

Daniel M. Joel · Jonathan Gressel
Lytton J. Musselman *Editors*

Parasitic Orobanchaceae

Parasitic Mechanisms and Control
Strategies

 Springer

Parasitic Orobanchaceae

Daniel M. Joel • Jonathan Gressel •
Lytton J. Musselman
Editors

Parasitic Orobanchaceae

Parasitic Mechanisms and Control Strategies

 Springer

Editors

Daniel M. Joel
Department of Weed Research
Agricultural Research Organization
Neve-Ya'ar Research Center
Ramat-Yishay, Israel

Jonathan Gressel
Plant Sciences
Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot, Israel

Lytton J. Musselman
Department of Biological Sciences
Old Dominion University
Norfolk, Virginia
USA

ISBN 978-3-642-38145-4 ISBN 978-3-642-38146-1 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-38146-1
Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013943330

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

Editing a book on a 'hot' subject like parasitic plants is possible only when the knowledge of these plants reached a threshold, with sufficient understanding of phylogenetic trends, physiological processes, structural developments, biochemical pathways, gene expression and ecological interactions, as well as breakthroughs in the integrated management of some of the most pernicious weedy parasitic species in agricultural fields. It was not until 2010 that the significant changes in our knowledge of parasitic mechanisms and in the control of some of the weedy species could be appreciated. This allowed the preparation of the comprehensive book on the Orobanchaceae, which integrates basic and applied aspects of this important plant family.

The publication of the book could not be possible without the excellent cooperation of all chapter authors, leading scientists in their respective fields of research, who contributed both basic and cutting-edge information on all key aspects of the parasitic syndrome and on all major aspects of parasitic weed management. I am pleased to thank the chapter authors and co-authors for their excellent contribution and for their cooperation during the process of editing, which obviously took a long while. Thanks are also due to all experts who have peer reviewed the chapters and helped in ensuring the high scientific standards of the book.

My cordial thanks are particularly due to Jonny Gressel for his dedication and important inputs during the planning and preparation of the book and for carefully editing key chapters. Special thanks are also due to Lytton Musselman for helpful review and editing of other chapters.

I hope that the book, presenting the current knowledge in all key aspects of plant parasitism, is not only a source of important information on the Orobanchaceae, but also a stimulus to further research in both basic and applied aspects of plant parasitism.

Newe-Ya'ar Research Center, Israel

Danny Joel

Preface

Plant parasitism is a fascinating phenomenon of extreme intimate plant-to-plant interactions. The world of parasitic plants includes around 20 families, but the Orobanchaceae are the leading models for research. This is not only because some members of the family are parasitic weeds of great economic importance, but also because this family includes the whole trophic spectrum from non-parasitic autotrophs to obligate holoparasites. Many of these species are relatively amenable to laboratory and field experimentation. Research on the Orobanchaceae has yet to peak, but only recently has there been a surge in research with significant achievements particularly in the understanding of the mechanisms of parasitism, which justifies the publication of a new book on parasitic plants.

The evolutionary origin of plant parasitism is associated with regulatory changes in genes that usually fulfil non-parasitic functions. The specific functions of parasitism evolved following the duplication of genes or genomes and by ectopic expression of genes (see Sect. 4.5). In this way parasitic plants acquired features that are common to many non-parasitic plants, but their mode of expression, the extent to which these features have developed and the combination of the different features are unique. These unique features make fascinating scientific research that is aimed at understanding the parasitic plants at the most basic level. These findings can also be exploited at the applied level in designing sophisticated tools for the control of species that cause damage to agricultural crops.

The most recent example of parasitic plant research that significantly contributed to understanding the physiology of plants is the discovery of a novel family of plant hormones, the strigolactones, which was first identified as a group of germination stimulants for the holoparasites *Striga* and *Orobanche*. The detailed knowledge of the Orobanchaceae, presented in this book, should therefore not only reflect on the understanding of parasitic plants belonging to other families, for which little physiological and molecular information is available, but particularly contribute to understanding many features of plants in general.

The main objective of the book is to provide a comprehensive account of the current knowledge on all aspects of the parasitic syndrome within the Orobanchaceae. For this sake, internationally recognized leading scientists were invited as chapter

authors. The organization of the book is modular so that each chapter covering a given topic is self-contained while being indexed and fully cross-referenced to related chapters.

The book includes two parts. The first presents the cutting-edge knowledge of all key aspects of parasitism, and the second part is dedicated to the weedy species and their management, presenting and discussing strategies for parasitic weed control. Aspects of the Orobanchaceae that are not related to the parasitic habit are not presented. The diversity of parasitic families within the plant kingdom is briefly covered in Chap. 1, in order to clarify the position of the Orobanchaceae within the world of parasitic plants.

The core of parasitism is a special organ—the haustorium, a unique plant organ that is homologous to roots and physically connects the parasites to their hosts, allowing the physiological bridging between them. The structure of the haustorium, the signalling mechanisms for triggering its initiation and the manner it invades the host tissues are described and dealt with in Chaps. 2–5.

Following the establishment of the physical connection between the parasite and the host, the coordination between them is facilitated by specific chemical and hormonal signalling, allowing the parasite to act as an effective compatible sink in the overall host plant metabolism. While nutrient transfer and other physiological interactions between the parasite and its compatible hosts are discussed in Chap. 6, the host responses to the parasite are discussed in Chap. 7, including a detailed account of host resistance mechanisms.

Unlike the facultative hemiparasitic Orobanchaceae, the obligate parasites can only germinate in the vicinity of host roots. The unique structure of their seeds, the signalling mechanisms behind the ability of the seeds to identify host roots and the physiological aspects of their germination are dealt with in Chaps. 8–12. The strigolactones, a group of chemicals that are exuded by plant roots and serve as germination stimulants for many obligate parasites, are a major focus of Chaps. 10 and 12. The chemical and genetic aspects of strigolactones activity and the biochemical aspects of their biosynthesis are currently on the cutting edge of plant research.

