Wossenu Abtew · Assefa Melesse

Evaporation and Evapotranspiration

Measurements and Estimations



Evaporation and Evapotranspiration

Wossenu Abtew • Assefa Melesse

Evaporation and Evapotranspiration

Measurements and Estimations



Dr. Wossenu Abtew South Florida Water Management District West Palm Beach, FL USA Prof. Dr. Assefa Melesse Department of Earth and Environment Florida International University Miami, FL USA

ISBN 978-94-007-4736-4 ISBN 978-94-007-4737-1 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-4737-1 Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg New York London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012946197

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)



Preface

Water loss through evaporation from open water and evapotranspiration (ET) from vegetation is one of the major components of the hydrologic cycle affecting water resources availability. Measurement and estimation of these terms have initiated the development of the theory of the process, measurement techniques, and estimation equations. Perceptions have contributed to biases of estimation. A drying pond taken over by vegetation gives the perception that the vegetation's increased ET resulted in the drying of the pond. Succession of vegetation in a wetland may hide the impact of changing hydrology by suggesting water losses are due to invading vegetation.

The evapotranspiration process is controlled by the availability of moisture to evaporate. Energy is required to detach water molecules. A mechanism is required to move the vapor into the air column. The air has to have the capacity to hold the vapor. When the air has no more capacity to hold moisture, the reverse process, dew formation, occurs. In this book, dew evaporation is presented in a chapter. A chapter on vapor pressure and vapor pressure deficit estimation methods is presented with known quality data from a monitoring network. ET processes and mechanisms are presented in a simplified way without compromising complexity. In each case, examples of applications from the authors' experience are presented for comparing estimation methods. Meteorological monitoring and data quality, input into ET estimation methods, is vastly discussed in a chapter with illustrations from a large monitoring network. The design and application of a lysimeter system for open water evaporation and wetland vegetation ET has provided measured data to gauge the performance of various estimation equations. The advantage and limitation of simple ET estimation methods, when input data is limited, are addressed. Remote sensing application to ET estimation is sufficiently addressed in three chapters with application case studies. An introduction into the expected impact of climate change on ET rates is included as a chapter with climate model application results. This book is a useful resource for hydrologists, scientists, meteorologists, engineers, water resource managers, agricultural and environmental professionals, students, and teachers.

Contents

Mete	orological Parameter Monitoring and Data Quality		
2.1	Introduction		
2.2	Meteorological Parameters Monitoring Network		
	2.2.1 Sources of Error in Meteorological Parameters		
2.3	Air Temperature		
	2.3.1 Dew Point Temperature		
2.4	Humidity		
2.5	Water Temperature		
2.6	Atmospheric Pressure		
2.7	Wind Speed and Wind Direction		
	2.7.1 Wind Profile		
	2.7.2 Wind Barrier's Impact on Wind Speed and Pattern		
2.8	Solar Radiation		
2.9	Net Solar Radiation		
2.10	Summary		
Refer	ences		
Evap	oration and Evapotranspiration Measurement		
3.1	Introduction		
3.2	Pan Evaporation		
3.3	Lysimeters		
	3.3.1 Weighing Lysimeter		
	3.3.2 Water Balance Lysimeter		
3.4	Eddy Correlation		
3.5	Bowen Ratio		
3.6	Lidar (Light Detection and Ranging Method)		
3.7	Satellite-Based Methods		

x Contents

	3.8 Refe	Summaryrences	41 41
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	rgy Requirements of Dew Evaporation Introduction Energy Balance and Transfer Coefficients Dewfalls and Evaporation Summary rences	43 43 44 46 50 51
5	Vapo 5.1 5.2	Introduction Comparison of Vapor Pressure Computation Methods 5.2.1 Methods 5.2.2 Results	53 53 54 54 56
	5.3 Refe	Summaryrences	61 62
6	Evar 6.1 6.2	Introduction	63 64 64 65 69 76 77
	6.3 6.4 6.5 Refer	Complex Methods 6.3.1 Energy Balance Methods 6.3.2 The Penman Method Remote Sensing Methods Summary rences	78 78 78 88 89 89
7	7.1 7.2 7.3	7.2.4 Penman–Monteith Method	93 95 95 97 100 104
8			107 109
	8.1 8.2	Introduction	109 111 111

