Discrimination Testing in Sensory Evaluation

Editors Lauren Rogers, Joanne Hort, Sarah E. Kemp, Tracey Hollowood



Discrimination Testing in Sensory Evaluation

A series of books on selected topics in the field of Sensory Evaluation

The first book in the Sensory Evaluation series is *Sensory Evaluation: A Practical Handbook*, published in May 2009. It focuses on the practical aspects of sensory testing, presented in a simple, 'how to' style for use by industry and academia as a step-by-step guide to carrying out a basic range of sensory tests. In-depth coverage was deliberately kept to a minimum. Subsequent books in the series cover selected topics in sensory evaluation. They are intended to give theoretical background, more complex techniques and in-depth discussion on application of sensory evaluation that were not covered in the *Practical Handbook*. However, they will seek to maintain the practical approach of the handbook and chapters will include a clear case study with sufficient detail to enable practitioners to carry out the techniques presented.

Discrimination Testing in Sensory Evaluation

Edited by

Lauren Rogers Joanne Hort Sarah E. Kemp Tracey Hollowood



This edition first published 2024 © 2024 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial technologies or similar technologies. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

The right of Lauren Rogers, Joanne Hort, Sarah E. Kemp and Tracey Hollowood to be identified as the editorial material in this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Office(s)

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Trademarks: Wiley and the Wiley logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and other countries and may not be used without written permission. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

In view of ongoing research, equipment modifications, changes in governmental regulations, and the constant flow of information relating to the use of experimental reagents, equipment, and devices, the reader is urged to review and evaluate the information provided in the package insert or instructions for each chemical, piece of equipment, reagent, or device for, among other things, any changes in the instructions or indication of usage and for added warnings and precautions. While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for:

Hardback: 9780470671405 ePDF: 9781118635452 epub: 9781118635445 oBook: 9781118635353

Cover Design: Wiley

Cover Image: © Getty Images/iStockphoto

Set in 9.5/12.5pt STIXTwoText by Straive, Pondicherry, India

Dedications

This book is dedicated to:

Lawrence, Alexandra and James George, Elizabeth, George and William Campbell, Emma and Lara Mike

Contents

List of Contributors xvPreface to the Series xviiPreface xxiEditor Biographies xxiii

Section 1 Introduction 1

Types of Tests 27

2.2.1 Classification by Task 27

2.2.2 Examples of Tests, Common and Uncommon 28

2.2

1	Introduction 3
	Sarah E. Kemp, Tracey Hollowood, Joanne Hort and Lauren Rogers
1.1	Introduction 3
1.1.1	Discrimination Testing as a Tool 3
1.2	Developments of Discrimination Testing 4
1.3	Discrimination as a Technique in Sensory Science 11
1.3.1	Factors Influencing Sensory Discrimination 11
1.3.2	Action Standards and Sensory Discrimination Testing 12
1.3.3	Advantages and Disadvantages 14
1.4	Applications 15
1.4.1	Product Design and Development 15
1.4.2	Quality Assurance/Quality Control 15
1.4.3	Consumer Research 16
1.4.4	Panel Selection and Training 16
1.4.5	Research 16
1.5	Overview of Book 16
	References 19
2	General Considerations in Discrimination Testing 25
	Harry T. Lawless
2.1	Introduction: Goals of Discrimination Tests 25

viii	
------	--

Col	nte	nts

2.3	General Test Considerations 32
2.4	Basic Statistical Analysis 35
2.4.1	Null and Alternative Hypotheses 35
2.4.2	Exact Binomial, z-Score Approximation, and Chi-Square 36
2.4.3	McNemar and Stuart Maxwell Tests 37
2.5	Test Power and Sample Size; Equivalence and Similarity 38
2.5.1	A Quick Look at Sample Size and Test Power 38
2.5.2	Equivalence and Similarity 40
2.6	Psychological Factors 42
2.7	Summary and Conclusions 43 References 44
3	An Overview of Sensory Discrimination Tests 49
	Stella Lignou and Victoria Norton
	References 65
4	Determining Individual Variation in Ability to Discriminate: Factors Affecting
	Responsiveness and Performance 67
	Sara Spinelli, Caterina Dinnella and Erminio Monteleone
4.1	Introduction 67
4.2	Overview of the Factors Affecting Individual Differences in Oral and Olfactory
	Responsiveness 67
4.2.1	Age and Gender 67
4.2.2	Fungiform Papillae 68
4.2.3	Responsiveness to PROP 70
4.2.4	Thermal Taster Status 71
4.2.5	Oral Tactile Acuity 72
4.2.6	Psychological Traits 72
4.2.7	Individual Differences in Odor Sensitivity 73
4.3	Factors Affecting Consumer and Trained Panelists Ability to Discriminate 74
4.3.1	Training and Familiarity 74
4.3.2	Affective Priming 75
4.3.3	Panel Composition 75
4.3.4	Environmental Conditions 76
4.4	Future Developments 76
4.5	Summary 77
	Acknowledgments 77
	References 77
5	Similarity or Equivalence Testing 85
	Linda Lopez
5.1	Introduction 85
5.2	Practical and Common-sense Approach for Similarity Testing 87
5.2.1	Protocol Consistency 88
5.2.1.1	Specific Quality Control Protocol Consideration 88
5.2.1.2	Test Method Choice and Detailed Operating Instructions 89

