

*ALEX CAPRICORN Ph.D.*  
(DR. SÁNDOR BAK)



# THE *BOOK* OF *QUESTIONS*

---

Extraordinary thoughts for the first  
100 years of the **CYBERSPACE**



**ALEX CAPRICORN PH.D**  
(DR. SÁNDOR *BAK*)



**THE *BOOK* OF *QUESTIONS***

Extraordinary thoughts for the first  
100 years of the **CYBERSPACE**

This book is also  
available as  
e-book.



www.novumpublishing.com



All rights of distribution,  
including via film, radio, and television,  
photomechanical reproduction,  
audio storage media, electronic data  
storage media, and the reprinting of  
portions of text, are reserved.

© 2024 novum publishing

ISBN 978-3-99146-536-2

Proofread:

This creation was proofread by ChatGPT

Cover redesign:

Alex Capricorn Ph.D & Alex Thomas Capricorn

Layout & typesetting:

novum publishing

Internal illustrations: Alex Capricorn Ph.D

The images provided by the author have been  
printed in the highest possible quality.

[www.novumpublishing.com](http://www.novumpublishing.com)



Print product with financial

**climate contribution**

ClimatePartner.com/16547-2311-1001

**Alex Capricorn, Ph.D.**  
(Dr. *Sándor Bak*)

# ***The Book of Questions***

**Extraordinary thoughts for the first 100 years of the *CYBERSPACE***



**Translated by the Author with a Little Help from the *CYBERSPACE*.  
Revised, Extended, and Enhanced edition, 2024  
Proofread: This creation was proofread by ChatGPT, 2024  
Cover redesign: Author & Alex Thomas Capricorn, 2024**



## **RECOMMENDATION**

*To you, for you, and because of you, My Silent Friend!  
However, I also recommend it to Noisy Ones who have never been influenced by silence.  
I could only ask these questions quietly without them.*

Yet,  
the  
noise  
chas  
**while**  
**silence**  
**forever**  
**promises**  
**the harmony**  
**of the beauty!**











## CHAPTER I

---

### The Anatomy of Being

*“But is intelligence really nothing more than  
knowing the answers to the questions we asked?  
Or should we be discussing the ability to know what question to ask?  
While the answers are being devalued, the questions are growing in worth.  
We have been living in a response-based society for a very long time.”*

(Roger C. Schank: *Are we getting smarter?*  
Source: *The Next 50 Years.*  
*Science in the XXI. In the first half of the century.*  
Edited by John Brockman.  
Vince Publishing, 2003. p. 200)



## **1.1. When You Search for the Secrets of the Universe, You Are Actually Searching for Yourself - and then You Ask Again**

### ***1.1.1. The question: creation***

*"Society in general does not appreciate things that are easy to get, which is what knowledge is destined to become. Good questions will be appreciated."*


(Roger C. Schank: *Are we getting smarter?*  
Source: *The Next 50 Years. Science in the XXI. In the first half of the century.*  
Edited by John Brockman  
Vince Publishing, 2003. p. 201)

\*\*\*\*\*

*„What is time?  
A mystery, a figment – and all powerful.  
It conditions the exterior world,  
it is motion married to and  
mingled with the existence of bodies in space,  
and with the motion of these.  
Would there then be no time if there were no motion?  
No motion if no time?  
We fondly ask.  
Is time a function of space?  
Or space of time?  
Or are they identical?  
Echo answers.”*

(Thomas Mann: *The Magic Mountain.*  
Europa Publisher, Budapest, 1981. Second volume, p. 5)

## **THE QUESTION: CREATION!**

The answer is only the refinement of what has been created, the rearrangement of existing elements. 

The good question is the emerging model that matches with reality. Because a good question is not just a well-designed program; it's not merely software that occasionally produces the correct answer!

### **THE QUESTION IS THE FUTURE, BECAUSE THE QUESTION = DOUBT IN THE PRESENT.**

And because the beginning also has a prerequisite; therefore, there were already some questions before the start. Such as:

- *Why is there anything at all rather than nothing?<sup>1</sup> Not something else, but: something at all?*
- *Does this world exist at all? And if there really is this world, then how is this world truly; for everything in it is biased because they fear the veil of their being? And so what can this world be like; from outside? Isn't it mystical?*

I dare to believe that this *world from the outside*, and including human and me and you and us *inside*, is far too *mystical*<sup>2</sup>, it's full of *mystery*<sup>3</sup>. Because it is possible to give decision-making rights in other ways, such as:

*"The essence of freedom is the right to decide what one thinks about existence, its purpose, the universe and the mystery of human life."*