Many Orobanchaceae species are adapted to parasitize specific hosts, a phenomenon that is particularly evident in the weedy species. Nonetheless, the mechanisms behind the adaptation of these species to changes in the availability of hosts are hardly understood. Given the increasing interest in epigenetics, a speculative chapter (Chap. 13) discusses, for the first time, the possibility that epigenetics is involved in determining host specificity.

The Orobanchaceae is a highly diverse plant family with many genera that have previously been included in other families. Recent molecular studies clearly show the phylogenetic relations between the different genera, on which the taxonomy of the Orobanchaceae is currently based. These phylogenetic relations and evolutionary trends are presented in Chap. 14, together with much taxonomic information regarding the current status of ‘problematic’ genera. Chapter 15 further presents aspects of the genomic evolution of the Orobanchaceae that appears to be extraordinarily dynamic and includes, between others, the reductive evolution of the plastid chromosome following the loss of photosynthesis.

Most Orobanchaceae species are not dominant in their habitat and may easily be ignored in the field because they look like ‘ordinary’ plants, though some have showy flowers or lack chlorophyll; nonetheless, certain species are keystone species in their plant ecosystems. The ecological aspects of parasitic Orobanchaceae have mainly been studied with some hemiparasitic model plants, particularly species of *Rhinanthus*. The interaction between parasitic plants and their hosts at the plant community level is presented in Chap. 16, with analysis of the impacts of these interactions on the dynamic structure of plant communities and on the interaction between the plant community and other organisms. The potential role of some hemiparasites as a tool in promoting floristic biodiversity by selectively parasitizing species that are too dominant in these habitats is also discussed in this chapter.

Plant parasitism is not only a case of extreme plant-to-plant interactions that can be useful as a tool in scientific research and in the management of certain habitats. It is also a significant threat to agriculture. Some parasitic species are weedy and damage major agricultural crops, leading to heavy economic losses worldwide and threatening food security, especially in poor countries. Potentially climate change may expand the distribution of the weedy species to geographical areas that are currently un-infested, and some non-weedy species may penetrate cultivated areas and become weedy. An updated description of the species that parasitize agricultural crops is presented in Chaps. 18–26, where the current knowledge on all aspects of parasitic weed management is discussed. These chapters are more fully introduced in Chap. 17.

The book is intended for all people who are interested in this remarkable family of parasitic plants, including students, university lecturers, plant scientists, as well as agronomists and weed specialists, breeders and farmers, extension personnel and experts in tropical and subtropical agriculture. The book is suitable for use in various university and college courses, not only in general plant biology, parasitic plants, plant physiology and plant evolution, but also in weed science, plant protection and host–parasite interaction.

Newe-Ya’ar, Israel
Rehovot, Israel
Norfolk, VA, USA

Daniel M. Joel
Jonathan Gressel
Lytton J. Musselman

Contents

1 Introduction: The Parasitic Syndrome in Higher Plants	1
Henning S. Heide-Jørgensen	
1.1 Parasitism in Plants	1
1.2 Hemi- and Holoparasitism	3
1.3 The Haustorium	4
1.4 Dispersal and Germination Strategies	6
1.5 Host Range	7
1.6 Geographical Distribution	9
1.7 The Parasitic Plant Families	9
1.8 Parasite Look-Alikes	14
References	14

Part I The Orobanchaceae and Their Parasitic Mechanisms

2 The Haustorium and the Life Cycles of Parasitic Orobanchaceae	21
Daniel M. Joel	
2.1 How Do We Define the Haustorium in the Orobanchaceae?	21
2.2 Life Cycles of Facultative and Obligate Orobanchaceae	22
References	23
3 Functional Structure of the Mature Haustorium	25
Daniel M. Joel	
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Haustorium Diversity	26
3.3 Lateral and Terminal Haustoria	26
3.4 Morphological Features of Terminal Haustoria	27
3.5 Roots of Hemiparasites	30
3.6 Morphological Features of Lateral Haustoria	30
3.7 The Anatomical Complexity of Haustoria	32
3.8 Tissue Organization Within the Mature Haustorium	35

3.9	The Conductive System	36
3.10	Developmental Aspects of the Vascular System	42
3.11	The Mature Endophyte	43
3.12	The Haustorial Neck	46
3.13	The Base of Lateral Haustoria	50
3.14	The Base of Terminal Haustoria	53
3.15	Exceptional Haustoria	54
3.16	Are Haustoria Homologous to Roots?	54
3.17	Concluding Remarks	55
	References	56
4	Haustorium Initiation and Early Development	61
	Pradeepa C.G. Bandaranayake and John I. Yoder	
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Early Haustorium Development	62
4.3	Haustorium Initiation Factors	64
4.4	Haustorium Signal Transduction	67
4.5	Evolutionary Origins	69
4.6	Conclusions	70
	References	71
5	Haustorium Invasion into Host Tissues	75
	Alejandro Pérez-de-Luque	
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	Preparing for Penetration	76
5.3	Penetration	77
5.4	Duration of Penetration	81
5.5	Avoiding Defences: Tricks of War	82
5.6	Conclusions	83
	References	84
6	The Physiology of the Established Parasite–Host Association	87
	James H. Westwood	
6.1	General Physiological Considerations	87
6.2	Nutrient Acquisition and Transport	92
6.3	Direction of Movement	103
6.4	Hormone Interactions	104
6.5	Macromolecules	106
6.6	Conclusions	109
	References	110
7	Host Reaction to Attack by Root Parasitic Plants	115
	Michael P. Timko and Julie D. Scholes	
7.1	Introduction	115
7.2	General Mechanisms of Host Resistance	117
7.3	Histological Characteristics of the Host Resistance Responses	118

