



INTRODUCTION TO
STRAIN-BASED
STRUCTURAL HEALTH
MONITORING OF
CIVIL STRUCTURES

BRANKO GLIŠIĆ

WILEY

Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring of Civil Structures

Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring of Civil Structures

Branko Glšić

Princeton University

Princeton

United States

WILEY

This edition first published 2024
© 2024 John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

All rights reserved, including rights for text and data mining and training of artificial intelligence technologies or similar technologies. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at <http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions>.

The right of Branko Glišić to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with law.

Registered Offices

John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA
John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex, PO19 8SQ, UK

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www.wiley.com.

Wiley also publishes its books in a variety of electronic formats and by print-on-demand. Some content that appears in standard print versions of this book may not be available in other formats.

Trademarks: Wiley and the Wiley logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and/or its affiliates in the United States and other countries and may not be used without written permission. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. is not associated with any product or vendor mentioned in this book.

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials or promotional statements for this work. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is applied for

Hardback ISBN 9781118495353

Cover Design: Wiley

Cover Image: © Reproduced by permission of Branko Glišić

Set in 9.5/12.5pt STIXTwoText by Straive, Chennai, India

To my spouse Tanja and daughter Lana, to our extended family in Serbia, Croatia, North Macedonia, and Sweden, to our friends, and to all SHM enthusiasts.

Contents

Preface	<i>xiii</i>
Foreword (Jerome P. Lynch)	<i>xv</i>
Foreword (Daniele Zonta)	<i>xvii</i>
Acknowledgments	<i>xix</i>
About the Author	<i>xxv</i>
1	Introduction <i>1</i>
1.1	Structural Health Monitoring – Basic Notions, Needs, Benefits, and Challenges <i>1</i>
1.2	SHM Process <i>5</i>
1.2.1	General Observations <i>5</i>
1.2.2	Definition and Four Dimensions of SHM <i>5</i>
1.2.2.1	Monitoring Parameters <i>6</i>
1.2.2.2	Timeframe and Pace <i>6</i>
1.2.2.3	Level of Sophistication <i>7</i>
1.2.2.4	Scale of Application <i>8</i>
1.2.3	SHM Core Activities <i>9</i>
1.2.4	Parties Involved in SHM <i>12</i>
1.3	Gota Bridge – Example of an SHM Project <i>13</i>
2	SHM System Components and Properties <i>19</i>
2.1	SHM System <i>19</i>
2.2	Principles of Functioning of an SHM System <i>21</i>
2.3	Properties of SHM System <i>22</i>
2.3.1	General Observations <i>22</i>
2.3.2	Basic Specifications of Measuring Subsystem <i>22</i>
2.3.3	Reading Type and Frequency <i>25</i>
2.3.4	Data Analysis and Management <i>29</i>
2.3.5	Interference with Structure and Environment, Operational Conditions, and Maintenance <i>32</i>
2.3.6	Reliability of SHM System <i>33</i>
2.3.7	Summary <i>34</i>
2.4	Example of a Heterogeneous SHM System: Tacony–Palmyra Bridge <i>34</i>

3	Strain Sensors	39
3.1	Importance of Strain Monitoring and Strain-Based SHM	39
3.2	Strain – Definition and Use of Term	41
3.3	Historic Summary	42
3.4	Desirable Properties of Strain Sensors	46
3.5	Examples of the First-Generation Strain Sensors	48
3.5.1	General Advantages and Challenges of the First-Generation Strain Sensors	48
3.5.2	Vibrating Wire (VW) Strain Sensor	49
3.5.3	Resistive Strain Gauge	52
3.5.4	Best Performances of the First-Generation Strain Sensors	55
3.6	Examples of the Second-Generation Strain Sensors	56
3.6.1	General Advantages and Challenges of the Second-Generation Strain Sensors	56
3.6.2	Interferometric or SOFO Sensors – Strain Sensors Based on Michelson and Mach–Zehnder Interferometry	59
3.6.3	Fiber Bragg-Grating (FBG) Strain Sensors	61
3.6.4	Distributed Sensors – Strain Sensors Based on Brillouin and Rayleigh Scattering	65
3.6.5	Best Performances of the Second-Generation Strain Sensors	69
3.7	Example of Research on the Third-Generation Strain Sensor	71
3.7.1	Direct and Indirect Detection of Unusual Structural Behaviors, General Advantages and Challenges	71
3.7.2	Example of a Contact-Based Third-Generation Strain Sensor: Sensing Sheet	73
3.7.3	Example of a Non-contact Based Third-Generation Strain Sensor: Camera Vision and Convolutional Neural Networks	74
3.8	Closing Remarks	75
4	Sources of Error, Uncertainty, and Unreliability in Strain Measurements, and Fundamentals of Their Analysis	79
4.1	General Observations	79
4.2	Errors and Uncertainties Related to SHM System	80
4.2.1	Measurement Error: Relative Error, Absolute Error, and Limits of Error	80
4.2.2	Random (Accidental) Error and Systematic Error (Bias)	80
4.2.3	Limits of Error, Standard Uncertainty, and Standard Error of Measurements	82
4.3	Fundamentals of Error and Uncertainty Analysis	83
4.3.1	Error and Uncertainty Propagation	83
4.3.2	Rounding and Significant Figures	86
4.4	Thermal Compensation of Sensor as a Source of Error or Uncertainty	88
4.5	Gauge Length and Spatial Resolution of Sensors as a Source of Error	92
4.5.1	Gauge Length of Discrete Sensors as an Inherent Source of Error	92
4.5.2	Contribution to the Error Inherent to Gauge Length Due to Homogeneous Part of Material	94
4.5.3	Contribution to the Error Inherent in Gauge Length Due to Inclusions in Material	96
4.5.4	Contribution to the Error Inherent in Gauge Length Due to Discontinuities in Material	98
4.5.5	Example of Influence of Gauge Length to Strain Measurement	99
4.5.6	Recommendation for Choice of the Type of Gauge Length Depending on Scale of Monitoring	102

