

Edited by Hang Z. Yu, Nihan Tuncer, and Zhili Feng

Solid-State Metal Additive Manufacturing

Physics, Processes, Mechanical Properties, and Applications



Solid-State Metal Additive Manufacturing

Solid-State Metal Additive Manufacturing

Physics, Processes, Mechanical Properties, and Applications

Edited by Hang Z. Yu, Nihan Tuncer, and Zhili Feng

WILEY VCH

Editors

Prof. Hang Z. Yu

Virginia Tech 445 Old Turner Street Blacksburg VA, USA, 24061

Dr. Nihan Tuncer

Desktop Metal Inc. 63 Third Ave. Burlington MA, USA, 01803

Dr. Zhili Feng

Oak Ridge National Lab 1 Bethel Valley Road Oak Ridge TN, USA, 37830

Cover Image: © Mark Pandol & Luke Hagedorn, Virginia Tech

All books published by **WILEY-VCH** are carefully produced. Nevertheless, authors, editors, and publisher do not warrant the information contained in these books, including this book, to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Library of Congress Card No.: applied for

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

© 2024 WILEY-VCH GmbH, Boschstraße 12, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers.

Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such, are not to be considered unprotected by law.

Print ISBN: 978-3-527-35093-3 ePDF ISBN: 978-3-527-83933-9 ePub ISBN: 978-3-527-83934-6 oBook ISBN: 978-3-527-83935-3

Cover Design Wiley Typesetting Straive, Chennai, India

Contents

Preface xiii

Part I Introduction 1

1	Introduction and Overview 3
	Hang Z. Yu, Nihan Tuncer, and Zhili Feng
1.1	Overview and History of Metal Additive Manufacturing 4
1.2	Liquid-State Bonding Versus Solid-State Bonding 7
1.2.1	Liquid-State Bonding 7
1.2.2	Solid-State Bonding 8
1.3	Nonbeam-Based, Solid-State Metal Additive Manufacturing 9
1.3.1	Deformation-Based Metal Additive Manufacturing 9
1.3.2	Sintering-Based Metal Additive Manufacturing 11
1.4	Additive Manufacturing Categorization Based on the Relationship
	Between Shape Forming and Consolidation 12
1.5	Organization of the Book 14
	References 15

٧

Part II Cold Spray Additive Manufacturing 19

2 Impact-Induced Bonding: Physical Processes and Bonding Mechanisms 21

David Veysset and Mostafa Hassani

- 2.1 Introduction 21
- 2.2 Fundamentals of Impact Bonding 23
- 2.2.1 Plate Impacts and Explosive Welding 23
- 2.2.1.1 The Shock Equations of State 23
- 2.2.1.2 Limiting Conditions for Explosive Welding 24
- 2.2.2 Laser Impact Bonding 30
- 2.3 Bonding Mechanisms in Cold Spray 32
- 2.3.1 Proposed Mechanisms 32

vi Contents

- 2.3.1.1 The Role of Jetting and Impact Pressure in Particle Bonding 32
- 2.3.1.2 The Limiting Case of Impact Melting 33
- 2.3.1.3 Adiabatic Shear Instability 36
- 2.3.1.4 Dissimilar Materials Impact 40
- 2.3.2 Influence of Particle Characteristics 41
- 2.3.2.1 Particle Temperature 41
- 2.3.2.2 Particle Size 42
- 2.3.2.3 Surface Oxide and Hydroxide Effects *42* References *43*
- 3 Microstructures and Microstructural Evolution in Cold-Sprayed Materials 49

Luke N. Brewer and Lorena I. Perez-Andrade

- 3.1 Introduction 49
- 3.2 Defect Structures 50
- 3.2.1 Vacancies 51
- 3.2.2 Dislocation Structure 52
- 3.2.3 Grain Structure 55
- 3.2.4 Precipitate Structure 56
- 3.2.5 Porosity 60
- 3.3 Microstructural Evolution of Thermally Treated Cold-Sprayed Materials *61*
- 3.3.1 Recovery, Recrystallization, and Grain Growth 62
- 3.3.2 Precipitation 65
- 3.3.3 Heat Treatment of Feedstock Powders and its Impact on Microstructure 66
- 3.4 Conclusions 67 Acknowledgements 67 References 68
- 4 Mechanical Properties of Cold Spray Deposits 75

Sara Bagherifard and Mario Guagliano

- 4.1 Introduction 75
- 4.2 Mechanical Properties 76
- 4.2.1 Adhesive Strength 77
- 4.2.1.1 Adhesive Strength Test Methods 77
- 4.2.1.2 The Effect of Process Parameters on Adhesive Strength 80
- 4.2.1.3 The effect of Pre-/Post-treatments on Adhesive Strength 80
- 4.2.2 Cohesive Strength 83
- 4.2.2.1 Cohesive Strength Test methods 84
- 4.2.2.2 Cohesive Strength Under Static Loading 84
- 4.2.2.3 Cohesive Strength Under Fatigue Loading 86
- 4.2.2.4 Anisotropy in Cohesive Strength 90

