.2	Map of the percentage of natural tree types as an example	,,
8	indicator for the determination of conservation status	99
Fig. 7.3	Hierarchical classification approach for mapping of potential	
C	occurrence of inland marshes	102
Fig. 7.4	Habitat probability map for the potential occurrence of inland	102
Ei. 75	marshes	103
Fig. 7.5	to the Natura 2000 habitat codes	107
Fig. 7.6	Example for an evaluation of conservation status for the	107
11g. 7.0	disturbance indicator "shrub encroachment"	108
		100
Fig. 8.1	The biogeographical regions of Central and Eastern Europe,	
F: 0.2	modified after EEA (2011)	116
Fig. 8.2	Framework for the assessment	117
Fig. 8.3	Framework for the sensitivity assessment	118
Fig. 8.4	Framework for the exposure assessment	122
Fig. 8.5	Framework for the impact assessment	123
Fig. 8.6	Proportional distribution of the indicator values per habitats	
E: 0.7	in the Alpine region	126
Fig. 8.7	Difference in exposure between periods 1971–2000	
	and 2036–2065 for parameters used in the Alpine	100
E' 0.0	impact assessment	126
Fig. 8.8	Proportional distribution of the indicator values per habitats	127
E:- 0.0	in the Continental region	127
Fig. 8.9	Difference in exposure between periods 1971–2000	
	and 2036–2065 for parameters used in the Continental	120
E:~ 0 10	impact assessment	128
Fig. 8.10	Proportional distribution of the indicator values per habitats in the Pannonian region	129
Fig. 8.11	in the Pannonian region	129
11g. 0.11	and 2036–2065 for parameters used in the Pannonian	
	impact assessment	130
Fig. 8.12	Exemplary set of maps for sensitivity and potential impact	150
11g. 0.12	for the Biebrza National Park (Continental Region)	132
		132
Fig. 11.1	The position of Triglav National Park in Slovenia	176
Fig. 11.2	Šijec peat bog in Pokljuka plateau	177
Fig. 11.3	Stratified habitat types in peat bog Šijec with randomly selected	
	sampling plots (A1-D10). As a basis a net from Slovenian Forest	
	Service is used, and modulated by size 25×25 m. Different	
	letters indicate different habitat types with maximum	
	ten samples per spatially separate habitat type	179

xx List of Figures

Fig. 12.1	Male of rock ptarmigan (<i>Lagopus muta</i>) in summer plumage	186
Fig. 12.2	Survey area of the mountain ranges: (1) Jalovec – Bavški Grintavec, (2) Kanjavec – Mala Tičarica, (3) Debela	
Fig. 12.3	peč – Tošc, (4) Veliki Bogatin – Črna prst	187
Fig. 12.4	(Lagopus muta) population in TNP	192 192
Fig. 13.1 Fig. 13.2	Geographical situation of the sample areas	199 202
Fig. 14.1	Geomorphologic outline of the Biebrza catchment and Biebrza Valley	210
Fig. 14.2 Fig. 14.3	Climate variability indicators recorded in the Biebrza Valley Climate change projections for the Biebrza Valley and hypothetical "mild" and "extreme" climate change scenarios	215
Fig. 14.4	(time horizon 2070–2100)	217
Fig. 14.5	in the Biebrza Valley	223
Fig. 15.1	Average sea level trend (0.14 cm year ⁻¹) and some statistical parameters for the sea level height measuring station Koper (1961 to 2011; ARSO 2012)	234
Fig. 15.2	Trend of sea level rise, divided into two intervals, where the latter shows statistical significance ($p = 0.0003$,	
Fig. 15.3	slope = 0.43 cm year ⁻¹)	235
Fig. 16.1	Zoning scheme of the BR Vessertal-Thuringian Forest, located within the montane region of the Federal State of	
	Thuringia in Central Germany	245