4.5.7	Recommendation for Choice of Gauge Length for Monitoring of Beam-Like Structures at Local or Global Structural Scale	104
4.5.8	Spatial Resolution of Distributed Sensors as an Inherent Source of Error	104
4.6	Errors in Strain Measurement Inherent to Installation of Sensor and Sensor Packaging	109
4.6.1	Strain Transfer from Structure to Sensing Element of the Sensor	109
4.6.2	Error Due to Installation of Sensor	111
4.6.3	Error Due to Geometrical and Mechanical Properties of Sensor Packaging	115
4.6.4	Error Due to Compromise Between Survivability of Sensor and Quality of Strain Transfer	117
4.7	Fundamental Assessment of Reliability of Strain Measurement	120
4.7.1	Cleansing of Data	120
4.7.2	Examples of Identification and Correction of Outliers	121
4.7.3	Examples of Handling Missing Data	123
4.7.4	Examples of Evaluations of Reliability of Measurement Values	124
5	SHM at Local Scale: Interpretation of Strain Measurements and Identification of Unusual Structural Behaviors at Sensor Level	131
5.1	Introduction to SHM at Local Scale	131
5.2	Strain Tensor Transformations	132
5.3	Principal Strain Components	134
5.4	Reference Time and Reference (Zero) Measurement	137
5.5	Strain Constituents and Total Strain	138
5.6	Mechanical Strain and Stress	140
5.6.1	Scope	140
5.6.2	Instantaneous Uniaxial Stress–Strain Relationship and Comparison with Ultimate Values	140
5.6.3	Instantaneous Multidirectional Stress–Strain Relationship and Comparison with Ultimate Values	145
5.6.4	Analytical Expressions for Stress and Strain in Beams	152
5.7	Thermal Strain and Thermal Gradients	156
5.7.1	Thermal Strain at a Point	156
5.7.2	Cross-Sectional Thermal Gradients	158
5.7.3	Longitudinal Thermal Gradients	166
5.8	Rheologic Strain – Creep and Shrinkage	169
5.8.1	Creep	169
5.8.2	Shrinkage	174
5.9	Physics-Based Interpretation of Strain Measurements and Identification of Unusual Structural Behaviors in Steel and Concrete Structures	176
5.9.1	Example of Physics-Based Modeling of Total Strain and Stress	176
5.9.2	Comparison of Strain Measurements with Corresponding Model Values	179
5.9.3	Comparison of Stress, Derived from Strain, with Corresponding Model Values	184
5.9.4	Case Study on Analysis of Strain in Steel Structure	187
5.9.5	Case Study on Analysis of Strain in Concrete Structure	203

6	SHM at Global Scale: Interpretation and Analysis of Strain Measurements from Multiple Sensors and Identification of Unusual Structural Behaviors at Structural Level	213
6.1	Introduction to SHM at Global Scale	213
6.2	Global-Scale SHM Approach	213
6.2.1	Implementation Guidelines	213
6.2.2	Application Example of Global-Scale SHM on a Pile Subjected to Axial Loading	215
6.2.3	Application Example of Global-Scale SHM on Streicker Bridge	216
6.3	Physics-Based Interpretation of Parameters Derived from Sensor Topologies and Identification of Unusual Structural Behaviors	218
6.4	Defining Topology of Sensors for Instrumentation of Observed Cell	219
6.5	Simple Topology and Evaluation of Corresponding Cell Parameters	221
6.5.1	Implementation of Simple Topology	221
6.5.2	Change in Length of the Cell, $\Delta L_{cell}(t)$	222
6.5.3	Axial Stiffness of the Cell, $E_{cell}A_{cell}(t)$	223
6.5.4	Average Normal Force in the Cell, $N_{z,cell}(t)$	225
6.5.5	Examples of Analysis of Simple Topology Sensor Measurements and Their Derivatives	225
6.6	Parallel Topology and Evaluation of Corresponding Cell Parameters	231
6.6.1	Implementation of Parallel Topology	231
6.6.2	Average Curvature $\kappa_{cell,x,sensor}$ and Change in Relative Rotation $\Delta\varphi_{cell,x,sensor}$	231
6.6.3	Average Locations of Neutral Axis $d_{NA,cell,btm}(t)$ and $d_{NA,cell,top}(t)$ and Centroid of Stiffness $d_{cell,btm}(t)$ and $d_{cell,top}(t)$	233
6.6.4	Average Strain at the Centroid $\varepsilon_{cell,ctr,sensor}(t)$ and the Change in Length of the Cell $\Delta L_{cell}(t)$	235
6.6.5	Average Axial and Bending Stiffness of a Cell, $E_{cell}A_{cell}(t)$ and $E_{cell}I_{cell}(t)$	236
6.6.6	Average Normal Force $N_{z,cell}(t)$ and Bending Moment $M_{x,cell}(t)$ in the Cell	238
6.6.7	Examples of Analysis of Parallel Topology Sensor Measurements and Their Derivatives	239
6.7	Crossed Topology and Evaluation of Corresponding Cell Parameters	251
6.7.1	Implementation of Crossed Topology	251
6.7.2	Average Shear Strain $\gamma_{cell,zy,sensor}(t)$ and Change in Average Shear Stress $\Delta\tau_{cell,zy,sensor}(t)$	252
6.7.3	Average Shear Force $S_{y,cell}(t)$ and Shear Stiffness $G_{cell}A_{cell}(t)$	254
6.7.4	Average Strain $\varepsilon_{C_s,z,tot,sensor}(t)$ and Average Normal Force $N_{z,cell}(t)$	256
6.7.5	Example of Analysis of Crossed Topology Sensor Measurements and Their Derivatives	256
6.8	Examples of Global SHM Analysis	261
6.8.1	General Observations	261
6.8.2	Evaluation of Change in Average Frictional Stress Distribution $\Delta\tau_{sfr,cell,i/i+1}$ between Pile and Soil	262
6.8.3	Evaluation of Deformed Shape and Deflection of a Prismatic Beam Subjected to Bending	264
7	SHM at Integrity Scale: Interpretation and Analysis of Sensor Measurements at Location of Damage	275
7.1	Introduction to SHM at Integrity Scale	275