- 4.2.3 Summary and Future Perspectives *91* References *94*
- 5 Cold Spray in Practical and Potential Applications 101
 - Jingjie Wei, Yong He, Phuong Vo, and Yu Zou
- 5.1 Introduction 101
- 5.1.1 The Cold Spray Process 101
- 5.1.2 Cold Spray Additive Manufacturing (CSAM) 103
- 5.2 Materials 103
- 5.2.1 Cu and Cu Alloys 103
- 5.2.1.1 2Cu-Ga and Cu-In-Ga 107
- 5.2.1.2 Cu-Sn 107
- 5.2.1.3 Cu-W 107
- 5.2.2 Al and Al Alloys 108
- 5.2.3 Ni and Ni Alloys 110
- 5.2.4 Stainless Steels 111
- 5.2.5 Body Center Cubic (BCC) Metals 112
- 5.2.5.1 Tantalum 112
- 5.2.5.2 Niobium 114
- 5.2.6 Hexagonal Close-Packed (HCP) Metals 114
- 5.2.6.1 Titanium 114
- 5.2.6.2 Magnesium 116
- 5.2.7 Metal Mixes and Metal Matrix Composite (MMC) 116
- 5.2.7.1 Metal Mixes 117
- 5.2.7.2 Metal Matrix Composite 117
- 5.2.8 Multicomponent and High Entropy Alloys 120
- 5.2.8.1 MCrAlY Multicomponent Alloy 120
- 5.2.8.2 High Entropy Alloy (HEA) 120
- 5.2.9 Multimaterials 121
- 5.3 Perspective and Challenges 122 References 124

Part III Additive Friction Stir Deposition 133

6 Process Fundamentals of Additive Friction Stir Deposition 135

David Garcia and Hang Z. Yu

- 6.1 Additive Friction Stir Deposition Macroscopic Process Overview 136
- 6.2 Thermo-Mechanical Processing Evolution 139
- 6.3 Heat Generation and Heat Transfer 142
- 6.3.1 Heat Generation and Heat Transfer Mechanisms 142
- 6.3.2 Peak Temperature and Material Dependence 143
- 6.4 Material Flow and Deformation 146 References 149

viii Contents

7	Dynamic Microstructure Evolution in Additive Friction Stir							
	Deposition 153							
	Robert J. Griffiths and Hunter A. Rauch							
7.1	Introduction to Microstructure Evolution in Additive Friction Stir							
	Deposition 154							
7.2	Dynamic Microstructure Evolution in Single-Phase							
	Materials 155							
7.2.1	Stacking Fault Energy and Dislocation Mobility 155							
7.2.2	Dynamic Recovery 157							
7.2.3	Continuous Dynamic Recrystallization 157							
7.2.4	Discontinuous Dynamic Recrystallization 159							
7.2.5	Static and Post-Dynamic Recrystallization 160							
7.2.6	Heterogeneous Deposits and Metadynamic Recrystallization 161							
7.3	Dynamic Microstructure Evolution in Multiple-Phase							
	Materials 162							
7.3.1	Thermal Evolution During Additive Friction Stir Deposition 162							
7.3.2	Evolution of Secondary Phases at Low Temperature 164							
7.3.3	Evolution of Secondary Phases at High Temperature 166							
7.3.4	Evolution of Secondary Phases After Deformation 168							
7.3.5	Mapping Secondary Phase Evolution to Processing Space 168							
7.4	Effects of Material Transport on Microstructure Evolution 170							
7.4.1	Mechanisms of Material Transport 170							
7.4.2	Material Transport for the Homogenization of Mixtures 172							
7.4.3	Densification of Material Through Material Transport 173							
7.4.4	Material Transport and Spatial Variance in Thermomechanical							
	Conditions 174							
7.5	The Study of Microstructure Evolution in Additive Friction Stir							
	Deposition 175							
7.5.1	Contemporary Approaches 175							
7.5.2	Novel Approaches 177							
	Acknowledgement 177							
	References 177							
8	Mechanical Properties of Additive Friction Stir Deposits 181							
	Dustin Avery and Mackenzie Perry							
8.1	Introduction 181							
8.2	Magnesium-Based Alloys 184							
8.2.1	WE43 184							
8.2.2	AZ31 187							
8.3	Aluminum-Based Alloys 189							
8.3.1	5xxx 190							
8.3.2	2xxx 192							
8.3.3	6xxx 193							
8.3.4	7xxx 195							
8.3.5	Cast Al Alloys 197							

- 8.4 Other Alloys Systems 197
- 8.4.1 Nickel-Based Alloys 197
- 8.4.2 Copper-Based Alloys 198
- 8.4.3 Titanium-Based Alloys 198
- 8.4.4 Steel Alloys 199
- 8.4.5 High-Entropy Alloys 199
- 8.4.6 Metal Matrix Composites 200
- 8.5 Repair 200
- 8.6 Summary and Future Perspectives 201
- 8.6.1 Anisotropy 201
- 8.6.2 Graphite Lubricant 202
- 8.6.3 Multimaterial or Designed Feedstock 202
- 8.6.4 Effect of Process Parameters on Mechanical Properties 202
- 8.6.5 Active Cooling/Heating 202
- 8.6.6 Heat Treatment 202
- 8.6.7 High-Temperature Materials Tool Wear 203
- 8.6.8 Unique Possibilities 203
- 8.6.9 Modeling 203
 - References 203

9 Potential Industrial Applications of Additive Friction Stir Deposition 209

Hang Z. Yu, Rajiv S. Mishra, Chase D. Cox, and Zhili Feng

- 9.1 Large-Scale Metal Additive Manufacturing 209
- 9.2 Selective Area Cladding 211
- 9.3 Recycling and Upcycling 214
- 9.4 Structural Repair 220
- 9.5 Underwater Deposition 224