7.4	Genetic Basis of Resistance	123
7.5	Cell Signalling and Gene Expression in Host Defence Responses	128
7.6	Conclusions and Perspective	132
	References	134
8	Seed Production and Dispersal in the Orobanchaceae	143
	Daniel M. Joel	
	References	145
9	The Seed and the Seedling	147
	Daniel M. Joel and Hilla Bar	
9.1	Surface Structure	147
9.2	Anatomy	149
9.3	Water Absorption	156
9.4	Site of Signal Perception	157
9.5	Nutrient Transfer During Germination	159
9.6	The Seedling	160
9.7	Concluding Remarks	163
	References	163
10	Induction of Germination	167
	Koichi Yoneyama, Carolien Ruyter-Spira, and Harro Bouwmeester	
10.1	Introduction	167
10.2	Strigolactones	169
10.3	Non-strigolactone Germination Stimulants	179
10.4	Can Germination Be a Target in the Control of Parasitic Weeds?	182
10.5	Concluding Remarks	186
	References	186
11	Germination Ecophysiology	195
	Alistair J. Murdoch and Ermias Kebreab	
11.1	Introduction	195
11.2	Seed Survival in Dry Storage	196
11.3	Seed Survival in Moist Storage	198
11.4	Dormancy and Quiescence	200
11.5	From Relief of Dormancy to the Initiation of Germination	207
11.6	Germination	208
11.7	Conclusion	214
	References	214
12	Are Karrikin Signaling Mechanisms Relevant to Strigolactone Perception?	221
	David C. Nelson	
12.1	Introduction	221
12.2	Karrikins, Germination Stimulants Found in Smoke	221

12.3	Regulation of Plant Development by Karrikins and Strigolactones	222
12.4	Karrikin and Strigolactone Responses Are <i>MAX2</i> -Dependent	223
12.5	<i>KAI2</i> and <i>D14</i> Are Required for Specific Responses to Karrikins and Strigolactones	224
12.6	Common Elements of Karrikin, Strigolactone, and Gibberellin Signaling	225
12.7	<i>D14/DAD2</i> Is a Candidate Receptor for Strigolactones	225
12.8	What Can <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> Tell Us About Parasitic Weed Germination?	226
12.9	Conclusion	228
	References	228
13	Changing Host Specificities: By Mutational Changes or Epigenetic Reprogramming?	231
	Toby J.A. Bruce and Jonathan Gressel	
13.1	Introduction	231
13.2	Static Evidence for Intraspecific Variation in Host Specificity	232
13.3	Evidence for Rapid Dynamic Intraspecific Changes in Host Specificity	234
13.4	Critically Differentiating Between Classical Genetic Evolution and Epigenetic Adaptation	234
13.5	Does It Matter to Parasite Management Whether Classical Genetic Evolution or Epigenetic Adaptation?	240
	References	240
14	Phylogenetic Relationships and Evolutionary Trends in Orobanchaceae	243
	Gerald M. Schneeweiss	
14.1	Introduction	243
14.2	Phylogenetic Relationships	243
14.3	Phylogenetic Relationships of Weedy Taxa	254
14.4	Evolutionary Trends: Some Examples	257
14.5	Outlook	259
	References	259
15	Genomic Evolution in Orobanchaceae	267
	Susann Wicke	
15.1	Introduction	267
15.2	The Nuclear Genome	268
15.3	The Plastid Genome	273
15.4	The Mitochondrial Genome	277
15.5	Horizontal DNA Transfer	278
15.6	Conclusions	279
	References	281

16 Ecology of Hemiparasitic Orobanchaceae with Special Reference to Their Interaction with Plant Communities 287
 Duncan D. Cameron and Gareth K. Phoenix

