Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries

Edited by Birte Melsen | Athanasios E. Athanasiou



WILEY Blackwell

Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries

Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries

Edited by

Birte Melsen, D.D.S., Dr. Odont., Dr. h.c. Visiting Professor of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, New York University, New York, NY, USA Former Professor and Head of Orthodontics, Department of Dentistry and Oral Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Athanasios E. Athanasiou, D.D.S., M.S.D., Dr. Dent.

Dean and Professor of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, European University Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus Honorary Professor of Orthodontics, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences Dubai, UAE Former Dean, Professor and Head of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki, Greece

WILEY Blackwell

This edition first published 2025 © 2025 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial technologies or similar technologies. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

The right of Birte Melsen and Athanasios E. Athanasiou to be identified as the authors of the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Offices

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Trademarks: Wiley and the Wiley logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and other countries and may not be used without written permission. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

The contents of this work are intended to further general scientific research, understanding, and discussion only and are not intended and should not be relied upon as recommending or promoting scientific method, diagnosis, or treatment by physicians for any particular patient. In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of medicines, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each medicine, equipment, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not l

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for:

ISBN 9781119794813 (hardback)

Cover Design: Wiley Cover Images: Courtesy of George Anka, Ioannis Latrou, Ute Schneider-Moser

Set in 9.5/12.5pt STIXTwoText by Straive, Pondicherry, India

Contents

List of Contributors *xiv*

1 Introduction 1 Birte Melsen and Athanasios E. Athanasiou References 3

Part I Etiology 5

2 The Etiology of Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries – An Overview 7

Birte Melsen Introduction 7 Congenital 7 Postnatally 8 Thumb Sucking 8 Mandibular Fractures 8 Soft Tissue Burning 12 Iatrogenic Trauma 13 Late Maxillary Expansion 13 References 14

3 Congenital 15

3.1 Distortion/Malformation 16

Pertti Pirttiniemi Etiology – Definition 16 Craniosynostosis 16 Plagiocephaly 17 Deformation Plagiocephaly 17 Muscular Torticollis 17 Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip 18 Scoliosis 18 Hemifacial Hyperplasia 18 References 19

3.2 Syndromes and Rare Diseases with Asymmetry in the Craniofacial and Dental Regions *21*

Hans Gjørup and Dorte Haubek Syndromes and Rare Diseases 21 Unilateral Overgrowth of Craniofacial or Dental Structures 22 Primary Condylar Hyperplasia 22 Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 22

Congenital Infiltrating Lipomatosis of the Face (CIL-F) 23	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 23	
Segmental Odontomaxillary Dysplasia 24	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 24	
Proteus Syndrome and Klippel–Trenauneau Syndrome 25	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 25	
Unilateral Underdevelopment of Craniofacial or Dental Structures	25
Oculo-Auriculo-Vertebral Spectrum 25	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 25	
Saethre–Chotzen Syndrome (SCS) 26	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 27	
Focal Dermal Hypoplasia (Goltz Syndrome) 27	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 27	
Incontinentia Pigmenti 27	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 27	
Hypohidrotic Ectodermal Dysplasia 28	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 29	
Progressive Hemifacial Atrophy 30	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 31	
Regional Odontodysplasia (ROD) 31	
Craniofacial and Dental Characteristics 31	
Oligodontia 31	
References 33	

4 Acquired 37

4.1 Acquired Dentofacial Deformity and Asymmetry 38 Peter B. Stoustrup and Thomas Klit Pedersen Introduction 39 The Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) and Its Association with Asymmetric Dentofacial Development 39 TMJ Prenatal Development 39 Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) and Mandibular Postnatal Growth and Development 39 Dental Occlusion and Acquired Skeletal and Dental Asymmetries 40 Acquired Dentofacial and Dental Asymmetries 40 Autoimmune Conditions 41 Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) 42 Idiopathic Condylar Resorptions 43 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE), Mixed Connective Tissue Disease (MCTD), and Scleroderma 43 Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis (CRMO) 44 Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) 44 Osteoarthritis (OA) 44 Skeletal Growth Diseases 44 Conditions with Skeletal Undergrowth 44 Hemimandibular Hypoplasia 44 Fibrous Dysplasia 45 Conditions with Skeletal Overgrowth 45 Hemimandibular Hyperplasia (HMH) 45 Acromegaly 46 Soft-tissue Conditions 46 Parry–Romberg Syndrome 46 Tumors 46 Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Conditions 46 Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Ankyloses 46 Temporomandibular (TMJ) Disc Displacement (Internal Derangement) 47

Trauma 47 Management of Acquired Dentofacial Deformity and Asymmetry 47 From Diagnosis to Management 47 Imaging 48 Treatment of Dentofacial Deformity in Growing Individuals 48 Treatment of Nongrowing Individuals 49 Treatment of Individuals with Continuous Mandibular Overgrowth 50 Conclusion 50 Acknowledgment 50 References 51

Part II Localization and Problem List 53

5 Examination of Special Features in Patients with Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries 55

Athanasios E. Athanasiou and Birte Melsen Introduction 55 Head Posture 56 Functional Assessment 58 Photographic Assessment 61 Conclusion 61 References 67