Contents xi

		8.2.2	Water Balance Method	112
		8.2.3	Energy Balance	113
		8.2.4	Mass Transfer Method	120
		8.2.5	The Penman Method	123
		8.2.6	The Simple Abtew Method	125
		8.2.7	Solar Radiation–Maximum Temperature Method	126
		8.2.8	Modified Turc Equation	126
		8.2.9	Priestley–Taylor Method	127
		8.2.10	Energy Balance–Bowen Ratio Method (EBBR)	127
	8.3		ry	129
				130
9				
9	9.1		d Crop Evapotranspiration	133 133
	9.2		ice Evapotranspiration	134
	J.2	9.2.1	Crop Canopy Resistance (r_c)	134
		9.2.2	Aerodynamic Resistance (r_c)	135
	9.3		CE Standardized Reference Evapotranspiration Equation .	136
	9.4		al Evapotranspiration and Evaporation	138
	9.5		al Evaportanspiration and Evaporation	138
	9.6		pefficients	138
	9.7	-	ry	139
				140
10				
10	_	•	ributed Surface Energy Flux Modeling	141
	10.1		ction	141
	10.2		ly Sensed Data	142
		10.2.1		142
		10.2.2	ASTER	143
	10.2	10.2.3	MODIS	144
	10.3		Energy Budget and Models	144 144
		10.3.1	Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL)	
		10.3.2 10.3.3	Two-Source Energy Balance (TSEB) Model	148 151
			Surface Energy Balance System (SEBS)	131
		10.3.4	Mapping Evapotranspiration at High	154
		10.2.5	Resolution with Internalized Calibration (METRIC)	154
		10.3.5 10.3.6	Simplified Surface Energy Balance (SSEB)	155
		10.5.0	Simplified Surface Energy Balance Index	156
	10.4	Cummo	(S-SEBI)	156
			ry	150
				137
11			stimation Using Remote Sensing and Surface	161
	•		Model	161
	11.1		ction	161
	11.2	Surrace	Energy Flux Budget	163

xii Contents

	11.3	11.3.1 Data	164 165
	11.4 Refer	11.3.2 Results and Discussion Summaryences	168 172 174
12		and Restoration Assessment Using Remote Sensing-	
	and S	Surface Energy Budget-Based Evapotranspiration	177
	12.1	Introduction	177
	12.2	Case Studies	179
		12.2.1 Glacial Ridge Prairie Restoration	179
		12.2.2 Kissimmee River Restoration	182
	12.3	Methodology	184
		12.3.1 Satellite Image Preprocessing	184
		12.3.2 Evapotranspiration Mapping	184
	12.4	Results and Discussion	185
		12.4.1 Glacial Ridge	185
		12.4.2 Kissimmee River Basin	188
	12.5	Summary	191
		12.5.1 Glacial Ridge	191
		12.5.2 Kissimmee River Basin	192
	Refer	rences	194
13	Clim	ate Change and Evapotranspiration	197
	13.1	Introduction	197
	13.2	Climate Change and Evapotranspiration	198
	13.3	Summary	201
	Refer	rences	201
Ind	ex		203

Symbols and Abbreviations

A Area

a, b Coefficients

AET Actual ET a_{w}, b_{w} Coefficients

Adjustment for

c Adjustment factor

 c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 Coefficients

 $C_{\rm et}$ Reference crop coefficient

 $C_{\rm n}, C_{\rm d}$ Coefficients

 c_p , C_p Specific heat of air, heat capacity of air

c_s Soil or water heat capacityd Displacement height

 d_{TM} Constant (0.1238 mWcm2sr⁻¹ μ m⁻¹)

de Change in vapor pressure

 d_{e-s} Relative distance between Earth and Sun in astronomical units

DN Digital number

 $d_{\rm r}$ Inverse squared relative distance between Earth and Sun

 $d_{\rm s}$ Effective depth

dT Change in temperature between two measurement heights

 ΔT Change in temperature

d*t* Change in time

du Change in wind speed

d_w Water depth

dz Change in wind speed measurement height

e Errors

E, LE Vapor flux, latent heat flux, evaporation

 $e_{\rm d}$ Actual vapor pressure

 $e_{\rm dd}$ Vapor pressure in the air above evaporating surface

elev Elevation above sea level

 $E_{\rm L}$ Lake evaporation

 $E_{\rm o}$ Open water evaporation

*e*_o Saturation vapor pressure at lake surface

 $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ Potential evaporation Pan evaporation $E_{\rm pan}$

