



PALGRAVE STUDIES IN  
SUB-NATIONAL GOVERNANCE



# Location of Public Services

Legitimacy, Challenges, and  
Solutions in Sweden

Jenny de Fine Licht  
David Karlsson  
Louise Skoog

palgrave  
macmillan

# Palgrave Studies in Sub-National Governance

Series Editors

Linze Schaap  
Tilburg University  
Tilburg, The Netherlands

Jochen Franzke  
University of Potsdam  
Potsdam, Germany

Hanna Vakkala  
Faculty of Social Sciences  
University of Lapland  
Rovaniemi, Finland

Filipe Teles  
University of Aveiro  
Aveiro, Portugal

This series explores the formal organisation of sub-national government and democracy on the one hand, and the necessities and practices of regions and cities on the other hand. In monographs, edited volumes and Palgrave Pivots, the series will consider the future of territorial governance and of territory-based democracy; the impact of hybrid forms of territorial government and functional governance on the traditional institutions of government and representative democracy and on public values; what improvements are possible and effective in local and regional democracy; and, what framework conditions can be developed to encourage minority groups to participate in urban decision-making. Books in the series will also examine ways of governance, from ‘network governance’ to ‘triple helix governance’, from ‘quadruple’ governance to the potential of ‘multiple helix’ governance. The series will also focus on societal issues, for instance global warming and sustainability, energy transition, economic growth, labour market, urban and regional development, immigration and integration, and transport, as well as on adaptation and learning in sub-national government. The series favours comparative studies, and especially volumes that compare international trends, themes, and developments, preferably with an interdisciplinary angle. Country-by-country comparisons may also be included in this series, provided that they contain solid comparative analyses.

Jenny de Fine Licht • David Karlsson  
Louise Skoog


# Location of Public Services

Legitimacy, Challenges, and Solutions in Sweden

palgrave  
macmillan

Jenny de Fine Licht   
School of Public Administration  
University of Gothenburg  
Gothenburg, Sweden

David Karlsson   
School of Public Administration  
University of Gothenburg  
Gothenburg, Sweden

Louise Skoog   
Political Science  
Umeå University  
Umeå, Sweden

ISSN 2523-8248

ISSN 2523-8256 (electronic)

Palgrave Studies in Sub-National Governance

ISBN 978-3-031-64462-7

ISBN 978-3-031-64463-4 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-64463-4>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

## PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This book has been written within the project ‘The location challenge of public services—equal access to public services and democratic governance in the whole of Sweden’, funded by FORMAS, the Swedish Government’s research council for sustainable development (2021-02229). It is covered by ethical approval from the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (2022-04557-01).

We declare that we have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this book.

We thank our colleagues at Umeå University and the University of Gothenburg for their constructive comments on the manuscript. Our thanks also go to the reviewers and editors at Palgrave who have made this book possible.

Gothenburg, Sweden  
Gothenburg, Sweden  
Umeå, Sweden  
July 2024

Jenny de Fine Licht  
David Karlsson  
Louise Skoog

# TERMINOLOGY: KEY LOCATION CONCEPTS

There is some variation in the terminology used in the literature on location of public services. This glossary demonstrates the usage of terms in this book.

<i>Concept</i>	<i>Definition</i>
Public service facility (or unit)	A physical site or building where public services are provided to users (e.g. schools or public indoor swimming pools). More broadly, this encompasses sites where public activities are conducted or public goods are produced, including infrastructure arrangements (e.g. storerooms, bus stops, or energy plants).
Location of public services	The policy area concerned with physical placement of public service facilities.
Location decisions/ decisions on location	The practice of determining the physical placement of public service facilities.
Spatial allocation of public services	The distribution of service facilities over a particular geographical area or polity, determining the general availability of services throughout the entire area. The degree of allocation can be more or less concentrated.
Centralisation/ decentralisation of one or more service facilities	The process of closing service facilities in peripheral places and redirecting users to facilities in more central places, <i>or</i> establishing new facilities in peripheral locations where none existed before. (Sometimes referred to as <i>geographical</i> centralisation/decentralisation as opposed to centralisation/decentralisation of responsibilities.)
Centralisation/ decentralisation of public service responsibilities (including location decisions)	The relocation of the duty and authority for the provision, funding and location of public services between tiers of government (from lower to higher or vice versa).
LULU	Stands for 'Locally Unwanted Land Use', which in this context refers to a public service facility that is unpopular among local residents. These residents may wish to have it removed if it exists, or prefer to avoid its establishment—at least in their vicinity.
LALU	Stands for 'Locally Attractive Land Use', which in this context is a public service facility desired by the local residents. They would like to retain it if it exists, and if it is absent, they wish for its establishment.