5.2.1.3 5.2.1.4 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.2.1	Panel Size and Type 89 Testing Facility 90 Availability of Historical Data 90 Regular Validation Tests 90 Panel Screening and Correlation to Descriptive or Consumer Data 90 Evolution of Similarity Testing Methodology 92 Test for Significant Similarity 92 The Two One-Sided Test Approach (TOST) and Interval Testing 93 Thurstonian Scaling 93 The Theory and Formulae 94 Application of Thurstone Theory to Sensory Forced Choice Tests 95 Application When Samples Do Not Fully Match a Reference 98 Example of Similarity Test Application in Claim and Recipe Change 98 Parity and Equivalence Claims 98 Recipe Changes 100 Consumer Relevant Size of Difference 100 Impact of Product Heterogeneity 101 Conclusion 102 References 102
6	Thurstonian Modeling and Signal Detection Theory 105 Michael J. Hautus 105
6.1	Signal Detection Theory and Thurstonian Modeling 105
6.2	SDT and Two Stimulus Alternatives 106
6.3	The A-Not A Task 108
6.3.1	Proportion of Correct Responses 108
6.3.2	Bias as a Removable Problem 109
6.3.3	The Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve 110
6.3.4	Area Measures of Sensitivity 111
6.3.5	Alternative Distribution Assumptions 113
6.3.6	Confidence-Rating Response Procedure 114
6.3.7	Fitting ROC Curves 116
6.3.8	BEVM vs. BM Revisited 116
6.3.9	Data Analysis Considerations 118
6.3.10	The R-Index 119
6.4	The 2AFC (Paired Comparison) Task 120
6.5	Test Sensitivity 124
6.6	Closing Comments 127
	References 127
7	Sureness Judgements and R-Index Calculations and Their Applications 131 Danielle van Hout and Hye-Seong Lee
7.1	Quantifications of Sensory Differences 131
7.2	R-Index 132
7.3	Sureness Judgements 133
7.3.1	Response Bias and Criterion Location 133

722	
7.3.2	Number of Sureness Response Categories 133
7.4	Designing an R-Index Study, Using an A-Not A Task 133
7.4.1	Study Purpose 133
7.4.2	Familiarizing Sensory Panelists with the Reference Product 134
7.4.3	Consumer Familiarity with the Reference Product 134
7.4.4	Number of Products in a Test 135
7.5	R-Index Data Analysis 136
7.5.1	R-Index Analysis of A-Not A Data 136
7.5.2	R-Index Analysis of Ranking Data 138
7.5.3	Converting R-Index Into d' 138
7.5.4	Sample Sizes 139
7.5.5	Significance of R-Index Values 139
7.5.6	Significant Differences Between Two R-Index Values Using Confidence
	Intervals 140
7.6	Applications 140
7.6.1	R-Index for A-Not A Tests, Comparing Multiple Products with a Reference 140
7.6.2	R-Index for Ranking Applied to Consumer Hedonic Measurements 141
7.6.3	R-Index to Measure Consumers' Concepts or Emotions 141
7.6.4	R-Index for Sensitivity Measurements 141
7.7	Future Developments 142
	Appendix 144
	References 148
8	Replicated Discrimination Testing 151
	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr
8.1	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151
8.1 8.2	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152
8.1 8.2 8.2.1	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152
8.1 8.2	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153
8.1 8.2 8.2.1	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5 8.3.6	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155 Success Probabilities for Preference Tests 156
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155 Success Probabilities for Preference Tests 156 Assessor Heterogeneity 156
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5 8.3.7 8.3.8	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155 Success Probabilities for Preference Tests 156 Assessor Heterogeneity 156 Further Notation 157
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5 8.3.6 8.3.7	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155 Success Probabilities for Preference Tests 156 Assessor Heterogeneity 156 Further Notation 157 Statistical Testing to Show Product Differences 157
8.1 8.2 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 8.2.5 8.2.6 8.3 8.3.1 8.3.2 8.3.3 8.3.4 8.3.5 8.3.7 8.3.8	Michael Meyners and Bernard Thomas Carr Introduction 151 General Considerations and Study Design 152 Fatigue and Learning Effects 152 Randomization and Presentation Order 153 Replicated Discrimination Tests to Monitor Panelist Performance 153 Benefits from Replications of Preference Tests 153 Required Sample Sizes 153 Replications can Offer Additional Benefits and Insights 154 Notation 154 Basic Notation: Number of Assessors and Replications 154 Correct and Incorrect Answers and Guessing Probability 154 Success Probability and Probability of True Discrimination 155 Number of Successes 155 Replicated Discrimination Tests as a Hierarchical Model 155 Success Probabilities for Preference Tests 156 Assessor Heterogeneity 156 Further Notation 157