Acknowledgment 227 References 227

Part IV Ultrasonic Additive Manufacturing 231

10 Process Fundamentals of Ultrasonic Additive Manufacturing *233*

Austin Ward

- 10.1 Process Overview 233
- 10.1.1 Process Parameters 234
- 10.2 Temperature Rise and Thermal Modeling 235
- 10.2.1 Heat Generation During Welding 235
- 10.2.2 Sonotrode Contact Stress 237
- 10.2.3 Coefficient of Friction 238
- 10.2.4 Temperature Profile 239
- 10.3 Feedstock Bonding Mechanisms 241

x Contents

10.3.1	Oxide Breakdown 241						
10.3.2	Asperity Deformation 243						
10.3.3	Diffusional Bonding Processes 246						
10.3.4	Liquid-Phase Bonding 247						
10.4	Dissimilar Metal Consolidation 247						
10.4 1	Mechanical and Thermal Modeling 247						
10.1.1	Dissimilar Metal Junction Growth 248						
10.4.2	Interdiffusion 240						
10.4.5	Accustic Softening and Strain Normality 251						
10.5	Acoustic Soliening and Strain Normality 251 Cyclic Strain Databating 252						
10.5.1	Cyclic Strain Ratcheting 253						
10.0	Summary 254						
	Acknowledgments 255						
	References 255						
11	Illumanuia Additiva Manufastuvina, Misuastuval and						
11	Ottrasonic Additive Manufacturing: Microstructural and						
	Tienvene (Tiler) Len Leen M. Leedinee, and Mercele L. Danine						
11 1	Individual (Iyler) Han, Leon M. Heddings, and Marcelo J. Dapino						
11.1	Introduction 259						
11.2	Microstructure Analysis of UAM Builds 259						
11.2.1	Similar Material Joining with UAM 260						
11.2.2	Dissimilar Material Joining with UAM 262						
11.2.2.1	Al-Ceramic Weld 262						
11.2.2.2	Ni-Steel Weld 263						
11.3	Hardness Analysis of UAM Builds 266						
11.4	Mechanical Characterization of UAM Builds 267						
11.4.1	Design of a Custom Shear Testing Method 268						
11.4.2	Validation of the Shear Test 268						
11.4.3	Finite element Modeling of the Shear Test 270						
11.4.4	Application of the Shear Test to UAM Samples 273						
11.5	Conclusions 275						
	References 275						
12	Industrial Applications of Ultrasonic Additive						
	Manufacturing 279						
	Mark Norfolk						
12.1	Early Years 279						
12.2	Increased Power \rightarrow Increased Capability 281						
12.3	Modern Applications 282						
12.3.1	Electrification 282						
12.3.2	Thermal Management 286						
12.3.3	Embedded Electronics 288						
12.3.3.1	SmartPlate 290						
12.3.3.2	SensePipe 291						
12.4	Future Applications 292						
	References 292						

Part V Sintering-Based Processes 295

13 Principles of Solid-State Sintering	297
--	-----

- Basil J. Paudel, Albert C. To, and Amir Mostafaei
- 13.1 Introduction 297
- 13.2Basic Terminology298
- 13.2.1 Sintering 298
- 13.2.2 Relative Density/Green Density 299
- 13.2.3 Coordination Number 299
- 13.2.4 Surface Tension/Surface Energy 300
- 13.2.5 Wetting Angle/Dihedral Angle 300
- 13.2.6 Neck Growth/Shrinkage/Densification 301
- 13.3 Sintering Stress 302
- 13.3.1 Two Particle Model 302
- 13.3.1.1 Case I: Without Shrinkage 303
- 13.3.1.2 Case II: With Shrinkage 303
- 13.3.2 Driving Force 303
- 13.3.3 Interfacial Activity/Thermodynamics 304
- 13.4 Mass Transport Mechanisms *306*
- 13.4.1 Grain Boundary Diffusion 306
- 13.4.2 Lattice/Volume Diffusion 306
- 13.4.3 Viscous Flow 306
- 13.4.4 Surface Diffusion 307
- 13.4.5 Evaporation/Condensation 307
- 13.4.6 Gas Diffusion 307
- 13.5 Sintering Stages 307
- 13.6 Sintering Simulation 308
- 13.7 Concluding Remarks, Challenges, and Future Works *309* References *310*
- 14 Material Extrusion Additive Manufacturing 313
 - Alexander C. Barbati and Aaron Preston
- 14.1 Introduction 313
- 14.2 Hierarchy of MEAM Parts and Feedstock Behavior 316
- 14.3 Feedstock Attributes 325
- 14.4 Extrusion Control 327
- 14.5 Toolpathing: Strength and Quality 331
- 14.6 Conclusions 335 Acknowledgments 336 References 336

15 Binder Jetting-based Metal Printing 339

Marco Mariani, Nora Lecis, and Amir Mostafaei

- 15.1 Introduction to Binder Jetting 339
- 15.2 Printing Phase 341

xii Contents

- 15.2.1 Particulate Feedstock 341
- 15.2.1.1 Feedstock Materials 342
- 15.2.1.2 Feedstock Morphology and Size Distribution 343
- 15.2.2 Binder Selection 344
- 15.2.3 Powder Spreading and Binder Deposition System Configurations 345
- 15.3 Thermal Treatments 346
- 15.3.1 Curing 346
- 15.3.2 Debinding 347
- 15.3.3 Sintering 348
- 15.3.4 Additional Treatments 349
- 15.4 Future Developments 350
- 15.5 Conclusion 352
 - References 352

16 Sintering-based Metal Additive Manufacturing Methods for Magnetic Materials *361*

H. Wang, A. M. Elliot, and M. P. Paranthaman

- 16.1 Introduction 361
- 16.2 Background 362
- 16.3 Additive Manufacturing Methods 369
- 16.4 Applications 372
- 16.5 Summary 374 Acknowledgments 375 References 375

17 Future Perspectives *379*

- Hang Z. Yu, Nihan Tuncer, and Zhili Feng
- 17.1 Enhancing the Understanding of Process Fundamentals *379*
- 17.2 Expanding the Printable Material Library 381
- 17.3 Embracing Artificial Intelligence for Quality Control and Process
 Prediction 381
 References 383

Index 385

Preface

This book aims to offer a timely overview of the science and engineering of solid-state metal additive manufacturing. In contrast to mainstream fusion-based metal additive processes that hinge on melting and rapid solidification, the focal point here is on alternative processes such as cold spray, additive friction stir deposition, ultrasonic additive manufacturing, material extrusion additive manufacturing, and binder jetting, which involve deformation or sintering-based material bonding. The departure from melting results in salient advantages in energy consumption and environmental impact, while addressing common issues like hot cracking, high residual stresses, and undesirable intermetallic phase formation. Although these non-beam-based additive processes are currently in the minority within the additive manufacturing community, they are garnering significant attention across diverse sectors, such as aerospace, automotive, defense, and infrastructure. The timing is opportune to consolidate our understanding and delineate limitations surrounding these processes, from which we hope to accelerate their widespread adoption.