Saturation vapor pressure $e_{\rm s}, e_{\rm a}$

Vapor pressure at evaporating surface $e_{\rm ss}$ **ESUN** The mean solar exoatmospheric irradiance

ET Evapotranspiration

 ET_{24} Daily ET from remotely sensed instantaneous ET

ETaero Aerodynamic component ET ET_c Actual crop evapotranspiration

 ET_{frac} ET fraction for each pixel (average of hot and cold pixels)

ET; Remotely sensed instantaneous ET

Evapotranspiration from grass reference crop (8 to 15 cm and well ET_{o}

watered)

 $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ Potential evaporation ET_{p} Potential evapotranspiration

 ET_r Reference crop evapotranspiration; grass reference ET

Radiation component ET ET_{rad}

 ET_{ref} Reference ET

ET_r F Alfalfa reference evapotranspiration fraction

Standardized reference crop evapotranspiration for short or tall crop ET_{sz}

f Fractional vegetation cover f(u)Function of the horizontal wind

Fraction of cover $F_{\rm c}$

Fractional canopy cover f_c

GHeat storage

GAIN Solar spectral radiance for each band

Boundary layer conductance g_{b}

Canopy conductance g_{c}

Measured conductance of leaf $g_{\rm m}$

Stomatal conductance in mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ g_{s}

 $G_{\rm sc}$ Solar constant

Stomatal conductance in mm s⁻¹ g_{sv}

Sensible heat Н

h Reference vegetation height

Average height of cover or crop height $h_{\rm c}$

 $H_{\rm s}$ Sensible heat for soil surface

Sensible heat for vegetation surface $H_{\rm v}$

Ι Inflow J Julian day

k Von Karman constant

 K_1, K_2, K_3 Coefficients

Calibration constants for Landsat 5 and 7 K_{1ls}, K_{2ls}

 $K_{\rm c}$ Crop coefficient

 k_{h} Coefficient for sensible heat transfer

Mass transfer limiting term $k_{\rm m}$

 $K_{\rm p}$ pan coefficient $K_{\rm t}$ Transfer coefficient

k_w Coefficient for latent heat transfer

L Obukhov length LAI Leaf area index

 LE_s Latent heat for soil surface LE_v Latent heat for vegetation surface L_j Leaf area index for canopy strata j L_{max} Maximum spectral radiance L_{min} Minimum spectral radiance

m Constant $(0.0056322 \text{ mWcm}^2\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1})$

MSE Mean square error

n/N Mean actual to possible sunshine ratioNDVI Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

NDVs Scaled NDVI NIR Near infrared band N_0 Mass transfer coefficient

NTC Negative temperature coefficient

O Outflow

P Atmospheric pressure

p Mean daily percentage total annual daytime hours

PRT Platinum resistance thermometer PTC Positive temperature coefficient

q Specific humidity

q' Specific humidity fluctuation Q_a Advective energy gain or loss Q_h Sensible heat gain or loss Q_{in} Energy input into the system Q_{out} Energy leaving the system Q_{Rn} Energy from net solar radiation

r Correlation coefficient

R Linear function of the digital number (DN)

 $R_{\rm A}$ Extraterrestrial solar radiation $r_{\rm a}$ Aerodynamic resistance

 $R_{\rm b}, R_{\rm L}$ Net back or outgoing thermal radiation

 $r_{\rm c}$ Canopy resistance

RED Red band R_f Rainfall

RH Relative humidity

RH_{avg24} Average humidity from 24-h continuous observations

 RH_{max} Daily maximum relative humidity RH_{min} Daily minimum relative humidity r_1 Stomatal resistance of a single leaf

 $R_{\rm n}, R_{\rm Sn}$ Net solar radiation

 $R_{n,s}$ Net solar radiation on soil surface $R_{n,v}$ Net solar radiation on vegetation surface

 $R_{\rm s}$ Incoming solar radiation $r_{\rm s}$ Stomatal resistance

 $R_{\rm s}$ Resistance to heat flow in the boundary layer immediately above the

soil surface

 R_{so} Clear sky solar radiation R_{x} Ground reflectance for band x

S Slope

 S_{cj} Stomatal conductance of leaf strata j

Sp Seepage

Std Standard deviation $S_{y/x}$ Standard error T A given temperature

 $T_{\rm a}$ Air temperature over a lake, near surface air temperature

 T_{avg} Average air temperature

 T_{avg24} Average temperature from 24-h continuous observations

 $T_{\rm d}$ Dew point temperature

 T_{max} Daily maximum air temperature Daily minimum air temperature T_{\min} $T_{\rm n}$ Average temperature on day n T_{n-1} Average temperature on previous day T_{\circ} Lake surface water temperature $T_{\rm sur}$ Radiometric surface temperature $T_{\rm v}$ Vegetation surface temperature u^* Friction velocity or shear velocity