List of Figures xxi

Fig. 16.2	(a–e) Impressions from the BR: (a) Near-natural forests with a mixture of beech and spruce and (b) old-growth forests in the degradation phase offer valuable habitats and micro-structures, particularly in gaps and at the highly structured edges. The BR offers a (c) diverse landscape mosaic and (d, e) adequate infrastructures for tourism	2.45
Fig. 16.3	and nature recreation	247
	nutritional classes of sandstone and silicate soils	249
Fig. 16.4	Decision-making scheme for selecting options during	250
Fig. 16.5	the forest conversion process	250
	habitat types	252
Fig. 16.6	Predicted survival probability (red = low, green = very high) for key species of the habitat types Luzulo-Fagetum, Asperulo-Fagetum and Vaccinio-Piceetea for the BR using specific soil conditions and SRES-A1B, ECHAM5 and WETTREG-regionalisation following the approach of Schlutow and Huebener (2004) employed by Profft and	
	Frischbier (2009)	253
Fig. 16.7	Current agreement on structure and site-adapted forest conversion of single-layer pure coniferous forest stands within the BR. Classes of forest conversion priority (immediately, until 2020, after 2020) were assigned according to the initial situation and general consensus	255
Fig. 17.1	Natural Park Bucegi, alpine grasslands on the plateau	260
Fig. 17.2	of the Bucegi mountains Potential climate change effects and induced threats	260
Fig. 17.2	on the alpine plants and habitats from Bucegi Natural Park Natural Park Bucegi, <i>Festuca supina</i> grassland	262
C	(Natura 2000 code 6150)	263
Fig. 18.1 Fig. 18.2	Spreading potential of invasive plant species in DDBR Mean annual precipitation amounts in the Danube Delta	272
	Biosphere Reserve (1961–2007)	273
Fig. 18.3	Mean annual air temperatures in the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve (1961–2007)	273
Fig. 18.4	Mean annual soil temperature parameters in the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve (1961–2007)	274
Fig. 19.1	Relation between plant height and number of flower heads of <i>Aster squamatus</i> (equation of logarithmic	
	fit is given)	282

xxii List of Figures

Fig. 19.2	Reproductive potential of A. squamatus plants from different	
	height categories (1: <50 cm; 2: 50–70 cm; 3: 70–110 cm;	
	4: 110–140 cm; 5: 140–170 cm) measured through the number	
	of flower heads per single plant (significant differences	
	are marked with different letters; ANOVA, post-hoc	
	Unequal N HSD)	283
Fig. 19.3	Locations where A. squamatus is present on the Slovenian sea	
•	coast (a). Two protected areas are shown in detail: Sečovlje	
	Salina (b) and Škocjan Inlet (c)	285
Fig. 19.4	Mean annual temperature from 1998 until 2012 at the	
C	meteorological station in Portorož (Graph constructed from	
	data provided by Slovenian Environmental Agency freely	
	available at http://meteo.arso.gov.si/met/sl/app/webmet/)	286
Fig. 20.1	Aspects affecting the adaptation process of protected	
	area management	293

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Overview of investigation areas, their main ecosystem type as well as climate change-related problems	10
Table 4.1	Habitat groups (classes of habitats in capital letters, subclasses of habitats in lower case letters) including the number of habitat types relevant for the HABIT-CHANGE investigation sites, listed for these groups in EEA Database of Natura 2000 sites in Europe and recorded in the investigation sites	47
Table 5.1	Model assumptions, hypothesis and data along the model cascade	67
Table 6.1	Landscape level indicators of habitat change in support of land management at BNP	81
Table 6.2	Habitat level indicators of climate change induced habitat changes	83
Table 6.3	Mean values and standard deviations (mean \pm SD) of the examined variables for four groups of SOC	0.5
Table 6.4	content in soils	87 88
Table 6.5	Data for all groups of soil carbon content	88
Table 7.1	Percentage of the share of natural tree types as an example indicator for the determination of conservation status for <i>Luzulo Fagetum</i> beech forests and <i>Asperulo Fagetum</i> beech forests	97
Table 7.2	Natura 2000 habitats in the study site	104
Table 7.3	Vegetation types classified in the study area and their corresponding habitat types according to the Natura 2000 habitat codes	106
		- 0 0