*(The 1992 US Supreme Court decision in Casey v. Family Planning, Francis Fukuyama, Our Posthuman Future. The Consequences of the Biotechnological Revolution. Europa Publishing House, Budapest, 2003. p. 169-170)*

- *Why is the being allowed to exist somewhere, it's only forbidden for the being to exist somewhere else where it does and not to exist where it does?*
- *For whom or for what does it matter whether there is anything or not?*
- *Are all things at the mercy of themselves?*
- *And what came first: the question or the answer?*
- *Is the answer always just an episode?*
- *Is the question the most intensive discovery in 1 second of which as much happens as in 100 years of the answers?*

---

<sup>1</sup>"Why are there beings at all instead of nothing? That is the question. Presumably it is no arbitrary question. Why are there beings at all instead of nothing?"— this is obviously the first of all questions. Of course, it is not the first question in the chronological sense." Martin Heidegger, *Introduction to Metaphysics*, Budapest, Ikon, 1995. Page 3.

<sup>2</sup>Mysterious.

<sup>3</sup>A secret, a religious ceremony in which only the initiated can participate.



- *Was the being asked first, followed by the question, then the being capable of asking, and ultimately, the answer in question? Or was denial first – and, above all, was the Creator the Questioner, the mind first?*
- *But let's not overlook the Fallen Angel, Satan, in the grand scheme of creation: Initially, there was the Denied Rejection, and from it emerged everything that became reality?*
- *If the question is 'creation' then is questioning in some other way a new creation and thus parallel worlds<sup>4</sup> can be borne?*
- *Is 'creation' the only good question? If the question = creation, then is it only the good question = creation?*
- *Is being asked a responsibility? And being questionable - a responsibility?*

I believe that:  
**TO ASK GOOD QUESTIONS**  
 and  
**TO BE QUESTIONABLE -**  
**IS A HUGE RESPONSIBILITY!**

It is profoundly true that: “While the answers are being devalued, the questions are growing in worth. We have been living in a response-based society for a very long time.”<sup>5</sup>

**The real value is the question, because the question is: creation!**  
**The answer is just a search!**  
**And when you search for the secrets of the Universe, you are actually searching for yourself**  
**– and then you ask again!**

The main power of questions is that they precede the statements. And they also prevent denial! The question precedes both existence and non-existence, the possible and the realized, the real and the actual. And if you don't ask, that doesn't mean there aren't any questions. A good question is not only about finding an answer, but also about yourself. And the question is even more than itself! Because the question is not just a suggestion, but an experiment, manipulation, creation, intervention in the possible reality. The question: intervention into the prophesied!

Believe me,

**IF YOU CAN SEE INSIDE THE ORACLE<sup>6</sup>, IT CAN SEE INTO YOU TOO!**

- *Is it possible to gain knowledge by asking question? Or is it even possible that we can really only know in questioning mode?*
- *Is questioning what carries knowledge across the sprawling ocean of outdated answers to the other side?*
- *The question is: pro-construction? Is the question itself a pro-constructive force?*

<sup>4</sup>According to the Everett-Wheeler theory of parallel universes, as many observers are in the present, as many lines are along which being runs in the worlds which cannot be brought about for one another.

<sup>5</sup>See the main motto of Chapter I!

<sup>6</sup>Prophecy, foretelling, which helps itself, realizes what is predicted. The interactive interaction of the soothsayer and the prophesied for the realization of the prophesied.

- *The ultimate test is that there may be a million wrong answers, but a good question - like the commandments - only a few?*
  - *Maybe the answer depends on how much faith you invest in the question? And the question: entropy<sup>7</sup>reduction?*
  - *How simple would full knowledge be if we knew the answer to whether this Universe, life and the mind arose spontaneously?*
  - *Does every possible question have a possible subject? If you ask about reality, will it become the same as your question?*
  - *Is there, can there ever be, a digital tool that answers the question of why?*
- *The cardinal question is "what is the question"?*
    - *Why is the "why"?*

### **THE QUESTION: THE REALIZED, THE LIVING FREEDOM!**

Because in the question

– similarly to the evolution of the living and the revelation<sup>8</sup> coming from outside mind – you are looking for the existent both within itself and beyond itself.

**THE QUESTION: A STEP TOWARDS THE UNTHINKABLE.**

If you don't ask, it doesn't mean there are no questions.

A good question is not only a search for an answer, but also itself.

And a good question is a prerequisite for knowledge.

But still: asking is not enough knowledge.



A kind of courage is needed to dive into the depths of the "not-yet-touched unknown."