6 Imaging: Craniofacial Asymmetries 69

David C. Hatcher and Vidhya Venkateswaran Introduction 69 Asymmetry 70 Differential Diagnosis and Decision Tree for a Craniofacial Asymmetry 70 Imaging for Asymmetry 70 Choosing the Right Imaging Modality 70 Volume Preparation for Analysis 71 Analysis 71 Generalized Procrustes Analysis 72 Euclidian Distance Matrix Analysis (EDMA) 72 Principal Component Analysis 72 Examples of Asymmetrical Individuals 72 Overall Regional Changes Associated with the Developmental Onset of TMJ Disorders 72 Common Disorders and Associated Local and Regional Changes 74 Progressive Condylar Resorption (PCR) 74 Natural History 74 Differential Diagnosis 74 Condylar Hypoplasia 75 Hemifacial Microsomia (HFM) 76 Condylar Hyperplasia 77 Hemimandibular Elongation (HME) 78 Conclusions 78 References 81

7 Cephalometric Radiographic Assessment of Facial Asymmetry 82

Guilherme Janson and Aron Aliaga-Del Castillo Introduction 82 Radiographic Methods for Diagnosis of Asymmetry 82 Posteroanterior Radiographs 83 Submentovertex Radiographs 83 Evaluation of Asymmetries in Class II Subdivision Malocclusions using Radiographic Methods 85 Concluding Remarks 89

References 89

8 EMG and Ultrasonography of Masticatory Muscles 91

Stavros Kiliaridis
Introduction 91
Understanding the Functional Profile of the Muscle 91
Clinical Methods to Record Masticatory Muscles Functional Capacity 92
Bite Force 92
Electromyography 93
Cross-section Surface and Thickness of Masticatory Muscles: Imaging Techniques – Ultrasonography of the Masticatory Muscles 93
Posterior Crossbite with Functional Shift. Effects on Masticatory Muscles? 95
References 96

9 Localization and Problem List – 3-D Face Reconstruction 99

Karine Evangelista, Camila Massaro, Antonio Carlos de Oliveira Ruellas, and Lucia H. Soares Cevidanes Introduction 99 Facial Asymmetry Diagnosis and Complementary Exams for Facial Asymmetry Localization 100 Image Analysis in CBCT Scans for Skeletal Asymmetry 102 Head Orientation 102 Scroll Through All Cross-Sectional Slices 102 Assessment of the 3D Rendering Viewing from Different Perspectives 104 List of Problems in Skeletal Craniofacial Asymmetries Through 3D Assessment 104 Zygomatic and Maxillary Unilateral Hypertrophy 105 Sinus and Maxillary Hypoplasia 105 Unilateral Condylar Hyperplasia 107 Unilateral Condylar Resorption 109 Craniofacial Macrosomia 110 Asymmetry Localization and Quantification 110 Mirroring and Superimposition 111 Quantification 113 Determining the Asymmetry Directions 114 Final Considerations 114 Acknowledgments 114 References 114

Part III Management 117

10 Treatment Approaches 119

10.1 Very Early Treatment of Dentofacial Asymmetries: Why, When, and How? *120*

Ute E.M. Schneider-Moser and Lorenz Moser
Introduction 120
Etiology 121
Diagnostic Evaluation 121
Clinical Examination 121
What About Radiographs? 121
Very Early Treatment for Unilateral Posterior Crossbite with Class I, II, III Malocclusion 123
Unilateral Posterior Crossbite and Class I Malocclusion 123
Unilateral Posterior Crossbite and Class III Malocclusion 128

Unilateral Posterior Crossbite and Class II Malocclusion 129 What if a Class II Subdivision Develops After Early Crossbite Correction? 132 What About Stability of Very Early Crossbite Correction? 141 Summary 141 References 142

10.2 Tooth Movement and Goal-oriented Mechanics in the Treatment of Patients Exhibiting Asymmetry 144

Bhavna Shroff, Steven M. Siegel, Steven J. Lindauer, and Birte Melsen
Introduction 144
Diagnosis – Problem List 145
Molar Rotation (First Order) 147
Molar Tipping (Second Order) 149
Posterior Crossbite (Third Order) 150
Early Loss of Mandibular Deciduous Canines 150
Treatment 152
Correction of Unilateral Molar Rotation 152
Current Mechanics Used to Correct a Class II Subdivision Malocclusion 153
Unilateral Tip-back Mechanics 156
Conclusion 158
References 159

11 Treatment Principles *161*

11.1 Dentofacial Orthopedics in the Management of Hemifacial Microsomia and Nager Syndrome Cases *162*

Birte Melsen and Athanasios E. Athanasiou Introduction 162 Case Reports 163 Case Report 1 163 Case Report 2 168 Case Report 3 168 Discussion 171 Conclusion 173 References 173

11.2 Rational Diagnosis and Treatment of Dental Asymmetries 175

Joseph Bouserhal, Nikhillesh Vaiid, Ismaeel Hansa, Zakaria Bentahar, Lea J. Bouserhal, and Philippe J. Bouserhal Introduction 175 Classification of Dental Asymmetries 176 Diagnosis of Dental Asymmetries 176 Management of Posterior Dental Asymmetries 179 Occlusal Plane 179 Sagittal Plane 180 Molar Tipping 180 Asymmetrical Class II 181 Asymmetrical Space Closure 181 Frontal Plane 181 Management of Anterior Dental Asymmetries 181 Axial Inclination 181 Closing Loops on a Continuous Archwire 188 Asymmetrical Directional Forces 188 Tooth-by-Tooth Movement 188

Anterior Rotational Control 191 Asymmetrical Extractions 193 Conclusions 195 Acknowledgment 195 References 195

12 Orthodontics, Maxillofacial Surgery, and Asymmetries 196

12.1 Dental Arch Shape in Relation to Class II Subdivision Malocclusion 197

Birte Melsen and Padhraig S. Fleming Introduction 197 Dental Arch Form 197 Case 1 198 Case 2 199 Discussion 199 References 207