7.2	Crack Identification: Detection, Localization, and Quantification at Discrete-Sensor Level	276
7.2.1	Crack Detection and Localization Using Soft-Packaged Short-Gauge Strain Sensors Installed Via Adhesive	276
7.2.2	Crack Detection and Localization Using Short- and Long-Gauge Strain Sensors Installed Via Clamping or Embedding	281
7.2.3	Crack Quantification Using Short- and Long-Gauge Strain Sensors Installed Via Clamping or Embedding	284
7.2.4	Crack Diagnosis and Prognosis Using Short- and Long-Gauge Strain Sensors Installed Via Clamping or Embedding	286
7.3	Distributed Sensing for Integrity Monitoring	291
7.3.1	Brief Overview on 1D Distributed Sensing	291
7.3.2	Sensor Packaging, Installation, Survivability of Sensor, and Thermal Compensation	291
7.3.3	Sampling Interval and Coordinates Mapping	294
7.3.4	Spatial Resolution and Crack Identification	296
7.3.5	Implementation Examples	298
8	Closing Remarks and Future Perspectives	301
	References	303
	Appendix A: Structural Health Monitoring Glossary	317
	Index	333

Preface

Importance of safe and reliable civil structures and infrastructure, or simply “structures,” has been well ascertained in every society throughout human history, which is confirmed by many still-standing and still-in-use historic and old structures. Experiences teach us that many centuries-old structures survived to present day thanks to, of course, the quality of their design and construction, but also proportionately, if not more critically, thanks to preservation activities performed throughout their lifetime, including inspections, maintenance, repairing, and retrofitting or repurposing.

Over the centuries, in-person inspections have been, and still are, an invaluable source of information on structural performance and condition and have been often used as the trigger for informed preservation activities. Modern Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) was born about a century ago with the idea to complement in-person inspections and address their limitations using advanced technologies. And while progress in applications was slow during the twentieth century, revolutions in informatics, communication, and computing technologies enabled research, development, and more widespread application of SHM in the last 30 years and made SHM in general mature, viable, and, with some limitations, mostly related to cost-benefit evaluation policies, accepted among practitioners.

Strain-based SHM has been at the forefront of SHM applications on real structures due to importance, quality, and versatility of information provided by this type of monitoring. Yet, at the time of the inception of this book, both researchers and practitioners whose work involves strain-based SHM could not find fundamentals or comprehensive overview of the technique in a single reference; rather, they would have to search the information in multiple sources – books, manuals, guidelines, scientific articles, etc. – that are often presented at higher level and written with focused technical language, which can often be non-intuitive and difficult to understand for wider populace of readers, especially for beginners but frequently for advanced readers too.

Hence, the aim of this book is to address this issue, i.e., to provide a single reference book with the fundamentals of strain-based SHM that can be used by both beginners and advanced users interested in strain-based SHM. That is the reason why the book builds its contents from very broad and introductory topics toward very specific and practical subjects.

Chapters 1 and 2 of the book provide a general introduction to SHM, SHM systems and subsystems, and their specifications; while the readers experienced in SHM can skip these two chapters, they can still find a good overview of logistics of implementation of SHM that they might find useful. Chapter 3 is dedicated to strain sensors; an overview of the most frequently used strain sensors is provided, along with their advantages, shortcomings, and summaries of best performances. Hopefully, this chapter helps the reader identify technologies suitable for their applications.

Chapter 4 deals with the errors and uncertainties in strain measurements and provides basic methods for their assessment and evaluation. Errors and uncertainties due to properties of measuring subsystem are presented first, followed by presentation of errors and uncertainties introduced by specific sensor features such as thermal compensation, gauge length, spatial resolution, sensor packaging, and the manner of installation of sensor. Depending on the case, these errors and uncertainties are analyzed either quantitatively or qualitatively.

Chapters 5, 6, and 7 provide practical approaches for model-based analysis of monitored strain at local, global, and integrity scales, respectively. Chapter 5 gives first an overview of strain, sources of strain such as loading, temperature, and rheological effects, constitutive equations for typical construction materials, and analytical models for strain distributions in beams under the assumptions of linear theory. Then, the same chapter provides analytical expressions and criteria for detection of unusual structural behaviors, such as damage or deterioration, and applies them at the local scale. Chapter 6 expands the expressions and criteria to groups of sensors and global scale, and Chapter 7 to integrity scale while mostly focusing on crack detection and characterization as the most fundamental type of damage. The book closes with Chapter 8 that provides a summary and future perspectives.

Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 7 are extensively illustrated with examples taken from real-world applications. Particularly in Chapters 4, 5, and 6, there are numerous tables with data taken from real projects that are given alongside the equations and algorithms for data analysis, so the readers can apply the equations and algorithms, perform the analysis on their own, and compare it with the results presented in the book. In my opinion, the possibility for readers to practice data analysis using real-world examples makes this book especially useful and probably unique on the market. However, as a disclaimer, while all problems presented in the book were solved and the solution verified at least once, there may still be some errors present; in that case, please contact me so I can make corrections and make available corrected solutions to the readers.

