Total Synthesis of Natural Products

Jie Jack Li • E.J. Corey Editors

Total Synthesis of Natural Products

At the Frontiers of Organic Chemistry



Editors Jie Jack Li New Jersey USA

E.J. Corey Harvard University Dept. of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Cambridge USA

ISBN 978-3-642-34064-2 ISBN 978-3-642-34065-9 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-34065-9 Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012955413

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Dedicated to Professor David Y. Gin (1967–2011)

Preface

The last few decades have witnessed some exciting developments of synthetic methodologies in organic chemistry. Chiefly among these developments are ringclosing metathesis (RCM) and transition metal-catalyzed C–H activation, which have emerged as novel and useful tools.

A touchstone for any synthetic methodology is how practical it is in synthesis, especially total synthesis of natural products. Therefore, it is not surprising that books on total synthesis occupy a place on nearly every organic chemist's bookshelf.

This volume is somewhat different from previous books on total synthesis. We have been fortunate enough to enlist eleven current practitioners in the field of total synthesis to describe one of their best total syntheses. These authors leveraged synthetic methodologies developed in their own laboratories as key operations in their construction of natural products. As such, this book reflects a true sense of what is happening at the frontiers of organic chemistry.

Skillman, NJ, USA Cambridge, MA, USA Jie Jack Li E.J. Corey

Contents

1	Non	Nominine				
	Kev	in M. Peese and David Y. Gin				
	1.1	Introduction and Classification	1			
	1.2	Pharmacology	2			
	1.3	Biosynthesis	3			
	1.4	Previous Synthetic Work	3			
		1.4.1 Total Synthesis of Nominine [19a]	4			
		1.4.2 Synthetic Studies Toward the Hetisine Alkaloids	6			
	1.5	Strategy and Retrosynthesis	7			
	1.6	Synthesis	9			
	1.7	Complete Synthesis	20			
	Refe	rences	21			
r	Nak					
2	INAK Shu					
	Snua	Innu Gao and Chuo Chen	25			
	2.1		25			
	2.2	Synthesis of the 6,6,5,6 Steroidal Skeleton	26			
		2.2.1 The Biomimetic Approaches	27			
		2.2.2 The Ring-by-Ring Approaches	28			
		2.2.3 Miscellaneous	28			
	2.3	Synthesis of Nakiterpiosin	31			
	2.4	Biology of Nakiterpiosin	34			
	Refe	rences	34			
3	The	Kinamycins	39			
	Seth	B. Herzon				
	3.1	Introduction	39			
	3.2	Structure Elucidation	41			
	3.3	Biological Activity and Mechanism of Action Studies	43			
	3.4	Biosyntheses of the Kinamycins	45			

	3.5	Synth	eses of the Kinamycins	46		
		3.5.1	Synthesis of (–)-Kinamycin C [24]	46		
		3.5.2	Synthesis of (\pm) -O-Methyl-Kinamycin C [32]	51		
		3.5.3	Syntheses of (–)-Kinamycins C, F, and J [39]	54		
		3.5.4	Synthesis of (–)-Kinamycin F [45]	59		
	Refe	erences	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	64		
4	A SI	hort Sy	nthesis of Strychnine from Pyridine	67		
	Davi	id B. Č	. Martin and Christopher D. Vanderwal			
	4.1	Intro	duction	67		
	4.2	Synt	hesis of Strychnine: A Historical Perspective	68		
	4.3	Struc	ctural Challenges	71		
	4.4	Back	ground: Zincke Aldehydes	73		
	4.5	Back	ground: Intramolecular Cycloadditions of Indoles	75		
	4.6	Deve	elopment of the Intramolecular Diels-Alder Cycloaddition			
		of Ti	ryptamine-Derived Zincke Aldehydes	78		
	4.7	Synt	hesis of Norfluorocurarine	80		
	4.8	Prote	ecting Groups Are Not Always Evil	84		
	4.9	Strat	egies for D-Ring Formation for Strychnine	87		
	4.10	Som	e Unusual Approaches to C15–C20 Bond Formation	92		
	4.11	A Su	ccessful Route to Strychnine	93		
	4.12	Conc	clusions	98		
	Refe	erences	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	99		
5	Bry	ostatin	7	103		
	Yu I	Lu and	Michael J. Krische			
	5.1	Introd	luction	103		
	5.2	Pharm	nacology	104		
	5.3	Biosynthesis				
	5.4	Previo	bus Synthetic Work	108		
		5.4.1	Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 7 (Masamune 1990)	108		
		5.4.2	Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 2 (Evans 1998)	110		
		5.4.3	Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 3 (Nishiyama			
			and Yamamura 2000)	112		
		5.4.4	Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 16 (Trost 2008)	113		
		5.4.5	Synthesis of Bryostatin 1 (Keck 2011)	115		
		5.4.6	Synthesis of Bryostatin 9 (Wender 2011)	117		
	5.5	5 Strategy and Retrosynthesis				
	5.6	Synth	esis	120		
		5.6.1	Synthesis of A-Ring Fragment 68	120		
		5.6.2	Synthesis of C-Ring Fragment 69	121		
		5.6.3	Fragment Union and Total Synthesis of Bryostatin 7	123		
	5.7	Concl	usion	127		
	Refe	erences		127		