8.4.3	Potential Power Loss in the Binomial Test and Limitations for Equivalence Problems 159		
8.4.4	Reduction of Sample Size in the Analysis to Account for Heterogeneity 159		
8.4.5	A Confidence Interval-Based Approach 160		
	The Beta-Binomial Model 160		
8.4.6			
8.4.7	The Chance-Corrected Beta-Binomial Model 161		
8.4.8	Heterogeneity Implies Product Differences 162		
8.4.9	Generalized Linear Mixed Models 163		
8.4.10	The Common Limit Model 163		
8.4.11	The χ^2 Test 164		
8.4.12	Further Methods and Recommendations 164		
8.5	Descriptive Analysis and Parameter Estimation 165		
8.5.1	Descriptive Analyses Enhance Insights 165		
8.5.2	Tabulation of Observed and Expected Success Rates and χ^2 Test 165		
8.5.3	Mean Discrimination Rate with Confidence Interval 166		
8.5.4	Lower Bound for the Proportion of True Discriminations 166		
8.5.5	Model Fitting: Beta-Binomial, Generalized Linear Mixed Models, and Related		
	Approaches 167		
8.5.6	Bayesian Methods 167		
8.5.7	Thurstonian Approaches 169		
8.5.8	Conclusions for Descriptive Analyses 169		
8.6	Tests for Equivalence/Similarity 170		
8.7	Replicated Preference Tests 171		
8.7.1	Success Rates and Test Problems 171		
8.7.2	When Preference Tests Must Not Be Replicated 172		
8.7.3	Statistical Tests and Models 172		
8.7.4	Segmentation in Consumer Preferences 173		
8.7.5	Equivalence Tests 173		
8.7.6	The No Preference Option 173		
8.8	Examples 174		
8.9	Conclusions 185		
8.10	Recommendations 186		
8.11	Glossary and Notation 188		
	Acknowledgements 191		
	References 191		

Applications 197 Section 2

- 9 Sensory Quality Measurement Based on SDT Discrimination 199 Hye-Seong Lee, Min-A Kim and Danielle van Hout
- Introduction 199 9.1
- A New Classification of Sensory Discrimination Tests and Their Relative 9.2 Performance 200
- A New Way of Classification of Sensory Discrimination Tests 200 9.2.1

xii	Contents	
	9.2.2	Conducting the Discrimination Tests and Collecting the Data 203
	9.2.3	Performance Differences Between the Various Designs of Discrimination
		Tests 205
	9.3	Reference-based Discrimination Test Methodology: SDT Sensory
		Quality Measurements 209
	9.3.1	Introduction 209
	9.3.2	Test Design 210
	9.3.3	Familiarization Procedure 211
	9.3.3.1	Repeated Reference Tastings 211
	9.3.3.2	Specified Categorization Task 211
	9.3.3.3	2-AFC with Reminder 212
	9.3.4	Utilizing Signal Detection Theory Indices: Data Processing
		Approach and Applications 212
	9.3.4.1	Discrimination d' : A Measure of the Size of Product Difference 213
	9.3.4.2	Criterion Location, c: A Measure of the Subject Judgements 216
	9.3.4.3	Recognition $d'(d'_{Rec})$: A Measure to Check the Panel Performance 217
	9.3.5	A Strategy for Defining and Maintaining Action Standards 220
	9.3.6	Case Study: Driving Consumer Relevance in Sensory Quality Control Through
		Action Standard Optimization: Bridging the Gap Between Sensory Panel
		and Consumer Sensitivity 221
	9.3.6.1	Introduction 221
	9.3.6.2	Establishing the Current Sensory Panel-based AS 221
	9.3.6.3	Methods and Materials 222
	9.3.6.4	Data Analysis 222
	9.3.6.5	Results 223
	9.3.6.6	Optimizing the Sensory Panel-based AS to Become More
		Consumer-Relevant 226
	9.4	Further Development 226
	9.4.1	Further Development of the Sensory Panel as a Predictive Tool for Consumer
		Perception 226
	9.4.2	Further Development of the Reference-Based Methodology for Consumer
		Research for Affective Tests 227
		References 228
	10	Discrimination Testing in Flavors and Fragrances: A Practical View 233
		Karine Miot, Carlos Gómez-Corona and Isabelle Cayeux
	10.1	Introduction to Discrimination Testing for Flavors and Fragrances 233
	10.1.1	General Considerations 233
	10.1.2	Methodology 236
	10.1.2.1	Panelists 236
	10.1.2.2	Test Location 237
	10.2	Discrimination Testing for Flavors 238
	10.2.1	Product – Stimuli – Flavor 238
	10.2.2	Applications 240