For readers interested in more advanced topics of strain-based SHM, I strongly recommend the book I co-authored with one of my mentors, my former employer, and dear friend, Dr. Daniele Inaudi, *Fibre-Optic Methods for Structural Health Monitoring*. While that book has some common points with this one, it contains principles for creation of monitoring strategies for numerous types of structures and extremely rich set of real-world applications.

My involvement in strain-based SHM started at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL) when I built my first batch of fiber-optic sensors and embedded them in hybrid, steel-concrete specimen; since then, my passion for strain-based SHM has only grown, and my awe for its performance has been fulfilling my professional life. I hope that this book, which encompasses experience from more than a quarter of a century of my work in strain-based SHM, will transmit my admiration for the technique and serve not only educational and professional purposes but also inspire future research and applications.

Princeton (New Jersey, USA),
Valjevo (Serbia), and Rijeka (Croatia)
July 2023

Sincerely,



Branko Glišić
bglisic@princeton.edu

Foreword

I am honored to have been invited to write the Foreword to *Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring* by Dr. Branko Glišić of Princeton University. It is not every day you get asked to write a foreword by a luminary like Branko, so of course, I said yes! Not only is this foreword an opportunity to introduce an outstanding book on strain and its role in assessing structural health, but it is also a way for me to pay Branko back for everything I have learned from him over the years.

I was first introduced to the magical world of structural health monitoring when I was a graduate student at Stanford University in the late 1990s. At the time, the nascent field and the small research community forming around it were just beginning to define what we today would define as “structural health monitoring.” A quarter of a century later, I am amazed by the dramatic progress this field has made with structural health monitoring, now a well-established, interdisciplinary field devoted to developing sensing and decision-support systems that can be used to detect deterioration in structures to ensure their safety. For me, the beauty of structural health monitoring lies in how it can be generalized and applied to so many types of “structure”: some obvious like airplanes and bridges, and some not so obvious like metallic implants in human bone. Today, the field is vibrant and alive with innovation. Essential to building momentum in structural health monitoring is the diverse group of young students, researchers, and practitioners across the globe entering the field to contribute their talents to ensure safe and efficient structures for societal use. This future generation will be well served by Branko’s *Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring* which provides a comprehensive and elegantly designed overview of strain sensors and their use to assess structural health and performance.

Those readers who enjoy music will appreciate the term “oldies but goodies” which refers to legendary hits that remain very much relevant and popular to millions. The topic of this book is strain, which is the most basic form of structural response measurable – for certain, an “oldy but goody” measurement. While the piezoresistivity of conductive materials has been known since the 1850s, the era of strain measurements really launched in 1938 with the invention of the strain gauge by Simmons and Ruge. Strain is a fundamental structural response to its environment and an essential modeling parameter in the field of engineering mechanics. Ironically, structures are designed based on concepts of stress, yet stress is a conceptual abstraction that is immeasurable. In contrast, strain can be measured with stress inferred from strain using constitutive models. Hence, strain has a special place in the field of structural health monitoring given its relationship to estimating the stress used to determine structural performance relative to engineering limit states. Strain has been a challenging measurement to reliably collect in structures over decades of service, especially when using low-cost metal foil gages that can only measure strain at single points where damage may or may not be detectable. This has encouraged the field to explore other sensing modalities for structural health monitoring. More recently, exciting innovation in strain sensing has once again renewed

dramatic interest in strain-based structural health monitoring. Innovations like distributed strain sensing using fiber optics and smart appliques that can map strain over large surfaces are driving that interest.

Branko's *Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring* provides readers with a complete overview of strain and its potential to empower practical structural health monitoring solutions for real-world structures. The book's greatest feature is how it offers readers a complete structural health monitoring framework in which strain sensors can be applied. His review of the available strain-sensing technologies that have evolved over three generations of innovation offers readers a complete understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of these sensors when applied to real-world systems. Equally novel is the articulation of how to incorporate strain measurements into damage detection methods at various length scales ranging from the local to the global and integrity scales.

Without question, Branko is offering readers one of the most comprehensive books I have ever read on strain measurements and their use in diagnosing structural health. He impressively provides a delicate balance between theory and practical application, a skill he uniquely possesses due to his leading innovation as both an academic and practitioner. His years serving as lead engineer at SMARTEC SA, which at the time was one of the global leaders in long-gauge and distributed fiber-optic sensors, provide Branko with a unique understanding of how structural health monitoring works in complex operational structures. For those readers who are fans of *Fibre Optic Methods for Structural Health Monitoring* co-authored by Branko with another field luminary, Dr. Daniele Inaudi, you are sure to not be disappointed with *Introduction to Strain-Based Structural Health Monitoring*. I am sure you will enjoy the read and learn as much as I did from this titan of the structural health monitoring field!

Durham, North Carolina
July 2023

Jerome P. Lynch, Ph.D., F.EMI
Vinik Dean of Engineering
Fitzpatrick Family University
Distinguished Professor of Engineering
Duke University

Foreword

Retrospectively, I must recognize that a proper textbook on strain health monitoring of civil structures was long due, particularly one addressing the matter with method and clarity. I have been offering a SHM course at the University of Trento since 2013. While preparing the teaching material for the first year, I remember struggling to develop a consistent syllabus suitable for civil engineers: at that time, SHM, and particularly civil SHM, was still a discipline composed of a vast, heterogeneous, sparse material with no all-encompassing reference textbook that students could use as a guide.