The good question always has depth, while questionable answers always float on the surface.



Questioning is like faith; it exists before existence.

Asking is like believing; it already exists before existence.

Questioning and faith are not antecedents, but origins!

And your faith is what you can never see from the outside.

And I believe

in the question, because the question is not a riddle!

And I also believe

that I am software-independent and

I have a reason to exist outside of hardware too.

And I also believe

there is an answer for me!

I believe

that this world was born for a miracle, and everything that exists in it is a miracle.

Because the miracle is that which can embrace and shake away the painful question, and the miracle is what comforts when there is no answer.

**THE QUESTION: A SIGN THAT MEANS SOMETHING AND HAS MEANING.**

<sup>7</sup>Degree of disorder. The number of all possible configurations of micro-states that produce the same macro-state. But it also has a broader definition.

<sup>8</sup>Sudden enlightenment.

The triggering effect is the question, and the answer responds by modifying it. The question and the answer have positive feedback loop, much like a thermostat<sup>9</sup> expanding into creation.

There's an answer to each good question; the answer may be questionable or may be wrong. Still, it's certain that there's going to be one or more new questions.

The question is: creation and not just creativity. It does not renew, it creates.

And perhaps

**IT IS THE BEST QUESTION YOU CAN ASK, TO WHICH  
– LIKE SOFTWARE –  
YOU DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER,  
BUT WHICH STILL REQUIRES COURAGE;  
COURAGE EQUALENT TO CREATION.  
BECAUSE THE QUESTION IS PRIMARY: CREATOR AND NOT CREATED.**

Well, **My Silent Friend**<sup>10</sup>, *be just one of the many cloned, fashionable faceless mass in a stadium full of screaming people, desperately looking for your way halfway through the path of human life in a darkening forest, or spinning your days for extra profit in the highest skyscraper: be anywhere*, now I ask you to raise your face to the skyward, be brave and *ask your own questions!*

Come on, **ask your questions! Ask endlessly, ask unanswerable questions!**

**Create! Because the question is: creation! Create yourself!**

**Ask!**

- *Why am I me and not someone else? And what should I be so as not to be, and what should I do not to be?*
- *What would I be if I were not made of cells and neurons, but of chips and bits, like computers? I could count, maybe even think; but could I laugh? And could I cry? And could I ask questions such as: "why is there this software interweaving the hardware and not something else?" And what should I be to not be, and what should I do to not be? And what would happen if my stored self was deleted accidentally? And what would I be if I were not made of chips and bits, but of cells and neurons?*
- *But I am human, and I ask why this "I" exists at all, and not nothing?*
- *Does there need to be an "I"? And does there need to be another "I"?*
- *Why does it have to be anything? Why should there be anybody, or anyone?*
- *Why is there action? Not this or that action, not this kind or that kind of action, but action in general?*
- *Does depth exist? And the even-deeper even-more-existing?*
- *Does the vortex exist? And does the possibility of vortex exist? Or the vortex is when the nothing shakes the something; is the vortex the flow of self-existing "unbreakable-momentum"?*
- *And is the vortex in its pure reality ascending or descending in the smoothly pulsating path of energy? And can't it be that: creation = building an arch out of a vortex?*

---

<sup>9</sup>An automatic device that maintains the temperature of a closed space at the desired degree.

<sup>10</sup>Among the few True Ones, a True Human who takes on, who asks, who can be asked, and who - if necessary, and if you also take Him on - will accompany you on your journey for up to 100 years. He is the one who – after the noisemakers have fallen silent in alarm – interprets and reveals the fate of the world as a Silent Reader.

- *And how about lies; if it is not itself, then how does it exist? What is the cause, what is its basis, what is its consequence, and what condition is it part of? And the lie: destiny?*
- *And at all; how can existence be proven? If it can't be proven, then it's no longer true? Could it be that everything is just a rotten lie, and nothing is true?*

*"The mystery is not **how** the world is, but how **it is.**"*

(Ludwig Wittgenstein: *Logical-philosophical treatise.*  
(TRACTATUS LOGICO-PHILOSOPHICUS)  
Academic Publishing House, Budapest, 1989. p. 88)

**Perhaps someone can still believe in this eternity that the truest ways - like being - just exist, are, and have no properties. But they work. They just exist, cause<sup>11</sup> and act, however, in such a way that each leads and brings something to each, and each takes and leads something from each.**