10.2.3	Case Studies 241
10.2.3.1	Integration of Two Flavors: Evaluation in Tasting Solution 241
10.2.3.2	Sugar Reduction in Beverages in Laboratory Samples 242
10.2.3.3	Salt Reduction in Sausages in Pilot Plant/Plant Samples 246
10.3	Discrimination Testing for Fragrances 248
10.3.1	Product – Stimuli – Fragrance 248
10.3.2	Applications 249
10.3.3	Case Studies 252
10.3.3.1	Lilial Removal in Shampooing Products 252
10.3.3.2	Validation of New Capsules in a Fabric Softener 254
10.4	Bringing Social Responsibility into Discrimination Testing for Flavors and Fragrances 256
10.4.1	Blind and Visually Impaired Panelists 256
10.4.2	Panelists in Vulnerable Social Conditions 257
10.5	What the Future Might Be in the Flavor and Fragrance Industries 259
10.5.1	Adapting to New Social and Environmental Challenges in the Industry 259
10.5.2	What Will Be the Place of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence
	in Discrimination Testing? What Might a Future Panelist Look Like? 260
10.5.3	The Future Panelist Will Be "Connected" 261
10.6	Conclusions and Final Considerations 261
	References 262
11	Kids as Sensory Detectives: Application of Discrimination Testing with
	Children to Identify If Differences Exist Between Two or More Products 265
	Cindy Beeren
11.1	Introduction: Sensory Testing by Kids 265
11.2	Kids' vs. Adults' Sensitivities 266
11.2.1	Smell 266
11.2.2	Taste 266
11.2.3	Vision 267
11.2.4	Touch 267
11.2.5	Hearing 268
11.2.6	Sensory Fusion 268
11.3	Kids vs. Adults' Preferences 268
11.4	Food Neophobia 269
11.5	So Why Use Kids? 270
11.5.1	Appropriate Sensitivity 271
11.5.2	Understanding the Sensory Perceptions as Perceived by Kids 271
11.5.3	Appearance 272
11.6	Ethical Considerations 272
11.7	Environment for Testing with Kids 274
11.8	Sensory Screening 276
11.8.1	Why Screen Kids for Testing? 276
11.8.2	Basic Taste Screening 277 Odor Descriptive Screening 277
11 8 3	

•	
XIV	ı

,	Content

11.8.4	Visual Screening 277				
11.8.5	Texture Descriptive Screening 277				
11.9	Training Session 279				
11.9.1	Training in Different Methods 279				
11.10	Test Methods 281				
11.10.1	Language 284				
11.10.2	Scales 284				
11.11	Final Thoughts 285				
11.11.1	Motivation and Incentives 285				
11.11.2	Health and Sensory Test 286				
11.11.3	Future Kids Sensory Detectives 286 References 287				
12	Expanding Attribute-Specific Difference Tests with Multisample Paired				
	Comparison Paradigms 291 Curtis R. Luckett				
12.1	Introduction 291				
12.1.1	Simple Examples 294				
12.1.2	Going Beyond a Pair of Products 298				
12.1.2	Practical Considerations 299				
12.3	Mosteller's Extension of Thurstone 300				
12.4	Bradley-Terry Models 301				
12.5	Elo and mElo 302				
12.6	Friedman-Style Rank Analysis 302				
12.7	Considerations on Choosing an Appropriate Method 303				
12.8	Future Developments 313				
	References 314				
13	Summary 317				
	Sarah E. Kemp, Tracey Hollowood, Joanne Hort and Lauren Rogers				
13.1	Introduction 317				
13.2	Overall Comparison of Methods 317				
13.3	Current/Recent Developments 320				
13.3.1	Which Test? 320				
13.3.2	Analysis of Discrimination Tests 320				
13.3.3	Difference or Similarity Testing 321				
13.3.4	Which Assessor? 323				
13.4	Future 324				
13.4.1	Ethical Considerations in Sensory Discrimination Testing 324				
13.4.2	Experimental Design of Discrimination Tests 325				
13.4.3	Replicated Discrimination Testing 325				
13.4.4	New Discrimination Tests 325				
13.4.5	Participants in Discrimination Tests 326				
13.5	Conclusions 327 References 327				
	References 32/				

List of Contributors

Cindy Beeren

EdiBee Altea Spain

Whyteleafe Community Hub Whyteleafe UK

Isabelle Cayeux

Perception & Cognitive Neurosciences Department, Science & Research, dsm-firmenich Geneva, Switzerland

Caterina Dinnella

Department of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Forestry (DAGRI) University of Florence Florence Italy

Carlos Gómez-Corona

Human Insights Department, Taste Texture, and Health BU dsm-firmenich Neuilly-sur-Seine France

Michael J. Hautus

School of Psychology, Faculty of Science The University of Auckland Auckland New Zealand

Tracey Hollowood

Formerly Managing Director of Sensory Dimensions Ltd UK

Joanne Hort

Food Experience and Sensory Testing Laboratory (Feast) Massey University Palmerston North New Zealand

Danielle van Hout

Aigora Richmond, VA USA

Sarah E. Kemp

Consultant and formerly Head of Global Sensory and Consumer Guidance Cadbury Schweppes UK

