

SPRINGER HANDBOOK OF AUDITORY RESEARCH

Series Editors: Richard R. Fay and Arthur N. Popper

Sheryl Coombs
Horst Bleckmann
Richard R. Fay
Arthur N. Popper
Editors

The Lateral Line System



 Springer

Springer Handbook of Auditory Research

For further volumes:
<http://www.springer.com/series/2506>

Sheryl Coombs • Horst Bleckmann
Richard R. Fay • Arthur N. Popper
Editors

The Lateral Line System

 Springer

Editors

Sheryl Coombs
Department of Biological Sciences
and JP Scott Center for Neuroscience
Mind and Behavior
Bowling Green State University
Bowling Green, OH, USA

Horst Bleckmann
Institute of Zoology
University of Bonn
Bonn, Germany

Richard R. Fay
Marine Biological Laboratory
Woods Hole, MA, USA

Arthur N. Popper
Department of Biology
University of Maryland
College Park, MD, USA

ISSN 0947-2657

ISSN 2197-1897 (electronic)

ISBN 978-1-4614-8850-7

ISBN 978-1-4614-8851-4 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-1-4614-8851-4

Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013951428

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)



*This volume is dedicated to Phyllis Cahn, Sven Dijkgaaf, and Peter Görner, three early pioneers in the study of the mechanosensory lateral line. Phyllis organized and edited the proceedings of the very first international conference on the lateral line and provided some of the first experimental evidence for a precise role of the lateral line in the schooling behavior of fish. Sven conducted countless behavioral experiments on the function of the lateral line in the context of natural behaviors, leading him to champion the view that this system functioned as a system of “touch-at-a distance” rather than an accessory organ of hearing. Peter, together with his co-workers Barbara Claas and Heinrich Münz, studied the role of the lateral line system in surface feeding and prey localization by *Xenopus*. The breadth and depth of their combined neurophysiological and behavioral (neuroethological) studies are still unmatched.*

Series Preface



The following preface is the one that we published in Volume 1 of the Springer Handbook of Auditory Research back in 1992. As anyone reading the original preface or the many users of the series will note, we have far exceeded our original expectation of eight volumes. Indeed, with books published to date and those in the pipeline, we are now set for more than 50 volumes in SHAR, and we are still open to new and exciting ideas for additional books.

We are very proud that there seems to be consensus, at least among our friends and colleagues, that SHAR has become an important and influential part of the auditory literature. While we have worked hard to develop and maintain the quality and value of SHAR, the real value of the books is very much because of the numerous authors who have given their time to write outstanding chapters and to our many coeditors who have provided the intellectual leadership to the individual volumes. We have worked with a remarkable and wonderful group of people, many of whom have become great personal friends of both of us. We also continue to work with a spectacular group of editors at Springer, currently Ann Avouris. Indeed, several of our past editors have moved on in the publishing world to become senior executives. To our delight, this includes the current president of Springer US, Dr. William Curtis.

But the truth is that the series would and could not be possible without the support of our families, and we want to take this opportunity to dedicate all of the SHAR books, past and future, to them. Our wives, Catherine Fay and Helen Popper, and our children, Michelle Popper Levit, Melissa Popper Levinsohn, Christian Fay, and Amanda Fay, have been immensely patient as we developed and worked on this series. We thank them and state, without doubt, that this series could not have happened without them. We also dedicate the future of SHAR to our next generation of (potential) auditory researchers—our grandchildren—Ethan and Sophie Levinsohn; Emma Levit; and Nathaniel, Evan, and Stella Fay.

Preface 1992

The Springer Handbook of Auditory Research presents a series of comprehensive and synthetic reviews of the fundamental topics in modern auditory research. The volumes are aimed at all individuals with interests in hearing research including advanced graduate students, postdoctoral researchers, and clinical investigators. The volumes are intended to introduce new investigators to important aspects of hearing science and to help established investigators to better understand the fundamental theories and data in fields of hearing that they may not normally follow closely.

Each volume presents a particular topic comprehensively, and each serves as a synthetic overview and guide to the literature. As such, the chapters present neither exhaustive data reviews nor original research that has not yet appeared in peer-reviewed journals. The volumes focus on topics that have developed a solid data and conceptual foundation rather than on those for which a literature is only beginning to develop. New research areas will be covered on a timely basis in the series as they begin to mature.

Each volume in the series consists of a few substantial chapters on a particular topic. In some cases, the topics will be ones of traditional interest for which there is a substantial body of data and theory, such as auditory neuroanatomy (Vol. 1) and neurophysiology (Vol. 2). Other volumes in the series deal with topics that have begun to mature more recently, such as development, plasticity, and computational models of neural processing. In many cases, the series editors are joined by a coeditor having special expertise in the topic of the volume.

Richard R. Fay, Falmouth, MA
Arthur N. Popper, College Park, MD

Volume Preface

Approximately every 20 years, lateral line researchers feel the urge to publish a book on this fascinating yet somewhat enigmatic flow-sensing system. This book is the latest of three volumes dedicated solely to the lateral line system, with the first two being published as conference proceedings (Cahn, P. H., 1967, *Lateral Line Detectors*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press; Coombs, S., Görner, P., & Münz, H., 1989, *The Mechanosensory Lateral Line: Neurobiology and Evolution*. New York: Springer). In keeping with the spirit of SHAR, this new book differs from earlier conference proceedings in that it is not a collection of research papers presented at a conference but rather a set of invited chapters from leading experts in the field. Each chapter serves as a guide to the relevant literature (especially from the last 20 years) and to the key concepts and issues surrounding the development, evolution, neurobiology, and function of the lateral line.

Readers might ask why the lateral line system, possessed by only fish and aquatic amphibians, is included in a series of books on the auditory sciences. There are several good reasons for including it, not the least of which is historical precedence for linking the two systems together into a single “acousticolateralis” system. Coombs and Bleckmann provide a brief historical account of this long-term association and how it has influenced the evolution of our thinking on the lateral line system. In addition, their chapter highlights some of the key discoveries in the field up until the time of the second lateral line volume in 1989. Braun and Sand continue the acousticolateralis theme by discussing areas of functional overlap and nonoverlap between the two systems.