12.2 Asymmetric Application of Lingual Arches 208

Kwangchul ChoyIntroduction 208Color Code of the Wire and Force System 209Shape-driven Concept 209Force-driven Concept and Definition of Shapes 210Unilateral Expansion 210Designing Valid Force System 210Simulation of Force System 211Unilateral Tip-back and Unilateral Tip-forward 214Summary 216References 219

12.3 Skeletal Anchorage for the Correction of the Canted Occlusal Plane 220

George Anka and Athanasios E. Athanasiou Treatment of the Canted Occlusal Plane 220 Biomechanics for the Correction of the Canted Occlusal Plane 225 The Trans-Palatal Arch (TPA) Plus Hooks 225 Details of TPA Plus Hooks 231 The Propeller 237 The Ulysses and the Anka-Jorge Plate 240 Extrusion 247 Conclusion 251 References 254

12.4 Managing the Class II Subdivision Malocclusion with Extraction Camouflage: Case Reports 256

Cesare Luzi and Emese Szabò Introduction 256 Case 1 256 Case 2 259 Conclusions 264 References 268

12.5 The Use of Aligners for Correction of Asymmetries 269

Eugene Chan and M. Ali Darendeliler Introduction *269* Dental Corrections (Non-extraction Therapies) 270 Correction of Functional Shift in Mixed Dentition 270 Congenitally Missing Tooth in Teen Treatment 270 Dental Correction in Adult Treatment 276 Class II Correction 278 Class III Correction 278 Dental Implants 283 Dental Corrections (Extraction Therapies) 285 Canine Substitution 285 Single Premolar Extraction 288 Single Lower Incisor Extraction 288 Asymmetrical Premolar Extractions to Achieve Symmetry 292 Symmetrical Premolar Extractions in Asymmetrical Case 292 Skeletal Anchorage Therapies 294 Molar Mesialization 295 Unilateral Segmental Distalization 302 Correction of Occlusal Plane Canting 306 Surgical Intervention 309 Asymmetrical Class III Correction 315 Asymmetrical Class II Correction 318 Conclusions 321 References 328

12.6 TMJ Conditions Causing Facial Asymmetry: Diagnosis and Treatment 329

Larry M. Wolford Introduction 329 Overdevelopment 329 Condylar Hyperplasia Type 1 330 Condylar Hyperplasia Type 2 332 Unilateral Facial Under-development 335 Adolescent Internal Condylar Resorption (AICR) 336 Reactive Arthritis 338 Trauma 339 TMJ Ankylosis 339 Hemifacial Microsomia (HFM) 341 Connective Tissue/Autoimmune Diseases (CT/AI) 344 References 346

12.7 Distraction Osteogenesis in Maxillofacial Surgery 351

loannis latrou and Nadia Theologie-Lygidakis
Introduction 351
Biological Basis 351
Staging of Distraction Osteogenesis 352
Indications for Distraction Osteogenesis 352
The Distractors and Their Function 353
Preoperative Patient Evaluation 353
Surgical Procedure 354
Mandibular Distraction Osteogenesis 355
Postoperative Procedure – Activation of the Device 358
Complications 359
Orthodontic Collaboration 361

Distraction Osteogenesis and/or Conventional Orthognathic Surgery362Conclusion362References362

12.8 Maxillo-mandibular Growth in Hemifacial (or Craniofacial) Microsomia 364

Maria Costanza Meazzini di Seyssel Introduction – Clinical Appearance 364

Craniofacial Growth in Hemifacial Microsomia 364

Long-term Growth in Nontreated Hemifacial Microsomia Patients 364

Is Maxillary Growth in Hemifacial Microsomia Inhibited by Mandibular Growth? 366

Long-term Growth After Distraction Osteogenesis of the Mandible 368

Can Functional Appliances Increase Postsurgical Stability After Distraction Osteogenesis? *368* Final Considerations on Distraction Osteogenesis in Hemifacial Microsomia *368*

Long-term Results After Costochondral Graft in Growing Patients with Hemifacial Microsomia 368

Final Considerations on Costochondral Graft in Hemifacial Microsomia 370

Clinical and Prognostic Differences in the Orthopedic and Surgical Treatment of Hemimandibular Hypoplasia in Hemifacial Microsomia versus Pseudo-hemifacial Microsomia (or Condylar Coronoid Collapse Deformity) *371*

References 377

12.9 Special Treatment Considerations of Face Asymmetries 380

Giampietro Farronato Introduction 380 Diagnosis 380 Categories of Asymmetry 384 Trauma 384 Occlusal Interference 384 Condylar Fractures 388 Juvenile Idiopathic Rheumatoid Arthritis 391 Special Treatment Considerations 393 Case 1 395 Case 2 396 Case 3 396

References 404

12.10 The Vertical Component of Asymmetry: Etiology and Treatment 406

Joseph G. Ghafari
The Three-dimensional Nature of Asymmetry 406
Interaction between Maxillary and Mandibular Asymmetries 407
Maxillary Asymmetry Secondary to Mandibular Asymmetry 407
Mandibular Asymmetry Secondary to Maxillary Asymmetry 409
"Field" Interactions 411
Unimaxillary Vertical Asymmetry not Affecting the Symmetry of the Other Jaw 411
Vertical Maxillary Asymmetry (VMA) 411
Possible Etiologies of VMA 415
Clinical and Research Considerations 416
Conclusion 416
References 416

12.11 Helping Children and Their Families with Facial Differences – Patient Centered Outcomes and Experiences 418

Eleftherios G. Kaklamanos
Introduction 418
The Face as a Functional Structure and as an Element of Identity 419
The Facial Difference from the Patient's Perspective 420
Psychological Implications 420
Social Interaction Implications 420
Quality of Life Implications 421
The Facial Difference from the Family Perspective 422
Psychosocial Support for Children and Families 422
References 423

Index 429

List of Contributors

Aron Aliaga-Del Castillo, D.D.S., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Clinical Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry School of Dentistry University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI USA

George Anka, D.D.S., M.S.