Min-A Kim

Division of Food and Nutrition Chonnam National University Gwangju South Korea

Harry T. Lawless

Cornell University Ithaca, NY USA

Hye-Seong Lee

Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, College of Engineering Ewha Womans University Seoul South Korea

Stella Lignou

University of Reading Reading UK

Linda Lopez

S&T, Givaudan International Kemptthal Switzerland

Curtis R. Luckett

University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN USA

SCiFi Foods San Leandro, CA USA

Michael Meyners

Discovery & Innovation Platforms, Procter & Gamble Service GmbH Schwalbach am Taunus Germany

Karine Miot

Sensory Department, Perfumery & Beauty BU dsm-firmenich London United Kingdom

Erminio Monteleone

Department of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Forestry (DAGRI) University of Florence Florence Italy

Victoria Norton

University of Reading Reading UK

Lauren Rogers

Freelance Sensory Scientist UK

Sara Spinelli

Department of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Forestry (DAGRI) University of Florence Florence Italy

Bernard Thomas Carr

Carr Consulting Evanston, IL USA

National Wine and Grape Industry Centre **Charles Sturt University** Wagga Wagga, New South Wales Australia

Preface to the Series

Sensory evaluation is a scientific discipline used to evoke, measure, analyze and interpret responses to products perceived through the senses of sight, smell, touch, taste and hearing (Anonymous 1975). It is used to reveal insights into the way in which sensory properties drive consumer acceptance and behavior and to design products that best deliver what consumers want. It is also used at a more fundamental level to provide a wider understanding of the mechanisms involved in sensory perception and consumer behavior.

Sensory evaluation emerged as a field in the 1940s. It began as simple "taste testing" typically used in the food industry for judging the quality of products such as tea, cheese, beer, and so on. From the 1950s to the 1970s, it evolved into a series of techniques to objectively and reliably measure sensory properties of products and was typically used to service quality assurance and product development. Through the 1980s and 1990s, the use of computers for data collection and statistical analysis increased the speed and sophistication of the field, so that sensory, consumer, and physicochemical data could be combined to design products that delivered to consumer needs.

Today, sensory evaluation is a sophisticated, decision-making tool that is used in partner-ship with marketing, research and development, and quality assessment and control throughout the product lifecycle to enable consumer-led product design and decision-making. Its application has spread from the food industry to many others, such as personal care, household care, cosmetics, flavors, fragrances, and the automotive industry. Although it is already widely used by major companies in the developed market, its use continues to grow in emerging markets, smaller companies, and new product categories, as sensory evaluation is increasingly recognized as a necessary tool for competitive advantage.

The field of sensory evaluation will continue to evolve and it is expected that faster, more flexible, and more sophisticated techniques will be developed. Social networking tools are transforming the way research is undertaken, enabling direct and real-time engagement with consumers. The use of sensory evaluation by marketing departments will continue to grow, particularly in leveraging the link between product sensory properties and emotional benefits for use in branding and advertising. Advances in other fields, such as genomics, brain imaging, and instrumental analysis, will be coupled with sensory evaluation to provide a greater understanding of perception.

Owing to the rapid growth and sophistication of the field of sensory evaluation in recent years, it is no longer possible to give anything but a brief overview of individual topics in a single general sensory science textbook. The trend is toward more specialized sensory

books that focus on one specific topic, and to date, these have been produced in an ad hoc fashion by different authors/editors. Many areas remain uncovered.

We, the editors, wanted to share our passion for sensory evaluation by producing a comprehensive series of detailed books on individual topics in sensory evaluation. We are enthusiastic devotees of sensory evaluation, who are excited to act as editors to promote sensory science. Between us, we have over 120 years of industrial and academic experience in sensory science, covering food, household, and personal care products in manufacturing; food service; consultancy; and provision of sensory analysis services at local, regional, and global levels. We have published and presented widely in the field; taught workshops, short courses, and lecture series; and acted as reviewers, research supervisors, thesis advisors, project managers and examiners. We have been active in many sensory-related professional bodies, including the Institute of Food Science and Technology Sensory Science Group, of which many of us are past Chairs, the European Sensory Science Society, of which one of us is a past Chair, the Institute of Food Technologists, the British Standards Institute, and ASTM International, to name but a few. As such, we are well placed to have a broad perspective of sensory evaluation and pleased to be able to call on our network of sensory evaluation colleagues to collaborate with us.

The book series Sensory Evaluation covers the field of sensory evaluation at an advanced level and aims to:

- be a comprehensive, in-depth series on sensory evaluation
- cover traditional and cutting-edge techniques and applications in sensory evaluation using the world's foremost experts
- reach a broad audience of sensory scientists; practitioners; and students by balancing theory, methodology, and practical application
- reach industry practitioners by illustrating how sensory can be applied throughout the product life cycle, including development, manufacture, supply chain, and marketing
- cover a broad range of product applications, including food, beverages, personal care, and household products.

