

SPRINGER BRIEFS IN MOLECULAR SCIENCE
HISTORY OF CHEMISTRY

Jay A. Labinger

Up from Generality

How Inorganic
Chemistry
Finally Became a
Respectable Field



Springer

SpringerBriefs in Molecular Science

History of Chemistry

Series Editor

Seth C. Rasmussen, Fargo, USA

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/10127>

Jay A. Labinger

Up from Generality

How Inorganic Chemistry Finally
Became a Respectable Field

 Springer

Jay A. Labinger
Beckman Institute
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena
USA

ISSN 2212-991X
ISBN 978-3-642-40119-0 ISBN 978-3-642-40120-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-40120-6
Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013945786

© The Author(s) 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Seth Rasmussen, series editor, for supporting this work and helping in all sorts of ways. I thank a number of people for help with finding, obtaining, and/or analyzing documents, photos and other materials: Andrew Mangravite and David Caruso at the Chemical Heritage Foundation; Jeff Carroll with the Gordon Research Conferences; Farai Tsokoday and Sara Rouhi at the American Chemical Society; Martin Jansen of the *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*; Margaret Janz at Indiana University; Bill Griffiths at Imperial College London; Chris Blackman at University College London; Bill Connick and Bill Jensen at University of Cincinnati; Rich Jordan at University of Chicago; Alison Butler and Ralph Pearson at UC Santa Barbara; John Shapley and Vera Mainz at UIUC; Kathy Armbruster at University Science Books; Diana Kormos Buchwald, historian of science at Caltech; and particularly Shelley Erwin and Loma Karklins in the Caltech archives. Special thanks go to Dana Roth, Caltech chemistry librarian extraordinaire, for pointing me in the right direction innumerable times.

I am greatly indebted to those who were kind enough to participate in interviews about their personal recollections: Ted Brown, Mel Churchill, Jim Collman, Rich Eisenberg, Jack Halpern, Dick Holm; and several Caltech chemists: Fred Anson, Jack Roberts, and above all Harry Gray, without whose ongoing encouragement (and inexhaustible store of inside information) this project would have been much less enjoyable.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
References	6
2 False Labor: Inorganic Chemistry in the Late Nineteenth- Early Twentieth Centuries	9
References	16
3 The (Re)birth of Inorganic Chemistry	17
Introduction	17
Voices	17
Numbers	23
References	30
4 The Personal Factor: Donald Yost and Inorganic Chemistry at Caltech	33
References	46
5 Agents of Respectability	49
References	61
6 Conclusions	63
References	70
Notes on Quantitative Methodology	73
About the Author	77

Abstract

Inorganic chemistry, with a negation in its very name, was long regarded as that which was left behind when organic and physical chemistry emerged as specialist fields in the nineteenth century. Scarcely differentiated from general chemistry, inorganic chemistry was not widely accepted as an independent, intellectually viable discipline, especially in US academia, before the middle of the twentieth century; only then did it begin to gain its current stature of equality with that of the other main branches of chemistry. Discussion of the evidence for this transition, both quantitative and anecdotal, includes consideration of the roles of local and personal factors, with particular focus on the Chemistry Division at the California Institute of Technology, as an illustrative example. Examination of key developments, as well as the central figures that fostered them, leads to proposed explanations for the remarkable upgrade of status enjoyed by inorganic chemistry.

Keywords Inorganic chemistry • History of chemistry • Discipline formation • American inorganic chemists • Chemistry in US academia • Chemistry at Caltech • Mechanism in chemistry • Organometallic chemistry • Donald Yost

Chapter 1

Introduction

“Chaplain, I once studied Latin. I think it’s only fair to warn you of that before I ask my next question. Doesn’t the word Anabaptist simply mean that you’re not a Baptist?.... Now, Chaplain, to say you’re not a Baptist doesn’t really tell us anything about what you are, does it? You could be anything or anyone.”

Joseph Heller, *Catch-22*

In the late summer of 1967, between my junior and senior years at Harvey Mudd College (HMC) in California, I traveled east to look at some possible choices for graduate study in chemistry. At Harvard I stopped by the chemistry department office and asked if any faculty members were available to talk about the graduate program. The head of the office staff (she had been in that position for many years, and was clearly used to such inquiries) replied, graciously, “Certainly. Are you organic or physical?”

Her question, thus phrased, surprised me considerably, as I was leaning towards specializing in inorganic chemistry for graduate work. I had done a summer project, and was planning to do my senior research project, in that subfield (with Mits Kubota, the inorganic chemist at HMC). It had never occurred to me that its status might be considered inferior elsewhere. When I told her my preference, she seemed at least equally surprised, but quickly recovered, and arranged a visit that, while impressive, was mostly limited to organic chemists.

I chose to go to Harvard anyway, and to do my Ph.D. in inorganic with John Osborn (Fig. 1.1), who arrived at Harvard shortly after my visit. That went very well; but I had a few more disconcerting experiences during my first year. The inorganic faculty, besides Osborn, consisted of full professor Eugene Rochow, who was slated to retire the following year, and Mel Churchill, another assistant professor. A search for a full professor to succeed Rochow brought in several of the leading names in the field—Harry Gray, Fred Basolo, Fred Hawthorne—each of whom spent a week at Harvard and gave what seemed to me several first-rate lectures. In the end, though, none was offered the position, which was left vacant for a number of years thereafter. I found opportunities to ask a couple of the senior organic faculty why, and was told (they were quite open about their opinions!) the department felt that not only the particular candidates interviewed, but the field of inorganic chemistry as a whole, fell well short of the standards of intellectual importance and respectability that Harvard required of its senior appointees.

As I pursued my career in inorganic chemistry over the next few decades, it was eminently clear (to me, anyway) that the field *did* enjoy a status fully coequal to