Private Practice of Orthodontics Tokyo Japan

Athanasios E. Athanasiou, D.D.S., M.S.D., Dr. Dent.

Dean and Professor of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry European University Cyprus Nicosia Cyprus

Honorary Professor of Orthodontics Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences Dubai UAE

Former Dean, Professor and Head of Orthodontics School of Dentistry Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki Greece

Zakaria Bentahar, D.M.D., M.D.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

Professor of Orthodontics Hassan II University Casablanca Morocco

Joseph Bouserhal, D.D.S., M.D.S., Ph.D.

Professor and Acting Chair, Department of Orthodontics Faculty of Dental Medicine Saint Joseph University of Beirut Beirut Lebanon Director, Craniofacial Research Laboratory Faculty of Dental Medicine Saint Joseph University of Beirut Beirut Lebanon

Adjunct Clinical Professor, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Henry Goldman School of Dentistry Boston University Boston USA

Lea J. Bouserhal, DDS, M.S.

Private Practice of Orthodontics Beirut Lebanon

Philippe J. Bouserhal, D.D.S., M.D.S.

Private Practice of Orthodontics Beirut, Lebanon and Leuven Belgium

Eugene Chan, B.D.S., M.D.Sc., M.Orth.R.C.S.Ed., M.R.A.C.D.S.(Ortho), Ph.D.

Clinical Professor, Discipline of Orthodontics School of Dentistry Faculty of Medicine and Health University of Sydney Sydney Australia

Kwangchul Choy, D.D.S., M.S., Ph.D.

Clinical Professor, Department of Orthodontics School of Dentistry Yonsei University Seoul South Korea

Lucia H. Soares Cevidanes, D.D.S., M.S., Ph.D.

Thomas and Doris Graber Endowed Professor of Dentistry and Associate Professor, Department of Orthodontics School of Dentistry University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI USA

M. Ali Darendeliler, B.D.S., Ph.D., Dip. Orth., Certif. Orth., Priv. Doc, F.I.C.D., M.R.A.C.D.S. (Orth.)

Professor and Chair, Discipline of Orthodontics School of Dentistry Faculty of Medicine and Health University of Sydney Sydney Australia

Head, Department of Orthodontics Sydney Dental Hospital Sydney Australia

Karine Evangelista, D.D.S., M.S., Ph.D.

Assistant Professor, Division of Orthodontics School of Dentistry Federal University of Goiás Goiás Brazil

Giampietro Farronato, M.D., D.D.S.

Professor Emeritus of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry University of Milan Milan Italy

Padhraig S. Fleming, B.Dent.Sc.(Hons.), M.Sc., Ph.D., F.D.S.(Orth.), F.F.D.(Orth.), F.H.E.A.

Chair and Professor of Orthodontics and Programme Lead, Doctorate in Orthodontics, Division of Public and Child Dental Health Dublin Dental University Hospital, The University of Dublin, Trinity College Dublin Ireland

Honorary Professor of Orthodontics Queen Mary University of London London UK

Joseph G. Ghafari, D.M.D.

Professor and Chair, Department of Dentofacial Medicine American University of Beirut Medical Center Beirut Lebanon

Hans Gjørup, DDS, PhD

Specialist in Orthodontics Ikast Denmark

Ismaeel Hansa, B.D.S., M.D.S.

Private practice of Orthodontics Durban South Africa

David C. Hatcher, D.D.S., M.Sc., M.R.C.D. (C)

Specialist in Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology University of California Los Angeles, University of California San Francisco, University of California Davis and University of Pacific California USA

Dorte Haubek, D.D.S., Ph.D., Dr. Odont.

Professor, Department of Dentistry and Oral Health Aarhus University Aarhus Denmark

Ioannis Iatrou, D.D.S., M.D., Ph.D.

Professor Emeritus of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery School of Dentistry National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Athens Greece

Guilherme Janson, D.D.S., M.Sc., Ph.D. (deceased)

Professor and Head, Department of Orthodontics Bauru Dental School University of São Paolo Bauru, São Paolo Brazil

Eleftherios G. Kaklamanos, D.D.S., Cert., Cert., M.Sc., M.A., Ph.D.

Associate Professor, School of Dentistry Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki Greece

Adjunct Associate Professor of Orthodontics, Hamdan Bin Mohammed College of Dental Medicine Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences Dubai UAE

Associate Professor, School of Dentistry European University Cyprus Nicosia Cyprus

xvi List of Contributors

Stavros Kiliaridis, D.D.S., Odont. Dr. / Ph.D.

Professor Emeritus of Orthodontics, School of Dental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine University of Geneva Geneva Switzerland

Adjunct Professor, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, School of Dental Medicine Faculty of Medicine University of Bern Bern Switzerland

Steven J. Lindauer, D.M.D., M.Dent.Sc.

Paul Tucker Goad Professor and Chair, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA USA

Cesare Luzi, D.D.S., M.Sc.