xxiv List of Tables

Table 7.4	List of key issues to be considered for remote sensing-based habitat monitoring	110
Table 8.1	Investigation areas used to assess the climate-induced impacts on habitats	118
Table 8.2	Sensitivity criteria for the regional expert	110
14010 0.2	knowledge assessment	119
Table 8.3	Sensitivity categories	121
Table 8.4	Indicator schemes per biogeographical region	121
Table 8.5	Categories for the indicator temperature	121
Table 8.6	Categories for the indicator moisture	121
Table 8.7	Example sensitivity assessment of the indicator values	
	for three habitat types	121
Table 8.8	Exposure magnitude categories	122
Table 8.9	Transformation rules of the temperature using	
	the temperature sensitivity	123
Table 8.10	Transformation rules of the climatic water balance	
	using the moisture sensitivity	124
Table 8.11	Example of exposure values and their respective	
	sensitivity derived from the indicator values	
	of alpine grassland formations	124
Table 8.12	Example of an impact assessment for alpine	
	grassland formations	124
Table 8.13	Impact magnitude categories	124
Table 8.14	Regional sensitivity values for the Alpine region	125
Table 8.15	Alpine indicator values	125
Table 8.16	Potential impact magnitudes for the Alpine region	126
Table 8.17	Regional sensitivity values for the Continental region	127
Table 8.18	Continental indicator values	127
Table 8.19	Potential impact magnitude for the Continental region	128
Table 8.20	Regional sensitivity values for the Pannonian region	129
Table 8.21	Pannonian indicator values	129
Table 8.22	Potential impact magnitude for the Pannonian region	130
Table 11.1	Selected indicator species of peat bog Šijec according to Ellenberg's values and habitats characteristics	180
Table 12.1	Sampling form for rock ptarmigan (Lagopus muta)	189
Table 14.1	Qualitative assessment of direct and indirect prospective climate-related impacts to selected plant associations in the Biebrza Valley	222
Table 14.2	Selected management measures applied by the Biebrza National Park, to be considered and adjusted in adaptive	
	ecosystem management	225

List of Tables xxv

Table 15.1	Prediction of time frames (year \pm standard error) according	
	to the sea level rise scenarios with two different sea level	
	height trends in Koper	236
Table 15.2	Percentages of habitat type area according to 2010 mapping and the modelled scenarios of sea level rise	
	in Sečovlje Salina Nature Park study area	239
Table 15.3	Percentages of habitat type area according to 2010 mapping and the modelled scenarios of sea level rise in Škocjan Inlet	
	Nature Reserve study area	240
Table 16.1	Evaluation of forest habitat types in the BR	
	Vessertal-Thuringian Forest according	
	to the Habitat Directive	246
Table 18.1	Plant communities within the Danube Delta Biosphere	
	Reserve mainly consisting of alien plants	
	based on field research	269
Table 18.2	Alien plant species recorded in different types of natural	
	and semi-natural plant communities/Natura 2000 habitats	270
Table 19.1	Comparison of soil samples with $(N = 22)$ or without	
	A. squamatus $(N = 8)$ with student t-test	
	(significance at $p < 0.05$)	283

Part I Introduction

Chapter 1 Natural Heritage at Risk by Climate Change

Sven Rannow, Marco Neubert, and Lars Stratmann

1.1 Climate Change as a Threat to Habitat Diversity

The Fourth Assessment Report of the Inter governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2007a) clearly underlined the existing trend of climate change. It projected future developments with dramatic impacts, such as increasing temperature, changes in both amount and distribution of precipitation, change of the climatic water balance, and the increasing occurrences of extreme events.

These changes will have serious impacts on nature (IPCC 2007b) and endanger the natural heritage that is protected within nature reserves, national parks, biosphere reserves or other protection categories. These facts are already recognised on a European policy level: "Climate change has the potential, over a period of a few decades, to undermine our efforts for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity" (European Commission 2006, p. 13).

Current discussions connected to climate change often focus on the prevention or mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. Even though mitigation of climate change is of utmost importance, protected area administrations as well as nature protection authorities also need support on the political (administration) as well as on the practical level (management) in order to cope with climate change and their adaptation to it. To preserve ecosystems, habitats, and species, as well as their goods and services, for society under changing climatic conditions it is recommended to:

- identify potential climate change and land use-induced threats;
- model regional climate change effects and their potential impacts on protected areas (see Chaps. 2 and 3);
- evaluate existing management practices;

Leibniz Institute of Ecological Urban and Regional Development,

Weberplatz 1, 01217 Dresden, Germany

e-mail: sven.rannow@gmx.de; m.neubert@ioer.de; l.stratmann@ioer.de

S. Rannow • M. Neubert (⋈) • L. Stratmann

4 S. Rannow et al.

• derive a set of indicators reflecting local-scale effects of climate change (see Chap. 6);

- establish monitoring concepts based on earth observation data and ground truthing (see Chap. 7);
- assess habitat sensitivity to potential impacts (see Chap. 8);
- analyse existing legal framework for adapted management in protected areas (see Chap. 9);
- adapt management plans, strategies, and measures of protected areas to climate change effects (see Chap. 10);
- implement the findings on a practical level with the help of local experts, as well as fostering public awareness of the policy and stakeholders, and also the demand for adaptive management (see Chaps. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19);
- provide guidelines for climate change adaptation of protected areas on national and transnational (e.g. EU) level.