6	Serr	atezon	nine A	131		
	Julie A. Pigza and Jeffrey N. Johnston					
	6.1 Introduction and Classification			131		
	6.2	Pharm	nacology	132		
	6.3	Biosy	nthesis	133		
	6.4	Previo	bus Synthetic Work	136		
		6.4.1	Total Synthesis of Serratinine	136		
		6.4.2	Synthetic Approaches Towards the Framework of			
			Serratinine	138		
	6.5	Strate	gy and Retrosynthesis	139		
	6.6	Synthe	esis	141		
	6.7	Comp	lete Synthesis	152		
	Refe	erences		152		
7	Hvn	ocrelli	n/Cercosporin	157		
'	Carc	A M	ulrooney Frin M O'Brien and Marisa C Kozlowski	157		
	7 1	Introd	uction	157		
	72	Biolog	pical Activity	159		
	73	Previo	us Synthetic Work	161		
	, 10	7.3.1	Synthesis of $(-)$ -Phleichrome and $(-)$ -Calphostin	101		
			A,D [30]	161		
		7.3.2	Synthesis of (–)-Calphostin D [31]	162		
		7.3.3	Synthesis of $(-)$ -Phleichrome and $(-)$ -Calphostin A			
			[32a]	163		
		7.3.4	Synthesis of (–)-Calphostin A–D [33a]	164		
	7.4	Confo	rmational Properties	166		
	7.5	Strate	gy and Retrosynthesis	167		
	7.6	Synthe	esis	170		
		7.6.1	Synthesis of (–)-Hypocrellin A	170		
		7.6.2	Synthesis of (+)-Phleichrome and (+)-Calphostin D	172		
		7.6.3	Synthesis of (+)-Cercosporin	174		
	7.7	Synthe	esis of Perylenequinone Analogs	175		
	Refe	leferences				
8	Pho	mactin	٨	183		
0	Yu	Fang K	evin P Cole and Richard P Hsung	105		
	81	Introd	uction	183		
	0.1	811	Isolation	183		
		812	Biosynthesis	185		
		813	Medicinal Chemistry	185		
		814	Synthetic Challenges	185		
	8.2	The A	rchitecturally Distinctive ABD-Tricycle	186		
	0.2	8.2.1	Retrosynthetic Analysis	186		
		8.2.2	Approaches to the Oxa-Annulation Precursor	188		
		8.2.3	An Improved Synthesis of Oxa-Annulation Precursor	190		
			r			

		8.2.4 Key Oxa-Annulation and the D-Ring Atropisomerism	191	
		8.2.5 A Formal Synthesis of (–)-Phomactin A	194	
	8.3	Lessons Learned from the Challenging Structural Topology	195	
		8.3.1 Oxidations of C3 and C3a in B-Ring	195	
		8.3.2 Reduction of C8a and C8b at the AB-Ring Junction	196	
		8.3.3 Homologation at C5a in the A-Ring	197	
	8.4	Completion of the Total Synthesis	202	
		8.4.1 The Diene Route	202	
		8.4.2 The Allyl Alcohol Route	203	
		8.4.3 The Vinyl Epoxide Route	203	
	8.5	Conclusion	207	
	Refe	erences	207	
9	(+)-	11,11′-Dideoxyverticillin A	211	
	Justi	in Kim and Mohammad Movassaghi		
	9.1	Introduction and Classification	211	
	9.2	Pharmacology	213	
	9.3	Biosynthesis	214	
	9.4	Previous Synthetic Work	216	
		9.4.1 Previous Approaches to the C3–C3' Dimeric Linkages	217	
		9.4.2 Previous Approaches to the Epidithiodiketopiperazine		
		Motif	218	
		9.4.3 Total Synthesis of Epidithiodiketopiperazine Alkaloids.	220	
	9.5	Strategy and Retrosynthesis for (+)-11,11'-Dideoxyverticillin A.	222	
		9.5.1 Synthesis of (+)-11,11'-Dideoxyverticillin A	223	
		9.5.2 Generalization to the Epipolythiodiketopiperazine		
		Alkaloids	230	
	9.6	Conclusion	231	
	Refe	erences	231	
10	Reti	geranic acid	235	
	David R. Adams and Tomáš Hudlický			
	10.1	Introduction	235	
	10.2	Isolation and Structure	236	
	10.3	Biosynthesis	238	
	10.4	Approaches to Total Synthesis	239	
		10.4.1 Hudlicky	239	
		10.4.2 Fallis	241	
		10.4.3 Fraser-Reid	243	
		10.4.4 Trauner	244	
	10.5	Total Syntheses	247	
		10.5.1 Corey	247	
		10.5.2 Paquette	249	
		10.5.3 Wender	252	
		10.5.4 Hudlicky	255	
	10.6	Conclusions and Future Perspectives	256	
	Refe	erences	256	