A primary reason for the historical linking of lateral line and auditory systems together is the obvious fact that sense organs in both systems use mechanosensory hair cells. Indeed, the ease of access to the superficially located hair cells of the lateral line system make this sense a powerful model system for addressing basic questions on hair cell biology. This is seen in the chapter by Coffin, Brignuli, Raible, and Rubel, which discusses how the lateral line system of zebrafish is currently being used as a powerful model system for molecular and genetic studies of hair cell loss and regeneration as well as a tool (bioassay) for screening ototoxic agents.

More basic information about the structure and development of the lateral line system in zebrafish as well as in a wide variety of other species can be found in the chapter by Webb. This chapter serves as a source of inspiration and information on other species that could be used as new model systems for addressing other interesting questions, such as the relationship between structure and function or the developmental mechanisms that underlie the evolution of anatomical diversity.

For readers seeking a primer on the physical principles governing the response properties of the lateral line, the chapters by McHenry and Liao and by van Netten and McHenry explain the basic hydrodynamic and biomechanical features of the flow-sensing lateral line while summarizing the key research in each area. They additionally demonstrate how important it will be for future researchers to integrate computational modelling and flow-visualization techniques with more traditional neurophysiological and behavioral techniques for a much better understanding of the lateral line system.

No book on the lateral line would be complete without a chapter on the behavioral relevance of this sensory system to the lives of fish. Indeed, great strides in our understanding of this system have come from a deeper appreciation of how flow impacts the daily lives of fish, a common thread throughout many chapters. Accordingly, the chapter by Montgomery, Bleckmann, and Coombs focuses on the role of the lateral line in natural fish behaviors in the rich tradition of sensory ecology and neuroethology. The overall goal of this chapter is to provide a better understanding of the neurobiological basis of behavior by considering how fish use their lateral line system to meet life's basic necessities, such as finding food or avoiding predators, and how both behavior and the environment shape the information that is available to the lateral line.

Following through on that same theme, the chapters by Chagnaud and Coombs and by Bleckmann and Mogdans examine how behaviorally relevant information is shaped and encoded by the peripheral and central nervous systems. In their chapter, Wullimann and Grothe provide background information on the organization and evolution of the central nervous system, taking into account the relationship between the lateral line and the closely related auditory and electrosensory systems.

As always with SHAR volumes, this volume builds on past contributions in the series. Although we have not yet had a book on the lateral line, there are related chapters in a number of volumes. These include *Comparative Hearing: Fish and Amphibians* (Vol. 11, edited by Fay and Popper); *Electroreception* (Vol. 21, edited by Bullock, Hopkins, Popper, and Fay); and *Fish Bioacoustics* (Vol. 32, edited by Webb, Fay, and Popper).

Sheryl Coombs, Bowling Green, OH
Horst Bleckmann, Bonn, Germany
Richard R. Fay, Falmouth, MA
Arthur N. Popper, College Park, MD

Contents

Series Preface	vii
Volume Preface	ix
Contributors	xiii
1 The Gems of the Past: A Brief History of Lateral Line Research in the Context of the Hearing Sciences	1
Sheryl Coombs and Horst Bleckmann	
2 Morphological Diversity, Development, and Evolution of the Mechanosensory Lateral Line System	17
Jacqueline F. Webb	
3 The Hydrodynamics of Flow Stimuli	73
Matthew J. McHenry and James C. Liao	
4 The Biophysics of the Fish Lateral Line	99
Sietse M. van Netten and Matthew J. McHenry	
5 Sensory Ecology and Neuroethology of the Lateral Line	121
John Montgomery, Horst Bleckmann, and Sheryl Coombs	
6 Information Encoding and Processing by the Peripheral Lateral Line System	151
Boris Philippe Chagnaud and Sheryl Coombs	
7 The Central Nervous Organization of the Lateral Line System . . .	195
Mario F. Wullimann and Benedikt Grothe	
8 Central Processing of Lateral Line Information	253
Horst Bleckmann and Joachim Mogdans	

9 Functional Overlap and Nonoverlap Between Lateral Line and Auditory Systems 281
Christopher B. Braun and Olav Sand

10 Hearing Loss, Protection, and Regeneration in the Larval Zebrafish Lateral Line 313
Allison B. Coffin, Heather Brignull, David W. Raible,
and Edwin W. Rubel

Contributors

Horst Bleckmann Institute of Zoology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Christopher B. Braun Department of Psychology, Hunter College (CUNY), New York, NY, USA

Heather Brignull Department of Biological Structure, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Boris Philippe Chagnaud Department of Biology II, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany

Allison B. Coffin Neuroscience Program, Washington State University, Vancouver, WA, USA

Sheryl Coombs Department of Biological Sciences and JP Scott Center for Neuroscience, Mind and Behavior, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH, USA

Benedikt Grothe Graduate School of Systemic Neurosciences & Department Biology II, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität Munich, Munich, Germany

James C. Liao Whitney Laboratory for Marine Bioscience, Department of Biology, University of Florida, St. Augustine, FL, USA

Matthew J. McHenry Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, 321 Steinhaus Hall, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

Joachim Mogdans Institute of Zoology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

John Montgomery School of Biological Sciences and Department of Marine Science, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

David W. Raible Department of Biological Structure, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Edwin W. Rubel Virginia Merrill Bloedel Hearing Research Center, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Olav Sand Department of Molecular Biosciences, Physiology Program, University of Oslo, 1041, Blindern, Oslo, Norway

Sietse M. van Netten Department of Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Engineering, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh, The Netherlands

Jacqueline F. Webb Center for Biotechnology and Life Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA

Mario F. Wullimann Graduate School of Systemic Neurosciences and Department Biology II, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität Munich, Munich, Germany

The Gems of the Past: A Brief History of Lateral Line Research in the Context of the Hearing Sciences

