

Second Edition

Assessing Neuromotor Readiness for Learning

The INPP Developmental Screening Test
and School Intervention Programme

Sally Goddard Blythe



WILEY Blackwell

Assessing Neuromotor Readiness for Learning

**The INPP Developmental Screening Test
and School Intervention Programme**

Second Edition

Sally Goddard Blythe

WILEY Blackwell

This second edition first published 2025

© 2025 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Edition History

John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1e, 2012)

All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial technologies or similar technologies. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of Sally Goddard Blythe to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Office(s)

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Trademarks: Wiley and the Wiley logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and other countries and may not be used without written permission. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Applied For:

Paperback ISBN: 9781394337736

Cover Design: Wiley

Cover Image: © Ariel Skelley/Getty Images

Set in 12/15pt, JoannaMTStd by Straive, Pondicherry, India

Contents

About the Companion Website	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Rationale for Screening and Remediation of Neuromotor Immaturity	2
1.3 What is the INPP?	2
1.4 The INPP Developmental Movement Programme for Schools	3
1.5 What is Neuromotor Immaturity?	4
1.6 What is the Significance of Primitive Reflexes to Education?	5
1.7 What are Primitive Reflexes?	5
1.8 What is the Significance of Neuromotor Maturity to Education?	6
1.9 What is the Purpose of Developmental Screening Tests?	8
1.10 Why Assess Posture and Balance?	9
1.11 Why Carry Out Assessments for Balance?	9
1.12 What is the Difference Between Static Balance and Dynamic Balance?	10
1.13 What is the Significance of Postural Control in Learning?	10
1.14 Primitive Reflexes: Why Have these Three Reflexes Been Selected for Evaluation?	11
1.14.1 The Asymmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex	11
1.14.2 The Symmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex	12
1.14.3 The Tonic Labyrinthine Reflex	13
1.15 What Evidence is there Linking Balance, Posture and Reflexes to Educational Achievement?	14
1.16 What Evidence is there that Intervention in the form of Movement Programmes Aimed at the Level of Primitive Reflexes Improves Educational Outcomes?	17
1.17 How to Use the Screening Tests	18
References	19

2	Developmental Screening Tests for Use with Children Aged 4–7 Years	23
2.1	General Instructions	23
2.1.1	Scoring	24
2.1.2	Tests	24
2.2	Neuromotor Tests	25
2.2.1	The Romberg Test	25
2.2.2	One Leg Stand	27
2.2.3	The Crawling on Hands and Knees Test	29
2.2.4	Crossing the Midline, Test No. 1	31
2.2.5	Crossing the Midline, Test No. 2	33
2.2.6	Finger and Thumb Opposition Test	35
2.3	Tests for Primitive Reflexes	37
2.3.1	Asymmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (ATNR)	37
2.3.2	The Symmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (STNR)	40
2.3.3	Tonic Labyrinthine Reflex (TLR) – Erect Test	42
2.4	Tests for Visual Perception and Visual-Motor Integration	44
2.4.1	Pencil and Paper Tasks	45
2.4.2	Additional Measures	49
2.5	Score Sheets for 4–7 Year Old Test	50
2.6	Observation Sheets	52
2.7	Interpreting the Scores	53
2.7.1	Tests for Gross Muscle Coordination and Balance (/36)	53
2.7.2	Tests for Primitive Reflexes (/24)	54
2.7.3	Tests for Visual Perception and Visual-Motor Integration (/72)	54
	References	54
3	Developmental Screening Tests for Use with Children From 7 Years of Age	56
3.1	General Instructions	56
3.1.1	Scoring	57
3.1.2	The Tests	57
3.2	Tests for Gross Muscle Coordination and Balance	58
3.2.1	Tandem Walk	58
3.2.2	Walking on the Outsides of the Feet (Fog Test)	60
3.3	Tests for Aberrant Primitive Reflexes	62
3.3.1	The Asymmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (ATNR)	62
3.3.2	Symmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (STNR)	68
3.3.3	The Tonic Labyrinthine Reflex (TLR)	70
3.4	Tests for Oculomotor Functioning	72
3.4.1	Test for Fixation, Convergence and Control of Saccades (VALETT)	73
3.4.2	Test for Visual Integration	74

