

CATHERINE
DUMONT



THE CLIMATE
CHANGE
HOAX

GLOBAL WARMING DECEPTION



Introduction

In early 1980, Western television was fueled by American TV shows that have now become cult series. Viewers' attention was divided between the different options: the adventures of a duo of amusing policemen, the unrealistic story of a cyborg or the weepy saga about a family living on the prairie.

While it was unprecedented at the time, a thirteen-chapter scientific TV series managed to take off and enter into the minds of the parents and children of the time, who are now parents. It was *Cosmos: A Personal Voyage*, written and presented by science communicator Carl Sagan.

Some episodes of the series hinted at nuclear warfare, which is not likely to happen nowadays. However, it also introduced the first statement about the dangers of climate change caused by humans.

In the midst of the Cold War, Sagan was the first thinker who mentioned a "warm war". For the first time in history, someone in the mass media warned us about the existence of a phenomenon that could accelerate the decline of our planet. Several decades later, that issue is surprisingly relevant.

“Global Warming” is an extended ideology, a sort of moral assumption, a given truth. The idea surged in the 1970s and boomed in the 1990s. Then it became dominant and now is part of the global agenda, it’s within most government’s policies and it is an undeniable fact. But is it real? We receive catastrophic warnings about a terrible future if we don’t stop producing CO₂, which is believed to be the cause of the raise in temperatures. There are environmental journalists that deliver panic each time there is a climatic event and remind us that it’s all our fault, ignoring there have always been droughts and hurricanes and floods and snow storms.

In 2021, Bill Gates released a book on climate change, in which he proposes humanity should reduce greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide equivalents) from 51 billion tons a year to zero. In his opinion, that’s the only way to stop global warming and avoid huge catastrophes. Our kids receive that same information at school, and many of them come back home terrified of what will happen if their parents don’t stop using cars, for example.

There are other issues that come into play. Electricity is a means of development. For most poor and developing countries, it is the only way to improve their standards of living and to become industrialized countries. There is also a crusade on cryptocurrencies because they consume huge amounts of energy, and over this issue two of the most powerful and wealthiest men on earth are confronted, even when they are both working on the global warming agenda: Bill Gates and Elon Musk. Musk has supported Bitcoin and the cryptos (and has influenced on their prices every time he made a public comment on them), while Bill Gates is one of the crusaders against the “trashcoins”.

Energy is not an innocent subject. The whole industrial revolution was based on it, and its consumption levels are evidently related to income per capita levels, as Gates shows in his book. Oil became so important that there were at least four armed confrontations caused by it. There are billions of dollars at stake (both on policies and on research), while the future of developing countries depends on it. Also, a great part of the scientific community has been silenced so that the “global warming” truth is fully accepted. Former Vice President Al Gore was heading the “global warming” crusade (he even won a Nobel Peace Prize for his efforts) and released in 2006 a documentary named “*An uncomfortable truth*” to show how dramatic the climate change situation was. He shared the Nobel Peace Prize together with the IPCC, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, a UN organization that is highly challenged by hundreds of scientists for manipulating or ignoring evidence in order to back the “climate change” narrative. There was even a “Climate Gate” in 2009, when a hacker released thousands of mails that showed how figures were manipulated to give the idea that temperatures were raising, when in fact they were not.

It is said that global temperatures have raised 1 degree Celsius since pre industrial times, and could make a second degree by 2100. Apocalyptic predictions are made: fires, glaciers melting and raising sea levels, diseases, extinction. But at the same time many scientists claim that global warming as a threat is not that evident, and the same happens with attributing a direct relation between temperatures and CO₂ emissions. Since 1998, more than 30,000 scientists signed a petition agreeing that there is no scientific evidence to support the idea that man made global warming exists. Is it just an argument to keep part of the world deprived of energy and development, is it part of a new economic paradigm that would justify the transition towards societies based on new types of energies, or is it a real threat? As “global warming” has

become an extended ideology that is driving agendas and policies (even when countries like USA only consume more energy each year), it's necessary to be informed and to consider a bigger picture regarding fossil fuel energy and the disputes over it.