We represent a collaborative team from three critical pillars in technology development: academia, industry, and national laboratories. By interweaving insights from these diverse realms, we aim to construct a narrative that not only presents state-of-the-art solid-state additive manufacturing technologies and applications but also delves into the physics and materials science that underlie them, covering the bonding mechanisms and microstructure evolution phenomena. The latter, i.e. the fundamental aspect, is sometimes overlooked in the research and development of additive manufacturing, which is often unfortunately emphasized with empiricism. However, we believe that only by delving into the core concepts and fundamentals can we equip readers with the tools necessary to adapt to the ever-changing landscape of solid-state metal additive manufacturing. Striking a balance between the practical and theoretical aspects, we envision the content of this book to bridge the macroscopic properties and applications to the microscopic phenomena, such as dislocation creation and motion, subgrain rotation, grain boundary migration, and surface diffusion.

We are fortunate to have esteemed pioneers and leaders contributing to this book, who are globally recognized experts actively engaged in the forefront of solid-state metal additive manufacturing. Much like the editorial team, these authors provide a well-balanced representation, encompassing academia (Cornell University, Stanford University, University of Alabama, Politecnico di Milano, University of Toronto, Virginia Tech, Penn State University, University of North Texas, the Ohio State University, and Illinois Institute of Technology), industry (Fabrisonic, Desktop Metal, and MELD), and national laboratories (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory). The collective expertise of the authors ensures that the content of the book is not only comprehensive but also enriched with unique insights, which hopefully will establish it as a key resource for those interested in solid-state metal additive manufacturing. Looking ahead, we also hope that the book will serve as a catalyst for further exploration and innovation in this dynamic field, where new solid-state additive technologies will be developed, and more talents will be engaged in the exciting research and development journey of these transformative technologies.

December 2023

Hang Z. Yu, Blacksburg, VA Nihan Tuncer, Burlington, MA Zhili Feng, Oak Ridge, TN Part I

Introduction

1

Introduction and Overview

Hang Z. Yu¹, Nihan Tuncer², and Zhili Feng³

¹ Virginia Tech, 445 Old Turner Street, Blacksburg, VA, 24061, USA
 ² Desktop Metal Inc., 63 3rd Ave, Burlington, MA, 01803, USA
 ³ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1 Bethel Valley Rd, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831, USA

Additive manufacturing generally denotes scalable fabrication (printing) of 3D components and structures for industrial production. Employing a layer-by-layer or voxel-by-voxel approach, additive manufacturing has started to shift the manufacturing paradigm and revolutionize the way components are produced. It not only offers unparalleled design freedom and efficiency for creating complex geometries, but also opens the door to the production of lighter, stronger, multifunctional, and multimaterial parts [1]. Its versatility knows almost no bounds; nearly all types of materials can be transformed into intricate 3D components through additive manufacturing, including polymers, ceramics, metals, composites, and even natural materials. With the vast global market of metal component production and the extensive use of metallic materials in diverse industrial sectors, there has been a surge in interest of *metal additive manufacturing* particularly over the past decade [2–4].

Metal additive manufacturing approaches can come in two key forms: fusion-based (i.e., beam-based) and solid-state (i.e., nonbeam-based) methods, both with their distinctive advantages. The former fundamentally relies on selective melting and rapid solidification to progressively build a structure, while the latter harnesses a high strain rate, extensive plastic deformation, or thermally induced atomic diffusion to metallurgically bond the material to build a structure. Fusion-based approaches, including powder bed fusion (e.g., selective laser melting [SLM] and e-beam melting [EBM]) and directed energy deposition (DED) (e.g., laser engineered net shaping [LENS] and wire arc additive manufacturing [WAAM]) have been the primary focus of industry and academia at the time of writing. This is not surprising, as much of the processes and equipment are based on similar fusion-based welding processes widely applied in the industry for decades. Similar to casting [5] and fusion welding [6], both of which are bulk-scale melting–solidification manufacturing processes, fusion-based additive manufacturing is challenged by porosity, residual stress, and hot cracking [7]. Compared to

4 1 Introduction and Overview

casting, the additive nature exacerbates these issues because of the small molten pool size, large thermal gradient, and rapid cooling rates. Additionally, epitaxial solidification leads to the natural formation of textured, columnar grain structures along the build direction, presenting a hurdle for microstructure and isotropy control [8]. These issues also limit melt-based methods to weldable alloys.

These critical issues stem from the melting and solidification nature of fusion-based additive manufacturing and can be avoided if melting is not present in the process. This motivates the development of a series of emerging nonbeam-based, solid-state processes for metal additive manufacturing – which is the focus of this book. The cutting-edge solid-state technologies explored in this book encompass cold spray additive manufacturing (CSAM), additive friction stir deposition (AFSD), ultrasonic additive manufacturing (UAM), and sintering-based processes like binder jetting additive manufacturing (BJAM) and material extrusion-enabled metal additive manufacturing (MEAM).