11	Total	Synthes	sis of the Lycopodium Alkaloid Complanadine A	259
	Richmond Sarpong and Daniel F. Fischer			
	11.1	Introdu	ction	259
	11.2	Biosynthesis		
	11.3	3 Biological Activity		262
	11.4	The Sie	gel Synthesis of Complanadine A	263
	11.5	Strateg	y and Retrosynthesis	264
	11.6	Borylat	ive C–H Functionalization	267
		11.6.1	Benzene Ring Functionalization: Hartwig Synthesis	
			of Taiwaniaquinol B	267
		11.6.2	Pyrrole Ring Functionalization: Gaunt Synthesis	
			of Rhazinicine	268
		11.6.3	Indole Ring Functionalization: Movassaghi	
			Synthesis of the Asperazine Core	269
	11.7	Comple	etion of the Complanadine A Synthesis	269
	11.8	Application of the Strategy to Lycopladines F and G		
	11.9	Conclusion		
	References			
Ind	ex			273

List of Contributors

Chuo Chen Department of Biochemistry, Southwestern Medical Center, University of Texas, Dallas, TX, USA

Kevin P. Cole School of Pharmacy and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

Daniel F. Fischer Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Shuanhu Gao Department of Biochemistry, Southwestern Medical Center, University of Texas, Dallas, TX, USA

David Y. Gin Molecular Pharmacology and Chemistry Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Seth B. Herzon Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

Richard P. Hsung School of Pharmacy and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

Jeffrey N. Johnston Department of Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Justin Kim Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Marisa C. Kozlowski Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Michael J. Krische Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

Yu Lu Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

David B. C. Martin Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

Mohammad Movassaghi Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Carol A. Mulrooney Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Erin M. O'Brien Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Kevin M. Peese Discovery Chemistry, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Wallingford, CT, USA

Julie A. Pigza Department of Chemistry, Queensborough Community College, Bayside, NY, USA

Richmond Sarpong Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

Christopher D. Vanderwal Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

Yu Tang School of Pharmacy and Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

Chapter 1 Nominine

Kevin M. Peese and David Y. Gin



1.1 Introduction and Classification

The genera of *Aconitum* (commonly known as Monkshood) and *Delphinium*, and to a lesser extent *Rumex*, *Consolida*, and *Spiraea*, have long been recognized as a rich source of alkaloid natural products [1]. The diterpenoid alkaloids are generally classified into two major groups: the C_{19} -diterpenoid alkaloids (sometimes referred to as the C_{19} -norditerpenoid alkaloids) and the C_{20} -diterpenoid alkaloids. Within the C_{20} -diterpenoid alkaloids, at least 11 separate classes have been isolated, including the hetisine alkaloids (Chart 1.1).

Among the first hetisine alkaloids isolated were nominine (1) [2], kobusine (2) [3], pseudokobusine (3) [4], hetisine (4) [5], and ignavine (5) [6] in the 1940s and 1950s (Chart 1.2). Since these early isolations, over 100 distinct hetisine alkaloids have

K.M. Peese (⊠)

Discovery Chemistry, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, 5 Research Parkway, Wallingford, CT 06492, USA

e-mail: Kevin.peese@bms.com

D.Y. Gin

Molecular Pharmacology and Chemistry Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA



Chart 1.1 C20-diterpenoid alkaloids



Chart 1.2 Hetisine alkaloids

been isolated and characterized with new alkaloids continuing to be discovered. Structurally, the hetisines are characterized by a highly fused heptacyclic ring system with an embedded tertiary nitrogen core. The separate members of the hetisine alkaloids are distinguished by the number, location, and stereochemical placement of oxygen functionality, primarily alcohols and simple esters.