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	1
	<i>Location of Wanted and Unwanted Services: The Aim of the Book</i>	2
	<i>What Is the Location Challenge?</i>	4
	<i>A Question of Legitimacy</i>	5
	<i>Why Are Decisions on Location Challenging for Governments?</i>	8
	<i>A Categorisation of Unwanted and Attractive Service Facilities: LULUs and LALUs</i>	10
	<i>Location as a Public or Private Concern</i>	13
	<i>A Focus on the Local Political Level</i>	14
	<i>A Decision-Makers' Perspective</i>	15
	<i>The Swedish Case as a Source for Examples</i>	16
	<i>Outline of the Book</i>	17
	<i>References</i>	18
<b>2</b>	<b>The Centre-Periphery Divide</b>	21
	<i>What Do We Mean with the Centre-Periphery Divide?</i>	22
	<i>Centralisation and Decentralisation of Public Service Facilities</i>	25
	<i>The Interests of Service Users and Citizens</i>	26
	<i>Public Opinion on Location Issues</i>	32
	<i>To Eat One's Cake and Have It Too</i>	38
	<i>Summary</i>	40
	<i>References</i>	41



<b>3</b>	<b>The Quest for Legitimacy</b>	45
	<i>What Is Legitimacy?</i>	45
	<i>Normative and Empirical Legitimacy</i>	47
	<i>What Drives Legitimacy? A Question of Substance and Process</i>	49
	<i>Evaluating Legitimacy</i>	54
	<i>Summary</i>	58
	<i>References</i>	58
<b>4</b>	<b>Location in a Multilevel Setting</b>	61
	<i>Local Self-governance Versus National Equity</i>	62
	<i>Multilevel Governance</i>	65
	<i>Public, Private, or Civil Sector Responsibility for Service Provision</i>	67
	<i>The Size of a Polity</i>	72
	<i>Structural Reforms, Amalgamations, and Tensions Within Municipalities</i>	74
	<i>Summary</i>	76
	<i>References</i>	77
<b>5</b>	<b>Party Conflicts and Political Representation</b>	81
	<i>Party Conflicts Over Public Service Provision and Facility Location</i>	82
	<i>Political Parties and Location Issues</i>	85
	<i>How Do Parties Represent the Opinions of Citizens in Location Issues?</i>	88
	<i>Summary</i>	93
	<i>References</i>	94
<b>6</b>	<b>Making Decisions on Location</b>	97
	<i>Avoiding Painful Decisions</i>	98
	<i>Conflict or Compromise: Two Models of Democracy</i>	99
	<i>Open or Closed Decision-Making</i>	101
	<i>Public Involvement in Decision-Making</i>	103
	<i>Why Public Participation Is Not Necessarily the Solution</i>	106
	<i>Summary</i>	109
	<i>References</i>	110

<b>7 Solutions</b>	115
<i>Embrace the Location Challenge as a Political Issue</i>	116
<i>Induce Realistic Expectations</i>	118
<i>Secure Knowledge-Based Institutions and Due Administrative Processes</i>	120
<i>Think Creatively Yet Critically About Technical Innovations</i>	121
<i>Extend the Process Beyond the Actual Decision</i>	123
<i>Compensate the Affected</i>	124
<i>Proceed with Caution in Collaborative Arrangements</i>	125
<i>Design Multilevel Systems That Support, Not Undermine, Local Democracy</i>	127
<i>Key Areas for Future Research on the Location Challenge</i>	129
<i>The Decision-Making Perspective</i>	129
<i>Public Opinion Studies</i>	129
<i>Comparisons</i>	130
<i>Location Problems in a Time-Perspective</i>	131
<i>Conceptual and Theoretical Development</i>	132
<i>References</i>	133
<b>Index</b>	137

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Distance to maternity wards. ( <i>Note:</i> Pictures produced by and reproduced with permission from @Inlandsaktivisterna)	7
Fig. 1.2	Dimensions of location decisions	12
Fig. 2.1	Local citizen interest in relation location of public services	28
Fig. 2.2	Public opinion in Sweden 2022 on the ideological trade-off between centralisation and decentralisation of public services (the c/d-scale), per cent. (Source: The National SOM Survey 2022, <i>N</i> = 1674. <i>Note:</i> The figure illustrates the distribution of opinions among Swedish individuals regarding the survey question referenced in the figure)	33
Fig. 2.3	Support for political proposals regarding location issues (per cent). (Source: The national SOM survey 2022, <i>N</i> = 1707–1738. <i>Note:</i> The question was, ‘What is your opinion on the following proposals?’, and the responses were given on a five-graded scale from very good to very bad proposal. The figure illustrates the percentage who responded very good or rather good proposal. The proposals are sorted according to popularity, with the least popular proposals first)	35
Fig. 2.4	Those who choose to live in rural areas/smaller localities must be prepared for poorer access to services (per cent). (Source: The National SOM Survey 2022. <i>Note:</i> The question reads: ‘To what extent do you agree that those who choose to live in rural areas/smaller localities must be prepared for poorer access to services?’ and responses are indicated on a scale from 1 ‘Do not agree at all’ to 7 ‘Fully agree’. <i>N</i> = 1734)	39