This relatively new field of manufacturing technologies is continuing to develop at a fast pace along with a growing wealth of research articles and white papers. The aim of this book is to present the principles and effects of the physical phenomena that each solid-state additive manufacturing method is built upon, as well as an in-depth picture of the process fundamentals, the resulting microstructures and properties, and the key industrial applications. Starting with an overview and historical perspective of metal additive manufacturing, this chapter proceeds to offer frameworks for categorizing solid-state additive manufacturing methods based on bonding mechanisms and relationship between building and consolidation. It then discusses the potential and limitations of nonbeam-based, solid-state metal additive manufacturing methods, which are implemented through deformation-based or sintering-based approaches. Furthermore, the chapter outlines the structure of the book, providing a glimpse of the topics of all the following chapters.

1.1 Overview and History of Metal Additive Manufacturing

Offering a "disruptive" concept that enables greater design freedom, rapid prototyping, and the production of complex geometries that were previously unachievable, metal additive manufacturing has enormous potential for enhancing performance such as strength and durability, weight and waste reduction, customization, as well as on-demand production and supply chain risk reduction. It has found applications in aerospace, space, automotive, defense, healthcare, and many other industries, driving innovation and reshaping the manufacturing landscape. Based on different material feeding and bonding mechanisms, metal additive manufacturing can be implemented by SLM, selective EBM, LENS, WAAM, CSAM, BJAM, UAM, and AFSD. Depending on the process, the feedstock can be in the form of powder, wire, sheet/foil, and solid bar. The first four technologies are based on melting and rapid solidification, and are thus termed "fusion-based" or "beam-based." The last four are based on solid-state processes without melting; they are the focus of this book.



Figure 1.1 A brief history of metal additive manufacturing development over the last 40 years.

As illustrated in Figure 1.1, the history of metal additive manufacturing dates to the 1980s when additive manufacturing, in general, was in its early stages. Similar to the case with other technologies, different terminologies were invented and used for different additive manufacturing processes as they developed. Selective laser sintering (SLS) was patented by Carl Deckard in 1986 [9], the first 3D printed parts were demonstrated by Manriquez-Frayre and Bourell in 1990 [10], and Electro Optical Systems (EOS) introduced its initial SLS machine in 1995. On the other hand, the first SLM patent was issued in 1995 by the Fraunhofer Institute Institut für Lasertechnik (ILT) in Germany, eventually leading to SLM Solutions Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (GmbH) in the early 2000s [11]. SLM or SLS falls under the category of powder bed fusion additive manufacturing.

Another significant technology within the powder bed fusion category is selective EBM, patented by Larson in 1993 [12]. In 2002, the first commercial EBM machine was launched by Arcam, which was later acquired by General Electric (GE) in 2016. Enabling the fabrication of complex geometries with high spatial resolution, powder bed fusion has emerged as one of the leading metal additive manufacturing technologies today.

LENS represents another important example that leverages high-energy laser beam for metal additive manufacturing [13]. LENS involves melting and fusing nozzle-delivered metal powder onto a substrate in a layer-by-layer fashion to create intricate 3D components. The technology was patented by Sandia National Laboratories in 1994 and later commercialized by Optomec in the early 2000s. LENS belongs to the category of DED, where the material is fed in powder form.

Another notable technology in this category is WAAM. The roots of WAAM can be traced back to the 1920s when Baker proposed using an electric arc and filler wires to deposit metal ornaments [14]. In the welding industry, arc welding, laser welding,

6 1 Introduction and Overview

and electron-beam welding are widely used for cladding of large-scale structures and rebuild of aircraft turbine rotor tips. They are early on primitive WAAM. In recent years, advancements in robotics, sensors, and control systems have propelled the progress of WAAM technology. Precise control of welding parameters and robotic movement has improved accuracy and repeatability, not to mention the high build rate and excellent scalability offered by WAAM.

Now let us briefly review the history of solid-state metal additive manufacturing processes, wherein the feedstock is not melted. Our first focus is on cold spray, a technology with a long history dating back to the early twentieth century. The modern "cold spray" phenomenon was discovered by Papyrin and Alkhimov in the 1980s [15, 16]. Subsequently, in 1994, the National Center for Manufacturing Sciences consortium, including companies like Ford Motor Company, GE Aircraft Engines, General Motors Corporation, the Naval Aviation Depot, and Pratt and Whitney, began extensive research on cold spray. In 2008, the US Department of Defense published the Military Standard (MIL-STD-3021), establishing cold spray standardization and best practices. A series of cold spray companies have been formed since then for technological commercialization, such as SPEE3D and Impact Innovations GmbH.

Initially called "3D printing", binder jetting works by selectively depositing a liquid binding agent onto a powder bed to bind the particles together layer by layer to create a 3D object, followed by sintering or hot isostatic pressing for densification. This technology was invented in 1993 by Sachs, Cima, Bredt, and coworkers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) [17], later resulting in a spin-off company, Z Corporation (ultimately acquired by 3D Systems in 2012). Extrude Hone obtained an exclusive license from MIT in 1996 and launched the industry's first commercial direct metal 3D printing machine using binder jetting in 1998. As a spin-off from Extrude Hone Corporation, ExOne was founded in 2005 and quickly became a prominent player in the additive manufacturing industry, particularly in the field of binder jetting technology provider. In 2021, ExOne was acquired by Desktop Metal, which was founded by seven cofounders (including four MIT professors) in Lexington, Massachusetts, in October 2015 and went public in December 2020.

The process of material extrusion, which is commonly referred to as fused filament fabrication (FFF) or fused deposition modeling (FDM), was originally developed by Stratasys in 1989 [18]. It is based on the extrusion of a thermoplastic polymer through a heated nozzle with a fine orifice onto a substrate and the building of a free-form 3D structure layer by layer. The combination of FDM with another well-known manufacturing method, metal injection molding (MIM), where metal or ceramic powders bound with polymeric binder are pushed into a mold, constitutes the basic principle of the MEAM [19]. Desktop Metal and Markforged (founded in 2013 in Cambridge, MA) are the two leading companies that provide 3D metal printers based on material extrusion.