1.2 Pharmacology

The hetisine alkaloids have long been recognized to be active constituents of traditional eastern herbal medicines [7]. Pharmacological investigations of the hetisine alkaloids have shown a diverse range of bioactivities [1b, 7]. Significantly, guan-fu base A (7) is reported to be under clinical development in China for arrhythmia [1a]. In addition, kobusine (2), pseudokobusine (3), as well as multiple





O-acyl derivatives thereof have shown potent vasodilatory activity [8]. A number of other hetisine alkaloids have shown diverse biological activities. These include nominine [9] (1) (local anesthetic, anti-inflammatory, and antiarrhythmic), hetisine [9a] (4) (hypotensive), ignavine [10] (5) (analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, sedative, antidiuretic), zeravshanisine [9a] (8) (antiarrhythmic and local anesthetic), and tadzhaconine [9a, 11], (9) (antiarrhythmic) (Chart 1.3).

1.3 Biosynthesis

The biosynthesis of the atisane class of C₂₀-diterpene alkaloids, including the hetisine family, has been proposed to take place in two principal phases [1a]. The first phase encompasses biogenesis of most of the diterpene framework via a standard, diterpene biosynthesis (Scheme 1.1) [12]. Beginning with geranylgeranyl diphosphate (10), cyclization with ent-copalyl diphosphate synthase produces ent-copalyl diphosphate (11). The exocyclic alkene of 11 then undergoes annulation with the allylic diphosphate to afford, after a series of carbocation rearrangements, ent-atisir-16-ene (19). Noteworthy in this cascade is the nonclassical carbocations 15 and 16. The second phase of the biosynthesis of the atisane class has been hypothesized, but is not well understood [13]. It has been proposed that an oxidation event occurs on ent-atisir-16-ene (19) to give a dialdehyde or its synthetic equivalent (20). Following a condensation event with a nitrogen source and reduction, the atisine skeleton (21) of C₂₀-diterpene alkaloids is accessed. Carbon-carbon bond formation between C-14 and C-20, possibly through a Prins-type intermediate, produces the hetidine skeleton (22) of C₂₀-diterpene alkaloids. Finally, bond formation between the nitrogen and C-6 generates the hetisine-type skeleton (6).

1.4 Previous Synthetic Work

The C₂₀-diterpene alkaloids have long served as classic targets within the field of natural product synthesis [14]. Total syntheses of four C₂₀-diterpene alkaloids have thus far been reported: atisine [15], veatchine [16], garryine [17], and napelline [18]. In spite of this progress, synthetic efforts toward the hetisine alkaloids have been relatively sparse. Prior to our work in the area, these efforts include one total synthesis and five synthetic studies.



Scheme 1.1 Postulated biosynthesis of hetisine alkaloids

1.4.1 Total Synthesis of Nominine [19a]

In 2004, Muratake and Natsume reported the landmark total synthesis of (\pm) -nominine (1), the first total synthesis of a hetisine alkaloid (Scheme 1.2) [19]. Their approach was based upon two key reactions: α -arylation of an aldehyde [20] for formation of the C-9 and C-10 carbon–carbon bond (i.e., $24\rightarrow 25$) and Lewis acidcatalyzed acetal-ene reaction [21] for formation of the key C-14 and C-20 carbon–carbon bond (i.e., $26\rightarrow 27$). Beginning with 3-methoxyactetic acid (23), aryl bromide aldehyde 24 was prepared in a straightforward nine-step sequence. In the first key reaction of the synthesis, treatment of aryl bromide 24 with PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ and Cs₂CO₃ in refluxing THF-delivered tricyclic 25 in 65 % yield, 4.2:1 *dr*. Next, elaboration through a six-step sequence produced intermediate alkene 26. Acetalene reaction of 26 using BF₃·OEt₂ in toluene at -18 °C afforded ether 27 in 66 % after subsequent deketalization with *p*-TsOH in acetone. Installation of the nitrogen atom began six steps later with the conjugate cyanation of enone 28 with Et₂AlCN (Nagata reagent) [22] in toluene resulting in β -cyanoketone 29. Then, following protection of the ketone as the TMS enol ether, the cyano group was reduced to the primary amine