3.5	Tests for Visual-Speech Recognition	75
3.5.1	Sound Discrimination (Individual Sounds, Sound Blends and Syllables)	75
3.5.2	Sound Synthesis	77
3.6	Tests for Visual Perception and Visual-Motor Integration	78
3.6.1	Visual Perception	78
3.6.2	Visual-Motor Integration (VMI)	80
3.6.3	Spatial Difficulties	81
3.6.4	The Tansley Standard Visual Figures Test	82
3.6.5	Figures Based on the Bender Visual Motor Gestalt Test	83
3.6.6	Scoring the Visual-Perceptual Tests	86
3.6.7	Additional Measures	88
3.7	Score Sheets (7 + Test)	89
3.8	Observation Sheets (7 + Test)	90
3.9	How to Interpret the Scores	91
3.9.1	Tests for Gross Muscle Coordination, Balance and Reflexes	91
3.9.2	Tests for Visual Perception and Visual-Motor Integration	92
3.9.3	Tests for Auditory-Speech Recognition	92
	References	93
4	The INPP Developmental Movement Programme	94
4.1	How to Use the INPP Developmental Movement Programme	94
4.1.1	Developmental Movements	95
4.1.2	Why Use a Movement Programme to Improve Educational Performance?	95
4.1.3	Instructions for Use of the Exercises	97
4.1.4	Guidelines for Schedule for Exercises	98
4.2	The Developmental Movement Programme	98
4.2.1	Introduction	98
4.2.2	Windmills	98
4.2.3	Caterpillar	100
4.2.4	The Curious Caterpillar	101
4.2.5	The Sea Anemone	102
4.2.6	The Octopus (Part 1)	103
4.2.7	The Agitated Caterpillar	104
4.2.8	The Parachute	105
4.2.9	The Octopus (Part 2)	106
4.2.10	The Head Lift	108
4.2.11	The Fish	109
4.2.12	The Lizard	110
4.2.13	Hand to Foot	111
4.2.14	Hand to Knee	112

4.2.15	The Hinge	113
4.2.16	The Bracket	114
4.2.17	Lower Body Rotation	115
4.2.18	Upper Body Rotation	116
4.2.19	The Tadpole Who Turns into a Frog	117
4.2.20	The Drunken Frog	119
4.2.21	The Alligator	120
4.2.22	The Commando Crawl	121
4.2.23	The Cat	122
4.2.24	Three-Point Crawling on Hands and Knees	124
4.2.25	Cross-Pattern Crawling on Hands and Knees	125
4.3	Additional Optional Exercises for Integration of Left and Right	126
4.3.1	Differentiated Angels in the Snow	127
4.3.2	Finger Exercises	130
4.4	Postscript	131
	References	131
Appendix 1: Resources		132
A1.1	Test and Exercise Video Clips	132
A1.2	Training	132
A1.3	Supplementary Resources	132
A1.4	Other Books by the Author	132
A1.5	INPP Training Courses	133
Appendix 2: Chapter 2 Test Resources		134
A2.1	Tansley Standard Figures	134
A2.2	Vertical, Horizontal and Diagonal Lines	135
A2.3	Observation Sheet for Chapter 2 Tests	136
A2.4	Scoring Sheet for Chapter 2 Tests	139
Appendix 3: Chapter 3 Test Resources		141
A3.1	Test for Fixation, Convergence and Control of Saccades	141
A3.2	Test for Visual Integration	142
A3.3	Sound Discrimination (Individual Sounds, Sound Blends and Syllables)	143
A3.4	Sound Synthesis	144
A3.5	The Tansley Standard Visual Figures Test	145
A3.6	Adapted Bender Visual-Gestalt Test	147
A3.7	Observation Sheets for Chapter 3	149
A3.8	Scoring Sheets for Chapter 3	151