16.1 Introduction 287

16.2 Interactions Between Parasitic Plants and Their Hosts at the Individual Scale 288

16.3 Orobanchaceae in Plant Communities: Multiple Impacts, Multiple Consequences 291

16.4 Interactions Across Multiple Trophic Levels 297

16.5 Parasitic Plant Impacts on Nutrient Cycling 299

16.6 Conclusions and Future Directions 300

References 301

Part II The Weedy Orobanchaceae and Their Control

17 Weedy Orobanchaceae: The Problem 309
 Jonathan Gressel and Daniel M. Joel

18 The Parasitic Weeds of the Orobanchaceae 313
 Chris Parker

18.1 Introduction 313

18.2 The Weedy Broomrapes: *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* Species 314

18.3 The Weedy Witchweeds: *Striga* Species 326

18.4 *Alectra* Species 334

18.5 *Rhamphicarpa fistulosa* 336

18.6 Other Orobanchaceae Occasionally Proving Weedy 337

18.7 Conclusion 339

References 339

19 Population Diversity and Dynamics of Parasitic Weeds 345
 Belén Román

19.1 Introduction 345

19.2 Genetic Diversity and Population Dynamics 346

19.3 Impacts of Life History on Population Demography and Genetics 347

19.4 Future Prospects 353

References 353

20 Molecular Diagnosis of Parasite Seed Banks 357
 Jane Prider, Kathy Ophel Keller, and Alan McKay

20.1 Introduction 357

20.2 Sample Collection 358

20.3 Test Development 361

20.4 Test Validation 362

20.5 Test Applications 362

20.6 Other Applications 365

20.7 Conclusions 365

References 367

**21 Marker-Assisted and Physiology-Based Breeding
for Resistance to Root Parasitic Orobanchaceae 369**

Begoña Pérez-Vich, Leonardo Velasco,
Patrick J. Rich, and Gebisa Ejeta

21.1 Introduction 369

21.2 Physiology-Based Breeding 375

21.3 Marker-Assisted Breeding 378

References 385

**22 Integrated Agronomic Management of Parasitic
Weed Seed Banks 393**

Yaakov Goldwasser and Jonne Rodenburg

22.1 Introduction 393

22.2 Phytosanitary Measures 396

22.3 Reduction of Parasite Seed Production and Crop Damage 396

22.4 Methods to Reduce Existing Seed Banks 399

22.5 Integrating Agronomic Management Practices 406

22.6 Conclusions 408

References 409

23 Chemical Control 415

Hanan Eizenberg, Joseph Hershenhorn, Jhonathan H. Ephrath,
and Fred Kanampiu

23.1 Introduction: The Complexity of Chemical Control
of Parasitic Weeds 415

23.2 Herbicides 416

23.3 The Use of Herbicides and Fumigants 420

23.4 Models for Optimizing Herbicide Application 425

23.5 Broomrape Control by Herbicide-Resistant Crops 426

23.6 New and Future Approaches 427

23.7 Conclusions 428

References 428

**24 Biotechnologies for Directly Generating Crops Resistant
to Parasites 433**

Jonathan Gressel

24.1 Introduction 433

24.2 Target Site Herbicide Resistances 434

24.3 When Will the Parasites Evolve Herbicide Resistance? 441

- 24.4 Biotechnologically Directly Conferring Crop Resistance to the Parasites 445
- 24.5 Other Biotechnological Approaches 451
- 24.6 Conclusions 452
- References 453
- 25 Allelopathy 459**
 - John A. Pickett, Antony M. Hooper, Charles A.O. Midega, and Zeyaur R. Khan
 - 25.1 Introduction 459
 - 25.2 Allelopathic Mechanism by Which *Desmodium* Controls *Striga* in Maize 460
 - 25.3 Long-Term Needs 463
 - 25.4 Conclusions 465
 - References 465
- 26 Biocontrol 469**
 - Alan K. Watson
 - 26.1 Introduction 469
 - 26.2 Insects Attacking Broomrapes and Witchweeds 470
 - 26.3 Biocontrol of Parasitic Weeds with Microorganisms 474
 - 26.4 Path to Commercialization of a *Striga* Bioherbicide 488
 - 26.5 Conclusions and Future Possibilities 489
 - References 490
- Index 499**

Chapter 1

Introduction: The Parasitic Syndrome in Higher Plants

Henning S. Heide-Jørgensen

1.1 Parasitism in Plants

In vascular plants, parasitism is found only in the eudicotyledonous angiosperms, although the gymnosperm *Parasitaxus usta* may be considered a borderline case, because it develops graft-like attachments with roots of another conifer, rather than haustoria, and has only direct water relations with its host while carbon trafficking from the host is mediated by mycorrhiza fungi (Feild and Brodribb 2005; Heide-Jørgensen 2008). Parasitic plants have been able to adapt to all types of plant communities in all environments where flowering plants occur, except the aquatic environment. Competition for water is one of the main driving forces in the evolution of land plants. In an aquatic environment, water is no limitation to plant growth, and there is no advantage in being a parasite removing water from a host. On the other hand, if a land plant, especially during its establishment, exploits another plant's root system and photosynthetic apparatus, it obtains a clear competitive advantage. It is this advantage that has been exploited by terrestrial parasitic plants and enabled them to be represented in nearly all ecosystems from the high arctic to the driest deserts. This is particularly true for the group of parasites that are dealt with in this book, the Orobanchaceae.

The physical connection organ between parasite and host is called a **haustorium**. The term was introduced by A. P. de Candolle (1813) to describe the connection between *Cuscuta* and its hosts. Since then, it has been used for a variety of structures that are involved in nutrient absorption from species to species or from generation to generation, as exemplified by fungal hyphae, sporophyte of mosses and peridophytes, and embryo of some seed plants. In parasitic angiosperms, the haustorium is “the essence of parasitism” as Job Kuijt (1969) has put it. At first, the haustorium serves as an attachment organ. Then it develops as an intrusive structure

H.S. Heide-Jørgensen (✉)
University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
e-mail: hhj@viscum.dk

that penetrates host tissues. It later becomes a water and nutrient absorption organ. Its most characteristic anatomical feature, which can be seen in all parasitic plant families, is a **xylem bridge**, connecting the xylem of the parasite to host xylem. A few parasites also develop **phloem connection** (see Sect. 3.9.3). Haustorial morphology and anatomy varies greatly among families and taxa. In some parasites, such as Rafflesiaceae, no haustorial parts are visible outside the host. The internal parts in these parasites form cellular strands within the host tissues and become so diffuse that it no longer makes sense to use the term haustorium (Heide-Jørgensen 2008). In such cases, the vegetative part of the parasite within the host is often referred to as the **endophyte**, while the external parts, which are sometimes limited to flowers or flowering stems, as the **exophyte**. The haustorial variation among major taxa reflects the generally agreed opinion that parasitic plants have evolved independently about a dozen times (Nickrent 2008; see Chap. 14).

Traditionally, parasitism has been recognized in 20 dicotyledonous families (Fig. 1.1a), but recently Olacaceae and Santalaceae were split into several smaller families based on molecular studies (Malécot and Nickrent 2008; Nickrent et al. 2010). Parasitic plants may now be found in up to 28 dicotyledonous families (see Sect. 1.7). Based on the presence of mature haustoria, all families consist solely of parasites except for Orobanchaceae that includes the non-parasitic genus *Lindenbergia* (see Chap. 14) and Lauraceae and Convolvulaceae where only *Cassytha* and *Cuscuta* are parasitic. The total number of parasitic species is close to 4,500, in 270–275 genera. That is about 1 % of all known 260,000 seed plants (Thorne 2002). The majority or 90 % of all parasites are hemiparasites, and root parasites represent 60 % of all parasitic plants (Fig. 1.1a).

Although some parasitic plants have been known since the days of Theophrastus (372–287 B.C.), botanists did not pay much attention to this life form until the nineteenth century. Some members of Rafflesiaceae, Balanophoraceae, and Cynomoriaceae were classified as fungi or placed in a separate class for bizarre excrescences, named Sarcophytæ (Trattinick 1828; Kuijt 1969). It was the introduction of the very harmful witchweed *Striga asiatica* (Fig. 18.3b) to maize fields in the eastern USA in the early 1950s that stimulated research in parasitic plants. The first comprehensive scientific treatment of parasitic plants was published by Job Kuijt in 1969, and more recent thorough treatments of major parasite taxa or aspects of the parasitic syndrome include Kuijt (1977, 2003), Calder and Bernhardt (1983), Bhandari and Mukerji (1993), Weber (1993), Press and Graves (1995), Polhill and Wiens (1998), Geils et al. (2002), Joel et al. (2007), Carlón et al. (2008), Heide-Jørgensen (2008), and Mathiasen et al. (2008). Following a series of scientific meetings on parasitic plants since 1973, the International Parasitic Plant Society (IPPS; <http://www.parasiticplants.org/default.asp>) was founded in 2001. Besides organizing congresses and symposia on parasitic plants, the society publishes the newsletter “Haustorium” that is an excellent source on recent published literature on parasitic plants.