Sheryl Coombs and Horst Bleckmann

Keywords Acousticolateralis • Adaptive filter • Auditory • Efferent system • Electrosensory • Hair cell • Historical review • Inner ear • Lateral line • Modifiable efference copy • Octavolateralis

1 Introduction

In this day and age of Internet search engines, information overload, online journals, and pressures to publish at record-breaking paces, it is somewhat dismaying, but perhaps understandable, that the contributions of our academic forefathers are too often lost and forgotten. Yet, as any true scholar knows, the older literature contains many “gems” that young investigators coming into their fields would do well to read and understand. In reading some of these classics, they will be surprised as well as inspired by the many insights and research ideas that the older literature contains and that are ripe for the plucking. One such example in lateral line research is the classic 50-page review paper by Dijkgraaf (1962), a veritable gold mine of information for anyone interested in the lateral line. The goal of this chapter is to inspire an interest in the classics by identifying and highlighting some of the key players, discoveries, and debates that have shaped our understanding of lateral line function, especially in the context of the hearing sciences. More details on the

S. Coombs (✉)

Department of Biological Sciences and J. P. Scott Center for Neuroscience, Mind and Behavior, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH 43403, USA
e-mail: scoombs@bgsu.edu

H. Bleckmann

Institute of Zoology, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany

history of the field can be found in several early reviews (Parker, 1904; Johnson, 1917; Wright, 1951; Dijkgraaf, 1962) and two lateral line conference proceedings (Cahn, 1967; Coombs et al., 1989).

2 Early Notions on the Sensory Nature and Function of the Lateral Line

The earliest description of the lateral line was published in the 17th century by Stenonis (aka Stensen, Steno; 1664), who, according to Leydig (1868), described lateral line structures (canal pores) in elasmobranchs (sharks, skates, and rays). A few years later, Lorenzini (1678) reported additional pored structures that would later be called the ampullae of Lorenzini. The notion that these and other superficially located ampullary and tuberous organs on freshwater bony fishes might have an entirely different function from that of the “ordinary” lateral line did not become clearly evident until the discovery of electroreceptors nearly three centuries later (Lissmann & Machin, 1958; Murray, 1960; Bullock et al., 1961). Thus, throughout most of scientific history, mechanosensory and electrosensory organs have been lumped together under the lateral line umbrella as if they were one and the same system. Even though there is now ample evidence that each system is unique in its own right, responding to fundamentally different types of stimuli and with separate pathways and processing regions in the brain (McCormick, 1982), the term “lateral line” is still used in connection with both systems (e.g., lateral line nerves that innervate electroreceptors). This is, in no small part, due to the close developmental and evolutionary ties between the two systems (see the chapter by Wullimann & Grothe). Nevertheless, the term lateral line is becoming increasingly reserved for the mechanosensory component alone, and this is the convention adopted here and in most of the other chapters in this volume.

Likely biased by his own research interests in glands and lymph nodes, Stenonis (1664) proposed slime (mucus) production as the main function of the lateral line. This view of lateral line function remained essentially unchanged for the next 200 years until the German anatomist Franz Leydig published his influential paper on the “sixth sense” (Leydig, 1868) (Fig. 1). Leydig’s paper reviewed the anatomical evidence for the sensory nature of the lateral line, beginning with his discovery of large, easily identified sense organs in the wide head canals of the ruffe (*Gymnocephalus cernua*), which Leydig called “Nervenknöpfe” (nerve buttons; Fig. 2) (Leydig, 1850, 1851), and culminating with the discovery by Schulze (1861) (Fig. 1) of a second type of sense organ (“Seitenorgane”) on the skin surface of fish and aquatic amphibians (Fig. 3). These two types of sense organs are now recognized as belonging to two distinct submodalities of the lateral line: canal (CN) and superficial (SN) neuromasts. Each submodality can be distinguished in terms of not only developmental and morphological characteristics (chapter by



Fig. 1 Two 19th-century pioneers—Franz Leydig and Franz Schulze—who provided some of the first anatomical evidence for the sensory nature of lateral line sense organs, as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3

Müller, Arctic, 1850.




Fig. 2 Large canal neuromasts (Nervenknöpfe) on the head of the ruffe (*Gymnocephalus cernua*). The canal roof has been removed to reveal the underlying neuromasts in the supra-orbital, infra-orbital, and preopercular-mandibular canals. [From Leydig (1850) as reprinted in Dijkgraaf (1989)]

Webb), but also function, as evidenced from hydrodynamic (chapter by McHenry & Liao), biomechanical (chapter by van Netten & McHenry), physiological (chapter by Chagnaud & Coombs), and behavioral (chapter by Montgomery, Bleckmann, & Coombs) studies.




Fig. 3 Superficial neuromasts (Seitenorganröhren) along the lateral surface of the trunk (as seen from a dorsal view, **a**) and along the caudal fin rays (**b**) of the sand goby (*Pomatoschistus minutus*). In (**c**), a cephalic neuromast from a 16-mm larval *Triton taeniatus* (current genus species unknown) illustrating the pear-shaped sensory cells (hair cells) with their apical hairs projecting into an overlying cupula. [From Schulze (1850)]

3 The Big Debate: Is the Lateral Line a Sense of Hearing, Touch, or Something in Between?

When Schulze (1861) identified the features (pear-shaped sensory cells innervated by nerve fibers) that revealed the sensory nature of his Seitenorgane (Fig. 3b), he also described for the first time the fragile and nearly translucent structure (cupula) that covered them (Fig. 3b). Many years later, Schulze made the important discovery that the cupulae of these SNs could be displaced by weak water currents, leading him to suggest that water motions, but perhaps also low-frequency sound waves, were the relevant stimuli (Schulze, 1870). Following up on this idea, Dercum (1879) proposed that even CNs could be stimulated by water motions over the skin surface (and canal pores) by virtue of induced fluid motions inside the canals. It is now known from the classic work of Sir Eric Denton and Sir John Gray (reviewed in Denton and Gray, 1988, 1989) that accelerating flows (or, in other words, pressure differences) along canal pores cause fluid movements inside the canal (see also the chapters by McHenry & Liao and Chagnaud & Coombs).