**The truest ways just exist, they're just there.  
The truest paths hurt and always leave each other.**

- *How and where does being merge with possibility and give grace to existence? After that, how and where is the placement done? And finally: how and where and why will the real become actual in you?*
- *Is the something: the flow of being into existence?*
- *Is 'is' an event?*
- *Is the realized the possible that time has measured and found worthy of existence?*
- *How and where does the spatial impact of existence turn into temporal pain?*

**(Forgive me in advance, but I'm rushing ahead now,  
and I'll give up the main thing here -  
questions to ask yourself:**

- ***of what***
- ***in what***
  - ***how,***
  - ***when,***
  - and***
- ***why do you exist for? )***

- *What is "is" anyway? And surely, all that exists is, and all that is – exists? And how do we know that it "is"? Or have you never been deceived and deluded, have you never cheated and been cheated on?*

---

<sup>11</sup>"What is the consequence of an effect is called a cause." Martin Heidegger: *Question in the Wake of Technology, Die Frage der Technik.* Based on the lecture given at the Technical University of Munich on 18.11.1953. Source: *The sobriety of the late modern period II. Reading book from the field of awareness of scientific and technical world liquidation,* Selected and edited by: Tillmann J. A. Göncöl Publishing House Budapest, 2004. p. 113.

- Does the rainbow truly exist, is there rainbow, or is it merely a different phenomenon for different fairies?
- And does snowfall exist, and is snowing exist for a single snowflake?
- Has it been proven that only this one Universe can exist? Has it been proven that the existence of one or more other universes is impossible? And is it proven that this one Universe cannot explode? Is it proven that this one Universe cannot explode itself?
- And so, what about nothingness: Is it the absence of being, non-being, and at the same time, both pure potentiality<sup>12</sup> and the unfolding totality<sup>13</sup>, a tension-filled opportunity? Is nothingness that which does not exist, yet somehow exists, matters and acts? Is it really true that nothingness is the promise of existence, the veil of some kind of existence?

*“The miracle of miracles is that there is something, rather than nothing – something, such as an apple tree, that presents itself to us.*

*Or perhaps we should say "it shows", as we say "it snows"?*

*The miracle of miracles is something we humans, whether we consider it or not, respond to.*

*The primary answer is yes.*

*Even a skeptic **no** would not be doubtful if the original yes were not hidden within.*

*This answer is the fundamental responsibility.”*

*(Hanspeter Padrutt: Der epochale Winter,*

*Source: The sobriety of the late modern period II.*

*A reading book from the scope of raising awareness of the scientific and technical world liquidation.*

*Selected and edited by Tillmann JA*

*Göncöl Publishing House Budapest, 2004. p. 228)*

So, an apple tree presents itself to us, revealing its presence not only to our eyes but also to itself and other trees, much like when we say 'it is snowing.'

**Perhaps, somewhere and sometime, you might be just a snowflake, unable to introduce yourself, unable to manifest, and unaware of whether it's snowing at this very moment. Yet, here and now, it is snowing!**

### **It's snowing now!**

**Because through heavy, difficult and confused seasons, the passing away now reveals itself and opens its throat .**

First only in the unusually beautiful silence, then in the super<sup>14</sup>-continental roar that threatens the whole world with death. In the Great Festival, which turned into a culinary Death Torr, humanity and life now screamed for themselves - realizing that reality is a surrogate<sup>15</sup>, supplement and residue<sup>16</sup> of nothing, and that what is inside it is all from the devil.

---

<sup>12</sup>The possibility of something, the ability to act.

<sup>13</sup>Completeness, totality.

<sup>14</sup>Something above.

<sup>15</sup>Substitute, supplement.

<sup>16</sup>Remainder, residue.

And sometimes even good questions are from the devil. For who else could ask something like:

- *Isn't our knowable world just the trash of the great ocean of reality? Continuing the questioning: what is life, what is a self-aware person, and what is humanity within this garbage?*
- *Is the rational human perhaps no more than a metabolic process that has gained meaning?*
- *Maybe the Great Flow of Humanity is nothing more than a process of exchange of egoistic material that gained meaning and was overworked to the point of insanity? Would Humanity be just one strange central nervous system-driven stream of information garbage from vein to ocean in this Universe?*
- *Maybe humanity is nothing more than a global, hectic insect state that has already devoured all its nectar and whose dreams have already frozen?*

But not only the devil, but also human has asked.

*“should humanity exist, and if so, why? So why man remains as evolution has shaped him, why his genetic heritage must be respected. And why there should be life at all. The question is not as redundant as it seems (in lack of a serious denial of all these norms), because the answer is important. We'll find out how much we can afford to risk in our big technical race, and which of these risks are completely unacceptable.”*

*(Hans Jonas: Why is technology the subject of ethics? Five reasons.  
Source: Should trees have rights? Environmental-ethical text collection  
Edited by László Molnár, Typotex, 1999. p. 73)*

### **What snowy roads!**

► We started *from* the question that:  
? why is there something at all, rather than nothing ?