Of all the available bibliography, recommended readings to the students were, for instance, Ewins' "Modal Testing" for vibrational methods; Wenzel's "Health Monitoring of Bridges" for case studies; Melchers' "Structural Reliability" for evaluating structural safety based on monitoring information. Then there was Glišić and Inaudi's "Fibre Optic Methods," much more than a book on fiber-optic sensors, as the title would suggest. What made Prof. Glišić's book different was its rigorous quantitative approach, based on continuous mechanics, to data interpretation and monitoring design – a germinal version of what You will find, fully developed and matured, in the present book.

So, 10 years on, has the civil SHM panorama changed? In terms of number of textbooks: a lot. In terms of method: not that much, to be honest. Still today, the layman tends to see SHM as a magic tool that You install on a bridge or a building and wondrously tells You whether this bridge or building is safe thanks to unspecified technological wizardry.

Even practitioners and parts of the academic community do not always get the logic of monitoring right. In a 2014 paper, Prof. Glišić and I observed a civil engineer paradox: engineers use a rigorous quantitative approach when dimensioning structures but usually the rule of thumb when designing monitoring systems. It should come as no surprise that a civil engineer is (normally) very good at designing a bridge or a building. The objective of structural design is probably obvious to most: dimension structural members to ensure stability under design loads. Designing a structure, the good engineer sticks to a well-established process, often acknowledged in standards and codes: define the design loads; calculate the member's stress demand by structural analysis, using a structural model (e.g., a finite element model); dimension the members to the required demand; assess that the capacity is greater than the demand.

Let the same good civil engineer design a monitoring system, and most likely, their approach will be heuristic, based on common sense or experience rather than on quantitative analysis. It looks like the average engineer does not grasp the objective of monitoring and does not master its underlying logic.

Effectively, SHM is about acquiring data using sensors to understand the condition state of a structure. A monitoring is well designed when it allows inferring the state of the structure with few

or no uncertainty. Uncertainty management is the keyword: reducing the uncertainty of structural state knowledge is the goal of SHM.

Monitoring design should follow a rigorous design process similar to structural design: define the target accuracy of the structural information meant to be learned through monitoring; calculate the required accuracy (demand) of instrumental data using uncertainty propagation analysis; choose the sensor technology to meet the required demand for accuracy; assess whether the expected uncertainty (capacity) is better than the target demand.

One of the breakthroughs of this book is to finally frame the problem of monitoring performance under the right light. Whereas the structural design objective is to achieve stability with an appropriate level of safety, the object of monitoring is to learn the state of the structure with an appropriate level of confidence. Uncertainty management and structural analysis play a crucial role in this process.

Notably, Chapter 4 is entirely dedicated to uncertainty analysis and error propagation. In logical terms, structural health monitoring (SHM) is formally identical to the metrology problem of indirect measurement, where the measurand is indirectly estimated based on observation of other physical quantities linked to the measurand. Similarly, Chapter 5 approaches the problem of monitoring data interpretation from a rigorous mechanics-based standpoint.

Another side of this book that deserves praise is its practical cut. For the very few who don't know him, Prof. Glišić is much more than the clichéd Princetonian academic. He features a unique industrial expertise in SHM, having matured initially as an R&D manager at Smartec SA between 2000 and 2008 and then as one of the most dynamic applied scientists in our community. In his successful career, he accomplished hundreds of SHM projects worldwide, addressing bridges, buildings, historic construction, dams, and lifeline facilities. His unparalleled first-hand experience is evident in this book, where every theoretical step is supported and made clear with plenty of case studies and real-life examples.

During my past visits to Princeton University, I have had the privilege to personally appreciate Prof. Glišić's rare teaching capabilities. His Structural Health Monitoring course, offered since 2009, is possibly the first regular graduate course on SHM for civil engineering launched in the US. By reading this book, practitioners, academics, and students alike will all acknowledge Prof. Glišić's extraordinary communication skills: He is a truly inspiring educator, capable of conveying his genuine enthusiasm for SHM with rigor and ease.

Even if there is still a long way to go before consolidating a proper common syllabus in Civil Structural Health Monitoring, this book, and the message it carries, is a fundamental milestone toward this goal. In short, I very much welcome a textbook on civil SHM that finally gets it right – Good job, Branko!

University of Trento
Italy

Daniele Zonta, Ph.D.
Professor, Department of Civil,
Environmental, and
Mechanical Engineering

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge and deeply thank numerous selfless individuals – students, colleagues, friends, and family members – as well as various institutions – professional associations, agencies, schools, and companies – whose availability, support, collaboration, professionalism, kindness, and patience, in one way or another, influenced the creation of this book.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my spouse, Tanja, and daughter, Lana, for their endless love, encouragement, understanding, and patience, without which I could never complete this book. I would also like to acknowledge my extended family in Serbia, Croatia, North Macedonia, and Sweden and all my friends for their continuous support, comprehension, kindness, and laughter, which made right ambience for this book to happen.

My career in Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) would probably not happen, and thus, probably, neither this book, without generous support, great mentorship, and dear friendship offered by Prof. Jean Claude Badoux, former President of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL); Prof. Leopold Pflug, my PhD adviser in the Laboratory of Stress Analysis (IMAC) at the EPFL; Nicoleta Casanova and Dr. Daniele Inaudi, the founders of SMARTEC, Switzerland, my former employer; and Princeton University, my current employer. The extraordinary intellectual vigor, exceptional collegiality, and highly professional yet relaxed settings at IMAC–EPFL, SMARTEC, and Princeton University, and in particular, at Princeton’s Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, resulted in a productive ambit for this book to germinate and grow.