The first experimental evidence that the lateral line responds to flowing water, what Sven Dijkgraaf (1989) would later call the “true” function of the lateral line,

was provided by the German ichthyologist Bruno Hofer (1908). Hofer observed the ability of blinded northern pike (*Esox lucius*) to orient to water currents and to avoid collisions with the walls of the aquarium. Both of these behaviors were abolished when the lateral line nerves were cut. From these experiments, Hofer concluded that fish use their lateral line to “feel at a distance,” and further that rheotaxis (orientation to water currents) must be the principal behavioral function of the lateral line. Dijkgraaf (1934) challenged the rheotaxis idea after repeating the experiments of Lyon (1904) to confirm that rheotaxis relied mainly on visual and, to a lesser extent, tactile senses, but not the lateral line. Ironically, some 60 years later, Montgomery et al. (1997) discovered a subtle role for lateral line SNs, but not CNs, in the ability of fish to orient to slow but not fast currents.

Unfortunately, Hofer’s little-known finding (having been published in an obscure fisheries journal) was overlooked (Dijkgraaf, 1989), and the prevailing view during most of the 19th and well into the 20th century was that the lateral line was an accessory organ of hearing specialized for the detection of low-frequency sound. Lowenstein (1967) credits the pivotal review paper of Mayser (1882) for the popularity of this view, which persisted for many decades, despite evidence that the inner ear and not the lateral line mediated behavioral responses of fish to low-frequency sound (von Frisch, 1923; von Frisch & Stetter, 1932). According to Lowenstein (1967), Mayser was a physician at the County Psychiatric Hospital in Munich, who, after an extensive review of the literature, proclaimed that “the mucous canals of fishes are nothing else than an accessory hearing organ spread over the whole body surface” (p. 5).

It is worth noting that the primary support for a hearing function of the lateral line was the shared anatomical characteristics of the two systems, including receptor cell structure (e.g., Schulze, 1861), close proximity during development (Wilson & Mattocks, 1897), and nerve fibers that appeared to originate from the same area of the hindbrain, the so-called acoustic tubercle (Mayser, 1882; see also the chapter by Braun & Sand). The evidence for a common termination site for both lateral line (including electrosensory component) and auditory nerve fibers was later augmented by the classic neuroanatomical studies of Pearson (1936a, b), Larsell (1967), and others on the “acusticolateralis area” of the hindbrain (reviewed in the chapter by Wullimann & Grothe).

Direct physiological evidence for lateral line responses to “sound” was not provided until the classic study of Harris and van Bergeijk (1962) on the responses of lateral line nerve fibers to the low-frequency vibrations of a nearby sound source. Their paper was very instructive, not only because it provided direct physiological evidence of responsiveness, but also because it provided additional insight as to the physical nature of the stimulus likely to excite the lateral line. Harris and van Bergeijk (1962) emphasized for biologists what was already known by physicists: that in the near field of a sound source, water behaves as if it is both compressible and incompressible, and thus both water motions (bulk flow) and propagated sound pressure waves are generated. Given that the near-field duality extends over distances significantly greater than a typical fish body length, especially at low frequencies (e.g., ~2 m at 100 Hz and 20 m at 10 Hz), the near field takes on

additional biological significance for fish. Knowing that the lateral line is actually responding to the local incompressible flow rather than the pressure wave, as Dijkgraaf (1934) and also Harris and van Bergeijk (1962) correctly assumed, the argument about the function of the lateral line and what fish “perceive” through the lateral line now becomes one of semantics and how sound and hearing are defined. If sound is defined strictly as propagated pressure waves, then it would be difficult to conclude that the “typical”¹ lateral line is an accessory organ of hearing. If, however, sound close to the source is defined as a combination of both flow and propagated pressure waves, then the idea of the lateral line as an accessory organ of hearing is quite plausible.¹

van Bergeijk (1967) was careful to point out that although he and Harris could not “say very much about *what* the fish perceives” (p. 73) from their physiological studies, they could at the very least, say something about “the class of stimuli that fish *could* perceive” (p. 73). Although it is nearly impossible to know *what* fish actually perceive through their lateral line, the seminal studies of Catherine McCormick tell us that information from the lateral line in most fishes is processed by areas and pathways in the brain that are separate from those that process either auditory or electrosensory information (McCormick, 1982, 1989; reviewed in the chapter by Wullimann & Grothe). Thus, if the concept of labeled lines (separate pathways for different sensory modalities) applies to sensory perceptions, it follows that lateral line perceptions, called “svenning” by Platt et al. (1989) in honor of Sven Dijkgraaf, are distinct from the auditory perceptions of hearing.

In the foreword to Phyllis Cahn’s 1967 book *Lateral Line Detectors*, George von Békésy (who won the Nobel Prize in Medicine or Physiology for his research on the function of the mammalian cochlea) wrote “When thinking about the lateral line system in fish, I always found myself starting with the physical stimulus, then going over to physiology, from there to gross anatomy and histology, and then back to physics again, and finally trying to make both ends of this ring fit”(p. ix). This fundamental approach to understanding sensory function was typical of many sensory psychologists and physiologists at the time (especially in America) and was certainly consistent with the approach that Harris and van Bergeijk (1962) took to understand sensory function at the level of isolated lateral line sense organs. In contrast, Dijkgraaf’s seminal behavioral work in the Netherlands, likely inspired by the European ethologists Konrad Lorenz and Niko Tinbergen, paved the way for a fuller appreciation for the behavioral relevance of the lateral line at the level of the whole animal (as reviewed in the chapter by Montgomery, Bleckmann, & Coombs). Among other things, Dijkgraaf (1934, 1947) was instrumental in following up on Hofer’s (1908) original studies to

¹There are rare cases in which parts of the lateral line system may be adapted for pressure detection via a close association with compressible gas cavities, e.g., clupeids (Denton & Blaxter, 1976; Gray, 1984), chaetodontids (Webb & Blum, 1990), and some silurids (Bleckmann et al., 1991). There is also evidence that the lateral line/gas cavity association may be involved in ultrasound detection in some clupeids (Wilson et al., 2009).

show that blinded fish could detect nearby stationary obstacles by virtue of the distortions they created in the fish's self-generated flow field. Dijkgraaf called this ability *Ferntastsinn* or "touch-at-a-distance." Remarkably, Knox (1825) had similar ideas a century earlier when he proposed that this was a system of "touch, so modified, however, as to hold an intermediate place between the sensations of touch and hearing" (as quoted by Parker, 1904, p.186). In any event, touch-at-a-distance is now generally accepted as a more apt description of lateral line function than hearing, and there is now considerable hydrodynamic and behavioral evidence for this ability in blind cavefish (*Astyanax mexicanus*; reviewed in Windsor et al., 2008, 2010).