And we got to the point *where*:

? should humanity exist, and if so, why ? ◀

- *Where does existence come from, and where does it go, and why?*
- *Are there questions that are inherently unanswerable?*
- *Can an answer that merely explains how things happen be enough?*
- *Are you enough for yourself if you know how you are, but not why you are?*
- *What you have experienced and survived: can it be hell?*
- *Do you have a whole theory about yourself? Can you work out all the important things about yourself?*
- *Do you have proof of your existence, or are we still running into nothing here?*

Questions, answers, theories, doubt; they are all swirling around us here!

*„Why is there something and why isn't there anything? Some think such questions are unanswerable, others think they are senseless, but there are also those who try to answer*

*them. Science has invented a very effective way of exploring the world, because it limits itself mainly to answering questions like 'how things happen'...*

*So far, no theory has been found that claims there does only one universe exist. And if space and time were created, what about the laws of nature, symmetries and constants as we call them: did they come into being at some point? And finally, if all or some of these things came into being at a definable moment, where, why and how did they emerge?"*

*(John D. Barrow: The Book of Nothing.  
AKKORD Publishing House, 2005. 280-282. He.)*

**Now let's go further along the path of rejected theories and assumed doubts, because there are dances that are not only beautifully performed, not only praise existence, but also invite us to fight!**

### ***1.1.2. Dancing questions around the topic of nothingness***

*"Science has not even been able to come to a consensus on what nothing is."*

*(Timothy Ferris: THE UNIVERSE. Cosmological theories today.  
Typotex Publishing House, 2005. p. 271)*

I already asked: "and what about nothingness: nothingness is what non-existence is, and not existence?"

**Nothingness is not the antecedent, but the original, or even prior to the original.**

And many roads lead from nothingness, away from nothingness, and to nothingness. And each of these ways makes you richer; provided that the knowledge does not convulse you and you can approach by dancing.

Come, **My Silent Friend**, let's open the doors, unlock the locks, leave the narrow cells of knowledge now, and let's dance together from the perspective of the soul to questions that cannot be digitized!

## **1. #**

### **DANCING QUESTIONS AROUND THE TOPIC OF ONTOLOGY<sup>17</sup>**

- *For what end was made what there is? What will what there is turn into?*
- *Is the existence of being relevant only and is the existence of the essence irrelevant? Is it that where the fulfilled is the possible is only in a different way?*
- *Which path is the path of creation: being falls into existence, or does existence open into being?*
- *Can anything be real outside of reality? Always, everything, everywhere and something started; except creation? But then where does creation come from? What ends creation? And does it follow all creation; passing away?*
- *Could it be that everything is much simpler, and only one possibility gave birth to Creation?*

---

<sup>17</sup>Theory of existence, teaching about existence.

Perhaps this Universe is just one very well-packaged bit that creation communicates with passing away. And humanity's task is to unravel and realize that universes hide universes in their wombs, and Biospheres give birth to Cyberspaces.

- *Is that also this way, which is not?*
- *Is there a place in this Universe that cannot be reached? What is it like to exist on the border of two Universes? Is the border part of the demarcated?*
- *Is the border and the order are non-repeating, but the chaos is itself? And therefore and because of this, has everything normal now become paranormal? Maybe we can't measure the deficit, only its limit? And has nothing never existed, but it's always been missing?*
- *What limits this Universe and what is this Universe limited by? Is it the mind?*
- *Isn't mind the entity that holds a mirror to and in front of being?*
- *Are there areas and things that are not elements of the world, yet shine their bright destiny on us?*
- *Is the Beyond of the Universes the foundation of the Universes, and are the Universes the result of the Beyond of the Universes?*
- *Could there be other kinds of worlds where frozen energy, dry life and zero-dimensional mind are commonplace?*

**I repeat and emphasize:**

- *can there be other kinds of worlds,  
where commonplace is the frozen energy,  
the dry life  
and  
the zero dimensional mind?*

**And I'm afraid to ask:**

- *can you imagine yourself in a different kind of world,  
where commonplace is the frozen energy,  
the dry life  
and  
the zero dimensional mind?*

