

SpringerBriefs in Education

Angela Fitzgerald · Kimberley Pressick-Kilborn ·
Reece Mills · Linda Pfeiffer · James Deehan

Contemporary Australian Primary Science Teacher Education

Triumphs, Tensions and
Future Directions

 Springer

SpringerBriefs in Education

We are delighted to announce SpringerBriefs in Education, an innovative product type that combines elements of both journals and books. Briefs present concise summaries of cutting-edge research and practical applications in education. Featuring compact volumes of 50 to 125 pages, the SpringerBriefs in Education allow authors to present their ideas and readers to absorb them with a minimal time investment. Briefs are published as part of Springer's eBook Collection. In addition, Briefs are available for individual print and electronic purchase.

SpringerBriefs in Education cover a broad range of educational fields such as: Science Education, Higher Education, Educational Psychology, Assessment & Evaluation, Language Education, Mathematics Education, Educational Technology, Medical Education and Educational Policy.

SpringerBriefs typically offer an outlet for:

- An introduction to a (sub)field in education summarizing and giving an overview of theories, issues, core concepts and/or key literature in a particular field
- A timely report of state-of-the art analytical techniques and instruments in the field of educational research
- A presentation of core educational concepts
- An overview of a testing and evaluation method
- A snapshot of a hot or emerging topic or policy change
- An in-depth case study
- A literature review
- A report/review study of a survey
- An elaborated thesis

Both solicited and unsolicited manuscripts are considered for publication in the SpringerBriefs in Education series. Potential authors are warmly invited to complete and submit the Briefs Author Proposal form. All projects will be submitted to editorial review by editorial advisors.


SpringerBriefs are characterized by expedited production schedules with the aim for publication 8 to 12 weeks after acceptance and fast, global electronic dissemination through our online platform SpringerLink. The standard concise author contracts guarantee that:

- an individual ISBN is assigned to each manuscript
- each manuscript is copyrighted in the name of the author
- the author retains the right to post the pre-publication version on his/her website or that of his/her institution


Angela Fitzgerald · Kimberley Pressick-Kilborn ·
Reece Mills · Linda Pfeiffer · James Deehan


Contemporary Australian Primary Science Teacher Education


Triumphs, Tensions and Future Directions

Angela Fitzgerald 
Faculty of Education
Higher Colleges of Technology
Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab
Emirates

Reece Mills 
Queensland University of Technology
Brisbane, Australia

James Deehan 
School of Education
Charles Sturt University
Bathurst, NSW, Australia

Kimberley Pressick-Kilborn 
University of Technology Sydney
and Trinity Grammar School
Sydney, Australia

Linda Pfeiffer 
School of Education and the Arts
Central Queensland University
Gladstone, QLD, Australia

ISSN 2211-1921

SpringerBriefs in Education

ISBN 978-981-97-5659-9

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-5660-5>

ISSN 2211-193X (electronic)

ISBN 978-981-97-5660-5 (eBook)

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.

The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Foreword

The quality of primary science education has been the focus of considerable writing and research. A range of concerns have been critically examined including preferred pedagogical approaches, the affordances of authentic learning contexts, the inadequacy of allocated time, low levels of science confidence amongst generalist teachers, increasing complexity of curriculum content, decreasing student engagement, a need to embrace technology, the importance of scientific literacy, and the contribution of STEM education. While not an exhaustive list, these areas of study illustrate a perceived divide between aspirational expectations and the reality of school-based practice.

Understanding primary science education requires careful attention to a holistic picture which is characterised by a range of complexities. Research has not always successfully captured the intricate interconnections of the many areas of influence. The available picture, at best, provides a fragmented impression. As a consequence, a persistent focus has been placed on the inadequacy of generalist primary teachers as science educators. This has sustained a narrative calling for the ‘upskilling’ of practicing primary teachers and has continually placed the burden for improvement on the shoulders of teachers themselves. Maintaining this focus has also, very effectively, deflected attention from other influences. The relationship between teacher preparation and school-based practice is an important consideration in primary science education, but one which has often not gained deserved attention. While this is a complex space, influenced by changing political agendas and mandated requirements, there is a need to understand how pre-service education aims to build particular perspectives about primary science teaching and learning and the potential of such endeavours to ultimately influence school-based practice.

This book widens the research lens to examine how and why primary science teacher education is enacted in Australian Initial Teacher Education (ITE) programs. The importance of effective ITE is amplified as the authors draw on a range of contemporary research to illustrate the approaches, values and beliefs of primary science teacher educators who strive to provide their students with authentic learning experiences, enhanced science knowledge, and meaningful engagement with science education pedagogies. Tensions are clearly illustrated between the task of creating

learning conditions which enable pre-service teachers to become confident and competent science educators and often competing expectations and accountabilities of changing political priorities and agendas. Examining the impact of these changes from the perspective of those whose responsibility it is to prepare undergraduate primary teachers for their professional career provides a new research perspective in primary science education.