Semantics aside, it is becoming abundantly clear that low-frequency vibrations of a nearby body are capable of evoking neural responses from both lateral line and auditory nerve fibers, as well as both conditioned and unconditioned behaviors (reviewed in the chapter by Braun & Sand). This should be of particular interest to researchers interested in fish bioacoustics because many, if not all, biologically relevant sound sources produce complex hydroacoustic near fields that are capable of stimulating both the ear and lateral line of fish. Although in most fishes the ability of the lateral line to respond to the hydrodynamic (incompressible flow) component of a sound source is limited to the near field, many fish behaviors are as well. Thus, the different bits and pieces of information that each sense encodes are likely to be combined in the nervous system in as yet unknown ways to affect behavior. As Braun and Sand suggest in their chapter, many questions remain about the functional overlap between the two mechanosensory modalities—perhaps most importantly, how and where in the brain is information from the two senses integrated and to what behavioral effect?

4 Historical Contributions of Lateral Line Research to the Hearing Sciences

Although the auditory and lateral line systems of fish and amphibians are now regarded as separate sensory systems, the striking similarities and functional overlap between them continue to inform us about shared principles of operation. The sections that follow provide examples of how lateral line research has contributed to the hearing sciences in particular, but also to a broader understanding of hair cell systems in general.

4.1 A Unifying Concept of Hair Cell Function

Åke Flock's anatomical and physiological studies on the lateral line canal system of the freshwater burbot (*Lota lota*) in the 1960s and early 1970s (Flock & Wersäll,

1962; Flock, 1965a, b; Harris et al., 1970) were instrumental in providing a conceptual framework of hair cell function that could explain the variability of observed physiological responses in different systems, including those in the cochlea, semicircular canals, and otolithic end organs of vertebrates. As was well known at the time, hair cells in all of these end organs share basic features, including most especially the anatomical asymmetry of the hair bundle, which gives rise to the directional sensitivity of the hair cell (reviewed in the chapter by Chagnaud & Coombs). Flock's hypothesis of how hair cells respond to opposite directions of displacement (now known to be correct) helped to resolve the apparent discrepancies between extracellular responses recorded from different hair cell end organs. That is, the summed extracellular responses from cochlear and semicircular canal hair cells faithfully followed the frequency of the stimulus, whereas those in the lateral line exhibited a doubling of the frequency – the so-called *double microphonic* response (Jielof et al., 1952) (Fig. 4).

Flock was astute enough to realize that the differences were based on the grouping of hair cells into two, oppositely oriented, populations in the lateral line, but into a single population of similar orientations in the cochlea and crista ampullaris of the semicircular canals. He reasoned that it would be impossible to get any microphonic responses at all from the lateral line if the receptor potentials of oppositely oriented hair cells were equal in amplitude because they would effectively cancel each other out. Based on this reasoning, he and his colleague Jan Wersäll proposed a theory of hair cell function that included a nonlinear component; that is, that displacement of the stereovilli in the best excitatory direction causes a depolarizing response, whereas an equal displacement in the opposite direction causes a hyperpolarizing response of much smaller magnitude (Flock & Wersäll, 1962) (Fig. 4). Flock then went on to demonstrate via several clever experiments that he could turn a double microphonic response into a single microphonic response by simultaneously biasing the responses of opposing populations of hair cells with a static displacement of the cupula, effectively eliminating the contribution of one population (Flock 1965b). It goes without saying that Flock's contributions to hair cell function scarcely ended there; his initial work on the lateral line launched a long and distinguished career in the hearing sciences.

4.2 *The Octavolateralis Efferent System*

There is no question that both the lateral line and the auditory system of fish share a common efferent supply from the octavolateralis efferent nucleus in the hind-brain and that with rare exceptions, efferent innervation is a fundamental feature of all vertebrate hair cell systems (Roberts & Meredith, 1989). For reasons still not well understood, efferent innervation does not extend to the closely allied electrosensory system (Bodznick, 1989). Early lateral line studies played a substantial role in determining the pharmacology of the efferent synapse (Russell, 1971b; Flock & Russell, 1973; Flock & Lam, 1974), as well as the inhibitory




Fig. 4 Illustration from Flock and Wersäll (1962) to explain how the summed extracellular (microphonic) potentials of two hair cell organs can differ when their overlying cupula is sinusoidally displaced in two opposing directions (thin arrows). The microphonic potential of lateral line neuromasts (bottom panel) is twice the frequency of the sinusoidal stimulus, whereas that of the crista ampullaris (vestibular sense organ in the semicircular canals, top panel) is the same. The difference arises because all hair cells (HCs) in the crista ampullaris have the same orientation, whereas those in the lateral line are divided into two, oppositely oriented populations. HC orientation is determined by the arrangement of stereovilli (open circles) relative to a single, eccentrically placed kinocilium (filled circle). Because depolarizing responses of individual HCs to stimulus directions in the best excitatory direction (in the direction of the kinocilium, as indicated by the thick arrows) are larger in magnitude than hyperpolarizing responses to the opposite direction, oppositely oriented populations of hair cells in the lateral line system give rise to a summed potential that is double the frequency of the applied stimulus

effects of the efferent system on spontaneous and evoked activity (Russell, 1971a; Flock & Russell, 1973). It is now known that the efferent system has both excitatory and inhibitory effects that mediate complex, context-dependent modulation of hair cell gain through both feed-forward and feedback loops (reviewed by Köppl, 2011 and in the chapter by Chagnaud & Coombs). Toward that end, lateral line studies have contributed to our understanding of how the efferent system operates in animal behavior (Roberts, 1972; Roberts & Russell, 1972; Tricas & Highstein, 1990, 1991). From these studies, it has been shown that the