**Or: can the mind and human only exist within the realm of infinity and beyond zero?**

- *Isn't what itself appears as infinity from here the majestic 0 from there? And could the formula of being, mind, and passing away be represented as  $(0 \rightarrow \infty, \infty \rightarrow 0)$ ?*
- *The mutation of what resulted in zero: nothing, one, or more?*
- *How much more and how is  $(+0)$  different than  $(-0)$ ?*
- *Zero: number or "non-number"? And zero together with another non-zero number: complements or changes?*
- *If you add one to zero, what increases by one? What do all the numbers have in common; is it one or zero?*
- *How much more and in what way are three numbers next to each other different from three numbers with respect to each other?*



*How much more and in what way is 3-3-3 different from 333? How much more and in what way are 6-6-6 different from 666<sub>18</sub>?*

- *What is the quality of two numbers next to each other and in relation to each other? Will this quality change from moment to moment or is the counting a permanent rating, the Most Inhuman Lager of Eternity?*
- *Can 'how much' derive from quantity only and 'what like' from quality? But what's 'how much' like and how much is 'what like'?*
- *Is it possible that the co-vibration of the possibility of nothing and something provides being and even existence? Is reality only a possibility sorted out?*
- *Do impossibility and never exist?*
- *Is there obligation to what's already happened?*
- *Is what has passed and, moreover, is beyond what can be remembered still happening?*
- *What is the ontological status of imagined non-existent things? And what is the ontological status of the unthought-of existent and the unthought-of non-existent things?*
- *What can be more than to be? And what can be less than not being given the chance to be?*

**I repeat and emphasize:**

- **what can be more than to be? And what can be less than not being given the chance to be?**
- *Is it possible that there are no coincidences, but only strange effects triggered by other causes that are missing or replacing unknown causes? Because all effects are capital; a factor that may be a more effective cause in the future?*
- *How many elements of an empty Universe can be considered true?*
- *Is emptiness: hell or just a type of hell?*
- *Is there a symbol for nothing? If the symbol of nothingness is zero, then what is that small negative underneath, that silly little lack, that fluctuation<sup>19</sup> longing to exist, that is just being prepared, that mysterious undulation? Because there and then there is an ocean between low tide and high tide? And because here and now the low tide is when the ocean is stolen from the high tide?*

**NOTHINGNESS IS THE MISSING SOMETHING AND STRAINING FROM LACK,**

and

**NOTHINGNESS IS THE ETERNAL HIGH TIDE WITHOUT LOW TIDE,**

**THAT LIETS ALL BEINGS UP!**

- *Is it possible that nothingness is the cosmos, and creation is chaos?*

---

<sup>18</sup>“Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast; for it is a human number: and its number is six hundred and sixty-six.” About János Apparitions. 13. 18. Holy Bible. Translated by Gáspár Károli. Budapest, 1912. Published by the British and Foreign Bible Society. Also, if we replace each letter of the English ABC with a number divisible by six and start with six, the numbers corresponding to the letters of the word computer add up to the number 666.

<sup>19</sup>The fluctuation of momentary values to which everything is subject, even gravity, time and space. On smaller and smaller scales, space and time strongly fluctuate and storm, this is the quantum foam, which - due to Heisenberg's uncertainty principle - is the womb of creation.

- *Does the Universe have a shadow? Is expansion a necessary and sufficient consequence of the expansion of the universe?*
- *What if this Universe is just a broken diaspora<sup>20</sup> from a larger existence?*
- *Is this Universal unique or general?*
- *Is existence nothing more than a flash of nothingness, followed by continuous loss of existence?*
- *Is existence nothing more than a failed opportunity? And is existence nothing but what happens with the debris of existence?*
- *Can there be many infinities, and how numerous are they? If there is only one infinity, is it an attainable infinity?*
- *If reality is partial - and reality is partial - then where does wholeness end?*
- *What is beyond and outside of existence? And in general: is existence a cause or an effect?*
- *What does the realized have to do with the possible; to the possible, which is not the sign of what is not, not of what cannot be, but of what is?*
- *Can - is - impossible; aren't these three categories just the rise of fluctuation?*
- *What a contingency: we have no idea what the origin of the existents is: a chance, a fluctuation, an accidental fluctuation, or a failed creation? And what fallibility: we have no idea what the end of things will be: a great collapse, a continuous cooling, a random reaction, or grace?*
- *Only what doesn't exist can be flawless?*

You already know, **My Silent Friend**, that no matter how well awarded and accepted, no knowledge is flawless, and even experience is incomplete. Knowledge skims the foam on its well-constructed ship in the coherent and still waters of the world of answers. Then a good question confuses, agitates and unsettles, and everything runs away and everything piles up on itself. Then one level up; purification takes new paths, and the dreamer wakes up in the garden of new, still-emerging, but possible realities.