Our philosophy is to include cutting-edge theory and methodology, as well as illustrating the practical application of sensory evaluation. As sensory practitioners, we are always interested in how methods are actually carried out in the laboratory. Often, key details of the practicalities are omitted in journal papers and other scientific texts. We have encouraged authors to include such details in the hope that readers will be able to replicate methods themselves. The focus of sensory texts often tends to be food and beverage products assessed using olfaction and taste. We have asked authors to take a broad perspective to include non-food products and all the senses.

The book series is aimed at sensory professionals working in academia and industry, including sensory scientists, practitioners, trainers, and students; and industry-based professionals in marketing, research and development, and quality assurance/control, who need to understand sensory evaluation and how it can benefit them. The series is suitable as:

- reference texts for sensory scientists, from industry to academia
- teaching aids for senior staff with responsibility for training in an academic or industrial setting

- course books, some of which to be personally owned by students undertaking academic study or industrial training
- reference texts suitable across a broad range of industries; for example, food, beverages, personal care products, household products, flavors, fragrances.

The first book in the series, Sensory Evaluation: A Practical Handbook, was published in May 2009 (Kemp et al. 2009). This book focuses on the practical aspects of sensory testing, presented in a simple, "how to" style for use by industry and academia as a step-by-step guide to carry out a basic range of sensory tests. In-depth coverage was deliberately kept to a minimum. Further books in the series cover the basic methodologies used in the field of sensory evaluation: discrimination testing, descriptive analysis (Kemp et al. 2018), timedependent measures of perception (Hort et al. 2017), and consumer research. They give theoretical background, more complex techniques, and in-depth discussion on application of sensory evaluation, while seeking to maintain the practical approach of the handbook. Chapters include clear case studies with sufficient detail to enable practitioners to carry out the techniques presented. Later books will cover a broad range of sensory topics, including applications and emerging trends.

The contributors we have selected are world-renowned scientists and leading experts in their field. Where possible, we have used originators of techniques. We have learned a lot from them as we have worked with them to shape each book. We wish to thank them for accepting our invitation to write chapters and for the time and effort they have put in to making their chapters useful and enjoyable for readers.

We would also like to thank our publisher, Wiley Blackwell, and the team for seeing the potential in this series and helping us bring it to fruition. We would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers of the series for their constructive comments.

We hope you will find the Sensory Evaluation book series both interesting and beneficial, and enjoy reading it as much as we have producing it.

> Sarah E. Kemp Joanne Hort Tracey Hollowood Lauren Rogers

References

Anonymous (1975). Minutes of Division Business Meeting. Institute of Food Technologists – Sensory Evaluation Division. Chicago, IL: IFT.

Kemp, S.E., Hollowood, T., and Hort, J. (2009). Sensory Evaluation: A Practical Handbook. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

Kemp, S.E., Hollowood, T., and Hort, J. (2018). Descriptive Analysis in Sensory Evaluation. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

Hort, J., Kemp, S.E., and Hollowood, T. (2017). Time-Dependent Measures in Sensory Evaluation. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

Preface

Discrimination tests are one of the key sets of methods in a sensory scientist's toolbox. The aim of this book is to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date overview of the area, the tests, and their applications.

Discrimination tests are covered in classic general sensory science texts, including Meilgaard et al. (2016), Lawless and Heymann (2010), and Stone et al. (2020). These have limited space to give to the topic, which makes it difficult to strike a balance between theory and practical application. To the editors' knowledge, there are two previous publications devoted to discrimination tests. Bi (2015, updated from the first edition in 2008) produced a very detailed book that thoroughly covers the statistical aspects of discrimination testing, as well as information about consumer emotions, time intensity, and shelf-life. Rogers (2017) edited a practical handbook for sensory scientists with chapters and case studies dedicated to the most popular sensory discrimination tests.

The editors saw a need for a book devoted to discrimination testing that would provide in-depth theoretical and practical coverage of traditional and recently developed approaches, as well as cover several of the many applications of sensory science such as food, home and personal care, and ingredient testing. In addition, the book also considers discrimination testing with different subjects and those with differing discrimination abilities, as well as replicated discrimination tests and the use of discrimination tests in determining sensory quality. The scope of this book includes history, theory, techniques and applications of discrimination tests. The book also includes case studies to bring the applications to life, making it an essential reference for sensory scientists.

The book is structured in two sections. Section 1 is an introduction covering general topics in discrimination testing, including history, general considerations, determining discrimination abilities, similarity, and approaches to replicated tests. This section also delves into Thurstonian modeling and the use of sureness judgments to quantify the size of the sensory differences. Section 2 covers some applications of discrimination testing such as the use of children to conduct the tests, the use of attribute-specific tests with many samples, and conducting discrimination tests in the flavors and fragrances industries.