About the Companion Website

Assessing Neuromotor Readiness for Learning - The INPP Developmental Screening Test and School Intervention Programme, 2nd Edition is accompanied by a companion website

www.wiley.com/go/blythe/neuromotorreadiness2



The website includes:

- Appendix 2
- Appendix 3

1

Introduction

▶ 1.1 OVERVIEW⁽¹⁾

A significant percentage of children in mainstream schools have been found to have immature motor skills and postural instability.^(2,3,4) Such neuromotor immaturity is often rooted in the continued presence of a cluster of primitive reflexes (normally present in infants up to 6 months of age, and then replaced over time by postural reactions). Research has shown that there is a direct correlation between immature motor skills and educational achievement. With proper guidance and instruction, teachers and other professionals can be trained to screen for signs of such delay, so that appropriate referrals can be made or physical intervention programmes introduced. This book provides all the tools and guidance needed to identify children with such immaturity, implement a physical programme if appropriate and evaluate outcomes.

There are many motor training and movement programmes available, but the model developed by the Institute for Neuro-Physiological Psychology (INPP) described in this book is unique in having been evaluated in practice and offering a means of assessing neuromotor status in the pre-school and school-aged child both at the beginning and at the end of intervention.

The book is organised in three sections:

1. The first section offers a series of screening tests for children aged 4–7 years to assess the presence of three reflexes, control of static balance, coordination, visual perception and visual-motor integration.
2. The second section provides a similar series of screening tests for children from 7 years of age and above.
3. The third section details a complete developmental movement programme designed to be used with whole classes or smaller groups of children over the course of one academic year.

This book is supported by additional test materials and observation and score sheets to download available from www.wiley.com/go/blythe/neuromotorreadiness2. and enhanced by INPP video training materials, available for download purchase from <https://www.inpp.org.uk/accessnmr>.

▶ 1.2 RATIONALE FOR SCREENING AND REMEDIATION OF NEUROMOTOR IMMATURITY

The INPP programme for schools is based on a clinical programme developed at the INPP and it has been used since the 1970s. In 1996, key tests were selected from the INPP full diagnostic assessment and clinical programme and adapted by the author for use with larger groups of children in a school setting.

This adapted series of screening tests is intended to be used by teachers, doctors and other trained professionals involved in child development and education as *a screening tool only*. It will not provide sufficient detailed information to justify a diagnosis, nor is it intended to replace standard neurological examinations, psychological or educational assessments usually carried out by trained psychologists, remedial specialists, medical and other non-medical professionals. It will, however, provide tools that enable a teacher to identify children who are under-achieving as a result of immature neuromotor skills and who are likely to benefit from the INPP programmes or other physical remedial programmes.

The INPP developmental movement programme comprises a series of daily exercises, based on movements normally made by the developing child in the first year of life. These movements must be carried out every day under teacher supervision. One of the major differences between the INPP programme and many other programmes designed to improve coordination and balance, is that the INPP exercises take children back to the very *beginning* of balance training and postural development.

▶ 1.3 WHAT IS THE INPP?

The Institute for Neuro-Physiological Psychology was established in 1975 by psychologist Peter Blythe PhD with several aims in mind:

1. To carry out research into the effects of immaturity in the functioning of the central nervous system (CNS) in children with specific learning difficulties (and adults suffering from anxiety states, agoraphobia and panic disorder).
2. To develop reliable methods of assessing CNS maturity.
3. To devise effective remedial intervention programmes.

Children seen at the INPP are examined on an individual basis using a series of standard medical tests to assess a range of physical abilities:

- gross muscle coordination and balance;
- patterns of motor development;
- cerebellar involvement;
- dysdiadochokinesia (ability to carry out rapid alternate movements);
- aberrant primitive and postural reflexes;
- oculomotor functioning (control of eye movements);
- visual perception;
- visual motor integration (VMI);
- audiometric examination and dichotic listening.