Providing effective pre-service teacher education emerges as a challenging endeavour. The book provides insight about how this work is impacted by bureaucratic decisions, often limited by policy and prevailing research agendas and inevitably shaped by requirements to follow mandated curriculum and embed teaching approaches which have gained prominence. Innovation in teacher preparation relies on the ability of teacher educators to demonstrate expertise and resilience as they effectively negotiate complex policy and practice issues. The authors show us how such challenges often make it difficult for pre-service teacher educators to make a positive contribution to their field. Building purposeful partnerships between universities, schools, and community organisations exemplifies this challenge and the many inherent tensions of their work. Teacher educators recognise such collaborations enable their students to experience science in meaningful contexts while developing knowledge of science as a discipline; a particular way of thinking and working. STEM education can also be effectively enacted through such partnerships as the contribution of science knowledge and skills can be easily highlighted, improving the integration of theory and practice through authentic primary education and community-based contexts. A shared professional responsibility for the preparation of teachers can also be nurtured through such collaborations, drawing on the mutual inspiration generated when experienced teachers work alongside pre-service teachers. In these contexts, success can be carefully scaffolded, increasing pre-service teacher confidence and competency. Yet to do so, teacher educators must expertly juggle the pressure of restricted time in terms of limited opportunities for science learning within crowded course requirements and as a consequence limited time to engage with outside partners. This also presents a challenge of nurturing sustained connections with external groups when the service of mutual benefit may be short term in nature. The prevailing course structures and accountabilities magnify the effort required of science educators to achieve the obvious benefits of such experiences. The results are often a testament to the persistence of science educators who aspire to elevate the quality of science education, remediate science disengagement, and empower pre-service teachers to advocate for science education.

The chapters bring into sharp focus how political and educational agendas are inevitably entwined and shape the primary science landscape. The authors critically examine a current course accreditation change in Australian ITE requiring pre-service primary teachers to undertake studies in an area of specialisation. Consideration is given to the associated opportunities and the potential constraints raised by this requirement for pre-service and school-based science education. As the implications of a specialisation in science education remain uncertain for both pre-service teachers and primary science educators, a call for further research highlights a need to understand the nature of expected expertise in this ill-defined space. The book

explains how graduates may expect to move into a range of school roles and aligned responsibilities, further challenged by potential confusion between the work of a 'hybrid specialist' and generalist teacher. Difficulties are also anticipated for schools as they work to determine the type of practice architecture required to support such new roles. The impact on primary science education remains unknown yet within this uncertainty, teacher educators must grapple to find meaningful ways to respond to such policy changes.

I find this book provides a new perspective on primary science education. It is a compelling account of research into teacher preparation and the influences which enable or constrain the contribution of this work to the achievement of quality primary science education. The work encourages new ways of thinking and working to produce research that is responsive to educator needs. Most importantly I appreciated how this book disrupts the deficit discourse which prevails. It goes well beyond superficial discussions of teacher inadequacy and the pursuit of technical competence which have been persistent drivers of change in primary science. I valued the focus on contemporary issues and the thoughtfulness displayed as findings were interrogated to gain a deeper insight about the implications for state of primary science education. I trust anyone who has an interest in primary science education will arrive at a similar conclusion through their reading.

Kathy Smith
Associate Professor
RMIT University
Melbourne, Australia

Contents

1	What Is the State of Play for Primary Science Teacher Education in Australia?	1
1.1	Introduction: Why This Book Now?	1
1.2	Contextualising Primary Science Teacher Education	2
1.2.1	The ‘Big Picture’: International Positioning	3
1.2.2	The Local Scene: National Snapshot	4
1.2.3	A Deep Dive: What Our Project Tells Us About What Is Happening on the Ground	5
1.3	Historically Locating Primary Science (Teacher) Education	6
1.3.1	Global Trends in Primary Science Teacher Education	7
1.3.2	Evolution of Primary Science Teacher Education in Australia	8
1.3.3	Patterns in Our Data: What Primary Science Teacher Education Looks Like Now	9
1.4	Unpacking the Policy Climate Influencing Primary Science Teacher Education	10
1.4.1	Ebbs and Flows in International Policy	10
1.4.2	Policy Drivers in Australia	12
1.4.3	Policy in Practice: Emerging Implications from Our Data	13
1.5	Conclusion: What Is the State of Play?	14
	References	15
2	What Is the Purpose of Primary Science Teacher Education?	19
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Three Guiding Principles for Primary Science Teacher Education	20
2.2.1	Elevate Science Education	20
2.2.2	Remediate Science Disengagement	22
2.2.3	Advocate for Science Education	23