Visiting Professor, Department of Orthodontics University of Ferrara, Ferrara and University Cattolica Rome Italy

Camila Massaro, D.D.S., M.S.D., Ph.D.

Bauru Dental School University of São Paulo Bauru Brazil

School of Dentistry University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI USA

Maria Costanza Meazzini di Seyssel, D.M.D., M.Med.Sci.

Scientific Director, Cleft Lip and Palate Regional Center Operation Smile S. Paolo Hospital Milan Italy

Consultant for Craniofacial Anomalies, Department of Maxillo-Facial Surgery S. Gerardo Hospital Monza Italy

Adjunct Professor in Craniofacial Anomalies University of Milan Milan Italy

Birte Melsen, D.D.S., Dr. Odont., Dr. h.c.

Visiting Professor of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry New York University New York, NY USA

Former Professor and Head of Orthodontics Department of Dentistry and Oral Health Aarhus University Aarhus Denmark

Lorenz Moser, M.D., D.D.S.

Visiting Professor, Department of Orthodontics University of Ferrara Ferrara Italy

Thomas Klit Pedersen, D.D.S., Ph.D.

Clinical Professor, Consultant Orthodontist Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Aarhus University Hospital and Section of Orthodontics Department of Dentistry and Oral Health Aarhus University Aarhus Denmark

Pertti Pirttiniemi, D.D.S., Ph.D., Dr. Orthod.

Professor Emeritus of Oral Development and Orthodontics, Faculty of Odontology University of Oulu Oulu Finland

Antonio Carlos de Oliveira Ruellas, Ph.D.

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Rio de Janeiro Brazil

Ute E.M. Schneider-Moser, D.D.S., M.S.

Visiting Professor, Department of Orthodontics University of Ferrara Ferrara Italy

Department of Orthodontics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA USA

Bhavna Shroff, D.D.S., M.Dent.Sc., M.P.A.

Norborne Muir Professor and Graduate Program Director Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VI USA

Steven M. Siegel, D.M.D.

Private Practice of Orthodontics Glen Burnie, MD USA

Peter B. Stoustrup, D.D.S., Ph.D.

Associate Professor and Head, Section of Orthodontics Director of Postgraduate Orthodontic Program Department of Dentistry and Oral Health Aarhus University Aarhus Denmark

Emese Szabò, D.D.S.

Private Practice of Orthodontics Rome Italy

Nadia Theologie-Lygidakis, D.D.S., M.Sc.(Med), M.Sc.(Dent), Ph.D.

Associate Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery School of Dentistry National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Athens Greece

Nikhillesh Vaiid, B.D.S., M.D.S., Ph.D., F.D.T.F.(Ed.),

M.F.D.S. R.C.S.(Glasgow) Adjunct Professor, Department of Orthodontics Saveetha Dental College Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences Saveetha University Chennai India

Vidhya Venkateswaran, B.D.S., M.P.H., Ph.D.

DATA Scholar, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Bethesda, MD USA

Larry M. Wolford, D.M.D.

Clinical Professor, Departments of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Orthodontics Texas A&M University College of Dentistry Baylor University Medical Center Dallas, TX USA

1

Introduction

Birte Melsen and Athanasios E. Athanasiou

CHAPTER MENU

References, 3

Although each person shares with the rest of the population many characteristics, there are enough differences to make each human being a unique individual. Such limitless variation in the size, shape, and relationship of the dental, skeletal, and soft tissue facial structures are important in providing each individual with their identity (Bishara et al. 2001).

Dorland's Medical Dictionary defines symmetry as "the similar arrangement in form and relationships of parts around a common axis or on each side of a plane of the body" (*Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary* 2000).

The absence of symmetry is asymmetry and is frequently experienced by man in their facial features, both structurally and functionally.

The term symmetry is generally used in two different contexts:

- The first meaning is a precise and well-defined concept of balance or "patterned self-similarity" that can be demonstrated or proved according to the rules of a formal system, namely geometry, physics, or otherwise.
- The second meaning is an imprecise sense of harmonious or esthetically pleasing proportionality and balance reflecting beauty or perfection. As such, symmetry was demonstrated within art by Leonardo Da Vinci in his Vitruvian Man in 1492 (Figure 1.1) (Baudouin and Tiberghien 2004).

Asymmetry has, on the other hand, been part of the features characterizing the unpleasant and the unharmonious (Edler 2001; Rhodes et al. 2001).

Whereas symmetry in art is used to express harmony, beauty, and peace, asymmetrical layouts are generally more dynamic, and by intentionally ignoring balance, the designer can generate tension, express movement, or convey a mood such as anger, excitement, joy, or casual amusement (Komoro et al. 2009).

Facial asymmetry, being a common phenomenon, was probably first observed by the artists of early Greek statuary who recorded what they had found in nature – normal facial asymmetry (Lundstrom 1961).

A perfect facial symmetry is extremely rare and practically all normal faces exhibit a degree of asymmetry (Figure 1.2). As in art, where the side has an importance in the interpretation of a movement displayed on a painting, the two sides of the face may express feelings (Schirillo 2000).

The left side of the face is considered more emotionally expressive and more often connotes more negative emotions than the right side. Also interestingly, artists tend to expose more of their models left cheek than their right. This is significant, in that artists also portray more females than males with their left cheek exposed. These psychological findings lead to explanations for the esthetic leftward bias in portraiture (Schirillo 2000; Powell and Schirillo 2009).