- *Is the possible always necessary?*
- *Which impossible world is most similar to this world? Is it itself?*
- *But how can the impossible exist?*
- *To what does existence belong and being doesn't belong to, and to what does being belong and existence doesn't belong to?*
- *Does existence hide in the being, or the being envelops the existence?*
- *How does anything become something, and how does whatever become any?*
- *The ontology of the present: temporary packaging, daily charm cosmetics? Could the Theory of Everything be a philosophy of self-pleasure?*
- *What produced what is here? Or did it just come up itself?*
- *If being is not a property, then what is non-being and what is it like?*
- *The most important interior is that which is not a property? And the most important feature is also the most important interior?*
- *Is the Universe a subject?*
- *If self-consciousness appears in a Universe, will it already have an object for itself?*
- *Existence in Cyberspace is frivolous; something like what is the wind in the stillness?*

---

<sup>20</sup>Life outside one's homeland, dispersion.

## 2. ##

### DANCING QUESTIONS AROUND THE TOPIC OF PASSING AWAY

- *Is the present what hasn't passed, or is the present what hasn't come? Is the present: saying yes while denying?*
- *Is the dance of passing away the change in which the end becomes a beginning without an end, and the beginning always turns into an end by starting again?*
- *Is the present a realized property of the future?*

#### **From here – to there; not only elsewhere, but also at another time!**

In the coordinate system of time, there is no place, only a line. If you stretch this line, then lift it out of the plane and move it; right then and there, you can boldly ask what it is like to wander in the body of the fractured grid cells revealed in this way?

- *We can only remember the past, we cannot relive it; therefore, the passage in the body of time is itself a self-avoiding wandering<sup>21</sup> that is constantly realized?*
- *But what does time have to do with space and energy; where and when does it descend into them, where and when does it ascend from them?*
- *There will be anything because the something will pass away, and there will be something because the anything will decay? And is all something, with you in it, temporal in the timeless; is it like the falling and deathly scream of a tree dying alone, heard by no one?*
- *Who monitors the passing away of this Universe?*
- *Who mourns the passing away of this Universe?*

#### **Torn from the cradle, as a future mourner, I'm asking crying:**

- *who mourns the passing away of this Universe?*

#### **The quote outlines the five stages of existence:**

(1) creation, (2) increase, (3) loss, (4) passing away,  
and  
(5) persistence?

Alternatively,

when scaled down to a human level, it associates these stages with:

(+) the cradle, (++) the crying, (+++) the mourning, (++++) the mourned,  
and  
(++++) the mercy?

#### **But tell me, My Silent Friend**

- *will there be anybody crying, mourning, or mourned, who takes the cross of passing away into the other shore of being?*
  - *will there be anybody betrayed, who, though, being betrayed,*

---

<sup>21</sup>A modelled geometric formation in which a randomly wandering particle obeys the global constraint that it cannot cross its own track.

*will smile over its nullified mask with its real face?*

What is very painful about denial is that it can be followed by denial again – permanently covering the face of the lie with the mask of the untruth. Your ancestors were once claims, but then you were born here and they disappeared into the bloody foam of the rejected in the Ocean of Nothingness.

But

**REMEMBER THAT YOU TOO CAN BE DENIED!**

And don't forget that the tragedy of all being that passes is that after the final denial, another claim can follow!

Accept the cathartic fact that:

**your denial is the ultimate certainty,**  
**supporting you even you,**  
**where you are absent.**

For

**you are not a solitary tree, you are not alone,**  
**but you're growing bloody roots,**  
and  
**the abundance of your existence that grows green leaves,**  
**where everything is for everything**  
**and where everything is connected to everything!**

You are not alone, you are not a solitary tree, and you are not an evergreen pine that falls into an abyss unnoticed by anyone, split in two at the waist, because

**ACCEPTED,**

**CONTAINED,**

and then

**THIS UNIVERSE MOURNS YOU.**

### **3. ###**

#### **DANCING QUESTIONS AROUND THE TOPIC OF OPERATION**

- *Is existence the logic of being reduced to action?*
- *Existence is logical, but is being itself: pre-logic or beyond logic?*
- *How does existence exist? Can the operator be born from its own operation?*
- *Existence: operation? Is existence an operation whose causeless return is passing away?*
- *And the logic of anti-matter is anti-logic? And in the anti-world, one of the claims is either yes or no; and the other is yes because it is not?*
- *Why is what you have correctly calculated, following the procedure, true?*
- *The more is not only one several times but also ones together, which are thus more than themselves?*
- *The many may be one; just differently?*