UAM, initially known as ultrasonic consolidation, is a hybrid technology based on ultrasonic bonding and computer numerical control (CNC) machining. This technology was invented and patented by White in 1999 [20], who founded Solidica Inc. with the aim of commercializing UAM equipment. In 2007, a collaboration was initiated between the Edison Welding Institute (EWI) and Solidica to redesign the weld tooling, facilitating high bond quality, and accommodating very high-power machines. Over a four-year development program, the team successfully enhanced the ultrasonic horn's power delivery levels, increasing it from 2 to over 9 kW. As a result of this progress, Fabrisonic LLC was founded in 2011, aiming to further advance and commercialize the improved UAM process.

AFSD is a relatively young technology in the additive manufacturing family. It originated from the friction surfacing process [21]. Based on the principle of friction stir bonding, the feedstock in the form of a solid bar is stirred against the substrate, forming deposition tracks as it goes through severe plastic deformation at elevated temperatures under a rotating tool. Around 2008, the foundation of this technology was laid by Schultz and Creehan [22], who were previously research professors at Virginia Tech before establishing Schultz-Creehan Holdings Inc. This company later merged with Aeroprobe Corporation. The initial prototype machine was constructed by Schultz and the team at Aeroprobe Corporation in 2011 [23]. The first peer reviewed paper was published by Kandasamy in 2013 [24]. In 2018, Manufacturing of Electronically Linked Devices (MELD) Manufacturing Corporation, a separate entity from Aeroprobe Corporation, brought this technology to market under the brand name "MELD Technology."

1.2 Liquid-State Bonding Versus Solid-State Bonding

Metal additive manufacturing technologies are all based on two critical steps: material feeding and material bonding. The capabilities, challenges, and resulting material properties of each additive manufacturing technology largely depend upon the method of layer bonding and consolidation mechanism [25]. Metals can be bonded in liquid phase or solid phase. Liquid-state bonding is the mechanism for fusion-based additive manufacturing technologies, such as SLM, EBM, LENS, and WAAM. Solid-state bonding is the mechanism for CSAM, AFSD, UAM, and sintering-based processes like BJAM.

1.2.1 Liquid-State Bonding

As demonstrated through fusion welding, the formation of molten pools across the interface followed by solidification can lead to good metallurgical bonding of two metal pieces. The solidification process typically happens at the liquid–solid interface via heterogeneous nucleation, resulting in epitaxial grain growth from the solid phase into the liquid. Depending on the thermal gradient and solidification rate, which govern the undercooling, there is also a possibility of nucleation inside the molten pool, especially in the presence of nucleation agents. As the transformation from liquid to solid progresses, effective heat dissipation generated by the transformation becomes essential. This process is typically facilitated through conduction within the solid, directing heat away from the advancing solidification front. Most pure metals and alloys undergo a negative volume change when they solidify.

8 1 Introduction and Overview

This solidification "shrinkage" phenomenon can impart stresses upon the as-solidified structure that may lead to solidification cracking [26].

In solidification of alloys other than pure metals, the local temperature profile leads to solute redistribution and segregation of alloying and/or impurity elements. This can result in continuous alteration in composition between the liquid and solid in contact at the solidification front. If the solid phase does not have adequate time to reach its equilibrium composition, which is common in fusion-based additive processes, such redistribution results in localized variations in the composition within the solidified structure. This can lead to spatially dependent liquidus temperatures and significant constitutional supercooling, even if the liquid is hotter than the solid [27].

1.2.2 Solid-State Bonding

Solid-phase bonding offers notable advantages, such as the absence of hot cracking, prevention of element segregation, and reduction of chemical and microstructural nonhomogeneities, without resolidifying the liquid metal. Additionally, it can reduce residual stress in the case of mass transport via atomic diffusion at elevated temperature, as in sintering.

Under ideal physical conditions, solid-state metallic bonding readily occurs when two atomically flat and clean metal surfaces come into intimate contact, because their cohesive atomic forces attract each other. Such a phenomenon occurs without necessarily applying pressure or raising temperatures. This is the origin of cold welding found at the nanoscale [28] and self-healing recently observed during fatigue testing [29]. However, in practical applications, metallic surfaces are seldom perfectly flat and are often covered by surface oxide layers and contaminant films (as illustrated in Figure 1.2). Moreover, microstructural and compositional irregularities can further complicate the joining process. These barriers against bond formation can be overcome by strain and/or heat through a number of mechanisms.

The first key mechanism is the creation of intimate contact via collapse of asperities [30]. When the temperature is increased, the yield strength decreases, making it possible for macroscopic mechanical loading to cause surface deformation with high local strains, ultimately resulting in contact of the two metal surfaces. Alternatively,



Figure 1.2 Different regions in two solid metal pieces close to contact: (1) base metal; (2) deformation region upon bonding; (3) oxide layer; (4) contaminant film.

such intimate contact can be created by creep and surface diffusion mechanisms at relatively low forces [31].

The second key mechanism is the removal of the surface oxides and contaminant films to allow atomic bonding [32]. This removal can be implemented by mechanically induced fracture (as seen in CSAM as an example), followed by material removal from the surface or dispersion into the matrix material. If the mechanical loading is inadequate but there is sufficient thermal energy, then the surface oxides may be removed in a reducing and/or low oxygen partial pressure atmosphere. This phenomenon is seen in sintering where bonding then occurs through atomic diffusion.

Another possible mechanism to facilitate solid-state bonding is the realignment of grain structures. The difference between crystallographic orientations on the two sides of the interface results in a series of high-angle grain boundaries, which may lead to inadequate joints. For example, in solid-state welding of Al, it is straightforward to form metallurgical bonds between (111) and (111) planes and between (110) and (110) planes, whereas bonding between (111) and (110) planes is difficult [33]. In terms of surface deformation, the dislocations created in the surface region followed by local recovery or recrystallization may help align the crystallographic planes across the interface.