The studies of asymmetry of the craniofacial region can be divided into two categories. One is focusing on facial asymmetry in various populations and its impact on perception of the individual's attractiveness and health. The second category is dealing with the influence of asymmetry

2 Introduction

on treatment of patients receiving orthodontic treatment or craniofacial surgery.

Studies of various populations belong to the first category, and facial symmetry has been associated with health, physical attractiveness, and beauty of a person. It is also hypothesized as a factor in interpersonal attraction, and relevant research indicates that bilateral symmetry is an important indicator of freedom from disease and worthiness for mating (Edler 2001).



Figure 1.1 Vitruvian Man drawn by Leonardo Da Vinci in 1492 demonstrating the symmetry of the ideal body.

Most facial asymmetries among nonpatients are, however, fluctuating meaning that they have no significant influence on the attractiveness of the face. The perception of a face as attractive is more influenced by averageness meaning: what do the persons I like in "my tribe" look like. The beauty ideal is clearly changing with time and between various populations (Rhodes et al. 2001). The impact of averageness was studied by Komoro et al. (2009) who let laypeople evaluate the effect of symmetry and averageness on photographs and found that symmetry had a limited if any influence on attractiveness, thus confirming earlier findings by Baudouin and Tiberghien (2004). In a more recent study, it was found that symmetry on one hand reduced attractiveness by decreasing perceived normality, but on the other hand could also increase attractiveness by promoting the perceived symmetry (Zheng et al. 2021). Furthermore, it has been suggested that completely symmetrical faces might appear unemotional and thus less attractive (Swaddle and Cuthill 1995).

The second category of studies deal with asymmetry in relation to treatment. In reference to the need for treatment, it should be noted that the point at which normal asymmetry becomes abnormal cannot be easily defined and is often determined by the clinician's sense of balance and the patient's perception of the imbalance (Bishara et al. 2001). Minor asymmetry of the craniofacial skeleton and in the dentoalveolar region is often not easily detected. This can be the reason for which the optimal result of an orthodontic treatment cannot be reached since the asymmetry will often interfere with a satisfactory finishing.

The true prevalence of asymmetries in a population has never been described. Methodological limitations related to etiological factors, timing of appearance, degree of severity, progressing characteristics, and individuals' age, have enabled relevant studies only in subgroups of patients with facial asymmetry (i.e. hemofacial



Figure 1.2 Three images where the right face is composed of two right sides, the middle one is the real face, and the left one is composed of two left sides.

microsomia) or dentofacial deformities in university orthodontic clinics.

When studying dentofacial deformity patients at the University of North Carolina, it was found that 34% demonstrated an apparent facial asymmetries. When present, asymmetry affected the upper face in only 5%, the midface (primarily the nose) in 36%, and the chin in 74% (Severt and Proffit 1997).

Recently, Evangelista et al. (2022) performed a review of the prevalence of mandibular asymmetry in different skeletal sagittal patterns and found that there was a significant difference between findings reported from different studies varying from 17.43 to 72.95%, and indicated that the more severe malocclusions exhibited more severe chin deviations than the nonorthodontic population.

Whereas most of the relevant studies have been focusing on facial asymmetry, Sheats et al. (1998) looked into the occlusal status of patients being treated in a graduate clinic and found that in 62% of the patients, the mandibular midline deviated from the facial midline.

An important part of this book will focus on the treatment of patients with various types of facial and dentoalveolar asymmetry focusing on interception, correction, or camouflage. The interception can only be performed for asymmetries related to functional deviations or/and eruption of teeth. Corrections and camouflage in some patients with skeletal asymmetries start at an early age and often continue for the remaining growth period. In adult patients, treatment comprises displacement of teeth and dentoalveolar modeling with goal-oriented biomechanics and orthognathic surgery when needed. For asymmetries with different localization, their etiology and the possible treatment modalities from a biological, biomechanical, and surgical viewpoints will be discussed. In relation to management, generating symmetry is among the goals of most treatment plans. However, when the outcome of orthodontics is assessed, even minor asymmetries are frequently impossible to generate a result that is compatible with ideal morphology and function.

The challenges in dealing with problems related to facial asymmetry are numerous and, to the knowledge of the editors, many of them have not been dealt with systematically. The purpose of this book is to satisfy the need for a comprehensive text on etiology, localization, and treatment of asymmetries within the craniofacial region. It is our hope that this books will cover all aspects of asymmetry starting with localization followed by etiology, congenital, or acquired through disease or trauma. In addition, it is crucial to verify if what is detected is reflecting a static or a developing deviation. Not only the localization and the morphological characteristics are important when categorizing the different types of asymmetries, but also the etiology should be established before a treatment plan can be worked out.

All contributing authors of this publication are prominent colleagues recognized as experts each within their specialization and the assigned subject within face asymmetries. It is our hope that this book will serve as inspiration for the colleague to approach a goal-oriented therapy based on all-inclusive diagnoses, localization of the asymmetry, and the definition of a comprehensive treatment goal.

References

- Baudouin J, Tiberghien G. Symmetry, averageness, and feature size in the facial attractiveness of women. Acta Psychol. 2004;117:313–332.
- Bishara SE, Burkey PS, Kharouf JG, Athanasiou AE. Dental and facial asymmetries. In: Bishara SE, ed. Textbook of Orthodontics. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company, 2001:532–544.
- Dorland's Illustrated Medical Dictionary. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2000.
- Edler RJ. Background considerations to facial aesthetics. J Orthod. 2001;28:159–168.