2.3	Tensions in Primary Science Teacher Education: Challenges and Opportunities	24
2.3.1	Pedagogical Values and Accessibility	25
2.3.2	Schools and Universities	26
2.3.3	Pre-service to In-service Transition	28
2.3.4	Generalists and Specialists	29
2.3.5	Science and STEM	30
2.3.6	Content and Pedagogy	32
2.4	Conclusions and Recommendations	33
	References	35
3	How Do Partnership Practices Characterise Approaches to Australian Primary Science Teacher Education?	41
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Partnerships in Primary Science Teacher Education: A Brief Review of Literature	42
3.3	Innovative Practices in Partnerships: Case Snapshots	44
3.3.1	Case Snapshot 1: Embedding PST Science Education in a Primary School Through a Design and Make Day	45
3.3.2	Case Snapshot 2: Primary PST Professional Experience Placements in Science Museums, Field Study Centres and Cultural Sites	48
3.3.3	Case Snapshot 3: Local Primary School Students Visiting the University Campus for a PST-led Science-Focused Workshop	49
3.3.4	Other Australian School-University Partnerships in Primary PST Science Education	52
3.4	Looking to the Future: What Role can Partnerships Further Play in Preparing ‘Classroom-Ready’ Graduate Teachers?	52
	References	54
4	How Are Science Specialisations Conceptualised and Realised in Primary Teacher Education?	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	A Brief Literature	58
4.3	Primary Specialisation in Australian Initial Teacher Education	61
4.4	Research Assemblage	62
4.5	Findings and Discussion	63
4.5.1	Theme 1: Generalist Versus Specialist Teacher (What)	64
4.5.2	Theme 2: Novice Versus Expert Teacher (Who)	66
4.5.3	Theme 3: Student Enrolment and Engagement Versus Achievement (Why)	68
4.5.4	Theme 4: High Versus Low Status in Schools (How)	70
4.6	Conclusions	71
	References	72

5	What Are the Possibilities and Challenges Inherent in STEM for Primary Science Teacher Education?	75
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	STEM Skills Definitions	77
5.3	Formal STEM Education	79
5.4	Informal STEM Education	83
5.5	Case Study	85
5.6	Conclusion	89
	References	90
6	Where Is Primary Science Teacher Education Headed?	93
6.1	Introduction: Crystal Ball Gazing	93
6.2	What Is the Future?	94
6.3	Future Approaches	96
6.3.1	Technology	96
6.3.2	Global Sustainable Development Goals	97
6.3.3	Scientific Literacy	98
6.4	Future Attributes	99
6.4.1	Notions of Identity Formation	100
6.4.2	Considerations Around Agency	101
6.4.3	Professional Learning	102
6.5	Future Teachers	103
6.5.1	The Role of Future Teachers of Science	103
6.5.2	The Contribution of Primary Science Teacher Educators	104
6.6	Conclusion: Looking to the Future Classrooms and Beyond	106
	References	107

Chapter 1

What Is the State of Play for Primary Science Teacher Education in Australia?



As a primary science teacher educator, my driving purpose is to support pre-service teachers in becoming confident and competent future teachers of science. While my approach to achieving this has evolved over the last 15 years, my focus on inquiry-based and place-relevant practices has not shifted; instead they have strengthened.

Ange

1.1 Introduction: Why This Book Now?

The spotlight on initial teacher education (ITE) in Australia continues to burn brightly (e.g., Ellis, 2022). This attention remains largely due to competing (and perhaps in some ways, complementary) demands emerging from a significant national ITE reform agenda (Brandenberg et al., 2016) and the foregrounding of a critical teacher shortage in the aftermath of the recent COVID-19 global pandemic (McLean Davies & Watterson, 2022). Through the intersection of these two concerns, a narrative has emerged around ITE policy and practice across the country, landing both on the political radar and in the public consciousness, calling for improvement in the quality and ‘classroom readiness’ of graduate teachers (Green et al., 2018). Australia does not stand isolated in this particular duality with similar tensions playing out on the worldwide stage (see: LeTendre, 2021; Murray et al., 2019; Welch, 2022). What is largely unknown and unknowable, however, is what happens next to create movement from airing to taking action against these concerns.

While it seems that the global stage might be set for change, what remains unsaid and untested is a more explicit understanding of what such large-scale conversations and considerations might mean (if anything) at a ‘grassroots’ level. Within the context of ITE, the concept of grassroots can be imagined at a level as granular as a specific course (e.g., a singular unit of study), in terms of disciplinarity (e.g., a learning area such as science or math) or as a more holistic educational perspective (e.g., First nations knowledges). Shifting the focus from the macro to the micro matters because, in reality, change will ultimately be enacted in the development and delivery of ITE