1.3 Nonbeam-Based, Solid-State Metal Additive Manufacturing

The metal additive manufacturing technologies explored in this book are all based on solid-state bonding, i.e., without utilizing high-energy beams (e.g., lasers or electron beams) to melt the metals to create metallurgical bonding. Solid-state bonding mechanisms can further be divided into two subcategories.

The first is based on high-strain-rate deformation, where mechanical disruption of the oxide layer is followed by material bonding through severe plastic deformation. This can be induced by ultrasonic scrubbing, friction stirring, or supersonic impact of powder particles onto a substrate or a previous layer of the same material. UAM, AFSD, and CSAM processes fall under this category.

Alternatively, sintering-based solid-state additive manufacturing is characterized by consolidation of the 3D printed powder compact via uniform external heating up to a large fraction of melting temperature, i.e., sintering. Material bonding occurs by thermal reduction of the oxide layer on powder surfaces, allowing atomic diffusion between metal powder particles. BJAM and MEAM fall under this category. Sintering-based additive manufacturing techniques have the advantage of leveraging the mature knowledgebase on powder metallurgy and sintering technology.

1.3.1 Deformation-Based Metal Additive Manufacturing

Deformation-based metal additive manufacturing involves the application of shear and pressure to create metallurgical bonds between the newly deposited material and base material. CSAM, AFSD, and UAM are notable examples.





Figure 1.3 Illustration of the cold spray process, which uses powder as feedstock. Source: Yu and Mishra [34]/Taylor & Francis.

As shown in Figure 1.3, in cold spray, particles undergo acceleration to achieve supersonic velocities. This acceleration is achieved through the expansion of a gas that is both pressurized and heated, which takes place across a specialized convergent/divergent nozzle (i.e., a De Laval nozzle [35]). Following this acceleration, the microparticles experience collision with the base material, leading to the creation of a metallurgical bond. This collision-induced interaction leverages *local* deformation, and the basic microstructure of cold sprayed metals is bimodal in nature. Most cold sprayed metals have prior particle centers surrounded by the boundaries between prior particles; the contact regions where one prior particle collides with another display a thoroughly modified microstructure. Cold spray is widely used for corrosion and wear protection coatings, and it has been recently showcased for additive manufacturing purposes.

As shown in Figure 1.4, AFSD is characterized by a global deformation, where all the material voxels in the feedstock undergo severe plastic deformation at elevated temperatures [36]. This typically leads to significant microstructural changes as a result of dynamic recrystallization, characterized by the presence of



Figure 1.4 Illustration of additive friction stir deposition, which uses solid rod or powder as feedstock. Source: Yu and Mishra [34]/Taylor & Francis.



Figure 1.5 Illustration of ultrasonic additive manufacturing, which uses metal foil as feedstock. Source: Yu and Mishra [34]/Taylor & Francis.

fine, equiaxed grain structures. Moreover, the surface layers of the substrate or base material coplastically deform, and are mixed with the feed material, resulting in strong interfacial bonding. Thanks to extensive material flow, AFSD can lead to fully dense material in the as-printed state. Viewed as a forging-based additive process, the resulting mechanical properties can meet the forging standard. It has found applications in structural repair, selective area cladding, material recycling and upcycling, as well as manufacturing under austere conditions. The primary constraint is from its near-net-shaping nature, which requires removing material from the track edges through machining.

As shown in Figure 1.5, UAM only involves local deformation close to the interface, while the bulk of the feedstock (in the form of metal foil) remains at a low temperature without notable microstructural changes [37]. The localized and low-heat nature ensures minimal distortion and preserves the integrity of the original structure, making it suitable for various applications such as electrification, thermal management, and embedded electronics. The capability of bonding dissimilar metals and creating complex geometries further enhances its usage in diverse industries. However, the main limitation of UAM lies in its hybrid nature, which necessitates the use of CNC machining to achieve specific geometric features. Consequently, this hinders its application in scenarios like onsite repair and local remanufacturing.

To compare among these deformation-based additive processes, CSAM and UAM are relatively low-temperature processes involving local deformation, whereas AFSD features global plastic deformation at elevated temperatures. Both CSAM and AFSD are free forming processes that allow for location-specific deposition and repair, whereas UAM is a hybrid process leveraging both ultrasonic consolidation and CNC machining.

1.3.2 Sintering-Based Metal Additive Manufacturing

Sintering-based metal additive manufacturing involves an indirect method where a metal-polymer composite is initially crafted. This composite then goes through a series of postprocessing steps to eliminate binders or polymers, ultimately densifying the printed components through sintering (or hot isostatic pressing). 12 1 Introduction and Overview



Figure 1.6 Illustration of (a) binder jetting and (b) pore evolution during post-processing.

One illustrative example within this realm is binder jetting, a process in which binders are precisely placed to glue metal powders together, resulting in a preliminary structure called a "green body," comprised of both metals and binders [38]. Then, the binders are removed at elevated temperatures, followed by sintering to achieve full density. The printing and postprocessing details are illustrated in Figure 1.6. It is also possible to print metals by utilizing typical polymer-based printing techniques such as material extrusion (or FDM), material jetting, and stereolithography. This is achieved by integrating metallic micro or nanoparticles with polymers. Following the printing process, a hybrid metal–polymer composite is generated. The subsequent step involves the selective removal of polymers, often through a process like burnout, followed by sintering of the metal component.

Sintering-based approaches in additive manufacturing present notable advantages, including lower energy consumption and the capability to fabricate intricate structures using multiple materials. Nevertheless, certain challenges persist, such as effectively managing shrinkage during the sintering process and ensuring a consistent distribution of density. These challenges continue to be subjects of ongoing research and development.