Each chapter includes theory, psychological aspects, methodology, statistical analysis, applications, practical considerations, case studies and examples, future developments, and a reference list. The aim is to give a balance between theory and practice, with enough theory for readers to fully understand the background and underlying mechanisms of the

technique, and in many instances enough detail to enable the reader to carry out the methodology.

We thank all authors for giving their time and effort to their chapter despite their busy schedules, and for their patience with the process.

We hope you find this book as interesting and beneficial to read as we did to produce.

Lauren Rogers Tracey Hollowood Joanne Hort Sarah E. Kemp

References

- Bi, J. (2015). Sensory Discrimination Tests and Measurements: Sensometrics in Sensory Evaluation. Wiley.
- Bi, J. (2008). Sensory Discrimination Tests and Measurements: Statistical Principles, Procedures and Tables. Wiley.
- Meilgaard, M., Civille, G., and Carr, B. (2016). Sensory Evaluation Techniques. Boca Raton, Florida: Taylor & Francis.
- Lawless, H.T. and Heymann, H. (2010). Sensory Evaluation of Food. Principles and Practices. Sensory Evaluation of Food - Principles and Practices. New York: Springer.
- Rogers, L. (2017). Discrimination Testing in Sensory Science: A Practical Handbook. Woodhead Publishing.
- Stone, H., Bleibaum, R., and Thomas, H.A. (2020). Sensory Evaluation Practices. Academic press.

Editor Biographies

Tracey Hollowood BSc (Hons), PhD, is the former director of Sensory and Consumer Research for Sensory Dimensions Ltd, she has over 25 years of academic and industrial experience and has been published extensively. She established the UK's first postgraduate certificate in Sensory Science and managed Nottingham University's Sensory Science Centre. She was a previous chair of the Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST) Midland Branch and the Sensory Science Group (SSG).

Joanne Hort, BEd (Hons), PhD, CSci, FIFST, MNZIFST, RSensSci, is the Fonterra-Riddet Chair of Consumer and Sensory Science at Massey University in New Zealand (NZ) following on from her various academic roles, latterly SABMiller Chair of Sensory Science at the University of Nottingham. Initially, Professor Hort studied food technology and began her career in teaching. However, she returned to university to receive her doctorate concerning the modelling of the sensory attributes of cheese from analytical and instrumental measures in 1998 and then took up a post as lecturer at Sheffield Hallam University. She was appointed as a lecturer in Sensory Science at the University of Nottingham in 2002. There she established the University of Nottingham Sensory Science Centre, obtaining her Chair in 2013. Her multidisciplinary approach combining analytical, brain imaging and sensory techniques provided rich insight into multisensory interactions, individual variation, temporal changes in flavor perception and the emotional response to sensory properties. In 2017, she took the position of inaugural Fonterra Riddet Chair in Consumer and Sensory Science at Massey University where she has established the Food Experience and Sensory Testing (Feast) lab, complete with digital immersive space for research on emotional response in ecologically valid environments. She has over 120 publications and sits on the editorial board for Food Quality and Preference. She is a fellow of the Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST), member of the NZIFST and NZ Royal Society. She is vice president of the Pangborn Sensory Science Trust, a founder member and past chair of the European Sensory Science Society, and a founder member and past chair of the UK IFST Sensory Science Group.

Sarah E. Kemp, BSc (Hons), PhD, CSci, FIFST, RSensSci, is a chartered sensory and consumer science professional with more than 35 years of experience in academia and industry. Dr Kemp gained a BSc in Food Technology in 1986 and a PhD in Taste Chemistry in 1989 from the Food Science and Technology Department at the University of Reading, UK. In

1990, she did a postdoctoral research fellowship in sensory science at the Monell Chemical Senses Center in Philadelphia, USA. Dr Kemp has held many positions in industry, including manager of Sensory Psychology (US) and director of European Consumer and Marketing Research (France) in the Fragrance Division at Givaudan; product area leader and sensory science leader in Foods Consumer Science at Unilever Research, Colworth, UK; head of Global Sensory and Consumer Guidance at Cadbury Schweppes, UK; and director of Sensory and Consumer Services at Reading Scientific Services Limited, UK. Dr Kemp has also set up and run her own consultancy service and catering company. She has written numerous scientific articles in the field of sensory evaluation, has provided sensory training courses, including lecturing on the European Masters Course in Food Science, and has worked on bodies developing standards in sensory evaluation, including ASTM and the British Standards Institution, where she is chair of Committee AW/012 Sensory Analysis. She is a fellow of the Institute of Food Science and Technology and a founder member, past chair, and examiner for the IFST's Sensory Science Group, as well as being a member of other professional sensory societies and a past Governor of East Kent College, UK.