Fig. 5 Example of how comparisons across different octavolateralis systems can reveal basic principles of organization and operation. First-order, brain stem nuclei (hatched areas in a–e) all share common associations with an overlying molecular layer (ML) of parallel fibers from granule cell masses (stippled regions), which provide descending inputs to the principal (output) cells in the nucleus (f). Different octavolateralis nuclei include (1) the mechanosensory lateral line

fferent system can be activated by stimulation of different sensory modalities, such as touch and vision (Roberts & Russell, 1972; Tricas & Highstein, 1990), but also by motor acts (e.g., vocalization, swimming motions) that cause self-stimulation of octavolateralis sense organs (sensory reafference) (Tricas & Highstein, 1991; Weeg et al., 2005).

4.3 *Adaptive Filters in First-Order Octavolateralis Brain Stem Nuclei*

The octavolateralis efferent system is but one strategy that animals can employ for improving signal-to-noise ratios—especially in the presence of self-generated noise. Mechanisms such as this are extremely important, because the exquisite mechanical sensitivity of hair cells to displacements in the nanometer range render them useless in noisy environments capable of interfering with the detection of biologically relevant signals. Although biomechanical and neural filters for separating signals and noise along different stimulus dimensions (e.g., frequency, intensity, location, or time) are powerful strategies for dealing with this problem, there is yet another strategy that animals use. This strategy relies on prior knowledge of often-repeated and thus “expected” noises that can be adaptively filtered out to improve signal-to-noise ratios. As first discovered by Bell (1981, 1982), the electrosensory lateral line lobe in the medulla of weakly electric mormyrid fish contains an adaptive filter or modifiable efference copy mechanism that constructs a negative image of the expected temporal pattern of reafferent input. Evidence for similar adaptive filter mechanisms in the brain stem regions of other groups of other electric fish, as well as in lateral line (mechanosensory) brain stem regions of both electroreceptive and nonelectroreceptive species, suggests that common features (i.e., cerebellar-like circuitries) are responsible (Fig. 5) (reviewed in Montgomery et al., 1995, Bell et al., 1997, 2008). Interestingly, the shared features extend to the dorsal cochlear nucleus of mammals (reviewed in Montgomery et al., 1995; Bell et al., 1997), and comparisons among different

←
Fig. 5 (continued) nucleus, the medial octavolateralis nucleus (MON), of all fishes (a–d), (2) electrosensory nuclei, the dorsal octavolateralis nucleus (DON) in cartilaginous fishes (a) and the electrosensory lateral line lobe (ELL) in bony fishes (b and c), and (3) the dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN) of the mammalian auditory system (E). Granule cell masses in cartilaginous and bony fishes are called the dorsal granular ridge (DGR, a) and the eminentia granularis (EG, b,c), respectively. In addition, octavolateralis nuclei have similar cell types and circuitries (f), including cerebellar-like Purkinje cells, which function as the principal (output) cells of the nuclei and receive primary afferent input from sense organs on their ventral dendrites, but parallel fiber input from granule cells on their apical dendrites. Parallel fibers in the molecular layer convey information from granule cells, which receive multiple inputs, including motor corollary discharge to inform animals about self-generated noises. [Adapted from Montgomery et al. (1995)]

octavolateralis systems have fueled recent advances in our understanding of the underlying circuits and adaptive filter mechanisms in these brain stem structures (reviewed in Requarth & Sawtell, 2011).

5 Gems of the Future

There is no doubt that lateral line research will continue to be an integral part of the hearing sciences. Current research on the lateral line system of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) is a stellar example. The zebrafish lateral line serves not only as a useful bioassay for screening ototoxic agents (Ou et al., 2010), but also as a powerful model system for molecular, cellular, and genetic studies of hair cell loss and regeneration (reviewed in the chapter by Coffin, Brignull, Raible, & Rubel), as well as pattern formation, development, and morphogenesis (e.g., Dambly-Chaudière et al., 2003; Nagiel et al., 2008; Ma and Raible, 2009).

Research on the lateral line has clearly made (and will continue to make) significant contributions to the hearing sciences. However, it is well worth remembering that lateral line research makes equally important contributions to our understanding of how flow information is utilized by fish and aquatic amphibians in a wide range of amazing behaviors, from simple (e.g., orientation to currents) to more complex (e.g., synchronized schooling maneuvers (reviewed in the chapter by Montgomery, Bleckmann, & Coombs). Given that there are more than 30,000 species of fish, the structural variations in the lateral line across species is a veritable gold mine of structure–function relationships to explore (see the chapter by Webb). Moreover, there is the growing promise that future research on structure–function relationships, as well as on the processing of flow information by the central nervous system (see the chapter by Bleckmann & Mogdans), will inspire novel flow-sensing technologies (e.g., Yang et al., 2006, 2010) and engineering applications, such as the sensory guidance of autonomous underwater vehicles to explore foreign and hostile environments. Thus, this fascinating system will continue to inspire classic research for years to come and in ways not yet imagined.

References

- Bell, C. B. (1981). An efference copy modified by reafferent input. *Science*, 214, 450–453.
- Bell, C. B. (1982). Properties of a modifiable efference copy in electric fish. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 47, 1043–1056
- Bell, C., Bodznick, D., Montgomery, J., & Bastian, J. (1997). The generation and subtraction of sensory expectations within cerebellum-like structures. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, 50(1), 17–31.
- Bell, C. B., Han, V., & Sawtell, N. B. (2008). Cerebellum-like structures and their implications for cerebellar function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 31, 1–24.