- Fig. 4.1 Changes in Swedish politicians’ views on self-governance and equality 2008–2019 (balance: positive-negative). (Sources: For national politicians: RDU 2010, 2014, 2019 (see Karlsson, 2018; Öhberg et al., 2022); for local and regional politicians: KOLFU 2008, 2012, 2017 (see Gilljam et al., 2010; Karlsson & Gilljam, 2014; Karlsson, 2017). Adapted from Karlsson (2022a). *Note:* The figure presents a balance measure, specifically the proportion of politicians who believe the cited proposals are very bad or fairly bad subtracted from the proportion who consider it fairly good or very good) 64
- Fig. 4.2 Swedish public opinion on wind power issues. (*Note:* Results from the National SOM survey 2022 (Axelsson et al., 2023). In the Swedish Context, ‘the state’ refers to the government and authorities at the national level) 72
- Fig. 5.1 Distribution of responses to questions on spatial allocation of services (the c/d-scale) and support for preserving schools in small villages and rural areas among citizens and politicians at national, regional, and local levels (percentage). (Sources: National politicians: the RDU survey 2014  $N = 265$  (Karlsson & Lindstrand, 2018); regional politicians ( $N = 1074$ ) and local politicians ( $N = 7444$ ) from the KOLFU survey 2017 (Karlsson, 2017); citizens ( $N = 1674$ ) from The National SOM survey 2022 (de Fine Licht et al., 2023). *Note:* The figure illustrates the distribution of responses for two survey questions. The first question related to the c/d-scale was: ‘Regarding the location of services, there is sometimes talk of a political dimension between: Those who want centralised public services (for the sake of efficiency and quality), and those who want decentralised public services (to promote equitable access to services everywhere). Where would you personally place yourself on a centralisation-decentralisation scale?’ The responses were given on a 0–10 scale from definitely for centralisation to definitely for decentralisation. The second question was presented as a proposal ‘Preserve schools in rural/less populated areas’ and the responses were given on a scale from 1 ‘very bad’ to 5 ‘very good’ proposal) 90

- Fig. 5.2 Policy congruence between citizens and politicians by party affiliation regarding spatial allocation of services (the c/d-scale) and support for preserving schools in small villages and rural areas (mean values). (*Note:* For the formulation of survey questions and sources for the three surveys, refer to the note on Fig. 5.1. In this figure, responses are represented as mean values on the c/d scale (0 representing definitely for centralisation, 10 representing definitely for decentralisation) and the question regarding preserving schools (1 being a very bad proposal, 5 being a very good proposal), with a grey vertical line indicating the neutral position on each scale. Values are categorised according to party affiliation for the eight national parties (*L* Liberals, *M* Moderates [conservative], *KD* Christian Democrats, *SD* Sweden Democrats [nationalists], *MP* Greens, *V* Left Party [socialists], *S* Social Democrats, *C* Centre Party [agrarians])) 91
- Fig. 6.1 A snow dump. (*Note:* This is one of four snow dumps in Umeå, a mid-sized city in the north of Sweden. Photo by Louise Skoog) 106

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Correlation between pinions on different service location proposals (Pearson's $r$ )	36
Table 4.1	Distribution of responsibilities in three public service sectors between tiers of government in Sweden	67



## Introduction

‘The maternity Ward in Lycksele is closed—Heavily pregnant Emma is forced to drive 300 kilometres.’ So reads the headline in 2023 of a leading newspaper in Sweden, *Dagens Nyheter* (Kejerhag, 2023), signalling seriousness and justified concern. Emma, who lives on a farm in the inner parts of northern Sweden, is nine months pregnant. She estimates that if everything goes well, it will take her four hours to drive to Umeå, where the nearest maternity ward is placed. ‘It feels really scary,’ she tells the journalist, in a reportage illustrated by pictures of beautiful but heavily snow-covered landscapes.

The background is that the maternity ward in Lycksele, an inland town in northern Sweden with about 12,000 inhabitants, closed in January 2023 for an indefinite period due to difficulties in recruiting qualified staff. In effect, pregnant women in the inner areas of Sweden must travel to the coastal cities of Umeå or Skellefteå to give birth.

People living in the inner parts of the region are upset and channel their discontent in different ways. One example of a particularly active platform is the Instagram account ‘@Inlandsaktivisterna’ (The Inland Activists). Most of their work is focused on spreading a positive image of life in the sparsely populated areas, such as posting photos of ski slopes, swimming in lakes, fishing, barbecue evenings, and the tranquillity of nature. They reject the sometimes-gloomy picture of rural areas as boring and sad,