I would like to make a very special thanks to the former and current members of my research group, SHMlab at Princeton University, i.e., undergraduate, graduate, and visiting students, as well as visiting scholars, whose work has been related to SHM and who have been essential in performing the research and realizing several applications shown in this book:

Undergraduate students: George Lederman, Kenneth Liew, Jeremy Chen, Patrick Park, Tiffany Hwang, Julie Ditchfield, Prof. Anjali Mehrotra, Ellen Tung, Prof. Katherine Flanigan, Jose Alvarez, Matt Gerber, Jett Stearns, Rachel Marek, Corrie Kavanaugh, Anna Blyth, Mitchel Hallee, Camille Heubner, Elizabeth Keim, Yolanda Jin, Tessa Flanagan, Gabbie Acot, Jessica Chen, Maximilian Garlock, Melanie McCloy, Michaela Hennebury, Bryan Boyd, Anne Grinder, Tiffany Agyarko, and Daniel Trujillo.

Graduate students: Dr. David Hubbel, Prof. Dorotea Sigurdardottir, Dr. Yao Yao, Dr. Hiba Abdel-Jaber, Michael Roussel, Xi Li, Dr. Kaitlyn Kliewer, Dr. Jack Reilly, Prof. Rebecca Napolitano, Prof. Isabel Morris, Zeyu Xiong, Vanessa Notario, Dr. Vivek Kumar, Prof. Shengze Wang, Dr. Antti Valkonen, Mauricio Pereira, Moriah Hughes, Yitian Liang, and Kent Eng.

Visiting students: Kai Oberste-Ufer, Pedro Afonso Souza, Dr. Denise Bolognani, Dr. Carlo Cappello, and Dr. Daniel Tonelli.

Visiting scholars: Prof. Pedro Calderon, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain; Prof. Marco Domaneschi, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy; Prof. Byung Kwan Oh, Yonsei University, Korea; Prof. Antonio Maria D’Altri, University of Bologna, Italy; Prof. Daniele Zonta, University of Trento, Italy, and Prof. Hui Li, Harbin Institute of Technology, China.

Additional special thanks go to Prof. Daniele Zonta, University of Trento, Italy, and Prof. Jerome Lynch, Duke University, USA, for their enormous influence on my academic career and thinking and for being such great role models and dear friends.

Concepts and ideas developed in this book could not be generated without interactions, discussions, and friendship with many dear colleagues whose research, presentations, talks, workshops, and wisdom helped shaping ideas and advancing the field of SHM. Thus, in addition to all the abovementioned individuals, I would like to deeply thank (in no particular order) the following researchers:

Prof. Billie Spencer Jr., University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign, USA
 Prof. Fu-Kuo Chang, Stanford University
 Prof. Kenichi Soga, University of California, Berkeley, USA
 Prof. Hoon Sohn, KAIST, Korea
 Prof. Satish Nagarajaiah, Rice University, USA
 Prof. Raimondo Betti, Columbia University, USA
 Prof. Farhad Ansari, University of Illinois, Chicago, USA
 Prof. James Brownjohn, Exeter University, UK
 Prof. Neil Hoult, Queens University, Canada
 Prof. Wieslaw Ostachowicz, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
 Prof. Susan Taylor, Queen’s University, Belfast, UK
 Prof. Kenneth Loh, University of California, San Diego, USA
 Prof. Yang Wang, Georgia Tech, USA
 Prof. Ming Wang, Northeastern University, USA
 Prof. Dionisio Bernal, Northeastern University, USA
 Prof. Genda Chen, University of Missouri S&T, Rolla, USA
 Prof. Nicos Makris, Southern Methodist University, USA
 Prof. Yi-Qing Ni, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, USA
 Prof. Kara Peters, North Carolina State University, USA
 Prof. Maria Feng, Columbia University, USA
 Prof. Aftab A. Mufti, University of Manitoba, USA
 Prof. Necati Catbas, University of Central Florida, USA
 Prof. Filippo Ubertini, Perugia, Italy
 Prof. Campbell Middleton, Cambridge University, UK
 Prof. Emin Aktan, Drexel University, USA
 Prof. Franklin Moon, Drexel University and Rutgers University, USA
 Prof. Matthew Yarnold, Auburn University, USA
 Prof. Ali Maher, Rutgers University (CAIT), USA
 Prof. Nenad Gucunski, Rutgers University (CAIT), USA
 Prof. Hani Nassif, Rutgers University, USA
 Prof. Thomas Schumacher, Portland State University, USA
 Prof. Simon Laflamme, Iowa State University, USA
 Prof. Mohammed Pour-Ghaz, North Carolina State University, USA
 Prof. Rolands Kromanis, University of Twente, Netherlands

Prof. Ian Smith, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland
 Prof. Eugen Bruhwiler, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland
 Prof. Eleni Chatzi, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich (ETHZ), Switzerland
 Prof. Didem Ozevin, University of Illinois, Chicago, USA
 Prof. Maria Giuseppina Limongelli, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy
 Prof. Bozidar Stojadinovic, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich (ETHZ), Switzerland
 Prof. Austin Downey, University of South Carolina, USA
 Prof. Sigurd Wagner, Princeton University, USA
 Prof. James Sturm, Princeton University, USA
 Prof. Naveen Verma, Princeton University, USA
 Prof. Amir Gandomi, University of Technology Sydney, Australia
 Prof. Dryver Huston, University of Vermont, USA
 Prof. Ignacio Paya-Zaforteza, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain
 Prof. Haeyoung Noh, Stanford University, USA
 Prof. Anne Kiremidjian, Stanford University, USA
 Prof. Armen Der Kiureghian, University of California, Berkeley, USA
 Dr. Alexis Mendez, MCH Engineering LLC, Alameda, CA, USA
 Prof. Tulio Nogueira Bittencourt, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil
 Prof. Werner Lienhart, Graz University of Technology, Austria
 Prof. Dan Frangopol, Lehigh University, USA
 Prof. Matteo Pozzi, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
 Prof. Piervincenzo Rizzo, University of Pittsburgh, USA
 Dr. Wolfgang Habel, BAM, Germany
 Prof. Zhishen Wu, Southeast University, China, and Ibaraki University, Japan
 Prof. Andrea Del Grosso, University of Genoa, Italy
 Prof. Tribikram Kundu, Arizona State University, USA
 Prof. Oral Buyukozturk, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
 Prof. Admir Masic, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
 Prof. Sebastian Thons, Lund University, Sweden
 Prof. Xin Feng, Dalian University of Technology, China
 Prof. Xuefeng Zhao, Dalian University of Technology, China
 Prof. Jeffrey Weidner, University of Texas, El Paso, USA