- Bleckmann, H., Niemann, U., & Fritzschn, B. (1991). Peripheral and central aspects of the acoustic and lateral line system of a bottom dwelling catfish, *Ancistrus* sp. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 314, 452–466.
- Bodznick, D. A. (1989). Comparisons between electrosensory and mechanosensory lateral line systems. In S. Coombs, P. Görner & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 653–678). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Bullock, T. H., Hagiwara, S., Kusano, K., & Negishi, K. (1961). Evidence for a category of electroreceptors in the lateral line of gymnotid fishes. *Science*, 134, 1426–1427.
- Cahn, P. H., Ed. (1967). *Lateral line detectors*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Coombs, S., Görner, P., & Münz, H. (1989). *The mechanosensory lateral line—neurobiology and evolution* (1st ed.). New York: Springer.
- Dambly-Chaudière, C., Sapede, D., Soubiran, F., Decorde, K., Gompel, N., & Ghysen, A. (2003). The lateral line of zebrafish: A model system for the analysis of morphogenesis and neural development in vertebrates. *Biology of the Cell (Paris)*, 95(9), 579–587.
- Denton, E. J., & Blaxter, J. H. (1976). The mechanical relationships between the clupeid swimbladder, inner ear and lateral line. *Journal of Marine Biological Association*, 56, 787–807.
- Denton, E. J., & Gray, J. A. B. (1988). Mechanical factors in the excitation of the lateral lines of fishes. In J. Atema, R. R. Fay, A. N. Popper, & W. N. Tavolga (Eds.), *Sensory biology of aquatic animals* (pp. 595–617). New York: Springer.
- Denton, E. J., & Gray, J. A. B. (1989). Some observations on the forces acting on neuromasts in fish lateral line canals. In S. Coombs, P. Görner & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 229–246). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Dercum, F. (1879). The lateral sensory apparatus of fishes. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 152–154.
- Dijkgraaf, S. (1934). Untersuchungen über die Funktion der Seitenorgane an Fischen. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Physiologie*, 20, 162–214.
- Dijkgraaf, S. (1947). Über die Reizung des Ferntastsinnes bei Fischen und Amphibien. *Experientia*, 3, 206–208.
- Dijkgraaf, S. (1962). The functioning and significance of the lateral-line organs. *Biological Review*, 38, 51–105.
- Dijkgraaf, S. (1989). A short personal review of the history of lateral line research. In S. Coombs, P. Görner, & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 7–14). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Flock, Å. (1965a). Electron microscopic and electrophysiological studies on the lateral line canal organ. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, 199, 1–90.
- Flock, Å. (1965b). Transducing mechanisms in the lateral line canal organ receptors. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology*, 30, 133–145.
- Flock, Å., & Wersäll, J. (1962). A study of the orientation of the sensory hairs of the receptor cells in the lateral line organ of fish, with special reference to the function of the receptors. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 15, 19–27.
- Flock, Å., & Russell, I. J. (1973). Efferent nerve fibres: Postsynaptic action on hair cells. *Nature New Biology*, 243, 89–91.
- Flock, Å., & Lam, D. M. K. (1974). Neurotransmitter synthesis in inner ear and lateral line sense organs. *Nature*, 249, 142–144.
- Gray, J. (1984). Interaction of sound pressure and particle acceleration in the excitation of the lateral-line neuromasts of sprats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 220, 299–325.
- Harris, G. G., & van Bergeijk, W. A. (1962). Evidence that the lateral-line organ responds to near-field displacements of sound sources in water. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 34, 1831–1841.
- Harris, G. G., Frishkopf, L. S., & Flock, Å. (1970). Receptor potentials from hair cells of the lateral line. *Science*, 167, 76–79.

- Hofer, B. (1908). Studien über die Hautsinnesorgane der Fische. I. Die Funktion der Seitenorgane bei den Fischen. *Berichte der königlichen Bayerischen Biologischen Versuchsstation München*, 1, 115–164.
- Jielof, R., Spoor, A., & deVries, H. (1952). The microphonic activity of the lateral line. *Journal of Physiology*, 116, 137–157.
- Johnson, S. E. (1917). Structure and development of the sense organs of the lateral canal system of selachians (*Mustelus canis* and *Squalus acanthias*). *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 28, 1–74.
- Knox, R. (1825). On the theory of the existence of a sixth sense in fishes; supposed to reside in certain peculiar tubular organs, found immediately under the integuments of the head in sharks and rays. *Journal of Science*, 2, 12–16.
- Köppl, C. (2011). Evolution of the octavolateral efferent system. In D. K. Ryugo, R. R. Fay, & A. N. Popper (Eds.), *Auditory and vestibular efferents* (pp. 217–259). New York: Springer.
- Larsell, O. (1967). *The comparative anatomy and histology of the cerebellum from myxinooids through birds*. Minneapolis: The University of Minnesota Press.
- Leydig, F. (1850). Über die Schleimkanäle der Knochenfische. *Müllers Archiv für Anatomie und Physiologie*, 170–181.
- Leydig, F. (1851). Über die Nervenköpfe in den Schleimkanälen von *Lepidoleprus umbrina* und *corvina*. *Müllers Archiv für Anatomie und Physiologie*, 235–240.
- Leydig, F. (1868). Über Organe eines sechsten Sinnes. *Nova Acta Academia Curios*, 34(5).
- Lissmann, H. W., & Machin, K. E. (1958). The mechanism of object location in *Gymnarchus niloticus*. *Cuv. Journal of Experimental Biology*, 35, 451–486.
- Lorenzini, S. (1678). *Observazioni intorno alle Torpedini*. Firenze, 1678, London, 1705, Angl.
- Lowenstein, O. (1967). The concept of the acousticolateral system. In P. H. Cahn (Ed.), *Lateral line detectors* (pp. 3–11). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Lyon, E. P. (1904). On rheotropism. I. Rheotropism in fishes. *American Journal of Physiology*, 12, 149–161.
- Ma, E. Y., & Raible, D. W. (2009). Signaling pathways regulating zebrafish lateral line development. *Current Biology*, 19(9), R381–R386.
- Mayer, P. (1882). Vergleichend anatomische Studien über das Gehirn der Knochenfische mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Cyprinoiden. *Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie*, 36, 259–364.
- McCormick, C. A. (1982). The organization of the octavolateralis area in actinopterygian fishes: A new interpretation. *Journal of Morphology*, 171, 159–181.
- McCormick, C. A. (1989). Central lateral line mechanosensory pathways in bony fish. In S. Coombs, P. Görner, & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 341–364). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Montgomery, J. C., Coombs, S., Conley, R. A., & Bodznick, D. A. (1995). Hindbrain sensory processing in lateral line, electrosensory and auditory systems: A comparative overview of anatomical and functional similarities. *Auditory Neuroscience*, 1, 207–231.
- Montgomery, J. C., Baker, C. F., & Carton, A. G. (1997). The lateral line can mediate rheotaxis in fish. *Nature*, 389, 960–963.
- Murray, R. W. (1960). Electrical sensitivity of the ampullae of Lorenzini. *Nature*, 187, 957.
- Nagiel, A., Andor-Ardó, D., & Hudspeth, A. (2008). Specificity of afferent synapses onto plane-polarized hair cells in the posterior lateral line of the zebrafish. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 28(34), 8442.
- Ou, H. C., Santos, F., Raible, D. W., Simon, J. A., & Rubel, E. W. (2010). Drug screening for hearing loss: Using the zebrafish lateral line to screen for drugs that prevent and cause hearing loss. *Drug Discovery Today*, 15(7–8), 265–271.
- Parker, G. H. (1904). The function of the lateral line organs in fishes. *Bulletin of the US Bureau of Fisheries*, 24, 185–207.
- Pearson, A. A. (1936a). The acoustico-lateral centers and cerebellum, with fiber connections, of fishes. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 65, 201–294.