Fig. 1.1 (a) Parasitic families arranged according to parasitic types. Family names are followed by a number of genera and species. Broken lines indicate a few exceptions from main type: black, non-parasites; green, hemiparasites; brown, holoparasites; percentages are in relation to the total number of parasitic plants. Apodanthaceae, Cytinaceae, and Mitrastemonaceae used to be in Rafflesiaceae. Orobanchaceae includes the former parasitic Scrophulariaceae and the non-parasitic genus *Lindenbergia* (modified from Heide-Jørgensen 2008 and 2011). (b, c) The two main types of parasitic plants. (b) The hemiparasitic *Pedicularis lanata* (Orobanchaceae), between plants that serve as its host; high arctic Greenland. (c) The holoparasitic *Cistanche tubulosa* (Orobanchaceae) with the host in the background; desert, Qatar (photos: part b by Helene Heide-Jørgensen; part c by KK Kristiansen)

1.2 Hemi- and Holoparasitism

Two main types of parasitic plants are recognized (Fig. 1.1b, c): (a) **hemiparasites** that are able to photosynthesize although they are not necessarily self-sufficient with carbon and (b) **holoparasites** that have no photosynthetic abilities (dePamphilis and Palmer 1990; Hibberd et al. 1998). Unlike some hemiparasites, when holoparasites have a root system, it is highly reduced and all or the major part of their needs for water and nutrients is derived from their hosts.

Interestingly, in the genus *Cuscuta* (Convolvulaceae), some species have no chlorophyll and are holoparasites (e.g. *C. europaea*; Fig. 1.2a), and others are hemiparasites (e.g. *C. reflexa*; Revill et al. 2005). A third group of species including *C. gronovii* seems to be intermediary having disturbed chloroplast ultrastructure and so little chlorophyll that photosynthesis is insufficient to sustain growth (Van der Kooij et al. 2000). In other families that are dominated by hemiparasites, a single or a few species have also lost most of the photosynthetic ability and may be close to becoming holoparasites. Examples are *Tristerix aphyllus* (Loranthaceae), certain *Arceuthobium* spp. (Viscaceae), and *Phacellaria* spp. (Santalaceae). This evolutionary line is accompanied by a strong reduction of the exophyte (Kuijt 1969).

Both hemiparasites and holoparasites may connect either to the shoot system of the host (stem parasites, aerial parasites) or to host roots (root parasites). It is generally agreed that the former were derived from parasites attacking roots, and in Santalaceae, there are a few species such as *Exocarpos cupressiformis* and *E. pullei* that occur both on roots and on stems (Coleman 1934; Lam 1945). Further, in Orobanchaceae some species may penetrate both roots and rhizomes (Weber 1976, 1993; see Sect. 3.6.1); therefore, the terms root and stem parasites should be used with caution.

Some authors also distinguish between facultative and obligate parasites. **Facultative parasites** may survive without haustorial connection to a host but productivity is better with hosts. Naturally, only hemiparasitic root parasites can be facultative parasites. However, so far no parasitic plant has been documented to complete its lifecycle in a natural environment without haustorial connection to a host. In nature, competition from other plants may eliminate a potential facultative parasite. Therefore, these terms should only be used for parasites grown under artificial conditions (Kuijt 1969). Nonetheless, for a shorter or longer period after germination, some parasites are autophytes nourished by nutrients stored in the seed and/or manufacturing some carbohydrates from photosynthesis in cotyledons. Others at maturity may live for some time from nutrients in storage organs, as suggested for *Nuytsia floribunda* (Fineran and Hocking 1983).

1.3 The Haustorium

Two main types of haustoria are recognized (Kuijt 1969) (see Chap. 3). The **terminal haustorium** (= primary haustorium; Fig. 1.2b, c) develops directly from the apex of the primary root, while **lateral haustoria** (= secondary haustoria; Fig. 1.2d) develop laterally on young lateral or adventitious roots (see Sect. 3.3 for description of terminal and lateral roots of the Orobanchaceae).

In many parasitic plant families, the terminal haustorium is the largest and usually serves as the main functional haustorium throughout the life of the parasite, while lateral haustoria are in most species short-lived and are functional only for a few months or a growing season. In perennials, new haustoria develop each season.



Fig. 1.2 Parasites of the various parasitic plant families. (a) Flowering *Cuscuta europaea* (Convolvulaceae) with red achlorophyllous twinning stems; Denmark. (b) Terminal haustorium of the hemiparasitic stem parasite *Erianthemum ngamicum* (Loranthaceae) forming a woodrose; most of the tissue is produced by the host *Burkea africana*; Shakati Nature Reserve, South Africa. (c) Tubercle of the holoparasite *Orobanche hederæ* (Orobanchaceae) with base of three flowering stems attached to host root (light colour) by terminal haustorium; the host root is wilting distally to the haustorium. (d) Rhizome of the holoparasite *Hydnora visseri* (Hydnoraceae) with three lateral haustoria attached to short adventitious roots occurring in rows between buds; lighter host root is *Euphorbia dregeana*; SE of Port Nolloth, South Africa. (e) Coiling stems of the hemiparasite *Cassytha dregeana*; (Lauraceae) with several lateral haustoria attaching its host *Pavonia praemorsa*; Botanical Garden, Copenhagen. (f) Self-parasitism in the hemiparasitic stem parasite *Viscum album* (Viscaceae); two young plants have established on a parasite internode after dispersal by the bird *Sylvia atricapilla*. (g) Terminal haustorium (asterisk) of the stem parasite *Plicosepalus kalachariensis* (Loranthaceae) and two epicortical roots with lateral haustoria (arrows); South Africa. (h) Directional explosive buds and open flower of bird-pollinated *Agelanthus gracilis* (Loranthaceae); Shakati Nature Reserve, South Africa (photos: parts b, c, e, f, h by HS Heide-Jørgensen; part d by LJ Musselman; part g by C Calvin)

The numbers of lateral haustoria may amount to several thousands per plant, especially in hemiparasites (Fineran 1963a). Some parasites have both types of haustoria, while others only one. *Cassytha* (Fig. 1.2e) and *Cuscuta* are exceptional by developing haustoria laterally from the stems (see Chap. 3 for detailed description of Orobanchaceae haustoria).