Scheme 1.2 Total synthesis of nominine, first total synthesis of a hetisine alkaloid

with LiAlH₄. The amine was condensed with the proximal ketone functionality to furnish the enamine which was immediately protected with a Cbz group leading to the protected enamine. Reduction of the enamine with NaCNBH₃ produced Cbz-protected pyrrolidine **30**. Following a ten-step interlude to complete construction of the [2.2.2] bicyclo-octane system, completion of the aza-ring system was addressed. Deprotection of the Cbz group of **33** was accomplished with Et₃SiH, Pd(OAc)₂, and NEt₃. The last critical *C*–*N* bond of the pyrrolidine was then formed via alkylation of the amine with the adjacent alcohol by first activation of the alcohol with SOCl₂ and then annulation. The synthesis was then completed with the deprotection of the allylic accohol with K₂CO₃ in refluxing methanol-giving nominine. Overall, Muratake and Natsume were able to accomplish a 40-step synthesis of (±)-nominine in 0.15 % yield.

van der Baan and Bickelhaupt (1975)



Scheme 1.3 Previous synthetic studies

1.4.2 Synthetic Studies Toward the Hetisine Alkaloids

In 1975, van der Baan and Bickelhaupt reported the synthesis of imide **37** from pyridone **34** as an approach to the hetisine alkaloids, using an intramolecular alkylation as the key step (Scheme 1.3) [23]. Beginning with pyridone **34**, alkylation with sodium hydride/allyl bromide followed by a thermal [3,3] Claisen rearrangement gave alkene **35**. Next, formation of the bromohydrin with *N*-bromosuccinimide and subsequent protection of the resulting alcohol as the tetrahydropyranyl (THP) ether produced bromide **36**, which was then cyclized in an intramolecular fashion to give tricylic **37**.

Ten years later in 1985, Shibanuma and Okamoto reported the synthesis of pentacyclic intermediate **41** (Scheme 1.3) [24]. This approach to the hetisine alkaloids, a refinement of work previously reported by Okamoto [25], utilized a Hofmann–Löffler–Freytag reaction to form the polycyclic substructure surrounding the tertiary amine of the hetisine alkaloids. In the key sequence of the synthesis, styrene **38** was subjected to lead tetraacetate [Pb(OAc)₄] oxidation to give an aziridine which was immediately fragmented by treatment with benzyl chloroformate (CbzCl) to give benzylic chloride **39**. Reductive cleavage of the benzylic chloride and concomitant cleavage of the carbamate with Raney nickel followed by *N*-chlorination of the amine provided the key Hofmann–Löffler–Freytag reaction product **41**.

More recently in 2001, Winkler and Kwak reported methodology designed to access the pyrrolidine core of the hetisine alkaloids via a photochemical [2+2], retro-Mannich, Mannich sequence (Scheme 1.3) [26]. In a representative example of the methodology, vinylogous amide **42** was photo-irradiated to give the [2+2] cycloaddition product **43**. Heating cyclobutane **43** in ethanol provided enamine **44** via a retro-Mannich reaction. Exposure of enamine **44** to acidic conditions then effected a Mannich reaction, resulting in pyrrolidine **45**.

In 2003, Williams and Mander reported a method designed to access the hetisine alkaloids (Scheme 1.3) [27]. This approach, based upon a previously disclosed strategy by Shimizu et al. [28], relied on arylation of a bridgehead carbon via a carbocation intermediate in the key step. Beginning with β -keto ester 46, double Mannich reaction provided piperidine 47. Following a straightforward sequence, piperidine 47 was transformed to the pivotal bromide intermediate 48. In the key step, bromide 48 was treated with silver (I) 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonate in nitromethane (optimized conditions) to provide 49 as the most advanced intermediate of the study, in 54 % yield.

Finally in 2005, Hutt and Mander reported their strategy for the synthesis of nominine (Scheme 1.3) [29]. The approach relies upon construction of the steroidal ABC carbocyclic ring structure followed by stepwise preparation of the fused azaring system. In the key sequence of the synthetic study, enone **50** was oxidized to dienone **51** with DDQ followed by Lewis acid-catalyzed intramolecular conjugate addition of the methylcarbamate to the newly formed dienone to deliver pyrrolidine **52**.

1.5 Strategy and Retrosynthesis

The highly fused and bridged architecture of the carbon–nitrogen skeleton within the hetisine alkaloids presents a formidable challenge for the synthetic chemist. While the placement and orientation of the oxygen functionalities of the various hetisine alkaloids presents its own hurdles, the key synthetic challenge of the hetisine family, exemplified by nominine as the simplest member, is construction of the polycyclic ring system, especially the scaffold surrounding the nitrogen.