- Pearson, A. A. (1936b). The acustico-lateral nervous system in fishes. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 64, 235–273.
- Platt, C., Popper, A. N., & Fay, R. R. (1989). The ear as part of the octavolateralis system. In S. Coombs, P. Görner, & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 633–651). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Requarth, T., & Sawtell, N. B. (2011). Neural mechanisms for filtering self-generated sensory signals in cerebellum-like circuits. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 21(4), 602–608.
- Roberts, B. L. (1972). Activity of lateral-line organs in swimming dogfish. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 56, 105–118.
- Roberts, B. L., & Russell, I. J. (1972). The activity of lateral-line efferent neurones in stationary and swimming dogfish. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 57, 435–448.
- Roberts, B. L., & Meredith, G. E. (1989). The efferent system. In S. Coombs, P. Görner, & H. Münz (Eds.), *The mechanosensory lateral line: Neurobiology and evolution* (pp. 445–459). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Russell, I. J. (1971a). The pharmacology of efferent synapses in the lateral-line system of *Xenopus laevis*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 54, 643–658.
- Russell, I. J. (1971b). The role of the lateral-line efferent system in *Xenopus laevis*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 54, 517–522.
- Schulze, F. E. (1861). Über die Nervenendigung in den sogenannten Schleimkanälen der Fische und über entsprechende Organe der durch Kiemen atmenden Amphibien. *Archiv für Anatomie, Physiologie und wissenschaftliche Medizin*, 28, 759–769.
- Schulze, F. E. (1870). Über die Sinnesorgane der Seitenlinie bei Fischen und Amphibien. *Archiv für Mikroskopie und Anatomie*, 6, 62–88.
- Stenonis (alias Steno or Stensen), N. (1664). *De muscalis et glandulis observationum specimen cum epistolis duabus anatomicis; de anatome rajae*. [from Leydig, 1868 and Johnson, 1917]. Amsterdam: Hafniae: Literis Matthiae Godicchenii.
- Tricas, T. C., & Highstein, S. M. (1990). Visually mediated inhibition of lateral line primary afferent activity by the octavolateralis efferent system during predation in the free-swimming toadfish, *OPSANUS tau*. *Experimental Brain Research*, 83, 233–236.
- Tricas, T. C., & Highstein, S. M. (1991). Action of the octavolateralis efferent system upon the lateral line of free-swimming toadfish, (*Opsanus tau*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 169, 25–37.
- van Bergeijk, W. A. (1967). Introductory comments on lateral line function In P. H. Cahn (Ed.), *Lateral line detectors* (pp. 73–79). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- von Frisch, K. (1923). Ein Zwergwels der kommt wenn man ihm pfeift. *Biologisches Zentralblatt*, 43, 439–446.
- von Frisch, K., & Stetter, H. (1932). Untersuchungen über den Sitz des Gehörsinns bei der Elrize. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Physiologie*, 17, 686–801.
- Webb, J. F., & Blum, S. D. (1990) A swimbladder-lateral line connection in the butterflyfish genus *Chaetodon* (Perciformes: Chaetodontidae). *American Zoologist*, 30, 98.
- Weeg, M. S., Land, B. R., & Bass, A. H. (2005). Vocal pathways modulate efferent neurons to the inner ear and lateral line. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 25(25), 5967–5974.
- Wilson, H. V., & Mattocks, J. E. (1897). The lateral sensory anlage in the salmon. *Anatomischer Anzeiger*, 13, 658–660.
- Wilson, M., Montie, E. W., Mann, K. A., & Mann, D. A. (2009). Ultrasound detection in the gulf menhaden requires gas-filled bullae and an intact lateral line. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 212(21), 3422.
- Windsor, S. P., Tan, D., & Montgomery, J. C. (2008). Swimming kinematics and hydrodynamic imaging in the blind mexican cavefish (*Astyanax fasciatus*). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 211, 2950–2959.
- Windsor, S. P., Norris, S. E., Cameron, S. M., Mallinson, G. D., & Montgomery, J. C. (2010). The flow fields involved in hydrodynamic imaging by blind mexican cave fish (*Astyanax fasciatus*).