The diagnostic assessment findings provide the basis for an individual regime of physical exercises which the child carries out every day at home under parental supervision. The exercises take between 5 and 10 minutes a day over a period of approximately 12 months. The child is reviewed at 6 to 8 weekly intervals to assess progress and adjust the exercises accordingly.

One of the problems with the INPP clinical programme has always been that it involves detailed assessment on a one-to-one basis, is costly in terms of professional time and can, therefore, only reach a relatively small number of the children who would benefit from this type of assessment and intervention. It was to overcome this problem that, in 1996, the author selected a reduced number of tests from the INPP diagnostic assessment and compiled the shortened screening tests in this book, which have been designed to be used as a *screening device* by teachers and other professionals involved in education and child development, to enable them to identify children with signs of neuromotor immaturity.⁽⁵⁾

▶ 1.4 THE INPP DEVELOPMENTAL MOVEMENT PROGRAMME FOR SCHOOLS

As part of the same package, the author also devised a unique developmental movement programme for use in schools. The concept behind the combined screening tests and developmental programme was that teachers could be trained in a 1-day course how to administer the screening tests, enabling them to identify children with signs of neuromotor immaturity in the classroom and implement effective intervention.

The Developmental Movement Programme is designed to be used with a whole class of children or smaller selected groups for 10 minutes a day, every school day, over the course of one academic year. Teachers do *not* select specific exercises for individual children but use the developmental movements in sequence, progressing according to the abilities of the class with the slowest child setting the pace.

This programme has been widely used in individual schools throughout the UK, in other European countries and as far afield as South Africa and Mexico. Research carried out on the screening tests and developmental movement programme has consistently shown that:

1. Neuromotor immaturity is a factor amongst children in mainstream schools.
2. There is a link between neuromotor immaturity and lower educational performance.
3. The INPP Developmental Movement Programme is effective in reducing markers of neuromotor immaturity.
4. When clear signs of neuromotor immaturity (> 25%) and educational under-achievement coexist, children who followed the INPP developmental movement programme showed greater improvements in measures of non-verbal cognitive performance and reading.⁽⁶⁾
5. Small group studies have indicated that children who were under-achieving by more than a year in reading and who had clear signs of neuromotor immaturity (> 50%) made significantly increased gains in reading at the end of the year having followed the INPP Developmental Movement Programme.⁽⁷⁾

▶ 1.5 WHAT IS NEUROMOTOR IMMATURITY?

Neuromotor performance describes a complex functional behaviour which results from activation of the central and peripheral nervous systems and involves motor structures which operate through the musculoskeletal system involving multiple inputs from the individual's internal and external environment. The systems and structures responsible for movement within an individual are constantly evolving throughout the developmental process, but at certain stages in development a child is expected to have attained a certain level of neuromotor performance. Motor milestones and motor performance provide outward signs of functional neuromotor maturity.

Neuromotor immaturity describes the retention of immature patterns of movement control. These may occur as a result of classical neurological signs (pathology) or be reflective of a functional or developmental delay in the pathways involved. The INPP screening tests are aimed at identifying various 'soft signs'* of neurological dysfunction together with the presence of three primitive reflexes in the school-aged population. These tests do not point to causation, nor do they predict learning outcomes in individuals, but they can help to identify the presence of obstacles to educational achievement. Furthermore, in many cases, these obstacles can be removed with the use of a specific developmental movement programme.

*Soft sign – a mild or slight neurologic abnormality that does not provide specific information about cause or locus of the problem.

▶ 1.6 WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PRIMITIVE REFLEXES TO EDUCATION?