Evangelista K, Teodoro AB, Bianchi J, Cevidanes LHS, de Oliveira Ruellas AC, Silva MAG, Valladares-NetoJ. Prevalence of mandibular asymmetry in different skeletal sagittal patterns. Angle Orthod. 2022;92:118–126.

- Komoro M, Kawamura S, Ishihara S. Averageness or symmetry: which is more important for facial attractiveness? Acta Psychol. 2009;131:136–142.
- Lundstrom A. Some asymmetries of the dental arches, jaws, and skull, and their etiological significance. Am J Orthod. 1961;47:81–106.
- Powell WR, Schirillo JA. Asymmetrical facial expressions in portraits and hemispheric laterality: a literature review. Laterality. 2009;14:545–572.
- Rhodes G, Zebrowitz LA, Clark A, Hightower A, McKayR. Do facial averageness and symmetry signal health? Evol Hum Behav. 2001;22:31–46.
- Schirillo JA. Hemispheric asymmetries and gender influence Rembrandt's portrait orientations. Neuropsycologia. 2000;38:1593–1606.

4 Introduction

Severt TR, Proffit WR. The prevalence of facial asymmetry in the dentofacial deformities population at the University of North Carolina. Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg. 1997;12:171–176.

Sheats RD, McGorray SP, Musmar Q, Wheeler TT, King GJ. Prevalence of orthodontic asymmetries. Semin Orthod. 1998;3:138–145.

- Swaddle JP, Cuthill IC. Asymmetry and human facial attractiveness: symmetry may not always be beautiful. Proc Biol Sci. 1995;261:111–116.
- Zheng R, Ren D, Xie C, Pan J, Zhou G. Normality mediates the effect of symmetry on facial attractiveness. Acta Psychol. 2021;217:103311.

Part I

Etiology

The Etiology of Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries – An Overview

Birte Melsen

CHAPTER MENU	
Introduction, 7 Congenital, 7 Postnatally, 8 Thumb Sucking, 8 Mandibular Fractures, 8 Soft Tissue Burning, 12 Iatrogenic Trauma, 13 Late Maxillary Expansion, 13 References, 14	

Introduction

Before generating a treatment plan, the etiology of the asymmetry should be determined.

Asymmetries can be congenital or acquired. The congenital asymmetries will be either deformation or malformation occurring prenatally, some of which may be part of various syndromes. Some of the etiologies related to congenital asymmetries have been reviewed in the past (Bishara et al. 1994; Cohen 1995a, 1995b, 1995c), but almost 30 years later a lot of their aspects remain unclear (Medina-Rivera 2016).

Congenital

The deformation generated prenatally will be dependent on the space available and, therefore, more frequent in the case of twins or triplets or after a hard delivery. Mild plagiocephaly is routinely diagnosed at birth as it may be the result of a restrictive environment (Flannery et al. 2012; Looman and Flannery 2012).

The congenital deformation will have strong tendency to self-correct postnatal and this is underlined when advising the importance of the sleeping posture. Among the congenital deformations that led to an asymmetry of the craniofacial skeleton, in the side of the skull, the sleeping posture is considered important. A mild and widespread form is characterized by a flat spot on the back or on one side of the head caused by remaining in a supine position for prolonged periods (Laughlin et al. 2011). Plagiocephaly is a diagonal asymmetry across the head shape. Often it is a flattening of one side at the back of the head that will lead to some facial asymmetry. Depending on whether a synostosis is involved, plagiocephaly can be divided into two groups: If there is premature union of skull bones, this is more properly called craniosynostosis (malformation) or nonsynostotic (deformational) (Kadom and Sze 2010). Surgical treatment of these groups includes the deference method; however, the treatment of deformational plagiocephaly is controversial.

The incidence of deformational plagiocephaly has increased dramatically since the advent of recommendations for parents to keep their babies sleeping on their

8 The Etiology of Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries – An Overview

backs. Data also suggest that the rates of plagiocephaly are higher for twins and multiple births, premature babies, babies who were positioned in the breech position or backto-back, as well as for babies born after a prolonged labor (Ditthakasem and Kolar 2017).

The most frequently seen asymmetry visible at birth is cleft palate followed by some kind of plagiocephaly or hemifacial microsomia. Hemifacial microsomia is the asymmetry the cause of which is mostly unknown. Chen et al. (2018) suggested different etiologies for a disruption which occur during the first weeks of gestation. One would be external factors as various types of medication, or maternal intrinsic factors as maternal diabetes or genetic factors. In addition, three other causes have been proposed for hemifacial microsomia including a physical damage to the Meckel's cartilage, an abnormal development of the cranial neural crest cells, and a vascular abnormality and hemorrhage model. However, none of these proposed etiological factors can account for the asymmetry and the related deformation. The impact of the vascularization is, however, stressed also when analyzing the effect of maternal factors either genetic or related to disease as diabetes or medication. Contributing to some of the congenital asymmetries may be expression of genetically determined malformations that attack only tissues on one side. This abnormality may be of all tissues, cleft palate and hemifacial microsomia being the most prevalent. The abnormal growth may be of all parts of the craniofacial skeleton. It may be the size of all the tissues or only the skin. However, according to Tingaud-Sequeira et al. (2022) none of these etiologies account for the abnormal development of the first and second branchial arches described by Kjær (2017).