Lauren Rogers is a freelance sensory scientist living in the beautiful Staffordshire Peak District. Lauren fell in love with sensory science in the early 1990s when she worked for Dalgety Plc, a food ingredients company. Later, she worked for GlaxoSmithKline, mainly on beverages such as Ribena and Horlicks. Lauren became a freelancer in 2009 and, as well as working for several different clients on many different product types and many different qualitative and quantitative methods, Lauren lectures on sensory science at the University of Nottingham and Le Cordon Bleu. Lauren is particularly interested in the sensory, consumer, and emotional attributes of brands; sensory shelf-life; panel performance; and sensory claim substantiation. She has experience in many food products including ingredients, snack products, drinks, and pet-food. She also has worked on many home and personal care products such as shampoos, conditioners, toothpastes, and creams. Lauren is a fellow of the Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST) and an active member of the IFST's Sensory Science Group, maintaining their sensory science qualifications. She is also a member of the Market Research Society, the Sensometrics Society, the Society of Sensory Professionals and the ASTM E-18 (Sensory) Committee. Lauren is a member of the British Standards Society working on various international sensory science standards. Lauren has previously published two books, Sensory Panel Management and Discrimination Testing in Sensory Science.

Section 1

Introduction

1

Introduction

Sarah E. Kemp¹, Tracey Hollowood², Joanne Hort³ and Lauren Rogers⁴

- ¹ Consultant and formerly Head of Global Sensory and Consumer Guidance, Cadbury Schweppes, UK
- ² Formerly Managing Director of Sensory Dimensions Ltd, UK
- ³ Food Experience and Sensory Testing Laboratory (Feast), Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand
- ⁴ Freelance Sensory Scientist, UK

1.1 Introduction

Sensory discrimination tests are methodologies used in fields such as foods and beverages, home and personal care, cosmetics, and consumer goods to assess whether two samples are perceptibly different. The two samples being tested generally come from two production batches. These different batches might use, for example, different ingredients, different manufacturing processes, or different packaging. Discrimination tests are also used to evaluate and quantify individuals' abilities to perceive and differentiate various sensory attributes or a holistic difference between products. Participants are typically presented with pairs of products and are tasked with identifying differences or similarities in sensory characteristics, such as appearance, aroma, taste, flavor or texture. These tests play a crucial role in quality control, product development, and market research, for example, providing valuable insights into consumer preferences and aiding in the improvement of product formulations based on perceived sensory attributes.

1.1.1 Discrimination Testing as a Tool

Imagine that you are the new product development manager for a major snack manufacturer and have been tasked with an innovative global wellness project to further reduce the levels of salt and fat in the company's flagship product. Several designs of experiment studies are currently being discussed by the team and the next step is to discuss the project timings for the assessment of the sensory aspects of the products arising from these experiments. You have a meeting with the sensory manager to discuss the plans and the sensory methods available to help meet the project's action standards. There are several classes of sensory methods available to you, including descriptive, temporal and consumer methods, however, you agree

with the global sensory manager that discrimination tests¹ are the best choice for this stage of the project as the differences between products should be slight; there is no point in conducting a discrimination test on products that are obviously different. The sensory manager has explained that if you need to know how products are different, you will need a different method (for example, quantitative descriptive analysis or free choice profiling). The sensory discrimination tests will allow you to determine if consumers will notice the difference between the chemically and physically different products from the experimental design studies and the current gold standard products.

To use a sensory discrimination test, three things are usually required: people to assess the samples, the choice of the test and some samples to assess. Obviously, a sensory scientist is also required to choose and administer the test, as well as to analyze and report the results. The person who takes part in the test is often someone who has been screened for their sensory acuity (ISO 2023) and trained in the test method, although they might also be naïve consumers. By training in the method first, the participant is focused on the items in the test and not the execution of the test itself. This person assesses the items presented to them using the training they received, answering the question posed by the test; for example, selecting the odd sample in a discrimination test known as a triangle test (Sinkinson 2017) or rating the difference between samples on a scale (Whelan 2017). The results from all participants in the test are then analyzed to make a final decision based on the objectives and action standard(s).

There are many different sensory discrimination tests available to the sensory scientist to help meet the types of objectives they might encounter. The choice of test will depend on several factors, with the primary considerations being the type of product being tested and the objectives of the test. There is a tendency for businesses to habitually use one discrimination test for all objectives, but not all objectives are equal: for an interesting discussion about the choice of sensory test related to the objective(s) of the research, see Lawless and Heymann (2010, pp. 8-10).

When the focus of the discrimination test is to answer a product-related question, the intended use of the results and the test's position within the project stages are important factors in the choice of test (Meilgaard et al. 2016).

1.2 **Developments of Discrimination Testing**

Sensory discrimination testing began early in the 20th century and was originally referred to as difference testing (Meiselman et al. 2022), however, the initial "discrimination tests" were conducted by Weber and Fechner in the early 19th century to examine the relationship between physical stimuli and sensory experience (David 1963). This field of study, now referred to as psychophysics, was characterized by the researchers' belief that sensations could not be measured directly. Consequently, they employed a variety of indirect methods and experiments to gauge perception. Weber's experiments in 1834 explored the

¹ Ideally, sensory discrimination tests should be referred to as sensory discrimination "methods", however, the word "test" has been used so frequently that it is in common usage, and so the word 'test' has been used throughout this chapter.