... and to anyone else who I may have omitted unintentionally.

Also, I would like to acknowledge professional associations that enabled networking and vibrant exchange of knowledge and experiences:

- ISHMII – International Society for Structural Health Monitoring of Intelligent Infrastructure
- IWSHM/EWSHM/APWSHM – International/European/Asia-Pacific Workshops on Structural Health Monitoring
- SPIE – International Society for Optics and Photonics
- EMI – Engineering Mechanics Institute of American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)
- ACI – American Concrete Institute
- WCSCM – World Conference on Structural Control and Monitoring

... and, again, any other association that I may have omitted unintentionally.

I would like to greatly thank entire teams of SMARTEC, Switzerland, and Roctest Ltd., Canada, for their continuous and unselfish support, help, and friendship, which enabled many applications presented in this book. In addition, I would like to thank other SHM companies who helped

with material in this book: RST Instruments, Canada; Telemac, France; Advantech Engineering Consortium, Taiwan; Newsteo, France; Micron Optics, USA; Fiber Sensing, Portugal; Omnisens, Switzerland; fibrisTerre, Germany; and Marmota Engineering, Switzerland.

Part of the material presented in this book is based on work supported by several funding agencies:

- National Science Foundation (NSF), USA
- United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology, USA

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this book are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of any of the above agencies.

The following list is an acknowledgment to the agencies, companies, institutions, and individuals who have contributed to the application examples presented in this book:

Streicker Bridge Project:

- NSF Grants No. CMMI-1362723, CMMI-1434455
- USDOT Grants No. DTRT12-G-UTC16/4650, DTRT13-G-UTC28/5237, CAIT-UTC-REG13/0615
- NSF Graduate Research Fellowships, USA
- IBM Fellowship, USA
- Leifur Eiriksson Fellowship, USA
- Turner Construction Company, Somerset, NJ, USA
- HNTB Corporation, New York, NY, USA
- A.G. Construction Corporation, Lincoln Park, NJ, USA
- Vollers Excavating & Construction, Inc., North Branch, NJ, USA
- SMARTEC SA, Switzerland
- Micron Optics, Inc., Atlanta, GA
- Princeton University, Princeton, NJ: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Department of Physics, Facilities, Office of Design and Construction, Office of Sustainability

Tacony-Palmyra Bridge Project:

- NSF Grant No. EEC-0855023
- Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- Process Automation Corporation (PAC), NJ, USA
- The Burlington County Bridge Commission, Burlington, NJ, USA
- Intelligent Infrastructure Systems, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- Pennoni Associates, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA

US202/NJ23 Highway Overpass (Wayne Bridge) Project:

- USDOT Grants No. DTRT12-G-UTC16/4650
- Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT), Lawrenceville, NJ, USA
- USDOT: Long-Term Bridge Performance (LTBP) Program
- PB Americas, Inc., Lawrenceville, NJ, USA
- Center for Advanced Infrastructure and Transportation (CAIT), Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA
- SMARTEC SA, Switzerland

Sensing Sheet Project:

- USDOT Grants No. DTRT12-G-UTC16/4650, DTRT13-G-UTC28/5237

Historic Staircase:

- The Museum of the City of New York, New York, NY, USA
- Guy Nordenson and Associates, New York, NY, USA

Gota Bridge Project:

- Traffic Authority of Gothenburg (Trafikkontoret), Sweden
- Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), Oslo, Norway
- Omnisens, SA, Morge, Switzerland
- Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden
- SMARTEC SA, Manno, Switzerland

Punggol Building Project:

- Housing Development Board (HDB), Singapore
- Sofotec, Singapore
- SMARTEC SA, Switzerland

Pile foundations:

- Route Aero, Taipei, Taiwan
- Fu Tsu Construction Co., Taipei, Taiwan
- Bovis Lend Lease, Taipei, Taiwan
- SMARTEC SA, Switzerland

About the Author

Prof. Branko Glišić received his degrees in civil engineering and theoretical mathematics from the University of Belgrade, Serbia, and PhD from the EPFL, Switzerland. After eight years of experience at SMARTEC, Switzerland, where he was involved in numerous Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) projects, he has been employed as a faculty member at the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering of Princeton University, where he is currently serving as the Chair of the Department. His research is in the areas of SHM, smart structures, heritage structures, and engineering and the arts.

Prof. Glišić's research includes advanced sensing techniques: long-gauge and distributed fiber-optic sensors, 2D sensors based on large area electronics, and 3D sensors based on radio-frequency devices and ground penetrating radar; advanced data analysis for diagnostics, prognostics, and decision-making based on structural analysis, machine learning, and hybrid physics-informed machine learning; documentation, integration, and visualization using virtual tours, information modeling, and augmented reality; smart, kinetic, deployable, and adaptable structures; holistic analysis of heritage structures; and engineering and the arts in general. His application domains include concrete, steel, and masonry structures: bridges, buildings, pipelines; smart structures for coastal protection; and historical buildings, monuments, a university course and sites.