Postnatally

Thumb Sucking

The etiology of asymmetry developed postnatally will, if not related to a congenital disease, be the result of lifestyle or trauma to hard or soft tissues. The most frequent lifestyle cause of asymmetries is the nonnutritional sucking either by pacifier or thumb sucking. During the nonnutritional sucking, the mandible is kept back and the baby does not have to move the mandible forward, a movement as is normally done when sucking and swallowing take place simultaneously. The nonnutritional sucking has been found to be related to open bite and lateral crossbite. The latter may lead to asymmetry and crowding (Dimberg et al. 2010). Apart from the narrow upper arch, an asymmetrical arch form can also be the result of a prolonged thumb sucking (Figure 2.1).



Figure 2.1 Asymmetric anterior open bite generated by prolonged thumb sucking.

Mandibular Fractures

A frequent etiology postnatally can be that trauma both in relation to birth or during early childhood will influence the growth. The most prevalent fractures resulting in asymmetry are the unilateral condylar fractures (Figure 2.2). According to the literature between 25% and 40% of all mandibular fractures are condylar fractures (Enghoff and Siemssen 1956; Müller 1963; Rowe and Milley 1968; Zachariades et al. 2006). In addition, epidemiological studies indicate that the majority of the fractures occur in growing individuals (Lautenbach 1967). The literature comprised description of patients with unilateral fractures where the fractures led to reduced growth on the fracture side whereas others demonstrated the opposite effect, an overgrowth of the fracture side. On this background, Lund (1974) decided to perform a cephalometric radiographic registration on both sagittal and frontal images taken with small intervals in order to be able to describe the changes occurring shortly after the trauma. The age of the 38 patients ranged from 4 to 17 years. He performed an examination of individuals who had been seen in the emergency hospital clinic following severe accidents. He realized that in a major part of the patients, the fractured condyle demonstrated not only healing, but also regeneration toward a normal morphology. He developed a classification of the condylar fractures based on their localization. They were categorized as high when they involved the condylar head or the condylar neck or as low if located in the condylar process. He also classified the fractures according to the position of the head in type 1 where the condylar head was situated in contact with the articular fossa and type 2 where the condylar head was displaced outside the articular fossa. It was demonstrated that type 2 was dominant in relation to high fractures whereas type 1 was seen more frequently in relation to low fractures. Type 2 fracture was also the only one seen in the





Figure 2.2 Twelve-year-old girl referred for treatment of maxillary space deficiency. (a) Extraoral images reveal a slight face asymmetry; (b and c) intraoral images exhibiting neutral molar occlusion bilaterally, normal overjet, and overbite. There was a midline discrepancy of the lower midline almost one tooth width to the left and space deficiency especially in relation to the upper left canine. The lower arch was characterized by moderate crowding; (d) frontal cephalometric radiograph disclosing an asymmetry, the lower midline displaced to the left; (e) frontal radiograph of the patient with an open mouth. There is an obvious deviation of the mandible to the left; (f) extraoral images of the patient after two years of treatment. The asymmetry is less visible.

The Etiology of Dentofacial and Occlusal Asymmetries - An Overview 10

older age group. The conclusion drawn from this thorough report was that the changes, namely compensation, occurring following the fracture led to growth that in many cases was larger than that of the healthy side so that an asymmetry characterized by midline displacement to the healthy side was observed. Unfortunately, the classification of the fractures and the systematic way of analyzing the changes occurring after the fracture were not followed up in the multitude of reports on condylar fractures published later. When Strobl et al. (1999) followed 55 patients aged between 2.6 and 9.9 years with the same combination of cephalogram and orthopantomogram as Lund (1974) they found that within the first year there was a very varying reaction to a treatment with a myofunctional appliance, but generally the younger patients (4-7 years old) had no or only minor condylar deformity at the end of the observation period whereas the 7-10 years old children exhibited everything from moderate deformity with reduced height to increase growth and hypertrophy. Unfortunately, this publication did not focus on the influence on the facial asymmetry and midline discrepancy.

Later epidemiological studies collecting data from patients with condylar fractures only assembled information obtained at one point of time. Based on a review of 466 cases seen in an emergency hospital clinic Zachariades et al. (2006) concluded that most fractures occurred between 21 and 30 years of age and, thus did not interfere with growth. Most fractures were exhibiting a displacement of the condylar head but had still contact between the mandible and the fractured condyle. In these cases, it seemed as if the best treatment was done with a functional treatment or intermaxillary fixation. The authors formulated a conclusion regarding type of fracture and need for surgical treatment, but none of their observations or their references who also described fractures in children focused on the midlines or the asymmetries nor at the fracture moment or at the end of growth.

When adult individuals present at a hospital after an accident which may involve several organs the focus is rarely at the occlusion, but later the patient may complain over changes in the way he/she bites, e.g. a gradual opening of the bite and an asymmetry. The panoramic radiograph does not render very much information while cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) images providing sagittal and frontal images make it obvious that a condylar fracture has taken place (Figure 2.3). An interference with normal development that may lead to asymmetry can be a fracture that actually does not get detected until the consequences,

(a)



Figure 2.3 (a-c) Radiographs of a patient who days after a trauma detected an opening of the bite and an asymmetry, the reason being a condyle fracture on the right side. (a and b) CBCT images of the patient. A midline discrepancy toward the right side can be observed; (c) the panoramic radiograph does not clearly illustrate what happened to the condyle, but sift of the mandibular midline toward the trauma side can be observed; (d and e) lateral image observed from the traumatized side. It can be observed that the posterior border of the traumatized condyle is pulled back; (f and g) focus on the traumatize condyle on the CBCT image does however illustrate an abnormal morphology; (h) the result of the tomogram clearly illustrate the displacements of the fractured condyle. These images explain why the fracture cannot always be verified on the panoramic radiograph.