Chart 1.4 Key strategic retrosynthetic elements



Scheme 1.4 Retrosynthetic analysis

Intramolecular cycloadditions are among the most efficient methods for the synthesis of fused bicyclic ring systems [30]. From this perspective, the hetisine skeleton encompasses two key retro-cycloaddition key elements: (1) a bridging pyrrolidine ring accessible via a [3+2] azomethine dipolar cycloaddition and (2) a [2.2.2] bicyclo-octane accessible via a [4+2] Diels–Alder carbocyclic cycloaddition (Chart 1.4). While intramolecular [4+2] Diels–Alder cycloadditions to form [2.2.2] bicycle-octane systems have extensive precedence [3+2], azomethine dipolar cycloadditions to form highly fused aza systems are rare [31–33]. The staging of these two operations in sequence is critical to a unified synthetic plan. As the proposed [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition is expected to be the more challenging of the two transformations, it should be conducted in an early phase in the forward synthetic direction. As a result, a retrosynthetic analysis would entail initial consideration of the [4+2] cycloaddition to arrive at the optimal retrosynthetic C–C bond disconnections for this transformation.

Two possible intramolecular disconnections are available for the [2.2.2] bicyclooctane ring system (path A and path B, Scheme 1.4). The choice between the initial [4+2] disconnections A and B at first appears inconsequential leading to idealized intermediates of comparable complexity (**54** and **57**). However, when the [4+2] and [3+2] disconnections are considered in sequence, the difference becomes clear. For path A, retrosynthetic [3+2] disconnection of intermediate **54** leads to the conceptual precursor **56**, which embodies a considerable simplification. In contrast, path B reveals a retrosynthetic [3+2] disconnection of intermediate **57** to provide the precursor **59**, a considerably less simplified medium-ring bridged macrocycle. Thus, unification of the [3+2]/[4+2] dual cycloaddition strategy, using the staging



Scheme 1.5 Simplified retrosynthesis

and disconnection approach of path A, leads to a highly streamlined retrosynthetic strategy (Scheme 1.5).

The use of biomimetic strategies in natural product synthesis has been an effective guide in development of total synthesis in recent years [34]. Biomimetic strategies are often the most elegant when the biosynthesis of a natural product imparts most of a molecule's complexity in one reaction or a tandem sequence that has a potential parallel in chemical synthesis. Examples of this can be found in Shair's synthesis of longithorone A [35] and Sorensen's elegant synthesis of (+)-FR182877 [36]. In the case of the proposed biosynthesis of the hetisine alkaloids, however, complexity is generated stepwise over an extended sequence. In fact, for the biosynthesis of the hetizoptic hetisine skeleton, no more than two rings are proposed to be generated in any particular step. Accordingly, following a biomimetic strategies.

1.6 Synthesis

Synthetic work commenced with evaluation of an azomethine ylide dipole for the proposed intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition. A number of methods exist for the preparation of azomethine ylides, including, *inter alia*, transformations based on fluoride-mediated desilylation of α -silyliminium species, electrocyclic ring opening of aziridines, and tautomerization of α -amino acid ester imines [37]. In particular, the fluoride-mediated desilylation of α -silyliminium species, first reported by Vedejs in 1979 [38], is among the most widely used methods for the generation of non-stabilized azomethine ylides (Scheme 1.6).

The key cycloaddition reaction to form the pyrrolidine ring within the hetisine alkaloids involves the generation and reaction of an extended *endocyclic* azomethine ylide, a class of reactive intermediate with relatively little precedent [32, 33]. As a consequence, a suitable model system was explored to assess the feasibility of this cycloaddition approach (Scheme 1.7). To this end, 3-methylcylcohexen-2-one **65** underwent conjugate addition with cyanide using Al(CN)Et₂ in benzene affording an aluminum enolate [22]. Treatment of the enolate with TBAT generated an activated aluminum enolate, which upon treatment with Tf₂O, furnished vinyl triflate **66** in 81 % yield. Reduction of the nitrile with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) followed by NaBH₄/MeOH produced amine **67** in 88 % yield. To construct the endocyclic



Scheme 1.6 Azomethine dipolar cycloaddition utilizing desilylation



{BzOTf + AgOTf, or MeOTf; 23-120 °C; CH2Cl2, DME, or C2H2Cl4.}

Scheme 1.7 Intramolecular azomethine dipolar cycloaddition

dipole portion of the cycloaddition model substrate, a novel hetero Diels–Alder approach was developed to prepare a suitable 2,3-dihydro-2-silylpyridin-4-one. Condensation of amine **67** with TMSCHO generated the corresponding *C*-silyl aldimine, which was trapped in situ with Danishefsky's diene (**68**) in a hetero Diels–Alder cycloaddition under ZnCl₂ catalysis to provide the 2,3-dihydro-2-silylpyridin-4-one **69** [39]. The *C*-silyl vinylogous amide **69** was isolated in 55 % yield as an inseparable yet inconsequential 1.2:1 mixture of diastereomers. Subsequent introduction of the dipolarophile functionality in this model substrate was performed by Stille coupling [40] of vinyl triflate **69** with stannane **70** to afford the conjugated dienoate **71** (87 %), the requisite precursor to the proposed endocyclic azomethine ylide formation and intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition.