Prof. Glišić is the author and coauthor of more than 100 published papers, short courses on SHM, and the book *Fibre Optic Methods for Structural Health Monitoring*. He is a Council Member and Fellow of ISHMII, voting member of ACI Committee 444, and member of several other professional associations and journal editorial boards. Prof. Glišić is recipient of several awards, including the prestigious SHM Person of the Year Award, the ASCE Moisseiff Award, and Excellence in Teaching by E-Council of Princeton's School of Engineering and Applied Science.

1

Introduction

1.1 Structural Health Monitoring – Basic Notions, Needs, Benefits, and Challenges

Civil structures and infrastructure (simply referred to as “structures” in the further text) form our built environment and affect human, social, ecological, economical, cultural, and aesthetic aspects of societies. They are essential for the well-being and security of the people; vitality of the economy; and prosperity, sustainability, and resilience of society. This is especially emphasized in the twenty-first century, as for the first time in history, more than half of the world’s population lives in urban areas, while climate change threatens with more frequent and more devastating hazardous events. Consequently, not only resilient design and quality construction are required from engineers but also sustainable management and durable and safe exploitation of structures.

In the course of their lives, structures are subjected to adverse changes in their structural health conditions and performances due to potential damage or deterioration induced by environmental degradation, wear, fatigue, errors in design and construction, and episodic events such as earthquakes, floods, strong winds, or impacts. Damage and deterioration can lead to malfunction and in extreme cases failure of the structures, which in turn can have significant adverse consequences in terms of life losses and injuries, worsening of general public well-being and security, and material losses for individuals, society, and economy. For instance, the collapse of the I35W Minneapolis Bridge is a sad reminder of the catastrophic consequences of structural failure: the loss of 13 lives while 145 people were injured; the unavailability of the river crossing generated economic losses of US\$ 400,000 per day for road users. In addition, losses for the Minnesota economy were estimated at US\$ 17 million in 2007 and at US\$ 43 million in 2008 (DEED 2009). The cost of rebuilding the bridge was approximately US\$ 234 million (MnDOT 2009).

The aging of infrastructure is, in general, a major concern for societies. For example, the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) estimated that if the deterioration trends related to surface transportation infrastructure continue, annual costs imposed on the US economy will increase by 351%, i.e., to \$520 billion by 2040, and it will cost the national economy more than 400,000 jobs (ASCE 2011).

Structures are subjected to adverse changes, and their integrity and performance may be compromised over the time for some of (but not limited to) the following reasons (Glisic 2009):

- There is no ideal construction material: initial defects always exist, and they represent potential initiation points for damage and deterioration.
- Damage and deterioration induced by wear and environmental degradation, such as

- Excessive external static or dynamic loadings (e.g., the material reaches critical levels of stress or strain or critical levels of buckling stability).
- Repetitive or cyclic dynamic loading (e.g., the material is exposed to fatigue).
- Stress concentrations (e.g., at the location of abrupt cross-section changes, dents, grooves, inclusions, welds, forging flaws, material porosities, and voids).
- Excessive or repetitive thermally generated loads (i.e., stresses due to temperature variations and gradients).
- In concrete: Damage due to early age deformation, drying shrinkage, freeze–thaw cycles, sulfate attack, alkali–aggregate reaction, chloride penetration and corrosion in reinforcement bars (rebars), etc.
- In steel: Local buckling (bowing), cracking due to stress corrosion or fatigue, loss of material (and capacity) due to corrosion, etc.
- Changes in the condition of foundations due to scour, erosion, liquefaction, differential settlements, etc.
- Etc.
- Natural or human-induced episodic events such as
 - Earthquakes, storm surges, and tsunamis.
 - Strong winds (e.g., hurricanes, tornados, and typhoons).
 - Accidents involving fire, impact, or explosion.
 - Etc.
- Changing operational and environmental conditions:
 - Old structures were not designed for modern load demands, and the latter can result in damage and deterioration of the former.
 - Changes in the environment may impose new loads that did not exist at the time of the design and construction of the structures (e.g., strong wind); these loads can result in damage and deterioration of the structures.
- Unintentional design, construction, and maintenance imperfections or errors.

Thus, it is desirable to assess the health condition and performance of structures in order to mitigate risks, prevent disasters, and plan maintenance activities in an optimized manner. For this purpose, ideally, a modern structure should be able to “generate” and “communicate” information concerning the changes in its health condition and performance to responsible operators and decision makers, in-time, automatically or on-demand, and reliably. To achieve this, a modern structure should be equipped with a “nervous system,” a “brain” and “voice,” i.e., it has to be subjected to structural health monitoring (SHM), which is continuously in operation and able to sense structural conditions.

The concept of SHM can be understood from comparison with the nervous system of the human body. An unhealthy condition of the body or exhaustion of performance is detected by the nervous system in the form of pain or tiredness. Nerves in the involved areas are activated, and the information is transmitted to the brain, which analyzes the data. A person realizes that they are ill or exhausted and addresses a doctor in order to prevent further degradation of health or performance. The doctor undertakes detailed examinations, establishes a diagnosis, and proposes a cure.

The SHM, similar to the nervous system of the human body, should be able to automatically detect unusual structural behaviors (e.g., damage, deterioration, and lack of performance), characterize them (ascertain the times of occurrence, localize them and quantify them, or rate them), and report them, providing an important and actionable information for engineers and managers who are responsible for the monitored structure. The similarity of SHM and the nervous system of humans is schematically presented in Figure 1.1.