- *Where and how does the not exist; the negation? Does it only come into being by denying itself, and by continuing to deny itself? May you only exist then and because it proves that it is not true that you do not exist?*
- *What is the attribute of the absence of attributes?*

I repeat

**REMEMBER THAT YOU TOO CAN BE DENIED!**

- *What is the property of deniability?*
- *Is there a property that is not real? What are the characteristics of fiction<sup>22</sup>? And what is the quality of fiction? What causes the sign and what is the meaning? In what kind of logic does the metaphor fit and where does it take place? Or is the essence of the metaphor precisely that it has left the space of logic?*

**And now a little quantum physics<sup>23</sup>, My Silent Friend!**

- ***Observation = destruction? And reality = continuous healing?***
  - ***If I want to, and if I look at you, you are only then to me, anyway; are you not only because I want to?***
    - ***In the observable and measurable world, are there only measurable entities and measures?***
    - ***And are the measurers always outside the world, for they are the well-endowed and ordained standard-bearers, who know that the measure is the qualitatively determined quantity?***
- *Is this Universe simply a self-sustaining, growing part of the whole? And does powerlessness sometimes work in it in such a way that strength and weakness pulsate together, from each other and into each other?*
- *The change: reality, the disappearance of reality, or merely the pulsation of quality and lack of quality?*
- *Does falling down create depth, or does depth make room for falling deep?*
- *Are the laws followed by the whole Universe? But how does one part of the Universe know what and how another part of the Universe is, or what the Universe itself is like?*
- *Who manages this Universe: natural laws, chance, or evolution?*
- *Are there universes much sadder than this? Can there be universes where the natural constants are different, and where life died before it was born? Or did life in such universes die before birth because they are much sadder?*
- *Is power what works? And does the force act as and to the extent that it acts?*
- *Is there power when it does not act? And is there space when nothing is flying in it?*

---

<sup>22</sup>The assumption of something that does not exist in reality, which, however, leads to a better understanding of reality.

<sup>23</sup>Quantum mechanics is called According to the Copenhagen interpretation, reality exists only when and because consciousness observes it. Observation creates the universe observed by the observer, because it causes the reduction of the state function of the universe.

**THERE IS POWER NOT ONLY IN SHEER FORCE,  
BUT ALSO IN THE COOPERATION OF POWER,  
as well as  
STRENGTH RISES FROM THE MUTUAL ANNIHILATION OF FORCES TOO,  
WHERE INTERFERENCE IS NOT A REFERENCE,  
THERE, IN THE ETERNAL BATTLEFIELDS,  
WHERE ALL IS INTERCONNECTED WITH ALL.**

However  
**IF SOMETHING IS CONNECTED TO SOMETHING,  
IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT,  
THEY ARE CAUSES OF EACH OTHER.**

- *What could be the common cause of the existence, the mind and the passing away?*
- *Could it be that the tension of nothingness is fighting the lack, and that the world is the lack itself?*
- *Is there, is it possible to separate the game from the player? Is it conceivable for an event to exist without a story? And is the story merely a form of cause-and-effect communication? And the story is nothing but cause and effect communication? Isn't it the case that, deep down, everything is caused by an accidental fluctuation?*
- *Is there no river without a bed? But if the river did not create it for itself, then who dug the river bed, who created the basis of the river's existence? And continuing further: does the river, if it leaves its bed, deny itself?*
- *Is clairvoyance if we silence the noise by loudly creating order?*
- *Do we seek, recognize, create or lie about order?*

And finally, **THE HIGHLIGHT,**  
**THE STARTING AND THE OPERATING QUESTIONS:**

- *How does the question function and how does the function ask question?*
- *Is human the instrument of being?*
- *Is the structure external, the function internal?*
- *Is existence an activity? And is there value in existence, or is existence just a merely fact?*
- *What takes place; is that happening?*
- *Does what exists last? And if so, from where until when and how and for what purpose?*
- *Is there anything other than nothing that does not rest on being?*
- *Is there, can there be a whole that is not a part?*
- *Construction and destruction - crutches of survival?*
- *How is creation destruction?*
- *What did not begin with creation?*
- *Unfolding - decomposition?*
- *Ultimately, is chaos not the disruption of order, but its source?*
- *If there is no sender, can there be a message?*
- *Who sent you into this Universe and why?*
- *If you can send a message, what else could you send beyond yourself in this Universe?*
- *Can you send a message, and if so, what do you send outside of this Universe?*