The feasibility of azomethine ylide generation from 7 and intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition was examined under a variety of conditions. For example, activation of vinylogous amide 71 with BzOTf [41] followed by desilylation with TBAT led to complex mixtures of products. Likewise, using MeOTf as the activating agent yielded similar results. Significantly, none of these protocols furnished the desired pyrrolidine 73. Only decomposition of the silylpyridinone to form unidentified products was observed, despite the fact that quantitative *O*-methylation of the



Scheme 1.8 Dipolar cycloaddition of 3-oxidopyridinium betaine

vinylogous amide was verified to occur by NMR analysis in the first stage of the process.

With the failure of **72** to undergo the desired intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition, the strategy of employing an endocyclic non-stabilized azomethine ylide in the key cycloaddition step was reevaluated. Since the difficulties in this approach were likely associated with lack of stability of the azomethine ylide, a new route involving the generation and cycloaddition of a more stabilized substrate was pursued. In this context, oxidopyridinium ylides display reactivity patterns similar to azomethine ylides with the exception that oxidopyridinium ylides tend to be less reactive due to their enhanced stability. Dipolar cycloadditions of 3-oxidopyridinium betaines (**74**) were introduced by Katritzky in 1970 [42] and have since been shown to be useful in numerous synthetic transformations (Scheme 1.8) [43]. Oxidopyridinium betaines **74** are moderately reactive aza–1,3–dipoles that undergo dipolar cycloaddition reactions at the 2,6-positions of the pyridinium ring with electron-deficient alkene and alkyne dipolarophiles to afford tropane cycloadducts **76** = **77**. These cycloadditions are generally under *HOMO*–dipole *LUMO*–dipolarophile control and preferentially provide *endo* products.

To investigate the feasibility of employing 3-oxidopyridinium betaines as stabilized 1,3-dipoles in an intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition to construct the hetisine alkaloid core (Scheme 1.8, $77 \approx 78$), a series of model cycloaddition substrates were prepared. In the first (Scheme 1.9a), an ene-nitrile substrate (i.e., **83**) was selected as an activated dipolarophile functionality. Nitrile **66** was subjected to reduction with DIBAL-H, affording aldehyde **79** in 79 % yield. This was followed by reductive amination of aldehyde **x** with furfurylamine (**80**) to afford the furan amine **81** in 80 % yield. The ene-nitrile was then readily accessed via palladium-catalyzed cyanation of the enol triflate with KCN, 18–crown–6, and Pd(PPh₃)₄ in refluxing benzene to provide ene-nitrile **82** in 75 % yield. Finally, bromine-mediated aza-Achmatowicz reaction [44] of **82** then delivered oxidopyridinium betaine **83** in 65 % yield.

The second cycloaddition substrate took to form of **91** (Scheme 1.9b), incorporating a vinyl sulfone dipolarophile. Beginning with cyano ketone **84**, which was readily prepared from 1,5-dicyanopentane via a previously reported three-step sequence [45], condensation with thiophenol produced vinyl sulfide **85** in 84 % yield. Vinyl sulfide **85** underwent bromination in acetonitrile to afford bromo-vinyl sulfide **86** (86 %), which was then treated with isopropylmagnesium chloride [46] to effect metal-halogen exchange affording an intermediate vinyl magnesium bromide species. Subsequent alkylation with MeI in the presence of catalytic CuCN provided the alkylated vinyl sulfide **87** in 93 % yield. The nitrile within vinyl



Scheme 1.9 Preparation of model cycloaddition substrates

sulfide **87** was reduced with DIBAL-H to furnish aldehyde **88** (91 %), which was followed by oxidation of the sulfide with *m*-CPBA-generated sulfone **89** (84 %), and subsequent reductive amination with furfuryl amine hydrochloride (**80**) to afford substituted furfuryl amine **90** (74 %). Finally, bromine-mediated aza-Achmatowicz [44] reaction of furfuryl amine **90** produced oxidopyridinium betaine **91** in quantitative yield.