 u_{day} Daytime wind speed u_z Wind speed at height zvpd, δe Vapor pressure deficitwVertical wind speed

w' Vertical wind speed fluctuation

WI Wetness index

z_h Roughness length for heat transfer

 z_0/z_{om} Aerodynamic roughness/ roughness height or length for momentum

transfer

 $z_{\rm oh}$ Roughness length for vapor and heat transfer

α Albedo

 $\alpha_{\text{path-radiance}}$ Path radiance albedo

 α_{toa} Albedo of the top of atmosphere

 β Bowen ratio

γ Psychrometric constantδ Change in depth

 Δ Slope of vapor pressure curve Δe Change in vapor pressure $\Delta Q_{\rm s}$ Change in energy storage

 ΔS Change in storage ΔSM Change in soil moisture

 ΔT Change in temperature with time

 ε Ratio of molecular weight of water to dry air

 $\begin{array}{lll} \varepsilon_{a} & & \text{Atmospheric emissivity} \\ \varepsilon_{s} & & \text{Surface emissivity} \\ \zeta_{short} & & \text{Absorptivity} \\ \Theta^{*} & & \text{Temperature scale} \end{array}$

 θ , s δ Solar declination angle in radians θ_a Potential air temperature at height z θ_o Potential temperature at the surface

 $\theta_{\rm o}$ — $\theta_{\rm a}$ — Mean surface temperature

 θ_{v} Potential virtual temperature near the surface

 λ Latent heat of vaporization of water Λ_r Relative evaporative fraction

 λ_s Thermal conductivity of soil

ho Air density $\Gamma_{\rm c}$ Coefficient $\Gamma_{\rm v}$ Coefficient

σ Stefan–Boltzmann constant

 τ Shear stress

 $\tau_{\rm o}$ Surface shear stress

 $\tau_{\rm sw}$ One-way atmospheric transitivity

 φ Latitude in radians

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Psi_h & \text{Stability correction factor/function for sensible heat transfer} \\ \Psi_m & \text{Stability correction factor/function for momentum transfer} \end{array}$

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of Evaporation and Evapotranspiration Studies

Evaporation from open water and wet surfaces and evapotranspiration from vegetation are one of the major parameters in the hydrologic cycle. Most precipitation is lost in the form of evaporation and evapotranspiration with the percentage varying from region to region globally. Spatial variation by latitude, longitude, altitude, environment, and specific site conditions is a source of variation in evaporation and potential evapotranspiration. Standardized measurement and estimation of this parameter are challenging. Even with estimation methods standardized, variation in estimates would occur due to lack of uniformity in input data collection and quality control. A positive characteristic of this parameter is that it has relatively smaller variation for a given time and location. Seasonal fluctuations are known, and ranges are limited when water is not a limiting factor. Estimation error is relatively lower if appropriate equation and good quality input data is used for a given site.

Apart from individual publications on the subject, the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) have made major contributions toward developing common understanding of the science of evaporation and evapotranspiration and standardizing estimation methods. ASCE's consumptive use of water and irrigation requirements (Jensen 1973) provided the most detailed information on evapotranspiration for that period with various evapotranspiration and potential evapotranspiration estimation methods documented and evaluated. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24, Crop Water Requirements (Doorenbos and Pruitt 1977) presented the Blaney–Criddle, Penman, and pan evaporation methods for estimating reference crop evapotranspiration. The presentation is organized for wide-scale application with tables and charts. Crop coefficients are provided for various crops. Irrigation scheduling guidance is also provided with application rate estimations. ASCE manual and reports on engineering practice No. 70, Evapotranspiration and Irrigation Water Requirements

2 1 Introduction

(Jensen et al. 1990) build on past publications and provide details on methods and parameter estimations. Methods are evaluated using lysimeter-measured evapotranspiration data from various locations. Distinction is presented between potential and reference evapotranspiration. Crop coefficients are provided for various crops including varying by stage of crop growth. The ASCE Standardized Reference Evapotranspiration Equation (Allen et al. 2005) was published for standardizing reference evapotranspiration estimation methods by providing a single equation with common procedures to derive or estimate certain inputs. The American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers dedicated periodic conferences on evaporation and irrigation scheduling producing conference proceedings that contributed to the advancement of the science and application to agriculture.