Primitive reflexes are included in the INPP screening tests because the presence of primitive reflexes at key stages in development provides acknowledged signposts of maturity in the functioning of the CNS. While doctors, midwives and health visitors are familiar with assessment of the primitive reflexes at birth, and tests for primitive reflexes are repeated at developmental check-ups in the first 6 months of postnatal life, if development appears to be progressing normally in the first year then these tests are not repeated in the pre-school or school-aged child. Capute⁽⁸⁾ noted:

While motor milestones – the neurodevelopmental functional end point of the transitioning of the immature and mature primitive reflexes into volitional activity – have been highlighted in predicting future motor function, the primitive reflexes represent the earliest neurodevelopmental markers available for study. By paediatricians becoming familiar with their quantitative and qualitative aspects, coupled with the time of their appearance and suppression, they will have this neuromotor tool available for the early detection of a significant motor handicap. Primitive reflexes have been highlighted since they are available at birth to be clinically evaluated and followed during sequential office visits during the first six months of life, the time during which infants are more closely followed at office visits. Delay or deviancy (non-sequential appearance) of motor milestones are preceded by an exaggeration or delayed suppression of the primitive reflexes.

Residual primitive reflexes in the school-aged child are not necessarily the primary cause of difficulties observed in the classroom but, rather, signify immaturity in the functioning of the CNS and associated pathways, which support many higher aspects of learning.

▶ 1.7 WHAT ARE PRIMITIVE REFLEXES?

Primitive reflexes are a group of reflexes that develop during life in the womb, are fully developed at birth in the full-term baby (40 weeks) and are gradually inhibited and transformed into more mature patterns and postural abilities during the first 6 months of postnatal life. Only one of the primitive reflexes, the Tonic Labyrinthine Reflex (TLR), can remain in a modified form up to 3.5 years of age.

Inhibition and transformation occur primarily as a result of maturation within the developing central nervous system. Primitive reflexes never entirely disappear but become inhibited as 'higher' centres in the brain mature in the first months of life. Primitive reflexes can remain active if there has been damage to higher centres in early life, such as cerebral palsy, or if there is accident or damage to higher brain

centres in later life, for example after a stroke, head injury or in degenerative diseases of the CNS, such as multiple sclerosis or Alzheimer's disease. According to medical theory, primitive reflexes should not remain active in the general population beyond 6 months of age, and, if elicited beyond this age, are usually considered to be indicative of underlying pathology.

However, there is an increasing body of evidence which suggests that *traces* of primitive reflexes (residual reflexes) can remain active in the general population in the absence of identified pathology.^(1,2,3,4,6,9,10,11,12) These individuals often do not fit into a particular diagnostic category, but the development of certain motor functions necessary to support higher aspects of learning do not remain commensurate with chronological age. Residual presence of primitive reflexes in children over the age of 6 months can, therefore, provide indications of neuromotor immaturity, which acts as a barrier to learning.

Assessment of primitive reflexes beyond the first 6 months of life provides the clinician or educator with tools with which to:

1. identify signs of neuromotor immaturity (*identification*);
2. assess the type and level of intervention that is appropriate for the child (*intervention/remediation*);
3. measure change in reflex status before and after intervention (*evaluation*).

▶ 1.8 WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF NEUROMOTOR MATURITY TO EDUCATION?

A longitudinal study tracking the progress of nearly 15 000 children who were born in the UK between 2000 and 2001⁽¹³⁾ released findings in February 2010. It showed that children who failed at 9 months to reach four key milestones in gross motor development relating to sitting unaided, crawling, standing and taking their first steps were found to be five points behind on average cognitive ability tests taken at 5 years of age compared with those who passed the milestones. 'Delay in gross and fine motor development in a child's first year, was significantly associated with cognitive development and behavioural adjustment at five'.⁽¹⁴⁾

Readiness for school requires much more than a child simply reaching the chronological age for school entry. To perform well in an educational environment, a child needs to be able to: sit still; focus attention on one task without being distracted by irrelevant environmental stimuli; hold and manipulate a writing instrument; and control the eye movements necessary to maintain a stable image on the page, follow a line of print without the eyes 'jumping' or losing their place and adjust visual focus between different distances at speed. These are physical abilities, which are linked to the development and maturation of motor skills and postural control. Growth and physical development are as important to education as they are to the field of developmental medicine but have been largely ignored by the educational