The third cycloaddition substrate explored the feasibility of a vinyl nitro functionality as an activated dipolarophile (**98**, Scheme 1.9c). Preparation of nitroalkene oxidopyridinium betaine **98** began with silylenol ether **92**, which was treated with methoxydioxolane in the presence of Lewis acid catalyst, TrClO₄, to afford keto dioxolane **93** in 58 % yield [47]. Ketone **93** then underwent α -nitration by treatment with *i*-BuONO₂ and KOt-Bu to provide nitro ketone **84** (91 %), which was then converted to the nitroalkene functionality via reduction under Luche conditions to



Scheme 1.10 Evaluation of intramolecular dipolar cycloadditions of model substrates

furnish nitroalkene **95** (69 %) [48]. Deprotection of the dioxolane group with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid produced aldehyde **96** (78 %), which underwent reductive amination of with furfuryl amine hydrochloride (**80**) to furnish the substituted furfuryl amine **97** in 34 % yield. Bromine-mediated aza-Achmatowicz reaction [44] of furfuryl amine **97** provided oxidopyridinium betaine **98** (64 %) to serve as the 1,3-dipole.

Each of the 3-oxidopyridinium betaine substrates **83**, **91**, and **98** were extensively investigated for their potential to engage in intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition (Scheme 1.10). Heating a solution of ene-nitrile **83** in variety of solvents failed to effect the desired intramolecular [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition to form the bridged pyrrolidine **100**, as tricyclic oxidopyridinium betaine **103** was the only isolated product (Scheme 1.10a). For example, when the reaction was conducted in toluene at 170 °C over 5 days, a 73 % yield of tricyclic betaine **103** could be isolated. Formation of tricyclic betaine **103** was the result of direct conjugate addition of the oxidopyridinium betaine into the ene-nitrile followed by re-aromatization. It had been envisioned that the use of the ene-nitrile would disfavor the conjugate addition pathway by excluding an intramolecular protonation event. This is to some extent true, given the high temperature and extended reaction time required to effect the formation of **103**. Unfortunately, even though the conjugate addition pathway of the ene-nitrile moiety was entailed a high activation barrier, it was still the dominant reaction manifold.

Investigation of the vinyl sulfone cycloaddition substrate (91, Scheme 1.10b) led to an alternate reaction manifold, albeit still inappropriate for the synthesis of the hetisine core. Heating a dilute solution of oxidopyridinium betaine 91 in refluxing toluene led to the formation of cvcloadduct 108 in 38 % vield as the principal product. Formation of this undesired isomeric cycloadduct 108 is likely the result of an alkene isomerization process wherein the $\alpha,\beta-\pi$ -system of dipolarophile **91** migrates to the β . γ -position relative to the sulfone to provide tri-substituted dipolarophile 106. This unactivated alkene 106 then intramolecular dipolar cycloaddition at elevated temperature to furnish undesired isomeric cycloadduct 108. The alkene isomerization event is likely driven by a relief of steric strain present in the tetra-substituted alkene 91. Moreover, it has been shown that sulfones generally have no significant conjugative stabilization with adjacent alkenes due to lack of significant orbital overlap between the sulfone and the alkene π -system, so it is not surprising that such an isomerization would occur [49]. Indeed, this reactivity has on occasion been synthetically exploited [50]. Unfortunately, an extensive survey of a wide array of solvents showed no improvement; in no experiment could desired cycloadduct 105 be observed.

Despite the lack of success in the attempts at intramolecular cycloaddition with substrates **83** and **91**, a moderately promising outcome was observed for the nitroalkene substrate (**98**, Scheme 1.10c). Heating a dilute solution of oxido-pyridinium betaine **98** in toluene to 120 °C produced a 20 % conversion to a 4:1 mixture of two cycloadducts (**110** and **112**), in which the major cycloadduct was identified as **110**. While initially very encouraging, it became apparent that the dipolar cycloaddition reaction proceeded to no greater than 20 % conversion, an outcome independent of choice of reaction solvent. Further investigation, however, revealed that the reaction had reached thermodynamic equilibrium at 20 % conversion, a fact verified by resubmission of the purified major cycloadduct **110** to the reaction conditions to reestablish the same equilibrium mixture at 20 % conversion.

In an effort to shift the cycloaddition equilibrium toward the cycloaddition products, a strategy was formulated to lower the energetic cost of breaking aromaticity of the betaine moiety. It was surmised that if an aromatic ring were fused to the oxidopyridinium betaine, the energetic cost of de-aromatization of the betaine would be lowered. In this context, use of a 4-oxidoisoquinolinium betaine in an aza–1,3–dipolar cycloaddition was first reported by Katritzky in 1972 [51] during his seminal studies on oxidopyridinium betaines. Dipolar cycloadditions of