This book builds on existing works on the subject but introduces a fresh and new approach. Topics as lake evaporation and wetland evapotranspiration have not been given this scale of analysis in the past. Each is presented in a chapter. Lysimeter measurements are used to demonstrate application of various methods. New simplified equations are presented. Estimation of evapotranspiration depends on the quality of input data. This book sufficiently covers meteorological monitoring and data quality based on experience of meteorological data collection. The quality of evapotranspiration estimates is dependent both on the selected model and the quality of the input data. While most publications intensively evaluate ET estimation equations, input data quality is not sufficiently evaluated. In most cases, input data sources are external, and data quality is not available with the data. In this book, a chapter is devoted to meteorological parameter monitoring, sensors, challenges in acquiring good quality data, and data quality evaluation. Illustrations of poor and good data quality are provided.

Evaporation and evapotranspiration measurements are presented from the simple pan to remote sensing methods. Remote sensing application to evapotranspiration quantification is covered in three chapters covering presentation of the various surface energy balance models utilizing remotely sensed data, application of remotely sensed based ET for crop yield estimation, and also evaluation of wetland restorations. Case studies demonstrating the application of remote sensing are presented.

Dew formation and the energy required to evaporate dew are presented in a chapter with results from experimental work. Energy balance and mass transfer during early morning dew evaporation are discussed in full detail. A chapter is devoted to evaluation of many types of vapor pressure calculation methods using quality-controlled meteorological data collection. A review of global warming and climate change projected impact on rates of evapotranspiration is explored in a chapter with literature review and model applications.

There are global, regional, local, and site-specific evapotranspiration estimation products provided by commercial, governmental, and academic institutions. In several cases, graphic and digital products are provided with not much explanation in what equations and data were used to generate the product. Nevertheless, the products satisfy various needs. A global average actual evaporation monthly product developed from meteorological data input of 1985 to 1999 based on the JULES

References 3

model is available on the web (http://www.jchmr.org/jules. Accessed on 13 October 2011). JULES output is based on simulation of evaporation from soil and canopy as well as the surface of lakes, wet vegetation canopies, and snow. High evaporation in May in the northern hemisphere and in February in the southern hemisphere is shown. It illustrates globally that evaporation is limited by moisture availability and by the variables that affect evaporation.

Annual average potential evapotranspiration estimates for the continental United States are posted on the web (http://serc.carleton.edu/images/introgeo/socratic/examples/USevapotran.jpg. Accessed 13 October 2011). Global monthly evaporation total and anomalies are provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/cgi-bin/gl_Evaporation-Monthly.sh. Accessed 05 December 2011). A reference evapotranspiration map for Africa is provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations. The reference evapotranspiration data is derived using the FAO Penman-Monteith method as described in FAO Drainage Paper 56 (Allen et al. 1998) at a web site (http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/watresafrica/index3.stm. Accessed 13 October 2011).

Annual areal potential evapotranspiration estimates for Australia are provided by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology. Areal evapotranspiration is computed based on Morton's (1983) complementary relationship areal evapotranspiration model. Morton's model for areal evapotranspiration is a modified Priestley–Taylor equation with modification for advection (Wang et al. 2001). Caution is added in the documentation that the ET map is subject to error from input data measurement error, sampling error, interpolation and mapping error, and model error. The map can be accessed on the web (http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/climate_averages/evapotranspiration/index.jsp?maptype=3&period=an. Accessed 13 October 2011).

Evaporation and evapotranspiration estimation is presented in this book in a chapter in detail with application of simple to complex models. Model comparison is presented with input meteorological data of known quality. Selection of the best estimation model for a location with limited input data sets is made simpler. Reference and crop evapotranspiration is presented in a chapter making the link between reference evapotranspiration and actual crop evaporation. All 13 chapters contain valuable material for reference and applications.

References

Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M (1998) Crop evapotranspiration – guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56. FAO, Rome

Allen RG, Walter IA, Elliott R, Howell T, Itenfsu D, Jensen M (2005) The ASCE standardized reference evapotranspiration equation. ASCE, Reston

Doorenbos J, Pruitt WO (1977) Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. FAO irrigation and drainage paper 24. FAO, Rome

Jensen ME (1973) Consumptive use of water and irrigation water requirements. ASCE, New York