These issues were part of the project objectives of "Adaptive Management of Climate-induced Changes of Habitat Diversity in Protected Areas" (HABIT-CHANGE) and will be presented and discussed in this book. Thus, the information about existing problems and solutions on local and regional levels and the experiences of implementing adaptation strategies with all its facets shall be shared. This volume should support other conservation managers in coping with the challenges of climate adapted management.

1.2 The Need for Adaptation and Obstacles for Application

The diversity of species and habitats is one of the foundations of life on earth (Barnosky et al. 2012; Cardinale et al. 2012). Therefore, it seems advisable to safeguard biodiversity on Earth from substantial threats like climate change (e.g. McLaughlin et al. 2002; Carvalho et al. 2010; Bellard et al. 2012). Its first effects are already apparent (Parmesan et al. 1999; Root et al. 2003) and the speed of change is increasing (Chen et al. 2011). The impacts of climate change will put additional pressure on the majority of endangered species and habitats. The adaptation of conservation management in the face of such extensive transformations is a pressing need and an ambitious target. Changing climate conditions as well as global transformations are challenging nature protection in general and conservation management on site. To address these challenges new and adapted concepts, tools, and practices are necessary (Dawson et al. 2011; Hobbs et al. 2010). Most methods and tools are already available but need to be used with a new perspective of climate change adaptation in mind (Hansen and Hoffman 2011; Lawler 2009). This could be achieved, for instance, by:

- incorporating climate change in national or regional biodiversity conservation plans (e.g. Groves et al. 2012);
- reflecting potential effects of climate change in the design of wildlife corridors and adapting existing area networks (e.g. Vos et al. 2008);

- including vulnerability to climate change effects as a factor in the development of endangered species lists;
- considering potential effects of climate change in protected area management plans (e.g. March et al. 2011);
- considering potential effects of climate change like shifting distributions within species action plans (Singh and Milner-Gulland 2011);
- assessing the effect of climate-induced changes in carrying capacity in population viability analysis;
- considering potential effects of climate change on habitat restoration plans (e.g. Battin et al. 2007);
- developing habitat restoration plans for habitats that are endangered by climate change effects like sea level rise.

The following chapters exemplify the adaptation of concepts, methods and tools for conservation management. This is illustrated for protected areas located in Central and Eastern Europe.

This book focuses on protected areas because they are a prominent element of conservation schemes worldwide. They safeguard the most treasured biodiversity hotspots and focus conservation action at the local and regional level. Even though climate change is considered a global problem and changes, e.g. in species distribution, become only apparent when analysed on the global or regional scale, it is the individual sites that are the first to feel the effects on endangered species and habitats. During the last years a growing number of parks and conservation sites have made individual adaptation efforts (e.g. March et al. 2011; Littell et al. 2011). These efforts are challenged by the fact that climate change rarely is the only pressure to consider. This is especially true for large conservation sites, such as biosphere reserves, which are characterised by cultural landscapes and influenced by existing land use.

At most Central and Eastern European conservation sites climate change adds to a myriad of existing problems and interacts, either directly or indirectly, with them. Changes in temperature, precipitation, seasonality, or the frequency and severity of extreme events, have direct effects on species and habitats. Indirect effects, however, need to be considered, too. For instance changes in abiotic conditions, like changing river runoff and groundwater regimes or changing phenology, and biotic interactions, have impacts on local biodiversity. In addition, autonomous adaptations of local stakeholders show potential for increasing existing or creating new conflicts. Changing practices in agriculture, forestry, fisheries, or tourism have ripple effects on protected sites and surrounding areas. Improvement of conservation management at site level is needed to handle these new problems.

Projections of future climatic trajectories are accompanied with notorious uncertainties and ecosystem responses are complex due to their non-linear and often unclear relationships between causes and effects of changes, like feedback loops, substantial temporal and spatial lags, and frequent discontinuities (Prato 2008). Most local conservation experts are uncertain when to react and how to