The structure of the mature haustorium varies greatly among families. Both haustorial types may produce an attachment organ, often named a holdfast or adhesive disc. This is particularly important in aerial parasites, where the parasite seedling is not supported by soil particles. In these plants, its function is to glue the young haustorium to the host by secretion of lipidic or pectic substances (Dobbins and Kuijt 1974; Heide-Jørgensen 1989, 1991). In Santalaceae and Loranthaceae, the holdfast often develops a mantle clasping the host, and in the most extreme cases, the clasping folds meet one another on the opposite side (Weber 1980; Fineran and Hocking 1983; Calladine and Pate 2000).

Along the **interface** (the border between parasite and host cells), which often increases tremendously with the splitting up of the haustorium within the host tissues, the cell walls are often thicker (Dobbins and Kuijt 1973) and in some cases labyrinthine walls may also develop (Gedalovich-Shedletzky and Kuijt 1990; Heide-Jørgensen and Kuijt 1993; Fineran and Calvin 2000).

The xylem bridge was once assumed to be the main transport route for water and nutrients from host to all parasites. However, apoplastic markers demonstrated the existence of an apoplastic continuum along the interface of some parasites (Coetzee and Fineran 1987). Pate et al. (1990) demonstrated that only 1 % of the interface cells of *Oxalis phyllanthi* are xylem-to-xylem connections, while the other interface cells face host parenchyma cells rather than conductive cells. In *Triphysaria pusilla* (Orobanchaceae), many haustoria have no xylem bridge at all or a bridge with incomplete xylem strands, but all haustoria have a well-established intrusive organ and hence a considerable interface area for apoplastic nutrient translocation (Heide-Jørgensen and Kuijt 1995) (see Chap. 6).

The most advanced haustoria from both an anatomical and physiological points of view are those containing phloem with sieve elements, occurring close to or in connection with host sieve elements. This has been demonstrated with some *Cuscuta* and *Orobanchaceae* species (see Sect. 3.9.3). The presence of phloem with fully differentiated sieve tubes so close to host sieve tubes may explain *Cuscuta* being one of the fastest growing parasites. Phloem is known from haustoria in other taxa as well, including stem parasites of Loranthaceae (Calvin 1967), but it never comes as close to host phloem as in the examples above.

1.4 Dispersal and Germination Strategies

Five different strategies for seed dispersal are recognized in parasitic plants and relate to their parasitic syndrome (Kuijt 1969; Heide-Jørgensen 2008).

- The seeds are sticky and in most cases dispersed by birds eating the fruits. By wiping the sticky seeds off the beak or by defecation, the seeds are often placed directly on a branch of a suitable host (Kuijt 1969). Rodents and marsupials may also participate in such seed dispersal (Amico and Aizen 2000). These seeds are relatively large with enough nutrients to produce a large terminal haustorium, while photosynthesis in the endosperm may provide additional nutrients until a vascular connection is established with the host (Kuijt 1969). This strategy is common in stem parasites in Santalaceae *s.l.*, Loranthaceae, and Viscaceae. Dispersal of mistletoes by birds is thus strongly correlated with the behaviour of the birds, which prefer free-standing trees, hedges, and wood edges but avoid the interior of woods.
- Fruits of *Misodendrum* (Misodendraceae) are dispersed by wind and by hygroscopic movements. Long hairy setae (Fig. 1.3b) secure some fruits to the host (Hooker 1847).
- *Arcuthobium* (Viscaceae) has explosive fruits and some seeds may land on suitable hosts 20 m away (Hinds et al. 1963). Explosive fruits are also known from a few species of *Korthalsella* (Santalaceae) (Sahni 1933).
- The parasite seeds contain enough nutrients to sustain the seedling for some weeks. For example, *Cuscuta gronovii* may live for 7 weeks on seed reserves and reach a length of 35 cm before parasitizing a host (Spisar 1910). The young *Cuscuta* stem is guided by volatile oils as shown for *Cuscuta pentagona* (Runyon et al. 2006). This strategy also applies to *Cassytha*, to root parasitic members of Santalales, and to several hemiparasitic Orobanchaceae.
- The seeds are small and numerous with very little nutrient reserves, as in most holoparasitic root parasites. In some holoparasitic Orobanchaceae, seed output per plant is often in the range of 10,000–1,000,000 (Molau 1995). The seeds germinate only when receiving a chemical signal from an adjacent host root (see Chap. 8).

1.5 Host Range

Most parasitic plants, hemiparasitic root parasites in particular, have a wide host range (Kuijt 1979; Gibson and Watkinson 1989; Nilsson and Svensson 1997). Some parasites, like hemiparasitic Orobanchaceae, may attach to several hosts simultaneously. This may provide an ecological advantage since different hosts supply the parasite with different types and amounts of nutrients as shown for *Odontites verna* (Govier et al. 1967). Some stem parasites also have many hosts, such as *Dendrophthoe falcata* (Loranthaceae) that is known from about 400 different host species (Narasimha and Rabindranath 1964). As noted by Kuijt (1979), the relatively few examples of narrow host range (high host specificity) are found among parasites having a terminal haustorium only. *Viscum cruciatum* is known mainly from *Olea europaea*, while the closely related *V. album* is known from more than hundred genera. **Epiparasitism**, which occurs when one parasitic species