1.4 Additive Manufacturing Categorization Based on the Relationship Between Shape Forming and Consolidation

Another useful way of categorizing the additive manufacturing methods to understand the capabilities and resulting properties is the relative occurrence of building and consolidation stages described below:

(1) Techniques where building and consolidation are coupled: These methods achieve layer bonding simultaneously as geometry is being built. Bonding can be achieved by using high-energy power to heat the feedstock until it melts and bonds or just enough to cause bonding through severe mechanical deformation. The heat source can be a laser beam as in SLM or DED, an electron beam as in EBM. The methods that fall under this category and rely on bonding through severe mechanical deformation are CSAM, UAM, and AFSD. Although the

 Table 1.1
 A summary of processing details, bonding mechanisms, resulting microstructures, and common examples of processed materials of the solid-state additive manufacturing methods covered in this book. An extensive comparison of the methods is discussed in [25].

Class	Method	Heating mechanism and maximum temperature	Bonding mechanism	Microstructure alteration and homogeneity	Processible materials	Common examples
Sinter- based AM	BJAM	Uniform - external heating >0.75 Tm	Atomic diffusion (generally following oxide reduction)	Minimal/Grain coarsening Homogeneous, equiaxed in all directions (except for some cases, see the text)	Any powdered material, including ceramics. Hard and brittle materials can be processed.	17-4PH, 316L, 304SS, Ti6Al4V, Inconel 625 and 718, Cu, Al ₂ O ₃ , Zr ₂ O ₃ , WC-Co
	MEAM	Uniform – external heating >0.75 Tm	Atomic diffusion (generally following oxide reduction)	Minimal/Grain coarsening Homogeneous, equiaxed in all directions (except for some cases, see the text)	Any powdered material, including ceramics Hard and brittle materials can be processed	17-4PH, 316L, H13, A2, D2 tool steels, Inconel 625, Cu, WC-Co
Deformation- AM	UAM	Local - interfacial frictional heating 0.2–0.5 Tm	Atomic diffusion; interface coalescence under pressure and temperature, assisted by high-strain-rate severe plastic deformation	Dynamic recrystallization, grain refinement and texture Nonhomogeneous, gradient from refined equiaxed grains at the interface to elongated grains with rolling texture of the foil	Mostly soft and ductile metals and alloys	Al alloys, Cu alloys, low carbon steels
	CSAM	Local – adiabatic Unknown (typically <tm)< td=""><td>Severe plastic deformation</td><td>Adiabatic shear and dynamic recrystallization Grain refinement Fine grains at the particle interfaces</td><td>Mostly ductile metals and alloys that do not shutter or melt at supersonic impact conditions before exhibiting severe plastic deformation</td><td>Cu, Al, Mg Inconel 717, 625, Ti, Ti6Al4V, Bronze</td></tm)<>	Severe plastic deformation	Adiabatic shear and dynamic recrystallization Grain refinement Fine grains at the particle interfaces	Mostly ductile metals and alloys that do not shutter or melt at supersonic impact conditions before exhibiting severe plastic deformation	Cu, Al, Mg Inconel 717, 625, Ti, Ti6Al4V, Bronze
	AFSD	Local - adiabatic 0.6–0.9 Tm	Severe plastic deformation	Dynamic recrystallization Grain refinement Equiaxed, fine, relatively random in all directions	Low temperature materials; more challenging for high temperature materials due to tooling	Various Al alloys (2xxx, 5xxx, 6xxx, 7xxx), Mg alloys, Cu, Ti6Al4V

Source: Adapted from [25].

14 1 Introduction and Overview

physics behind these techniques are very different, the common characteristic of the methods in this category is that the *microstructure forms during building the geometry*. As the microstructure forms during printing in all these methods, the methods that involve high-energy beam melting suffer from anisotropic solidification microstructure, residual stress due to large thermal gradients, as well as the formation of brittle nonequilibrium phases due to rapid cooling, while CSAM, UAM, and AFSD generally result in fine microstructure and low residual stress.

(2) Techniques where building and consolidation are decoupled: This category involves the additive manufacturing methods that are based on powder metallurgy and sintering. These methods typically first produce an oversized geometry of the desired part by "gluing" together metal powder particles into a free-form 3D preform using polymeric binders, followed by a step that cleanly removes the organic binders (generally referred to as debinding), which is followed by the final consolidation of the part through sintering. BJAM and MEAM are among these methods. Although the layer-forming principle to build an oversized "green" geometry are different, the common characteristic of the methods in this category is that the microstructure formation is independent of the geometry-building stage.

Understanding the utilized bonding mechanisms and the relationship between shape forming and consolidation in various additive manufacturing techniques provides the necessary perspective to view these techniques in a comparative sense. Table 1.1 summarizes the processing details, bonding mechanisms, resulting microstructures, and common examples of processed materials of the solid-state additive manufacturing methods covered in this book. An extensive comparison of the methods is discussed in [25].

1.5 Organization of the Book

The book is organized into 17 chapters, each dedicated to exploring different aspects of solid-state metal additive manufacturing. With this chapter serving as the introduction, the rest of the chapters progress through the following topics:

- **Chapters 2–5: Cold spray additive manufacturing.** Chapter 2 focuses on the conditions and mechanisms of impact bonding from a physics and materials perspective. Chapter 3 describes the microstructures in feedstock powders and their evolution during and after cold spray deposition. Chapter 4 provides an overview of the basic mechanical characteristics of cold spray deposits, including the interfacial adhesion properties and cohesion strength under both static and cyclic loading. Chapter 5 focuses on the current and potential applications of cold spray for various material systems, including surface enhancement, additive manufacturing, and surface restoration.
- Chapters 6-9: Additive friction stir deposition. Chapter 6 focuses on the process fundamentals underlying AFSD, including temperature, force, and