Fig. 1.3 Parasites of various parasitic plant families. **(a)** *Psittacanthus calyculatus* (Loranthaceae) with large showy bird-pollinated flowers; Yucatan, Mexico. **(b)** Female inflorescences of *Misodendrum* cf. *oblongifolium* (Misodendraceae) on *Nothofagus antarctica* with persistent staminodes used for wind dispersal; Alumine, Patagonia. **(c)** *Comandra umbellata*, a perennial root parasite of the Santalaceae; Albany Pine Bush, New York. **(d)** The tree *Pseudotsuga menziesii* heavily damaged by *Arceuthobium douglasii* (Viscaceae), Oregon, USA; Inset: fruiting female *Arceuthobium* exophytes between needles of the host tree (photos: part **a** by H Adrsersen; part **b** by V Thomsen; part **c** by C Gracie; part **d** by HS Heide-Jørgensen)

parasitizes another parasite species, is most common among members of Santalales (Kuijt 1969; Calvin and Wilson 2009). **Self-parasitism**, occurring when haustoria form between different parts of the same plant, is also known (Fig. 1.2f), mainly in *Cuscuta*, *Cassytha*, and the Orobanchaceae (see Sects. 3.4.2 and 3.5).

1.6 Geographical Distribution

Parasitic plants occur in all climatic zones from northern Greenland to Tierra del Fuego and on all continents except Antarctica. Some plants are resistant to certain parasites (see Chap. 7). However, if a parasite is not found on certain plant species, they are not necessarily resistant and the species may still be an acceptable host. The absence of the parasite may also have ecological causes such as the lack of a suitable dispersal agent (e.g. birds), or the light conditions may be insufficient for the parasite. Some plant groups such as ferns, water plants, and orchids are rarely or never parasitized. Genetics and tissue incompatibility determine the maximum number of acceptable hosts, but in practice, host range is mainly influenced by geographical (host distribution) and ecological (dispersal biology and environmental factors) relationships.

1.7 The Parasitic Plant Families (Fig. 1.1a)

Families recently revised based on molecular studies are treated as *sensu lato* (s.l.) (Nickrent 2010). The families are arranged in about 12 orders, indicating that parasitism has evolved independently several times. All families are illustrated including distribution maps in Heide-Jørgensen (2008) and Nickrent (2010).

Santalales

This plant order comprises at least the following eight families:

Olcaceae s.l. is a tropical–subtropical family of root parasitic shrubs, trees, or lianas. A terminal haustorium has not been observed, and the family is considered the most primitive in Santalales. *Olx* is the largest genus and its lateral haustoria may serve as a model for haustoria in the order. For characteristic haustorial features such as the mantle, collapsed zones, interrupted zones, and graniferous tracheary elements, see Fineran (1985, 1991) and Fineran et al. (1987). According to Nickrent (2010) Erythralaceae, Strombosiaceae, Coulaceae, Octoknemaceae, Ximeniaceae, and Aptandraceae, which are related to the Olcaceae, are independent families. The first three families are assumed to be non-parasitic.

Schoepfiaceae with the single genus *Schoepfia* was earlier included in Olcaceae (Werth et al. 1979; Nickrent and Malécot 2001). Life form, parasitic mode, and distribution are similar to Olcaceae, but the family is not represented in Africa.

Opiliaceae is a small pan-tropical family of root parasitic evergreen trees and lianas (Hiepko 1979, 1982). Lateral haustoria resembling those of Olcaceae are the only type known.

Loranthaceae is the largest family in Santalales with close to 1,000 species of hemiparasitic stem parasites and three root parasites mainly from tropical and subtropical regions. All species are shrubs except the best-known root parasite, the Australian *Nuytsia floribunda*, which is a tree. The holdfast of its numerous

lateral haustoria may completely encircle the roots of grasses. The intrusive organ develops a knife-like sclerenchymatic cutting device which is pushed through the host roots, cutting the vascular bundle (Fineran and Hocking 1983; Beyer et al. 1989; Calladine and Pate 2000). The majority of species have both terminal and lateral haustoria. The latter are located on epicortical roots (Fig. 1.2g) that run parallel with host branches (Kuijt 1969; Calvin and Wilson 2006). The most advanced stem parasites have only a terminal haustorium (Fig. 1.2b), and in some species, intrusive runners may develop within host branches. Host range is generally wide. Some of the larger Loranthaceae have become serious pests in plantations of teak, cocoa, and rubber trees, particularly in India and West Africa (Parker and Riches 1993). Most flowers of the Loranthaceae are large, showy, and bisexual nectar-producing flowers (Figs. 1.2h and 1.3a), and some species show remarkable co-evolution with pollinating birds (Kuijt 1969, 2009; Polhill and Wiens 1998; Ladley and Kelly 1995; Kirkup 1998). The fruit is fleshy including a viscid layer which serves to glue the seed to host branches when wiped off the beak, regurgitated, or dropped after passing the digestive canal (Kuijt 1969, 2009; Polhill and Wiens 1998; Watson 2001).

Misodendraceae with the single shrub genus *Misodendrum* (Fig. 1.3b) occurs in the coldest part of South America south of 33° S Lat. *Misodendrum* does not occur in the high Andes. These stem parasites on *Nothofagus* spp. have only a terminal haustorium. The first origin of stem parasitism may have occurred in this family (Vidal-Russell and Nickrent 2007).

Eremolepidaceae is another small family with three genera of shrubs found from Mexico and southwards. The terminal haustorium corresponds to *Misodendrum*. In addition, *Antidaphne* has epicortical roots with lateral haustoria (Kuijt 1988). According to molecular analysis, the family is closely related to Santalaceae (Der and Nickrent 2008).

Santalaceae s.l. Root parasites comprising both perennial herbs (Fig. 1.3c) and woody species with many lateral haustoria. A few genera are stem parasites with terminal haustoria or both types (Fineran 1963b, 1991; Toth and Kuijt 1976, 1977; Tennakoon and Cameron 2006). The distribution is similar to Loranthaceae, but it extends farther to the north. Flowers are usually less than 1 cm across, regular, and insect pollinated. Fruits of some species are dispersed by birds, as in Loranthaceae. Of the about 35 genera, *Thesium* is the largest with approximately 350 species. *Santalum* is the only genus where several species are of economic value as a source of hard timber and essential oils. Therefore, *S. album*, which is grown in India, has been introduced to a number of Pacific Islands (Kuijt 1969; Thomson 2006). *Okoubaka aubrevillei*, a tree to 40 m from tropical Africa, is the largest known parasitic plant (Veenendaal et al. 1996). Commandraceae, Thesiaceae, Cervantesiaceae, Nanodeaceae, and Amphorogynaceae, which were regarded part of the Santalaceae, are considered independent families by Nickrent et al. (2010).

Viscaceae consists of hemiparasitic stem parasites with only terminal haustoria. Geographical distribution is similar to Loranthaceae but extends farther north in the temperate zone. The most advanced genera have an extensive endophyte, which in *Arceuthobium douglasii* (Fig. 1.3d) can reach the shoot tips of the host *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Lye 2006). The flowers are small and mostly insect pollinated.

The viscid fruits are dispersed by birds except in *Arceuthobium* (see Sect. 1.4). *Arceuthobium* species are the most damaging parasites on conifers in North America (Fig. 1.3d) (Tubeuf 1923; Calder and Bernhardt 1983), and *Arceuthobium minutissimum* is perhaps one of the tiniest parasites. *Phoradendron* with at least 234 species is the largest genus (Kuijt 2003). *Viscum album* (Fig. 1.2f) is the most common mistletoe in Europe.

Each of the following families belongs to a separate order:

Krameriaceae (Zygophyllales) is a small New World family. *Krameria* is the only genus and all species are root parasites and small shrubs or semi-shrubs mainly from semiarid to arid communities. There is only one report of a terminal haustorium. The fruits have spines aiding in adherence to fur of mammals (Kuijt 1969; Simpson 1989).

Convolvulaceae (Solanales) includes mainly autotrophic non-parasitic genera, except for the parasitic genus *Cuscuta* (Fig. 1.2a), where all species are annual twining stem parasites with only lateral (lateral) haustoria (Yuncker 1932). There are diverse hosts for most species but often difficult to determine, since many haustoria only develop a holdfast and do not penetrate host tissues (Dörr 1972; Wolswinkel 1974; Dörr and Kollmann 1995). Some *Cuscuta* species are troublesome in agriculture; the North American *C. campestris* is an invasive weed in many countries (Parker and Riches 1993; Heide-Jørgensen 2011).

Lauraceae (Laurales) genera are also autotrophic except for *Cassytha*, which is a perennial stem parasite (Weber 1981). *Cassytha* (Fig. 1.2e) and *Cuscuta* (Fig. 1.2a) are similar morphologically, with twining stems and leaves reduced to vestigial scales, and in their mode of parasitism. They are a classical example of convergent evolution (Kuijt 1969).

Orobanchaceae (Lamiales) is by far the largest family of parasitic plants (Fig. 1.1a) after inclusion of the hemiparasitic root parasites (see Chap. 14), which were earlier placed in Scrophulariaceae (Young et al. 1999; Olmstead et al. 2001). One non-parasitic genus, *Lindenbergia*, is also included in this family (Bennett and Mathews 2006). The family is represented in all climatic zones and on all continents except Antarctica. All species are annual or perennial herbs. Most species have numerous lateral haustoria and many hosts, but some advanced species such as *Striga hermonthica* (Fig. 18.3a) and some holoparasites have only a terminal haustorium (see Sect. 3.3; Kuijt 1969; Dörr 1997). Flowers are bilaterally symmetrical and mostly insect pollinated. Some are self-pollinating, like *Orobanche cumana*, or facultative selfers (Teryokhin et al. 1993; Satovic et al. 2009). The hemiparasite *Pedicularis* (Fig. 1.1b) is the largest genus (numbers of species vary from 150 to 800 in the literature; see Chap. 14). *Hyobanche sanguinea* is noteworthy as the only known species attaching to host roots by haustoria which developed in soil from scale leaves of its rhizomes (see Sect. 3.6.2; Kuijt et al. 1978). Orobanchaceae contains some of the most serious agricultural parasites (see Chaps. 17 and 18).

Cynomoriaceae (Saxifragales, but uncertain) is one of seven small families with just 1–3 genera each, representing some of the most remarkable holoparasites regarding reduction of the exophyte, dissection and wide distribution of the



Fig. 1.4 Various holoparasites. (a) Inflorescence of *Cynomorium coccineum* (Cynomoriaceae); Algarve, Portugal. (b) Exophyte of *Apodanthes caseariae* (Apodanthaceae) consisting of female flowers with dark stigma on top of fruit; Costa Rica. (c) *Cytinus hypocistis* (Cytinaceae) pollinated by a bee (to the left); Southern France. (d) *Rafflesia keithii* flower with diameter up to 80 cm (Rafflesiaceae); Sabah, Borneo. (e) Flowering *Hydnora johannis* (Hydnoraceae); reproductive parts of the flower are subterranean; South Africa. (f) Rhizomes (earlier called pilot roots) of *Hydnora triceps* with short haustorial roots and flower buds in four rows; East of Port Nolloth, South Africa. (g) Female inflorescence of *Balanophora latisejala* (Balanophoraceae); Rongla National Park, Thailand (photos: part a by FN Rasmussen; part b by P Maas; part c by HS Heide-Jørgensen; part d by P Ø Larsen; parts e, f by LJ Musselman; part g by T Læssøe)

endophyte, and unusual flower construction. In the Mediterranean *Cynomorium* flowers on the succulent axis are so reduced (Fig. 1.4a) that the plants were erroneously considered fungi. There is a perennial rhizome, and lateral haustoria develop from adventitious roots. A terminal haustorium is expected but has not been described (Lanfranco 1960; Kuijt 1969).

Lennoaceae (Boraginales) is mainly Central American and interesting by showing root dimorphism: pilot roots search for host roots and when found they